Effect of *Trichosanthes palmata* seed extracts on the growth and development of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and *Tribolium confusum* J. duVal

L A M Khanam, R Amin and I Mahfuz¹

BCSIR Laboratories, Rajshahi, Rajshahi- 6206, Bangladesh ¹Faculty of Medicine, Nursing & Health Science, Monash Institute of Medical Research, Monash University VIC 3168, Australia

Abstract : The effect of different doses of *Trichosanthes palmata* (Makal) seed extract, viz. 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000 and 16000 ppm on the growth and development of *Tribolium castaneum* and *T. confusum* was assessed. All the treatments significantly reduced the weight of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum*. Treatments significantly lengthened the larval and pupal periods of both the species. Significantly lower pupal recovery and adult emergence were also observed.

Key words: Trichosanthes palmata seed extract, Tribolium castaneum, T. confusum, growth, development.

Introduction

The genus Tribolium includes the species T. castaneum (Herbst) and T. confusum J. duVal, two of the most important pests (Sokoloff, 1972) in a wide variety of cereal products. Protection of grains, stored for food as well as seed, from the attack of insect pests without imparting toxic effect to human beings and other animals is desirable. Insecticides of plant origin do not usually produce toxic effects on non target organisms. Several workers reported the growth inhibitory effect of neem derivatives against some stored grain pests (Pereira & Wohlgemuth, 1982; Jilani & Amir, 1987; Cobbinah and Apploh, 1989; Makanjuola, 1989). The present work was aimed at studying the toxicity of T. palmata seed extract on the growth and development of T. castaneum and T. confusum.

Materials and Methods

Adults of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum* were collected from the stock culture and a large number of beetles were put on a thin film of wholemeal flour previously passed through a 60-mesh sieve (ISS; 460) in a beaker for egg-collection. Eggs were collected on the following day and were incubated at 30°±1.5° C.

T. palmata seed extract was prepared by using soxhlet apparatus (DURAN, Germany, 1000 ml) in petroleum ether (ANALAR BDH, England) as solvent. After completing extraction, the solvent was removed from the extracts with the help of a vacuum rotary evaporator (EYELA, Tokyo). Finally trace amount of the solvent was removed by evaporating on a water bath. Five different concentrations of the extracts, viz. 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000 and 16000 ppm were used. The required quantities of the extracts were mixed with 15 g of standard food and 15 ml distilled acetone for each concentration. Treated food was dried by fanning, kept in an incubator at 30°C for 24 hours and then put in a blender for proper mixing. One hundred neonate larvae for each concentration were collected with a fine camel hair brush and placed in a beaker (500 ml) containing fresh or treated food medium and secured at the top with a coarse cloth. Food was changed at 5 day intervals to avoid conditioning of the medium by the larvae themselves. One hundred newly hatched larvae were maintained as the control on a medium treated with distilled acetone only. All bioassays were performed three times.

Growth of the larvae was assessed at two stages: 10 days after treatment and at maturity (16-day old). Ten-day old larvae were collected by sieving, washed from adhered flour particles and were individually weighed on an electronic balance (SHIMADZU, Japan). Similarly, mature larvae were collected and weighed. The larval period was noted and freshly formed pupae were weighed and counted, and placed in separate Petri dishes for adult emergence. The pupal period was recorded. Freshly enclosed adults were weighed and counted in each treatment. The experiment was conducted at 30°±1°C in an incubator (SANYO, Japan, 126 cu metre). The co-efficient of variation (CV) and growth index (G.I.) of T. castaneum and T. confusum on different concentrations were calculated. The following formula was used for calculating the growth index:

 $G.I. = \frac{Adult recovery(\%)}{Total larval and pupal periods}$

Results and Discussion

A perusal of the data reveals that T. palmata seed extract reduced the growth and development of the species of Tribolium (Tables 1 & 2). Again, significantly reduced the pupal treatments recovery and adult eclosion in the beetles (Table 3). Mukherjee & Ramachandran (1989) observed that, Azadirachta incorporated in wheat flour reduced the growth and survival of T. castaneum larvae. Similar results were obtained by Jilani et al. (1988) who reported the significant reduction of body weight of T. castaneum larvae, pupae and adults by turmeric, sweet flag and neem oil. Mondal (1986) reported prolonged larval period and reduced larval weight of T. castaneum through the use of pirimiphos methyl. Ahamad and Ahmed (1991) reported that powdered rhizome of Zingiber officinale admixed with wheat (3%)reduced adult emergence of S. oryzae by 60%. Rachid et al. (2006) reported that methanolic extracts of Peganum harmala seed significantly inhibited the larval and adult growth of T. castaneum. They also reported that extracts of P. harmala, Ajuga iva, Aristolochia baetica and Raphanus raphanistrum disrupted the development of the insect. Huang et al. (1997) reported that F_1 progeny production of T. castaneum was totally suppressed by nutmeg oil. Some indigenous plant materials such as Nicotiana, Azadirachta, Datura, Vitex negundo and Annona seed oil prolonged the larval and pupal periods and reduced larval, pupal and adult weight of Tribolium (Mondal et al. 1989: Khanam et al. 1990; Rahman, 1992; Malek and Wilkins, 1995). Azadirachtin incorporated in wheat flour, reduced growth and survival of T. castaneum larvae (Mukherjee & Ramachandran 1989). This study suggests that petroleum ether extracts of T. palmata possesses toxic principles with significant insecticidal effect and could be a potential grain protectant against T. castaneum and T. confusum.

Table 1. Effect of T. palmata seed extract on the g	growth of <i>T. castaneum and T. confusum</i> .(N=30).
---	--

Treat-ment				Mean Weig	ht \pm S.E. (mg).		
(ppm)		10 days old	Mature	Pupae	Pupae	Adult	Adult
(PPIII)		larvae	larvae	(male)	(female)	(male)	(female)
	-	0.00 + 0.00	0.04 + 0.00		0.04 + 0.05	1.00 1.0.04	0.00 + 0.05
Control	a	2.08 ± 0.02	3.84 ± 0.03	2.83 ± 0.05	2.91 ± 0.05	1.92 ± 0.04	2.09 ± 0.05
	b	1.41 ± 0.04	2.90 ± 0.06	$\textbf{2.21} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	2.64 ± 0.04	1.89 ± 0.04	$\textbf{2.16} \pm \textbf{0.05}$
1000	а	1.59 ± 0.06	$\textbf{3.47} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	2.50 ± 0.06	$\textbf{2.79} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	1.78 ± 0.05	2.00 ± 0.06
	b	1.29 ± 0.04	$\textbf{2.78} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{2.11} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	2.50 ± 0.04	1.78 ± 0.04	1.98 ± 0.04
	а	1.41 ± 0.05	2.92 ± 0.02	2.33 ± 0.05	2.72 ± 0.04	1.72 ± 0.04	1.94 ± 0.03
2000	b	1.22 ± 0.04	2.68 ± 0.02	2.03 ± 0.04	2.34 ± 0.04	1.72 ± 0.01 1.71 ± 0.03	1.87 ± 0.05
	5	1.22 ± 0.04	2.00 ± 0.04	2.00 ± 0.04	2.04 ± 0.04	1.7 1 ± 0.00	1.07 ± 0.00
4000	а	1.32 ± 0.05	$\textbf{2.80} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{2.26} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	$\textbf{2.64} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	1.64 ± 0.05	1.86 ± 0.03
4000	b	1.16 ± 0.03	2.59 ± 0.05	1.96 ± 0.05	2.33 ± 0.03	1.02 ± 0.05	1.81 ± 0.04
	а	1.22 ± 0.04	2.69 ± 0.05	2.16 ± 0.04	2.54 ± 0.07	1.52 ± 0.06	1.79 ± 0.06
8000	b	1.05 ± 0.03	$\textbf{2.52}\pm\textbf{0.03}$	1.88 ± 0.02	$\textbf{2.25} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	1.54 ± 0.03	1.76 ± 0.04
	а	1.09 ± 0.06	2.60 ± 0.06	2.08 ± 0.04	2.42 ± 0.04	1.41 ± 0.05	1.71 ± 0.05
16000	b	0.95 ± 0.05	2.40 ± 0.04	1.78 ± 0.04	2.19 ± 0.04	1.47 ± 0.03 1.45 ± 0.04	1.70 ± 0.04
	U	0.35 ± 0.05	2.40 ± 0.04	1.70 ± 0.04	2.19 ± 0.04	1.45 ± 0.04	1.70 ± 0.04
C.D. at 5%	а	0.452	0.416	0.337	0.176	0.152	0.148
	b	0.133	0.139	0.119	0.119	0.124	0.138
1%	а	0.634	0.583	0.472	0.247	0.212	0.208
	b	0.186	0.196	0.168	0.166	0.174	0.193

