Detection of Aflatoxin in Poultry Feed and Feed Materials through Immuno Based Assay from Different Poultry Farms and Feed Factories in Bangladesh
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Current study investigated the occurrence of aflatoxin contamination in poultry feed and feed materials in different poultry farms and feed factories in Bangladesh. A total of 100 samples of finished feed and raw feed materials were collected and tested through direct competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for total aflatoxin detection. Overall, 97% samples (n=97/100) in our study, were found positive for aflatoxin contamination. Among finished feed categories, layer grower feed contained highest level of aflatoxin with a mean value of 21.64 ppb whereas layer feed was less susceptible for aflatoxin contamination (mean value 9.49 ppb). Between raw feed materials, maize samples were highly contaminated (n=15/15, 100%) with aflatoxin while 86.67% soybean samples showed positive result. Twenty one percent (21%) of the samples in our study contained aflatoxin concentration more than the acceptable limit employed by USFDA and many other countries which might pose severe health risk to poultry and human consumer. Proper surveillance and immediate control measures should be taken to ensure safe poultry feed and feed materials.
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Introduction

Aflatoxin Contamination of poultry feed and raw feed materials is a serious concern throughout the globe.1-3 Aflatoxins are a group of toxigenic secondary metabolites mainly secreted by some species of Aspergillus and other fungi.4 This dietary aflatoxins have 18 different analogues among which four are highly recognized, namely B1, B2, G1 and G2 (AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2 respectively). Analogue B1 and B2 are mainly produced by Aspergillus flavus while A. parasiticus can produce all four analogues.5 Aflatoxin can contaminate a wide variety of food and feed commodities including maize, wheat, cereal grains, peanut, cotton seed meal, oil seed meal, copra meal, sunflower meal, palm kernel meal, dried fruits, rice, spices and nuts.6 These Aspergillus spp. use the nutrients of food and feed for propagation and proliferation thus reducing nutritional value of the feeds.7

This potential mycotoxin gains much attention due to severe economic losses affecting layers, broilers, turkeys, ducklings, and quails.8 When aflatoxin contaminated feed is consumed by poultry, crucial growth parameters including feed intake rate, feed conversion efficacy, reproductive performance all are compromised, thus leading to lowered growth rate, decreased egg production and hatchability, and increased likelihood of morbidity and mortality.9 In poultry, a number of diseases are found to be involved in aflatoxin contamination including diarrhea, multifocal hepatic necrosis, weight gain in liver and kidney, biliary hyperplasia, immunosuppression etc.10-11. Not only poultry is susceptible to harmful effect of aflatoxin but also human consumers are also at risk. Moreover, after metabolism, aflatoxins or their metabolites can appear in tissue blood, gizzard, breasts, liver and eggs of poultry posing a severe health threat to human consumers.12-14. Previous studies revealed that aflatoxins have genotoxic, teratogenic and hepatocarcinogenic effect on human.15 The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 2002) demonstrated the naturally occurring aflatoxin analogue B1 (AFB1) as a potential carcinogen to human.16

Contamination of aflatoxin in foods and feeds varies depending on geographical location, agricultural and agronomic practices, and storage condition.17 Contamination of feed grains with aflatoxin are quite common in tropical countries like Bangladesh as high temperature and humid condition facilitate the growth of molds and the production of toxins.18

Due to harmful effect of this potent toxin, many countries implemented rules and regulation on animal feeds and food items. According to US- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the permissible limit of aflatoxin for animal feed is 20 µg/kg or 20 ppb. The acceptable limit for human consumption is much lower than the animal feed, with 4 µg/kg for total aflatoxins and 2 µg/ kg for AFB1.19-20. Along these lines, present study was designed to explore the occurrence of aflatoxin contamination in poultry feed and feedstuffs available in Bangladesh.
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Materials and Methods

Sample Collection:
A total of 100 poultry feed samples including finished feed (n=70) and raw feed materials (n=30) were collected from different poultry farms and feed production factories in Bangladesh during eight months period from July, 2017 to February, 2018 and transferred immediately to laboratory for testing. All test procedures were performed in Kazi Farms Poultry Laboratory, Gazipur, Bangladesh. The finish feed samples represented five categories, namely, broiler starter feed (n=13), broiler grower feed (n=18), broiler finisher feed (n=12), layer feed (n=12), and layer grower feed (n=15) while raw feed materials represented two categories including maize (n=15) and soybean (n=15). In order to achieve reasonably representative result approximately 500g of each sample was collected from poultry farms and feed production factories with proper labeling including date, factory name, sampling place and time of collection. All the samples were homogenized and thoroughly ground for analysis.

Sample Preparation:
The sample was prepared according to the previous study performed by Kehinde et al. (2014) in Ogun State, Nigeria. Dilution was done into two different ways. One is 5 fold dilution in which ten grams (10g) of feed sample or feed ingredient were taken in conical flasks and labelled according to the sampling location. Fifty milliliter (50 ml) of 70% methanol was added into each flask to extract the aflatoxin in the feed samples. Another dilution was done by following 10 fold dilution in which Five grams (5 g) of feed or ingredients were taken and fifty milliliter (50 ml) of 70% methanol was added into each flask to extract the aflatoxin. After few minutes the samples were filtrated by using Millipore filtrate paper into specific labelled test tubes.

