

Original Article

Efficacy of Some Organic Amendments for the Control of Ufra Disease of Rice

M Abdul Latif¹, Sharmina Akter¹, M Shahjahan Kabir¹, M Ansar Ali², M Anwar Hossain^{1*} and M Loothfor Rahman¹

¹Plant Pathology Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Joydebpur, Gazipur 1701, Bangladesh, ²Regional Station, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Rajshahi, Bangladesh

[Received 14 July 2006; Accepted 07 October 2006]

Nine organic amendments, namely, Bishkatali leaf dust, mustard cake, sesame cake, jute seed dust, Neem leaf dust, Neem cake, Neem seed dust, Bankalmi leaf dust and rice husk were used in controlling rice ufra disease in three Boro seasons during 1994-1996. The amendments were applied at the rate of 200 kg/ha except for rice husk (500 kg/ha). All the amendments reduced the damaged tiller, ufra 3 and total ufra significantly and gave higher percentage of healthy panicles and increased yield over control (diseased). In all seasons, due to application of Bishkatali leaf dust, mustard cake, sesame cake, jute seed dust, Neem leaf dust, Neem seed dust, Bankalmi leaf dust and rice husk the yield was increased that ranged from 61.60-67.17, 56.07-71.43, 67.98-75.98, 65.78-78.51, 62.25-76.10, 61.79-79.06 and 62.53-80.16, 61.01-71.43% respectively over the control (diseased). The organic amendments could be used as the alternatives of nematicides for the control of ufra disease.

Keywords: Organic amendments, Ufra disease, Rice

Introduction

Ufra caused by the stem nematode, *Ditylenchus angustus* Filipjev¹ is an important disease of rice in some South and South East Asian countries²⁻⁴. Yield loss caused by this disease has been reported up to 10-15% in India⁵⁻⁶, 20-90% in Thailand⁷, 50-100% in Vietnam⁸ and 40-60% or occasionally 100% in Bangladesh². This disease is known as a devastating in terms of both plant damage and yield loss in deepwater rice⁷⁻⁹. At present it is not only a disease of deepwater rice but also a disease of Boro and transplanted rice ecosystems^{6,9-10}.

Nowadays, the ufra disease is sporadically occurring both in the irrigated¹⁰ and rain fed low land rice¹¹. The ufra nematodes usually over-winter in quiescent and coiled condition on dry infected stubble and panicles¹ until sowing or planting of the next crop. It also survives in active form in crop stubbles or its ratoon growth in the fields under continuous rice cultivation. Nematodes from these infested stubble or ratoon growth release in water during wetland preparation of fields, attacks the newly planted seedlings causing the diseases in transplanted Aman and Boro rice. Therefore, such intensive rice cultivation, limits controlling this disease through cultural or crop rotation practices all the year round. Thus, the present work was undertaken to study the effects of organic amendments in controlling ufra disease of rice.

Materials and Methods

The layout of the experiment was done in T-Aman season. Each plot was surrounded by 20-25 cm high mud plastered levee. The

seedlings were infected with 100 nematode/seedling in seedbed¹². Thirty 5-day-old seedlings with visible chlorotic ufra symptom on the leaf sheath were transplanted in the plot. At maturity, the rice was harvested, leaving 3-4 inches nematode infested straw in the field. Two control treatments (healthy and diseased) were also included in the experiments. The straw was incorporated into the soil in the following Boro season.

