Editorial

Dental Implant vs Endodontically Restored Tooth

Khan A.[1], Ahmed R.[2]

1. Prof. Dr. Atiquzzaman Khan, Professor & Head, Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics, University Dental College & Hospital.

2. Prof. Dr. Rubaba Ahmed, Professor, Dept. of Science of Dental Materials, University Dental College & Hospital.

The debate between dental implants and endodontic treatment has long been a contentious issue in modern dentistry. While both approaches aim to restore oral function and aesthetics, their indications, success rates, and long-term outcomes differ significantly. This editorial examines the comparative efficacy of implants versus endodontically treated teeth, drawing upon clinical research, survival rates, and patient-centered outcomes to guide evidence-based decision-making.

A key consideration in choosing between implants and endodontic therapy is their respective success and survival rates. A 2008 comparative study found that implants had a 98.4% success rate over an average follow-up of 36 months, while endodontically treated teeth showed a 99.3% success rate over 22 months. However, when accounting for "uncertain" cases (e.g., mobility, bone loss, or need for additional procedures), implant success dropped to 87.6%, whereas endodontic success declined to 90.2%. Notably, implants required more postoperative interventions (12.4%) compared to endodontically treated teeth (1.3%), suggesting higher maintenance needs.

A broader meta-analysis comparing single-unit implants and endodontically treated teeth over six years found nearly identical survival rates—95% for implants versus 94% for endodontically treated teeth. Another large-scale study tracking 1.4 million endodontically treated teeth reported a 97% retention rate after eight years, reinforcing the durability of natural teeth when properly restored.

Endodontically treated teeth retain natural periodontal ligaments, which provide sensory feedback and shock absorption—a feature implants cannot replicate. This biological advantage enhances chewing efficiency and proprioception, reducing the risk of occlusal overload. Conversely, implants rely on osseointegration, which, while stable, lacks the dynamic adaptability of natural teeth.

However, implants excel in cases of severe tooth damage or bone loss, where endodontic retreatment may not be viable. Modern implantology offers predictable outcomes, especially in fully edentulous patients, with survival rates exceeding 95% at 10 years. Additionally, implants prevent bone resorption by stimulating the jawbone, whereas extracted teeth without replacement can lead to alveolar ridge deterioration.

Endodontic treatment is generally more cost-effective than implants, particularly when considering the need for bone grafts or sinus lifts in compromised cases. However, implants may offer long-term economic benefits by reducing the need for repeated restorative procedures. Insurance coverage disparities also play a role, as some plans favor endodontics over implant therapy.

The choice between implants and endodontics should prioritize tooth preservation when feasible. As highlighted in a systematic review, "endodontics and implantology should complement each other and not compete". Factors influencing the decision include:

Tooth restorability: non-restorable teeth with poor prognosis are better candidates for extraction and implants.

Bone quality: Insufficient bone may necessitate grafting, increasing implant complexity.

Patient health: Systemic conditions like uncontrolled diabetes may impair implant osseointegration.

Both implants and endodontically treated teeth demonstrate high success rates, but their indications differ. Endodontics remains the gold standard for preserving natural dentition, while implants provide an excellent alternative for non-salvageable teeth. Clinicians must weigh biological, functional, and economic factors to optimize patient outcomes. Future research should focus on long-term (>15 years) comparative studies to further refine treatment protocols.

Address of Correspondence
Prof. Dr. Atiquzzaman Khan
Professor & Head, Dept. of Conservative Dentistry & Endodontics
Editor in Chief, Journal of Dentistry and Allied Science
An official publication of University Dental College
E-mail: dratiquzzamankhan@yahoo.com

References

1. PMC (PubMed Central). (2014). Comparison of Long-term Survival of Implants and Endodontically Treated Teeth. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/

2. Artistic Smiles Miami. (2025). Dental Implants vs. Real Teeth. Retrieved from https://www.artisticsmilesmiami.com

3. PubMed. (2008). Comparison of Success of Implants Versus Endodontically Treated Teeth. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

4. Healthline. (2025). Benefits and Drawbacks of Dental Implants. Retrieved from https://www.healthline.com

5. 33 Dental. (2025). The Ultimate Guide to Dental Implants. Retrieved from [https://www.33dental.com] (https://www.33dental.com)