Quantification of Total Aflatoxin in Feed Sample:
The concentration of aflatoxin was analyzed by a direct competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), which is based on antigen antibody reaction. For the enumeration, Agra Quant® Total Aflatoxin Assay 4/40 Kits (Romer Laboratory Inc. Singapore) was used. Extracted samples were diluted into dilution wells by adding 200 ml of conjugate solution (provided with the kit) with 100 ml of extracted samples. After proper mixing, 100 ml conjugate mixed extract samples were transferred into antibody coated wells and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Following incubation, the wells were washed 5 times with deionized water (provided with the kit) and kept dry. Then 100 ml substrate solution was pipetted into the antibody coated wells and incubated at room temperature for another 5 minutes. Then, 100 ml stop solution (provided with the kit) was added into antibody coated wells which changed the color of solution from blue to yellow. Finally, photometric measurement of aflatoxin was done by putting the antibody coated wells in ELISA aflatoxin reader (Chromate Plate Reader-4300/USA) at 405nm, 450 nm and 630nm. All data were calculated by using the SPSS version 9.5 (SPSS, Cary, NC, USA) statistical analysis program.

Results and Discussions
Poultry feed is highly susceptible to numerous pathogenic fungi and mycotoxin contamination. Causative agent of aflatoxin, Aspergillus spp. and their spore are globally distributed in environment and easily contaminate crops, raw feed materials and finished feed. In our study, among five categories of finished feed samples, layer grower feed was most susceptible to aflatoxin contamination with a mean value of 21.64 ppb while layer feed had the lowest aflatoxin level of 9.49 ppb. Overall, maximum aflatoxin value and mean value for broiler feed was 77.45 ppb and 17.51 ppb, respectively. Among three categories of broiler feed (broiler starter, broiler grower and broiler finisher) broiler finisher showed better result than other two categories with a mean value of 5.25 ppb of aflatoxin. In case of raw feed materials, 86.67% samples of soybean showed positive result for aflatoxin while all the maize samples (100%) were contaminated with this potential toxin.

Table 1. Frequency of aflatoxin contamination in poultry finished feed and raw feed materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed Sample</th>
<th>Samples Analyzed (n)</th>
<th>Positive Samples (%)</th>
<th>Maximum level (ppb)</th>
<th>Minimum level (ppb)</th>
<th>Mean (ppb)</th>
<th>No of Sample (&gt;20ppb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broiler Starter</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12 (92.31)</td>
<td>77.45</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broiler Grower</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
<td>57.50</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broiler Finisher</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
<td>58.36</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>14.33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer Grower</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>101.90</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13 (86.67)</td>
<td>74.31</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>22.03</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>63.66</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>23.47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ppb= Parts per billion
In our study, as a whole, 97% samples (finished feed and raw feed materials) were positive with varying concentration level of aflatoxin. Highest value of aflatoxin was 101.90 ppb while mean value of aflatoxin was 18.74 ppb which is more than three times higher than the value found by Nemati et al. (2014) where he studied 80 poultry feed samples in Iran and found maximum value of aflatoxin was 40 ppb with mean value of 4.90 ppb. 24.

In most cases, quality of finished feed greatly depends on quality of raw feed materials. Contaminated, low qualified raw feed ultimately generates low graded finished feed which is poisonous for both poultry and human consumers. In 2006, Beg et al., surveyed broiler starter feed, broiler finisher feed, and layer mash feed in Kuwait and found low level of aflatoxin which indicated the good quality of raw feed materials. 25.

The level of aflatoxin in raw feed materials could vary from 1 ppb to 680 ppb. In our study, among 30 raw feed materials, maximum level of aflatoxin was found to be 74.31 ppb and mean value was 22.75 ppb which contradicts with the result found by G Fareed in 2014 where highest contamination value and mean value were 165 ppb and 74.4 ppb, respectively among 114 raw feed materials. 27.

Hussein et al. (2001) demonstrated that 25% of crops of the world may be contaminated with varying types of fungi and their toxins which could pose severe economical and health threat. 28.

In our study, the mean value of aflatoxin in maize is 23.47 ppb which is much lower than the result found by Becha et al. (2013). 26.

According to US Food and Drug Administration, the acceptable limit of aflatoxin in food and feed is 20 ppb and any food or feed exceeding this limit should be considered as unsuitable for consumption by animal or human. According to our study, 21% of finished feed samples (n=12) and raw feed samples (n=9) had aflatoxin concentration above the acceptable limit employed by USFDA which is a matter of serious concern.

Contamination with pathogenic fungi as well as with mycotoxin can be happen in any step of pre harvesting or post harvesting, processing, handling, shipment and storage under favorable environmental conditions. 29. Comparatively high relative humidity, high temperature and moisture with low aeration, all accelerate the growth of pathogenic fungi and the production of aflatoxin in sub-tropical region like Bangladesh. In monsoon season, the amount of moisture is high in environment which influence the propagation of these mold and fungi. Sometimes farmer stock raw soybean, maize and other raw grains and seed for longer period for extra profit in future that also facilitate the fungal development. 18, 30-31.

Practically, it’s hard to prevent aflatoxin contamination in food and feed commodities but several measures could control the severity of this aflatoxicosis problem. Routine monitoring throughout the entire process of harvesting, processing and storage could lessen this problem a lot. Besides, detoxification methods can be applied to the intoxicated feed and feedstuffs. Feed and feed materials should not be stored for longer period before use, adequate post-harvest drying of seed and grain should be done. Feed and grains should be stored in a dry and cool place where humidity is low. Feed and feed materials should be purchased from a reliable source where they are handled carefully.

Conclusion

As total eradication of toxigenic fungi and their toxin is not possible, some selective approaches can be a help in aflatoxin management, like, resistant varieties development, biological control of these fungi and aflatoxin, integrated agronomy practices throughout the whole process of grain harvest, shipping, storage, feed manufacturing, and its formulation. This whole study emphasizes the need of proper surveillance and constant monitoring programs for aflatoxin free food and feedstuffs for human and animal.
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