Seroprevalence of Newcastle Disease Virus in Backyard Chickens at Gazipur District of Bangladesh
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ABSTRACT

A cross-sectional study was conducted to estimate the seroprevalence of Newcastle disease (ND) in backyard chickens at Gazipur district of Bangladesh. Individual serum sample was collected from clinically healthy and non-immunized backyard chickens in four randomly selected upazilla (Sreepur, Kapasia, Kaliakor and Gazipur sadar) under Gazipur district. A total of two hundred samples (50 from each upazilla) were collected from October 2009 to February 2010. Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI) test was used to determine titer of antibodies against Newcastle disease virus. The mean log; HI titer to NDV in blood sera of the study population were found 5.54, 6.25, 4.38, 5.32 and seroprevalence were 42.53%, 45.99%, 31.91%, and 37.82% in Sreepur, Kapasia, Kaliakor and Gazipur sadar upazilas respectively. The overall antibody titer and seroprevalence of Newcastle disease virus was recorded 5.37 and 39.56% respectively. Further detailed study focusing on Newcastle Disease (ND) virus strain identification and improved management packages to reduce the prevalence of Newcastle Disease (ND) are recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is agriculture based tropical country where over 80% of (about 150 million) people live in rural areas and are dependent on agriculture. Livestock is a major part of agriculture contributing 6.5% to national GDP on the basis of value added through their production of egg, milk, meat, hides and skins. The growth rate at 7.23% in GDP in 2004-05 for livestock was the highest of any sub-sector comparing with 0.15% for arable crops and 3.65% for fisheries [1]. Poultry is in the top position of the livestock sector. There are approximately 160 million chickens in the total poultry population of Bangladesh [2] and backyard chickens constitute nearly 80% of the total chicken population [3]. Gazipur district is a major poultry belt in Bangladesh. The total poultry population in Gazipur is estimated to be around 4 million. This district stands out as the place of commercial poultry production but there is a substantial (50%) backyard chicken population compared to commercial poultry population [4]. The backyard chicken production system is characterized by minimal human involvement, with birds scavenging in the backyard for food, and small investments beyond the cost of the foundation stock, a few handfuls of local grain, and possibly simple night shades, low production, high morbidity and high losses due to diseases and predation [5]. Among the highly prevaile disease of backyard chickens, Newcastle disease is the foremost cause of mortalities in the backyard chickens [6,7,8]. Newcastle Disease (ND) is one of the most important infectious diseases in birds throughout the world. It is an endemic and sometimes epizootic disease in chickens and is caused by an avian Paramyxovirus type 1 (APMV 1), which is a member of the genus Avulavirus of the family Paramyxoviridae [9], which possess two surface proteins that are important to the identification and behavior of the virus. The first, hemagglutinin/neuraminidase (HN), is important in the attachment and release of the virus from the host cells, in addition to its serologic identification [10]. The other very important surface protein is the fusion (F) protein, which has a critical role in the pathogenesis of the disease [10]. Newcastle disease viruses occur in three pathotypes; lentogenic, mesogenic and velogenic reflecting increasing levels of virulence [11]. In chickens ND is characterized by lesions in the brain or gastrointestinal tract, morbidity rates near 100% and mortality rates as high as 90% in susceptible chickens. Neurological symptoms or severe depression are the most obvious clinical signs of ND, and some unvaccinated birds may be found dead with no detected sign of prior illness [12]. Newcastle disease virus (NDV) infections of poultry range from in apparent to rapid fatal depending upon the pathotype of virus involved [13]. Wild and domesticated birds sometimes harbor the Newcastle disease virus (NDV) while showing no detectable clinical signs of the disease [14]. In countries where poultry are kept exclusively in bird proof housing, the ability of the feral birds to invade affected flocks and transfer the disease will be minimal, whereas birds kept on open range are more likely to be infected with strains carried by feral birds [15, 16]. Due to heavy load of commercial...
chickens and presence of feral birds close contact with backyard chickens resulting in transmission of infectious agents such as Newcastle disease virus but little is known about disease status of backyard chickens. The objectives of this study were to estimate the seroprevalence of Newcastle disease virus by hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test of the backyard chickens.

Table: Antibody titers and seroprevalence of NDV in backyard chickens at four upazilas in Gazipur district.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study areas (Upazila)</th>
<th>Male No.</th>
<th>Seroprevalence (%)</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Female No.</th>
<th>Seroprevalence (%)</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Total Seroprevalence (%)</th>
<th>Titre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sreepur</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.62%</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44.44%</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>5.175</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapasia</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45.83%</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46.15%</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>45.99%</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaliakor</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31.58%</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32.25%</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>31.91%</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazipur sadar</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.36%</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39.28%</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>37.82%</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>38.597%</td>
<td>5.175</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40.53%</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>39.56%</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample analysis
The hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test was performed to detect antibodies against the ND virus. The HI test was done following procedures outlined by the Office International des Epizooties [17, 18]. Briefly, the test was carried out by running twofold dilutions of equal volumes (0.025 ml) of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and test serum (0.025ml of 1% in V-bottomed micro titer plates. Four hemagglutinating units (HAU) of virus/antigen were added to each well and the plates were left at room temperature for a minimum of 30 minutes. Finally, 0.025ml of 1% (volume/volume) chicken red blood cells (RBCs) were added to each well and after gentle mixing, allowed to settle for about 40 minutes at room temperature. The HI titer was read from the highest dilution of serum causing complete inhibition of 4 HAU of antigen. Agglutination was assessed by tilting the pales. Only those wells in which the RBCs stream at the same rate as the control wells (containing 0.025 ml RBCs and 0.05 ml PBS only) were considered to show inhibition.

