Relative toxicity of some insecticides and azadirachtin against four crop infesting aphid species

M. Khalequzzaman and Jesmun Nahar

Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh

Abtract: Indirect application was used to assay the toxicity of five insecticides; viz. malathion, carbosulfan, cymbush, imidacloprid and azadirachtin against four important crop infesting aphid species, *Aphis craccivora* Koch, *Aphis gossypii* Glover, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach), reared on bean, brinjal, potato and cauliflower plants respectively. Residual film technique was applied by bringing leaves with tested aphids of the vegetable plants in the laboratory. Malathion was the least toxic to all aphids having LC₅₀ as 327.97, 333.92, 305.26 and 313.77 μ g cm⁻² for *A. craccivora*, *A. gossypii*, *M. persicae* and *M. persicae* respectively. Cypermethrin was the most toxic showing LC₅₀ as 12.55, 12.29, 12.55 and 12.10 μ g cm⁻² in the above mentioned species of aphid respectively. Carbosulfan and imidacloprid showed moderate toxicity. Azadirachtin as a natural plant origin insecticide proved to be the most toxic having LC₅₀ as 0.41 μ g cm⁻² for *A. craccivora*, 0.34 μ g cm⁻² for *A. gossypii* and 0.44 μ g cm⁻² for both *M. persicae* and *L. erysimi*.

Key words: Insecticide, toxicity, azadirachtin, Aphis craccivora, Aphis gossypii, Myzus persicae, Lipaphis erysimi

Introduction

Aphids are small and soft-bodied insects with considerable morphological polymorphism, such as intraspecies colour variation, apterous (wingless) females and alate (winged) adults, etc. Of all aphid subfamilies, Aphididae is the most diverse and exceptionally host specific, except for a number of species that are polyphagous agricultural pests (von Dohlen et al., 2006; Farag & Gesraha, 2007; Lu et al., 2008). Aphid feeding causes an alteration of plant source-sink relationships (Girousse et al., 2005), the induction of premature leaf senescence (Pegadaraju et al., 2005), secondary pathogen infection through fungal growth on aphid honeydew, and the transmission of plant viruses (Liu & Yue, 2001; Bridges et al., 2001; Gray & Gildow, 2003; Rana, 2005). In addition to damages caused directly through feeding behaviour, the sooty mold induced by the great amount of aphid honeydew, will hamper photosynthesis, leaving plants wither rapidly. Furthermore, the short generation time and impressive fecundity of aphids accelerate the vicious circle causing considerable economical loss (Lane & Walters, 1991; Blackman & Eastop, 2000). Economic importance of aphids may be summarized as; (1) Removal of plant sap causes wilting and curling of the leaves (2) by the toxic action of their salivary secretions, causing galls on leaves, stems or roots (3) honeydew excretion favours the secondary growth of fungus and moulds which further damage the growth of leaves and young shoots (4) as plant virus vectors, causing many diseases of plants (Toper Kaygin et al., 2008).

Chemical control would continue to be the first line of defense against mustard aphid particularly under out break situation. More than 90% mortality of aphids is possible by use of systemic insecticides but the population attains similarity under treated and untreated field within a period of 2 to 3 weeks due to high rate of multiplication (Singh *et al.*, 1984). The ultimate bioassay of any pesticide must be its evaluation under field conditions. However, such trails are not practical for all purposes, since they are expensive of time and labour, and are subjects to the vagaries of weather and severity of pest infestation. It is therefore necessary to assess the toxicity of a chemical under a carefully controlled laboratory conditions as a prerequisite to extensive field trails.

The efficacy of residual assays for measuring toxicity has been demonstrated numerous times (Busvine, 1971, 1980). In direct application, aphids take up insecticide either by contact with a treated surface, by ingestion or as vapour. Some methods can examine these routes in isolation, but in the most widely used technique of placing aphids on treated leaves, all three routes may be involved (Devonshire & Rice, 1988). In this experiment indirect application (residual film method) was used to assay with five insecticides; viz. malathion, carbosulfan, cymbush, imidacloprid and azadirachtin on four important aphid species, Aphis craccivora Koch, Aphis gossypii Glover, Myzus persicae (Sulzer) and Lipaphis erysimi (Kaltenbach), which permit uniform coverage on the leaf surface.