Note: a: T. castaneum, b:T. confusum.

Treatment (ppm)			Larval p	eriod	Pupal period				
		No.	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{S.E}$	C. V.(%)	t- values	No.	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{S.E}$	C. V. (%)	t- values
	а	277	17.12 ± 0.55	5.56		272	6.11 ± 0.16	4.52	
Control	b	283	17.11 ± 0.28	2.84	_	277	6.44 ± 0.05	1.44	-
	а	259	18.54 ± 0.62	5.83	1.72	247	6.77 ± 0.25	6.36	2.30
1000	b	262	19.03 ± 0.41	3.73	3.95	223	$\textbf{6.98} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	2.98	4.39
	а	256	20.16 ± 0.76	6.52	3.29	218	7.08 ± 0.15	3.62	4.47
2000	b	247	19.98 ± 0.46	4.02	5.46	194	7.35 ± 0.05	1.21	12.22
4000	а	247	21.78 ± 0.35	2.78	7.33	200	$\textbf{7.20} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	3.87	4.81
4000	b	223	21.04 ± 0.34	2.80	8.97	188	7.59 ± 0.05	1.07	16.12
0000	а	244	$\textbf{23.97} \pm \textbf{0.84}$	6.05	6.99	175	$\textbf{7.33} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	3.07	5.95
8000	b	187	$\textbf{22.05} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	1.57	14.58	175	7.78 ± 0.09	2.07	12.94
10000	а	223	$\textbf{25.19} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	1.89	13.85	163	7.74 ± 0.25	5.70	5.58
16000	b	170	23.26 ± 0.38	2.82	13.22	122	$\textbf{7.92} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	1.59	16.50

Table 2. Effects of *T. palmata* seed extract on the duration (days) of larval and pupal periods of *T. castaneum and T. confusum.*

Note: a: *T. castaneum*, b:*T. confusum*

Table 3. Effect of *T. palmata* seed extract on pupal recovery and adult eclosion (%) of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum.*

1.0	com	isum.					
Treatment (ppm)		No. of larvae used	Pupation (%)	d-values	Adult emergence (%)	d- values	Growth Index, (G.I)
• • •	а	300	277 (92.33)		272 (90.67)		3.90
Control	b	300	283 (94.33)	_	277 (92.33)	_	3.92
1000	а	300	259 (86.33)	2.39	247 (82.33)	3.01	3.25
1000	b	300	262 (87.33)	2.99	223 (74.33)	6.10	2.86
2000	а	300	256 (85.33)	2.46	218 (72.67)	5.31	2.67
2000	b	300	247 (82.33)	4.11	194 (64.67)	7.40	2.37
	а	300	247 (82.33)	3.33	200 (66.67)	6.41	2.30
4000	b	300	223 (74.33)	5.97	188 (62.67)	7.55	2.19
	D	300	223 (74.33)	5.97	100 (02.07)	7.55	2.19
0000	а	300	244 (80.67)	3.68	175 (58.33)	8.21	1.86
8000	b	300	187 (62.33)	8.50	175 (58.33)	8.53	1.96
			000 (74.00)		400 (54.00)		4.05
16000	а	300	223 (74.33)	5.29	163 (54.33)	8.98	1.65
10000	b	300	170 (56.67)	9.41	122 (40.67)	12.86	1.30

Note: a: T. castaneum, b:T. confusum.