Three experiments were conducted at Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) Farm, Gazipur during Boro season of 1994, 1995 and 1996 to evaluate nine different organic amendments, namely, Bishkatali (*Polygonum hydropiper*) leaf dust, mustard (*Brassica nigra*) cake, sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) cake, jute (*Corchorus olitorius*) seed dust, Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) leaf dust, Neem cake, Neem seed dust, Bankalmi (*Ipomoea sepiaria*) leaf dust and rice (*Oryza sativa*) husk. Rice variety BR3 was used for this experiment. The amendments were applied at the rate of 200 kg/ha, except for rice husk (500 kg/ha), at 7 days before transplanting of seedling in a 2 m x 2 m plot at 25 cm x 15 cm spacing. The experiment was conducted in randomised complete block design (RCBD) with four replications. Thirty 5-day-old healthy seedlings were transplanted in organic amended plot. Two controls were used for this experiment, one was healthy and other was diseased. At harvest, crop from the whole plot was cut and diseased panicles were categorized into damaged tiller (no panicle initiation), ufra 1 (panicle completely enclosed in leaf sheath), ufra 2 (partially emerged panicle but unfilled grain) and ufra 3 (completely emerged

*Corresponding author:

Dr. M Anwar Hossain, Chief Scientific Officer & Head, Plant Pathology Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur 1701, Bangladesh
Tel (Office): (02) 9257506, (02) 9257401-5/333; Tel (Home): (02) 9263287, (02) 9257401-5/320; Cell: 0193 127921, 01715 034094, E-mail: mahossn03@dhaka.net, brripath@bdonline.com

panicle with unfilled grain)¹³. Data on percent damaged tiller, ufra 3, total ufra (damaged tiller + ufra 1 + ufra 2 + ufra 3), healthy panicles and yield (t/ha) were taken for statistical analysis. Yield was adjusted at 14% moisture level after harvest. Yield increased over the control was also determined for each season.

Results and Discussion

The percentages of different ufra categories, healthy panicles and yield varied significantly among the treatments in three Boro seasons. In Boro 1994, percentage of damaged tillers ranged from 1.20-11.39% (Table 1). In general, all the amendments lowered the damaged tiller, ufra 3 and total ufra significantly and gave significantly higher yield than the control (diseased). Among the treatments, Neem leaf dust performed best in controlling damaged tiller, ufra 3 and total ufra (1.53, 14.64 and 20.59% respectively) where as that of control (diseased) plot showed 11.39, 53.33 and 94.06% respectively. Although healthy panicles were less than control (healthy) treatment (98.63%), it ranged from 46.73-79.41%. The highest yield (4.94 t/ha) was obtained by applying Bankalmi leaf dust. Statistically similar yield was obtained in using sesame cake, jute seed dust, Neem leaf dust and Neem seed dust (4.08, 4.56, 4.10 and 4.68 t/ha respectively); where healthy control and diseased control treatments gave 4.83 t/ha and 0.98 t/ha respectively.

Table 1. Effect of different organic amendments in controlling ufra in Boro 1994

Organic amendment	Dose (kg/ha)	% ufra infestation			Healthy panicle (%)	Yield (t/ha)
		Damaged tiller	Ufra 3 panicles	Total ufra		
Control (disease)	-	11.39 ^a	53.33 ^a	94.06 ^a	1.41 ^g	0.98 ^f
Control (healthy)	-	0.05 ^g	0.77 ^g	1.36 ^g	98.63 ^a	4.83 ^a
Neem cake	200	2.63 ^d	34.88 ^b	35.27 ^d	46.73 ^f	2.43 ^e
Mustard cake	200	1.20 ^f	24.4 ^{bc}	39.26 ^c	60.74 ^e	3.43 ^d
Sesame cake	200	1.71 ^e	20.04 ^{de}	33.86 ^d	66.14 ^d	4.08 ^{bc}
Jute seed dust	200	4.46 ^b	17.49 ^{ef}	26.21 ^e	73.79 ^{bc}	4.56 ^{bc}
Neem leaf dust	200	1.53 ^{ef}	14.64 ^f	20.59 ^f	79.41 ^b	4.10 ^{bc}
Neem seed dust	200	1.85 ^e	14.41 ^f	25.37 ^e	74.63 ^{bc}	4.68 ^{ab}
Rice husk	500	3.27 ^c	21.94 ^{cd}	43.02 ^b	56.98 ^e	3.43 ^{cd}
Bankalmi leaf dust	200	3.99 ^b	16.22 ^f	27.43 ^e	72.57 ^c	4.94 ^a