Materials and Methods

A. craccivora, A. gossypii, M. persicae and L. erysimi populations were reared on bean, brinjal, potato and cauliflower plants respectively. Residual film technique (Busvine, 1971) was applied by bringing leaves with test aphids of the vegetable plant in the laboratory. Insecticides were serially diluted in acetone and a fixed volume (0.1 ml) of insecticide solution was dropped on 2.4 cm circular leaf, placed previously on a petridish (9 cm diameter). Each insecticide was tested with four doses having three replications. The doses were 462.66, 370.13, 277.60, 185.06 μ g cm⁻² for malathion (97% technical); 64.93, 48.70, 32.46, 16.23 μ g cm⁻² for carbosulfan (94% technical); 24.35, 16.23, 12.17, 8.11 μ g cm⁻² for cypermethrin (96% technical); 48.70, 32.46, 16.23, 8.11 μ g cm⁻² for imidacloprid (96% technical) and 0.77, 0.58, 0.38, 0.19 μ g cm⁻² for azadirachtin (nimbicidin 0.2%). A separate control batch was maintained in which only acetone was dropped on the surface of leaf. Petiole of the leaf was wrapped with wet cotton bud.

The mortality of the aphid was recorded after 24 hours of treatment. Corrected mortality (%) was calculated using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925). Probit regressions were estimated from mortality data according to the probit analysis of Busvine (1971) using a computer software developed in the Department of Agriculture and Environmental Science, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK.

Results and Discussion

The LC_{50} , 95% confidence limits, regression equations and chi-square values are presented in Table 1. Malathion was the least toxic to all aphids having LC_{50} as 327.97, 333.92, 305.26 and 313.77 μ g cm⁻² for *A. craccivora, A. gossypii, M. persicae* and *M. persicae* respectively. Among insecticides used cypermethrin was the most toxic showing LC₅₀ as 12.55, 12.29, 12.55 and 12.10 μ g cm⁻² in the above mentioned species of aphid respectively. Carbosulfan and imidacloprid showed moderate toxicity. Azadirachtin as a natural plant origin insecticide proved to the most toxic having the LC₅₀ as 0.41 μ g cm⁻² for *A. craccivora*, 0.34 μ g cm⁻² for *A. gossypii* and 0.44 μ g cm⁻² for both *M. persicae* and *L. erysimi*. In all cases no significant heterogeneity was found.

Pareek & Kavadia (1988) observed the effect of toxicity of nine insecticides on *A. gossypii* reared on musk melon. Furk & Vedjhi (1990) used glasshouse populations of *A. gossypii* on chrysanthemum to test primicarb (900 mg a.i./l), which kills 100% susceptible and 0% to 20% resistant aphids. Kerns and Gaylor (1992ab) used cypermethrin (3 ppm), dicrotophos (17 ppm) and sulprofos (840 ppm) on *A. gossypii* on cotton leaf disc in laboratory.

Table 1. LC₅₀, 95% confidence limits and regression equations of different insecticides on different adult aphid species after 24 hours.

