Evaluation of Real Time PCR for the diagnosis of Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis and comparison with AFB Microscopy among Bangladeshi population
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ABSTRACT

Tuberculosis is a global public health issue and a disease burden in Africa and Asia. Bangladesh is one of the high burden countries in Southeast Asian region. Mycobacterium tuberculosis the causative agent of tuberculosis, is a devastating bacterium because it spreads person to person, acquired multiple antibiotic resistance and most importantly has the limitation in rapid diagnosis, specially in case of extrapulmonary infection. Here we showed Real-Time PCR assay with the primers targeting IS6110 is a better method than conventional AFB microscopy for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. For the comparative study 99 extrapulmonary specimens from 9 different parts of the body were collected from suspected patients. Among those only 10 samples were positive, where 50% them were scanty positive in AFB microscopy, on the other hand 33 samples were positive in Real-Time PCR assay. All samples positive in AFB microscopy were also positive in Real-Time PCR assay with an additional 23 positive. Higher percentage of positive results were found in Real-Time PCR analysis in all the samples except Percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) fluid and Pus. The sharp differences in the result indicate the effectiveness and of the Real-Time PCR assay over the conventional AFB microscopy. High precision and accuracy make it better choice as a diagnostic method for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis continues to be a major global public health issue. The World Health Organization has estimated an annual incidence of 8.8 million cases of tuberculosis worldwide, with 1.8 million deaths. Most of the disease burden is in Africa and Southeast Asia, where annual incidence rates are 350 and 182 cases per 100,000 populations, respectively [1]. The South-East Asia Region, with 4.97 million TB cases, carries over one-third of the global burden of TB. Five of the eleven countries in the Region are among the 22 high-burden countries in the world. Most cases occur in the age group of 15-54 years, with males being disproportionately affected [2]. Bangladesh, with a population of over 150 million, is one of the five high burden countries for tuberculosis in the South-East Asia Region. The estimated prevalence of all forms of tuberculosis and incidence rate in the country was 391 and 225 per 100 000 population, respectively in 2006 [3].

The causative agent of tuberculosis is Mycobacterium tuberculosis and it is a major public health concern, because the bacterium spreads from person to person, effective and above all rapid diagnosis is a key objective of worldwide tuberculosis control strategies. The common site of tuberculosis bacilli is the lungs, but now a day other organs have been observed to be affected by tuberculosis. During effective tuberculosis treatment most people with pulmonary tuberculosis get well but the patients with extra pulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) remain undetectable mostly by conventional

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Bacteria</th>
<th>Result reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No AFB per 100 oil immersion fields</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–9 AFB per 100 oil immersion fields</td>
<td>Scanty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–99 AFB per 100 oil immersion fields</td>
<td>+++ (1+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–10 AFB per oil immersion field</td>
<td>++ (2+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 AFB per oil immersion field</td>
<td>++++ (3+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B: Here "+++" = strongly positive, "++" lesser strongly positive, "+" = moderately positive.
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microscopy or other techniques\textsuperscript{[4]}. Disseminated TB develops in the small number of infected people whose immune systems do not successfully contain the pulmonary infection.

Definitive diagnosis of tuberculosis involves demonstration of \textit{M. tuberculosis} by microbiological, cytopathological or histopathological methods\textsuperscript{[5]}. In a study where, Acid-Fast Bacilli (AFB) smears were found rarely positive with a detection rate of <5\% and culture detection of \textit{M. tuberculosis} in pleural fluid ranged from 23 to 56\%\textsuperscript{[6]}. Moreover \textit{M. tuberculosis} grows slowly, which can lead to unacceptable delaying of diagnosis\textsuperscript{[7]}. Though histology of extrapulmonary samples coupled with mycobacterial culture is thought to be most sensitive method currently available but could miss upto 20\% of cases\textsuperscript{[6]}. Clinical presentation of EPTB is atypical. Especially when the disease involves obscure occult sites, EPTB may not even be considered in the initial list of differential diagnosis. Further, invasive methods may have to be employed to secure tissue/body fluids for analysis. Many times representative tissue/body fluid may not be accessible. Even when adequate tissue is procured, the pathological findings may be suggestive of “granulomatous infection” which encompasses a wide range of differential diagnoses rather than “definitive tuberculosis”. Therefore, the clinicians more often rely upon the clinical impression, radiological and endoscopic appearances and nonconventional diagnostic methods as evidence to diagnose EPTB\textsuperscript{[5]}.

Nucleic acid amplification (NAA) tests have emerged with the goal of enabling clinicians to make a rapid and accurate diagnosis. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is the best known NAA test\textsuperscript{[4]}. It amplifies target nucleic acid regions that uniquely identify the \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} complex. Several nucleic-acid based amplification tests targeting various parts of the genome such as IS\textit{6110}, 65kDa, TRC4, GCRS, etc. are available for the detection of \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}\textsuperscript{[8-14]}. An important advantage of NAA tests is the rapidity by which results can be obtained, about 3–6 hours from the receipt of specimen. Most of the PCR studies done on respiratory specimens showed that the sensitivity of PCR ranges from 74\% to as high as 98\% and specificity of 85\% to as high as 100\%\textsuperscript{[15-17]}. However, this method failed to show consistent results in extrapulmonary specimens, with sensitivity ranging from 45\% to as high as 95\%\textsuperscript{[18-23]}. As such, the amplification techniques do not yet have an established role in the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis.