Each value is the average of four replications. In a column means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

Similar potentiality of using organic amendments in controlling ufra disease was found in Boro 1995 (Table 2). The percentage as different disease parameters (damaged tiller, ufra 3 panicles and total ufra incidence) varied significantly among the treatments. Damaged tiller varied from 0.92-4.09% at the vegetative stage that was significantly lower than the control (diseased) treatment (13.32%). A similar trend was also observed for ufra 3 panicles, considering the total ufra incidence it ranged from 16.17-32.30% among the treatments compared to 95.08% in the control (diseased) treatment. A low incidence of ufra (15.05%) was also recorded in the control (healthy) treatment possibly due to spill over of nematode contaminated water from the diseased plots.

Both healthy panicles and grain yield were significantly higher in the amended plots over the control (diseased) plot. The parentage of healthy panicles varied from 67.71-83.82% in the amended plots some of which being very close to that of control (healthy) treatment (85.05%). Similarly, grain yields varied from 3.46-5.42 t/ha in the amended plots, which was 5.37 t/ha in healthy control and 1.52 t/ha in diseased control treatment (Table 2). Like Boro 1994, Neem leaf dust performed best in controlling damaged tiller, ufra 3 and total ufra, and the highest yield was obtained by using Neem seed dust. This treatment slightly out yielded (5.42 t/ha) than the healthy control treatment. Among the other amendments, jute seed dust, Neem leaf dust and Bankalmi leaf treated plots showed less than 30% ufra infection and yielded 5.22-5.23 t/ha, which was very close to the healthy control treatment. The yield increase was about 2-4-fold more in most of the amended plots compared to only 1.52 t/ha yield in the diseased control treatment (Table 2). These results suggested that tested amendments have the potentiality to control ufra disease.

Table 2. Effect of different organic amendments in controlling ufra in Boro 1995

Organic amendment	Dose (kg/ha)	% ufra infestation			Healthy panicle (%)	Yield (t/ha)
		Damaged tiller	Ufra 3 panicles	Total ufra		
Control (disease)	-	13.32 ^a	18.93 ^b	95.08 ^a	4.93 ^c	1.52 ^e
Control (healthy)	-	0.96 ^d	11.83 ^{cd}	15.05 ^e	84.95 ^a	5.37 ^a
Bishkatali leaf dust	200	1.67 ^{cd}	13.24 ^{cd}	16.17 ^e	83.82 ^a	4.63 ^c
Mustard cake	200	0.92 ^d	28.25 ^a	31.85 ^b	68.16 ^b	3.46 ^d
Sesame cake	200	1.07 ^d	26.32 ^a	31.50 ^b	68.51 ^b	4.92 ^{bc}
Jute seed dust	200	2.79 ^{bc}	14.67 ^c	21.10 ^c	78.90 ^a	5.23 ^{ab}
Neem leaf dust	200	1.68 ^{cd}	14.59 ^c	19.51 ^{cd}	80.49 ^a	5.22 ^{ab}
Bankalmi leaf dust	200	1.71 ^{cd}	20.80 ^b	30.13 ^b	69.88 ^b	5.22 ^{ab}
Neem seed dust	200	1.48 ^{cd}	11.03 ^d	16.20 ^{de}	83.81 ^a	5.42 ^a
Rice husk	500	4.09 ^b	11.66 ^{cd}	32.30 ^b	67.71 ^b	4.69 ^c

Each value is the average of four replications. In a column means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