Insecticide	Aphid species	LC ₅₀ µg cm ⁻²	95% confidence limits		D ograssion equation	$(w^2 \text{ of } 2df)$
			Lower	Upper	- Regression equation	(χ at 201)
Malathion	A. craccivora	327.97	284.75	377.75	Y=-4.808203 + 3.898582X	0.07
	A. gossypii	333.92	295.29	377.59	Y = -6.606956 + 4.599293X	0.82
	M. persicae	305.26	268.16	347.49	Y = -5.657588 + 4.289325X	0.19
	L. erysimi	313.77	276.45	356.12	Y = -6.035272 + 4.420096X	1.38
Carbosulfan	A. craccivora	33.52	28.00	40.11	Y=0.03564119 + 3.254754X	2.20
	A. gossypii	34.36	28.22	41.82	Y = 0.6037893 + 2.86207X	4.70
	M. persicae	33.87	28.47	40.29	Y = -0.1129513 + 3.34211X	1.22
	L. erysimi	38.46	31.62	46.75	Y = 0.5007954 + 2.838644X	1.23
Cypermethrin	A. craccivora	12.55	10.84	14.52	Y=0.6553388 + 3.954181X	2.04
	A. gossypii	12.29	10.82	13.95	Y = -0.1328015 + 4.71093X	0.59
	M. persicae	12.55	10.91	14.44	Y = 0.4131098 + 4.174335X	1.05
	L. erysimi	12.10	10.22	14.32	Y= 1.251157 + 3.461651X	0.11
Imidacloprid	A. craccivora	21.14	16.96	26.33	Y=1.555902 + 2.599273X	1.07
	A. gossypii	22.34	17.05	29.26	Y=2.225848 + 2.056378X	0.43
	M. persicae	21.25	16.43	27.48	Y=2.09961 + 2.184966X	1.17
	L. erysimi	21.52	16.49	28.06	Y=2.2328 + 2.07619 X	0.37
Azadirachtin	A. craccivora	0.41	0.33	0.49	Y=3.24277 + 2.889528X	0.95
	A. gossypii	0.34	0.27	0.41	Y=3.38501 + 3.072167X	1.06
	M. persicae	0.44	0.36	0.53	Y=3.130178 + 2.916688X	0.45
	L. erysimi	0.44	0.36	0.53	Y=3.119209 + 2.909572X	4.67

Some authors made detailed studies on the toxic effect of imidacloprid to *M. persicae* (Jarande & Dethe, 1994; Natwick *et al.*, 1996; Sweeden & McLeod, 1997; Vantornhout, *et al.* 1999; Boiteau & Singh, 1999). Aslam *et al.* (2001) observed that one day after insecticide application mortality of *Brevicoryne brassicae* (Linn.) in Imidacloprid 25WP, Carbosulfan

20EC and Triazophos 40EC treated plots was nonsignificantly different from each other, but was significantly higher than that in Imidacloprid 200SL and control. Mohammad *et al.* (2008) recorded LD_{50} of pirimicarb from 2.52 to 3.37 ng per insect for *M. persicae.* Rana *et al.* (2007) tested imidacloprid 200 SL, carbosulfan 20EC and bifenthrin10 EC and found all tested insecticides performed better against mustard aphid as compared to untreated plots, yet carbosulfan proved to be the most effective insecticide. The obtained results were matched with those results obtained by Nucifora (1998) when applied imidacloprid to control *Aphis gossypii* associated with small colonies of *M. persicae* and *Toxoptera aurantii* infestation, who mentioned that imidacloprid activity was very satisfactory. Imidacloprid acts on the nicotinic acetycholine receptor, causing the insect to reduce or stop feeding, and reduces mobility (Gourment *et al.*, 1994; Boiteau & Osborn, 1997).

A number of insecticides, i.e. phosphamidan (0.02%), dimethoate (0.02%), methyl-demeton (metasystox) (0.10%), fenthion (0.75%), and disulfan (0.10%), formothion, carbaryl and thiometon as foliar spray against aphids on mustard gave 59.0 to 93.4 percent mortality after 72 hours of treatment when compared with check (12.4%) (Sharma & Joshi, 1972). Application of cartap hydrochloride (Padan 10 G) and monocrotophos, reduced 91.59 and 90.80 percent aphid population in mustard (Hussain & Begum, 2000).

A comparison of the LC₅₀ values of different insecticides against L. erysimi indicate that endosulfan was least effective while deltamethrin, methyl-Odemeton and monocrotophos were more toxic (Geol & Sachan, 2002). Tang et al. (2001) observed that the lethal concentration of azadirachtin resulting in 50% mortality (LC₅₀) of adult aphids (3782 ppm at 2 d and 30.37 ppm at 4 d post-treatment) was much higher than that of aphid nymphs (41.91 ppm at 2 d and 3.99 ppm at 4 d post-treatment). Neem-based insecticides have been found to have little impact on many beneficial organisms such as honey bees, predators and parasitoids (Lowery & Isman, 1995). In the present experiment azadirachtin offered better result because the LC50 values were lower than the values of the insecticides used.