Statistical analysis
The data were recorded and imported to Microsoft office excel worksheet 2007. The data were analyzed to calculate the prevalence of ND by using Microsoft office excel worksheet 2007.

RESULTS
Results of the investigation revealed that all the upazilas had chickens that were positive for antibodies to Newcastle disease virus (NDV). The mean antibody titer against NDV in backyard chickens sera were found 5.54, 6.25, 4.38, 5.32 and seroprevalence were 42.53%, 45.99%, 31.91% and 37.82% in Sreepur, Kapasia, Kaliakor and Gazipur sadar upazila of Gazipur district respectively (Table). The overall antibody titer against Newcastle disease virus was recorded 5.37 and seroprevalence was 39.56%. However, a relatively higher seroprevalence was observed at Kapasia (45.99%) and the lowest at Kaliakor (31.91%). It might be due to lack of vaccination and improper biosecurity practice in Kapasia. In Kaliakor the seroprevalence was lower due to improved management practice.

DISCUSSION
The present study showed the occurrence of a relatively higher seroprevalence of ND virus antibodies in local chickens in all four selected upazilas. The overall higher seroprevalence of ND virus antibodies in local chickens was attributed to a number of factors. The attributed factors may be the management system in traditional production may serve as a stress factor and favor infection. Poor sanitary conditions, continuous exposure of chickens to range conditions and wild birds, nutritional deficiencies, the absence of vaccination in traditionally managed chickens, and contact of chickens of one village with those in other villages may facilitate the spread of ND. This is
in concurrence with the reports by [19]. The ease of contact of chickens from different areas at local open-air markets, which are then taken back to various localities, can undoubtedly facilitate the rapid spread and persistence of ND among local chickens [12] has reported a 72% seroprevalence rate of antibodies to ND virus in traditionally managed, non-vaccinated village chickens in Nigeria. This study showed ND to be one of the major infectious diseases that reduces the number and productivity of traditionally managed chickens in the study area. The data clearly indicate that local chickens kept under free-range traditional management systems- in which chickens literally scavenge their own feed and water were exposed to ND virus.

Identification of distance to neighboring poultry farms as a risk factor in our study in consistent with [13] who listed airborne spread as one mechanism for the spread of NDV. This mechanism was considered for significant factor in the 1970-1971 ND outbreaks in England [28]. The result of an serological study was conducted by [21] showed that the ND, responsible for 44.3% of all mortality recorded during the 12 month period (from May 1999 to June 2000) in village poultry farming in Madagascar and maximum incidence of the disease was 71% and seroprevalence often reached 100% after the outbreak had ended. The infection was brought to the villages either by newly introduced hens or recovered birds. All forms of Newcastle disease (epidemic, endemic and asymptomatic) were observed. These way farmers reacted and contributed to the spread of the virus within the village and to neighboring locations. In our study, the movement of people, vehicles and fomites between industrial neighboring poultry farm and villages is another risk factor for transmission of NDV to backyard chickens and vice versa [13]. Age of the sampled chickens was another risk factor in our study, because the prevalence of seropositive samples and the average backyard chickens anti-NDV antibody titer increased with the increasing chicken age [22]. Epidemiological study of Newcastle disease in backyard poultry and wild bird populations in Switzerland suggests that buying eggs and poultry abroad and exchanging poultry within the country were factors, more important than wild birds, to explain the higher NDV seropositivity in pure-bred poultry flock [23]. In a cross-sectional survey of Australian chicken farms to identify risk factors associated with seropositivity to ND virus, the overall prevalence of NDV seropositive farm was 39.8% [22]. In another serological and virological survey for evidence of infection with NDV in Australian chicken farms, antibody evidence of ND virus infection was found on 300 of the 553 surveyed farms throughout all 11 geographic regions of the survey. Antibody titers were also highest in the regions where serologically positive flocks were most prevalent and concluded that the antibodies to ND virus are highly prevalent in the Australian chicken flock but all identified strains were avirulent in nature [24]. Poultry diseases such as ND were shown to be the most important constraints on local chicken production. ND is the most important cause of loss in village dwelling as well as commercially raised chickens. The disease occurs almost any time of year and velogenic strains of ND virus are widely distributed throughout the country. [25] It is therefore vitally important that further detailed studies focus on ND virus strain identification is required so that preventive and control programs can be formulated.

CONCLUSION

In the study it was demonstrated that the risk of backyard chicken flocks being seropositive for Newcastle disease virus increased with increasing age of the flock, increasing proximally to the nearest neighbor poultry farm and presence of wild and migratory birds in the vicinity of the backyard chicken flocks. High prevalence of ND was found in Kapasia upazilla, so proper biosecurity and control measures should be taken in kapasia upazilla and regular vaccination should be performed in this area. Besides, commercial poultry become facing high risk due to spread of Newcastle disease virus by backyard poultry. So proper potential measures for the control of ND should be taken to save the backyard chickens.
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