Like the results of Boro 1994 and 1995, potentiality of using organic amendments in controlling ufra disease was found in the year Boro 1996 (Table 3). The percentage of damage tiller, total ufra incidence, healthy panicle and yield of control (healthy) varied significantly from all other treatments. Percent damaged tiller ranged from 1.02-3.42 in amended plot where that of healthy and diseased was 20.31 and 0.80% respectively. Among the amendments, although rice husk and Neem seed dust reduced ufra 3, percent total ufra reduced and percent healthy panicle was found significantly by all the amendments that used in 1996. Percent healthy panicles ranged from 65.81-80.31, and that of healthy and diseased controls were 83.92 and 3.87% respectively. Significantly higher yield was found in all amended plots that ranged 3.84-4.5 t/ha as compare to the diseased control treatments. Use of different parts of indigenous plants is one of the important alternative strategies to reduce nematode population and its activity in soil and host plants. The potentiality of different plant parts have been tested either as soil amendment¹⁴ or root dip treatment in leaf extracts¹⁵.

Table 3. Effect of different organic amendments in controlling ufra in Boro 1996

Organic amendment	Dose (kg/ha)	% ufra infestation			Healthy panicle (%)	Yield (t/ha)
		Damaged tiller	Ufra 3 panicles	Total ufra		
Control (disease)	-	20.31 ^a	17.80 ^c	94.13 ^a	3.87 ^c	1.54 ^c
Control (healthy)	-	0.80 ^f	2.31 ^e	6.10 ^e	83.92 ^a	5.40 ^a
Bishkatali leaf dust	200	1.87 ^d	17.51 ^c	28.31 ^c	71.52 ^b	4.01 ^b
Mustard cake	200	2.32 ^c	27.31 ^a	30.10 ^{bc}	69.01 ^b	3.84 ^b
Sesame cake	200	1.02 ^e	28.13 ^a	29.11 ^{bc}	70.05 ^b	4.81 ^{ab}
Jute seed dust	200	2.34 ^c	16.90 ^c	24.30 ^{cd}	75.80 ^{ab}	4.50 ^b
Neem leaf dust	200	1.81 ^d	17.92 ^c	19.63 ^d	80.31 ^a	4.08 ^b
Bankalmi leaf dust	200	3.42 ^b	21.0 ^b	32.50 ^{bc}	67.51 ^b	4.11 ^b
Neem seed dust	200	1.31 ^{ed}	10.91 ^d	30.10 ^{bc}	69.80 ^b	4.03 ^b
Rice husk	500	3.38 ^b	10.60 ^d	34.22 ^b	65.81 ^b	3.95 ^b

Each value is the average of four replications. In a column means followed by a common letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

Yield recovery rate due to ufra disease varied year to year for the same treatment. However, the range of recovery rate was 59.67-80.16%, 56.07-71.96% and 59.90-67.98% in 1994, 1995 and 1996 respectively (Table 4). Regardless of season, yield was increase with all treatments using mustard cake, sesame cake, jute seed dust, Neem leaf dust, Neem seed dust, Bankalmi leaf dust and rice husk as organic amendments that corresponded to yield ranged from 61.60-67.17, 56.07-71.43, 67.98-75.98, 65.78-78.51, 62.25-76.10, 61.79-79.06 and 62.53-80.16, 61.01-71.43% respectively over the control (diseased). These organic amendments could be used as the alternatives of nematicides for the control of ufra disease. Application of Furadan 5G in soil at 1.0 kg a.i./ha in an infested Boro field resulted an increase of yield by 1.05-times over diseased control¹⁶.

Table 4. Percent yield recovery in three Boro crop seasons under the different organic amendments

Organic amendment	Dose (kg/ha)	Yield recovery (%) [*]		
		Boro 1994	Boro 1995	Boro 1996
Control (disease)	-	-	-	-
Control (healthy)	-	-	-	-
Neem cake	200	59.67	-	-
Mustard cake	200	71.43	56.07	59.90
Sesame cake	200	75.98	69.10	67.98
Jute seed dust	200	78.51	70.94	65.78
Neem leaf dust	200	76.10	70.88	62.25
Neem seed dust	200	79.06	71.96	61.79
Rice husk	500	71.43	67.59	61.01
Bankalmi leaf dust	200	80.16	70.88	62.53
Bishkatali leaf dust	200	-	67.17	61.60