References

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of a insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18: 265-267.
- Aslam, M., Razaq, M., Islam, Z. U. & Anjum, S. 2001. Efficacy of different insecticides for the control of aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (Linn.) (Homoptera; Aphididae) on canola, *Brassica napus* (L.). J. Res. Sci.12(2): 163-166.
- Blackman, R. L. & Eastop, V. F. 2000. Aphids on the World's Crops: An Identification and Information Guide, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester. 466 pp.
- Boiteau, G. & Osborn, W. P. L. 1997. Behavioral effects of imidacloprid, a new nicotinyl insecticide, on the potato aphid, *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Thomas) (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Can. Entomol.* **129**: 241-249.

- Boiteau, G. & Singh, R. P. 1999. Field assessment of imidacloprid to reduce the spread of PVYo and PLRV in potato. *Am. J. Potato Res.* 76(1): 31-36.
- Bridges, M., Jones, M. E., Bones, A. M., Hodgson, C., Cole, R., Bartlet, E., Wallsgrove, V., Karapapa, K. V., Watts, N, & Rossiter J. T. 2001. Spatial organization of the glucosinate-myrosinase system in brassica specialist aphids is similar to that of the host plant. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* Ser. B 233:1–5
- Busvine, J. R. 1971. A critcal review of the techniques for testing insecticides. Commonweath Agricultural Bureaux, London. 345 pp.
- Busvine, J. R. 1980. Recommended methods for measurement of pest resistance to pesticides. FAO Plant production and protection paper. No. 21, Rome.
- Devonshire, A. L. & Rice, A. D. 1988. Aphid bioassay techniques. In: Aphids, their biology, natural enemies and control. Eds. Minks, A. K. & Harrewijn, P., Elsevier, Amsterdam. 2B: 119-128.
- Farag, N. A. & Gesraha, M. A. 2007. Impact of four Insecticides on the parasitoid wasp, *Diaertiella rapae* and its host aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* under laboratory conditions. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 3(5): 529-533.
- Furk, C. & Vedjhi, S. 1990. Organophosphorus resistace in *Aphis gossypii* (Homoptera: Aphididae) on chrysanthemum in UK. *Annu. Appl. Biol.* **116**: 557-561.
- Geol, R. & Sachan, G. C. 2002. Insecticide resistance in mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kaltenbach) (Homoptera: Aphididae). J. Aphidol. 16: 9-13.
- Girousse, C., Moulia, B., Silk, W. & Bonnemain, J. L. 2005. Aphid infestation causes different changes in carbon and nitrogen allocation in alfalfa stems as well as different inhibitions of longitudinal and radial expansion. *Plant Physiol.* **137**: 1474-1484.
- Gourment, C., Hewing, A. D., Kolb, F. L. & Myth, C. A. S. 1994. Effect of imidacloprid on nonflight movement of *Rhopalosiphum padi* and subsequent spread of barley yellow dwarf virus. *Plant Dis.* 78: 1098-1101.
- Gray, S. M. & Gildow, F. 2003 Luteovirus-Aphid Interactions. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 41: 539-566.
- Hussain, M. & Begum, M. 2000. Comparative efficacy of some foliar and granular insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.). J. Agric.Res., 38(3): 239-244.
- Jarande, N. T. & Dethe, M. D. 1994. Effective control of brinjal sucking pests by imidacloprid. *Plant Prot. Bull.* (Faridabad). 46(2&3): 43-44.
- Kerns, D. L. & Gaylor, M. J. 1992a. Insecticide resistance in field populations of the cotton aphid (Homoptera : Aphididae). J. Econ. Entomol. 85: 1-8.
- Kerns, D. L. & Gaylor, M. J. 1992b. Sublethal effects of insecticides on cotton aphid reproduction and color morph development. *Southwest. Entomol.* 7: 245-250.