Acknowledgements

The first author wishes to express her grateful thanks to the Chairman, Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research for granting study leave and for the financial support under the Human Resource Development Programme. The authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to the Director, BCSIR Laboratories, Rajshahi, for providing necessary laboratory facilities. Thanks are also due to Professor Md. Naderuzzaman, Department of Botany, University of Rajshahi and Mr. Manjur Quadir, Principal Scientific Officer, National Herbarium, Dhaka for identification of the experimental plant.

References

- Ahamad, A.P.Y & Ahmed, S.M. 1991. Potential of some rhizomes of Zingiberaceae family as grain protectants against storage insect pests. J. Food Sci. Technol. 28: 375-377.
- Cobbinah, J.R. & Apploh, K. 1989. Effects of some neem products on stored maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. *Insect Sci. Applic.* **10**(1): 89-92.
- Jilani, G. & Amir, P. 1987. Economics of Neem in reducing wheat storage losses: Policy implications. *Tech. Bull. 2, Searce,* Philippines.
- Jilani, G., Saxena, R.C. & Rueda, B.P. 1988. Repellent and growth inhibiting effects of turmeric oil, sweet flag oil, and "Margoson-0" on red flour batle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) *J. econ. Ent.* 81(4): 1226-1230.
- Huang, Y., Tan, J.M.W.L., Kim, R.M & Ho, S.H. 1997. Toxic and antifeedant action of nutmeg oil against *Ttibolium castaneum* (Herbst) and *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. *J. stored Prod. Res.* **33(4):** 289-298.
- Khanam, L.A.M., Talukder, D. & Khan, A.R. 1990. Insecticidal properties of some indigenous plant against *Tribolium confusum* Duval (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Bangladesh J. Zool.* **18:** 253-256.
- Makanjuola, W.A. 1989. Evaluation of extracts of Neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) for the control of some stored product pests. *J. stored Prod. Res.* 25(4): 231-237.
- Malek, M.A. & Wilkins, R.M. 1995. Effects of Annona squamosa L. seed oil on the larvae of Tribolium

castaneum (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Bangladesh J. Zool. **23**(1): 65-70.

- Mondal, K.A.M.S.H. 1986. Effect of methylquinone, aggregation pheromone and pirimiphos methyl on larval growth of *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. *Bangladesh J. Zool.* **14**(2): 123-128.
- Mondal, K. A. M. S. H., Hossain, G.M.M. & Ali, M.S. 1989. Effect of some indigenousplant materials on larval development of *Tribolium confusum* Duval (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Univ. j. zool. Rajshahi Univ.* 8: 310-314
- Mukherjee, S.N. & Ramachandran, R. 1989, Effects of azadirachtin on the feeding, growth and development of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera, Tenebrionidae). J. Appl. Ent. **10**(2): 145-149.
- Pereira, J. & Wohlgemuth, R. 1982. Neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) of West African origin as a protectant of stored maize. *Z. ang. Ent.* 94: 208-214.
- Rachid, J., Abdeslam, E. & Fouad, S. 2006. Insecticidal activity of four medicinal plant extracts against *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera : Tenebrionidae). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 5(10): 936-940.
- Rahman, A.S.M.S. 1992. Combined action of pirimiphosmethyl, synthetic methylquinone and botanicals on Tribolium confusum Duval. Ph.D. thesis, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh, 232 pp.
- Sokoloff, A. 1972. The biology of Tribolium with special emphasis on genetic aspects, Vol. 1 Clarendon Press, Oxford. 300 pp.

Manuscript received on 12.08.2011, accepted on 08.01.2012