^{*}Yield recover (t/ha) = (yield increased due to use of organic amendments – yield of control disease) x 100/yield increase due to application organic amendments)

From the above results, it was found that organic amendment has the potentiality to decrease ufra infestation, and to increase

healthy panicles and increased yield. Hossain et al.¹⁷ and Mian and Rodriguez-Kabana¹⁸ found effective control of root knot nematode by applying mustard oil cake. This organic matter reduces disease incidence and severity of nematode disease^{17,19}. Rahman and Miah found the significant effect of tea waste, rice husk, Neem leaf dust and tobacco stem dust in reducing reproduction factor of nematode.

References

- Butler E.J. 1913. Ufra disease of rice. *Agric J India*. **8**: 205-220.
- Miah SA & Bakr MA. 1977. Chemical control of ufra disease of rice. *Pest Articles News Summaries (PANS)*. **23**(4): 412-413.
- Ou SH. 1985. *Rice Disease*, 2nd edn. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey.
- Bridge J, Luc M & Plowright RA. 1990. Nematode parasites of rice. In *Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Sub-Tropical and Tropical Agriculture* (Luc M, Sikora RA & Bridge J eds), pp 69-108. CABI Publishing, Oxfordshire.
- Singh B. 1953. Some important diseases of paddy. *Agric Anim Husband Uttar Pradesh*. **3**(10-12): 27-30.
- Rao YS, Prasad JS & Panwar MS. 1986. Stem nematode (*Ditylenchus angustus*) a potential pest of rice in Assam and West Bengal, India. *Int Nematol Network Newsl*. **3**(4): 24-26.
- Hashioka Y. 1963. The rice stem nematode *Ditylenchus angustus* in Thailand. *Plant Prot FAO*. **110**: 97-102.
- Cuc N-T & Kinh DN. 1981. Rice stem nematode disease in Vietnam. *Int Rice Res Newsl*. **6**(6): 14-15.
- Seth LN. 1939. Report of the Mycologist, Burma, for the year ending 31st March 1939, p 6, Burma.
- Bakr MA. 1977. Occurrence of ufra in transplanted rice. *Int Rice Res Newsl*. **3**(3):16.
- Miah SA & Rahman ML. 1985. Severe ufra outbreak in transplanted rice in Bangladesh. *Int Rice Res Newsl*. **10**(3):24.
- Rahman ML & Evans AAF. 1987. Studies on host-parasite relationships of rice stem nematode, *Ditylenchus angustus* (Nematode: Tylenchida) on rice, *Oryza sativa* L). *Nematologica*. **33**: 451-459.
- Cox PG & Rahman L. 1980. Effect of ufra disease on yield loss of deepwater rice in Bangladesh. *Trop Pest Management*. **26**(4): 410-415.
- Akhter M & Alam M. 1989. Evaluation of nematicidal potential in some medicinal plants. *Int Nematol Network Newsl*. **6**(1): 8-10.
- Siddiqui MA & Alam MM. 1988. Control of root-knot and reniform nematodes by bare-root dip in leaf extract of margosa and persian lilac. *J Plant Dis Prot*. **95**(2): 138-142.
- Rahman MM, Sharma NR & Miah SA. 1981. Incidence and chemical control of ufra in Boro field. *Int Rice Res Newsl*. **6**(2): 12.
- Hossain MS, Miah IH & Tsuno K. 1989. Efficacy of three nematicide and two oil cakes for control of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on potato seedling. *J Faculty Agric Kyushu Univ*. **34**: 115-121.
- Mian IH & Rodriguez-Kabana R. 1982. Soil amendments with oil cakes and chicken litter for control of *Meloidogyne arenaria*. *Nematologica*. **12**: 205-220.
- Rahman ML & Miah SA. 1993. Effect of organic and inorganic soil amendment on *Meloidogyne graminicola* causing root-knot in deep water rice. *Bangladesh J Bot*. **22**(2): 209-215.