In the present investigation, a very sensitive but rapid technique, Real-Time PCR platform was used for successful diagnosis of patients with extra pulmonary tuberculosis and compared with the conventional AFB method.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area and population**

The complete study was conducted at Gastro Liver Hospital and Research Center situated at Dhaka, Bangladesh. Suspected patient referred to PCR for EPTB at the molecular diagnosis unit from January 2010 to March 2011 were enrolled in this study.

**Sample collection and processing**

Samples were collected from suspected patients from suspected parts of the body by clinicians. In this study a total of 99 samples were collected from 9 different parts of body including tissue, ascetic fluids, pleural fluids, cerebrospinal fluids (CSF), broncho alveolar lavage (BAL), tracheal aspirates, urine, Percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) fluids and pus. Sample volume less than 3 ml was not picked up for analysis except pus. After collection, the samples were diluted with equal volume of 4.0\% NaOH containing 0.5\% N-Acetyl-L-Cysteine and incubated at 37\textdegree \textup{C} for 20 minutes. Then specimens were centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 5 minutes. The resulting sediments were then resuspended and washed three times by phosphate-buffered saline (0.01 M; pH 6.8). The pellets were collected and used in the subsequent experiments such as AFB-microscopy and RT-PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spentimen type</th>
<th>No. of Specimen</th>
<th>Microbiology result</th>
<th>PCR Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>Scantly</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascitic Fluid</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural Fluid</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheal Aspirates</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR Fluid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Comparative distribution of AFB Microscopy and Real-Time PCR results in different specimens.**
AFB Microscopy

Smears prepared from the pellets previously processed were heat fixed and stained using the hot Ziehl-Neelsen method (1% carbol-fuchsine dye). Smears were scanned by an oil immersion microscope, before a smear was declared as negative at least 100 fields were examined. To conform the morphology of the bacilli all the smears were read using a magnification of ×1000 and the results were recorded according to table 1.

DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from 200 µl of initially processed sample by the MTB Real-TM (Sacace Biotechnologies, IVD, Italy) following the manufacturer’s instructions at the DNA Laboratory Unit of Gastro Liver Hospital and Research Center. DNA sample were stored at 4°C until Real-time PCR analysis was performed.

Real-time PCR

Real Time PCR was performed with primers FP-5’-CTCGTCCAGCCGCTTCGG-3’ and RP-5’-CTCGTCCAGCCGCTTCGG-3’ to amplify 123bp fragment of insertion element IS6110 of M. tuberculosis according the protocol described in MTB Real-TM. Master mixture was prepared following the instruction of manufacturer. TaqMan probe was used as fluorescent reporter dye specific for M. tuberculosis and M.tuberculosis IC. M. tuberculosis IC is DNA fragment of IS6110 insertion of Mycobacterium tuberculosis modified and cloned in bacteriophage λ, containing DNA fragments used in the kit as matrix for primers. Internal Control (IC) serves as an amplification control for each individually processed specimen and to identify possible reaction inhibition. IC is detected in a channel other than the M. tuberculosis DNA. Extracted and purified DNA was amplified by using Smart Cycler® (Germany) with the specific primer set. The amplification was done by 25 µl of PCR mixture which was prepared by adding 15 µl of master mixture [10*(N+1) µl of PCR-mix-1, 5*(N+1) of PCR Buffer Flu, 0.5*(N+1) of TaqF DNA Polymerase and 0.5*(N+1) of UDG-Enzyme, vortex and centrifuge briefly] and 10 µl of DNA template. A negative control from DNA extraction and another two controls (Negative control and positive control) for each panel were also prepared for a successful PCR. In Smart Cycler® both amplification and detection were performed by the following thermal cycle- stage 1: 95°C for 900 seconds, stage 2: 95°C for 15 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 15 seconds for 5 repeated cycle and stage 3: 95°C for 15 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 15 seconds for 40 repeated cycle. Fluorescence is observed in Real Time on the FAM channel for M. tuberculosis DNA and on the Cy3 channel for Internal Control.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of ninety nine clinical specimens from suspected nine different extrapulmonary parts of the body were collected and processed for diagnosis of MTB complex (Table 2). All of the patients were highly suspected to be MTB positive by specialized clinicians according to their symptoms and complexities and there were no record of previous infection by MTB complex or any other species of Mycobacterium. None of them were taking antibiotic drugs against MTB as well. Out of 99 samples, 10 (10.10%) samples were positive in AFB microscopy where 5.0% showed scanty positive, whereas 4.0%, 0% and 1.0% showed 1+, 2+ and 3+ respectively (Figure 1). Maximum 14.28% samples were positive in case of Ascitic fluid (3 out of 9) and Tracheal Aspirates (2 out of 7). Similar result was found in case of Pleural Fluid (12.5%) and Tissue specimens (12%) but no samples were found positive in CSF, BAL, Urine, PCN and Pus specimens (Figure 2).