- Lane, A. and Walters, K. F. A. 1991. Effect of pea aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum) on the yield of combining peas. Aspects Appl. Biol. 27: 363–368.
- Liu, T. & Yue, B. 2001. Comparison of some life history parameters between alate and apterous forms of turnip aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) on cabbage under constant temperatures. *Fla. Entomol.* 84: 239–242.
- Lowery, D. T. & Isman, M. B. 1995. Toxicity of neem to natural enemies of aphids. *Phytoparasitica*, 23 : 297-306.
- Lu, W. N., Wu, Y. T. & Kuo, M. H. 2008. Development of species-specific primers for the identification of aphids in Taiwan. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* **43** (1): 91–96.
- Mohammad, G., Mizuno, H., Oh, S., Talebi, K. & Kono, Y. 2008. Studies on pirimicarb resistance mechanisms in Iranian populations of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae. Appl. Entomol. Zool.* **43** (1): 149–157.
- Natwick, E. T., Palumbo, J. C. & Engle, C. E. 1996. Effects of imidacloprid on colonization of aphids and silver leaf whitefly and growth, yield and phytotoxicity in cauliflower. *Southwest. Entomol.* **21**(3): 283-292.
- Nucifora, S. 1998. Imidacloprid against aphids on citrus. L'imidacloprid nella lotta contro gli afidi degli agrumi. Atti, Giornate fitopatologiche, Scicli e Ragusa, 3-7 maggio, 185-190.
- Pareek, B. I. & Kavadia, V. S. 1988. Insecticidal control of melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover on musk melon. *Entomon.* 13: 13-15.
- Pegadaraju V, Knepper, C., Reese, J. & Shah, J. 2005. Premature leaf senescence modulated by the Arabidopsis PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4 gene is associated with defense against the phloem-feeding green peach aphid. *Plant Physiol.* **139**:1927-1934.
- Rana J. S. 2005. Performance of *Lipaphis erysimi* (Homoptera: Aphididae) on different *Brassica* species in a tropical environment *J. Pest. Sci.* 78: 155–160.
- Rana, Z. A., Shahzad, M. A., Malik, N. A. & Saleem, A. 2007. Efficacy of different insecticides and Dc-tron plus

against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt). J. Agric. Res. 45(3): 221-224.

- Sharma, T. C. & Joshi, F. L. 1972. Efficacy of different insecticides against mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt). JNKVV Res. J. 6(1):53-54.
- Singh, B., Singh, R. & Mahal, M. S. 1984. Assessment of loss in yield of *Brassica juncea* by *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.). III Timing and duration of infestation. *Indian J. Econ.* 11(1): 139-145.
- Sweeden, M. B. & McLeod, P. J. 1997. Systemic toxicity and field efficacy of imidacloprid, pymetrozine, and triazamate against *Myzus persicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae) on spinach. *J. Agric. Entomol.* 14(4): 421-433.
- Tang, Y. Q., Weathersbee, A. A. & Mayer, R. T. 2001. Effect of neem seed extract on the brown citrus aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its parasitoid Lysiphlebus testaceipes (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). Environ. Entomol. 31(1): 172-176
- Toper Kaygın, A., Çota, F. & Görür, G. 2008. Contribution to the aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) species damaging on woody plants in Bartın, *Türkiye, Intl. J. Natural Eng. Sci.* 2 (1): 83-86.
- Vantornhout, I., Veire, M. van de & Tirry, L. 1999. Toxicity of imidacloprid to *Myzus persicae* and the predatory bugs *Orious laevigatus* and *Macrolophus caliginosus*. Mededelingen- Faculteit Landbouwkundige en Toegepaste Biologische Wetenschappen, Universiteit Gent. **64**(3a): 49-57.
- von Dohlen, C. D., Rowe, C. A. & Heie, O. E. 2006. A test of morphological hypotheses for tribal and subtribal relationships of Aphidinae (Insecta: Hemiptera: Aphididae) using DNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 38: 316–329.

Manuscript received on 01.08.2008, accepted on 22.11.2008