For comparative analysis all the specimens were subjected to Real-Time PCR analysis. Out of 99 samples, 33 (33.3%) (Table 2) were positive in RT-PCR which is 23.33% more than the AFB method. Higher percentages of positive results were found in Real-Time PCR analysis in all specimens. Maximum 42.85% (9 out of 21) samples were positive in case of Ascitic fluid which is 28.57% more than the AFB method. Pleural Fluid (37.5%), Tracheal Aspirates (28.56%) and Tissue (40%) samples also showed higher percentage of positive result than AFB microscopy. The samples which were positive in AFB microscopy were also positive and additional 23 samples were positive in RT-PCR (Figure 2). Though CSF, BAL and urine were completely negative in AFB microscopy but gave positive result in Real-Time PCR. PCN and Pus samples did not give any positive result in both methods but it’s not conclusive in comparative analysis because sample numbers were too low for comparison.

The diagnosis of EPTB is made difficult by the complexity and risks involved in the collection of specimen for examination. It is challenging for a number of reasons: the lack of adequate sample amounts or volumes; the apportioning of the sample for various diagnostic tests (histology/cytology, biochemical analysis, microbiology, and PCR), resulting in nonuniform distribution of microorganisms; the paucibacillary nature of the specimens; the presence of inhibitors that undermine the performance of nucleic acid amplification-based techniques; and the lack of an efficient sample processing technique universally applicable on all types of extrapulmonary samples. This leaves clinicians with a long delay without an affirmative answer. But with the development of newer techniques in molecular biology, this delay in the accurate diagnosis of the disease is minimized.

In a study in Turkey by Ozkutuk evaluating the performance of Cobas Amplicor MTB (CAMTB) test for pulmonary and extrapulmonary specimens, results indicate that the CA-MTB is a rapid test for
detection of tuberculosis in pulmonary specimens, but does not perform well enough in extrapulmonary specimens [25]. The poor performance of conventional microbiological techniques in extrapulmonary specimens has stimulated the increased use of PCR tests in the laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis. The exact diagnostic role of PCR assay for \textit{M. tuberculosis} in high-prevalence areas for tuberculosis has to be assessed in appropriate control groups, particularly in the case of EPTB. Many studies were carried out in different part of the world showing the usefulness of PCR method targeting specific gene of \textit{M. tuberculosis} [26, 27] but no definitive study among Bangladeshi population has been carried out before comparing the Real-Time PCR method with the conventional AFB microscopy. We showed here that PCR would be particularly useful for the diagnosis of EPTB by comparing with the conventional AFB microscopy among Bangladeshi population. In many studies the analysis was carried out in patients where the provisional diagnosis of tuberculosis was made on the basis of clinical presentation.

In case of AFB microscopy about 50% of samples were scanty positive and 40% were 1+ positive which are considered as weak positive; on the other hand 0% and 10% samples were 2+ and 3+ positive which are considered as strong positive. So, AFB microscopy can’t give us a comprehensive result for the diagnosis of TB. It is because, the sensitivity of microscopy depends on the clinical presentation and more than 10,000 bacilli per milliliter are necessary for secure microscopic positivity [28]. Real-Time PCR is highly sensitive and widely accepted method for the diagnosis of any kind of infectious disease. The primer used in this study is very specific for \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}. Though the presence of fewer copies of target sequence IS6110, in some strains of \textit{M. tuberculosis} has been reported [29, 30], but it has been also reported in some earlier studies that PCR assay targeting IS6110 were more sensitive [31, 32] and more useful compared to other targets like 65 kDa, 38 kDa, and 85B protein [33]. The methodology of PCR for IS6110 has been also carried out in different technical setups and has been proven to be simple and reproducible, compared to methodologies for PCR targeting other gene sequences. In this study the accuracy of primers was confirmed by using positive control (Fig 3a and 3b) which crossed the threshold level after certain cycles of amplification. In this study higher percentage of positive results were found when Real-Time PCR analysis was carried out in all specimens except PCN Fluid and Pus, where AFB and Real-Time PCR showed same results. In both these specimens, sample numbers were 1 and 3 respectively which are too low for any kind of comparative analysis. A sharp difference is visible between the two methods where the sample numbers are sufficiently large for comparison. Analysis of more samples would produce more comprehensive difference between these two methods.

Though it is reported in earlier studies about the usefulness and sensitivity of IS6110 over AFB microscopy and culture method using conventional PCR method [31, 32] but Real-Time PCR could be much more useful in terms of rapidity of producing perfect result. The rapidity, high sensitivity and simplicity of PCR targeting IS6110 gene sequence can compensate the higher cost of the test compared with less sensitive conventional tests in the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis and facilitate the therapeutic decision made by the clinician.
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