Short Term Clinical and Angiographic Outcome of Skeletonized Harvesting Technique of Left Internal Mammary Artery, Compared to Pedicled Harvesting for Coronary Revascularization
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Abstract

We examined the hypothesis that the short term clinical and angiographic outcome of skeletonized Left Internal Mammary Artery (LIMA) is better than that of pedicled LIMA used for revascularization of left anterior descending artery at CABG surgery at the Department of Cardiac Surgery, National Heart Foundation Hospital and Research Institute from May 2011 to April 2012. Accordingly we studied 60 consecutive patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting, in which the LIMA was anastomosed to the left anterior descending artery (LAD). In 30 consecutive LIMAs were harvested as a pedicle (Control Group) and another 30 consecutive LIMAs were harvested as skeletonized graft (Experimental Group). Three diameters of the LIMA graft were measured quantitatively in postoperative angiograms performed 6 ± 1 month after the coronary artery bypass grafting; D1, at the origin from the subclavian artery; D2, at the level of the second intercostal space; and D3, just proximal to the anastomosis. Our study shows that, complete skeletonization results in increased graft length 19.71 ± 1.77 cm in skeletonized group vs. 17.08 ± 0.71 cm in pedicled group (p < 0.001). Although there was similar incidence of supercilious wound complications between the groups, the post CABG pain (PCP) was significantly higher in pedicled group at the 6 month follow-up. Check coronary angiography showed the LIMA grafts to the LAD were all patent. There was increased diameter achievement in skeletonized group at the anastomotic site (D3: 1.78 ± 0.21 mm vs. 1.66 ± 0.18 mm; p = 0.03). We conclude that skeletonized LIMA showed a better functional behavior, giving longer conduit length and superior postoperative clinical and angiographic profile.
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Introduction:

Bypass graft patency is an important factor to predict patient outcome after CABG and the unsatisfactory long-term result of vein grafts have led to an increased use of arterial grafts. The Internal mammary artery (IMA) offers patient survival benefit and superior graft patency compared with vein grafts.1 Arterial conduits are very rarely inferior to vein grafts in achieving better patency and improved long-term results. For this reason, at least one arterial graft, usually the LIMA to the LAD, is attempted in virtually every patient undergoing coronary revascularization.

LIMA has been considered as the gold standard conduit choice for grafting the left anterior descending coronary artery for myocardial revascularization.2 Given its long-term survival benefit, surgeons must harvest the LIMA in the best possible way to achieve both short-term and long-term advantages. Despite the popularity of the LIMA, however, it is still debated whether to use it as a pedicled graft or as a skeletonized graft.

However, the literature has often reported some advantages of the skeletonized LIMA over the pedicled conduit, ranging from the achievement of a longer conduit3,4, to a higher free flow5-7 to the reduced risk of sternal wound complications.8,9 Mobilizing the internal mammary artery as a skeletonized graft rather than a full thickness pedicle, tends to better preserve the integrity of the inner chest wall, preserve collateral sternal vascular bed and perfusion and decrease the rate of postoperative sternotomy related complications.9 It is postulated that the skeletonization technique of LIMA harvesting would also reduce the incidence of post CABG pain (PCP).
Most current knowledge on this subject is supported primarily by observational studies that offer insufficient evidence for or against each harvesting technique. The randomized studied comparing the skeletonized to pedicled LIMA are few and focused only on sternal perfusion, vessel wall integrity and vasoreactive profiles. Major limitations of these studied are the small sample size that may lead to unbalanced distribution of confounders, the limited relevance of the end points studied, the lack of postoperative LIMA flow evaluation, and angiographic assessment.

Despite a number of studies that have addressed the superiority of the skeletonized LIMA, little is known about the in-vivo functional behavior of skeletonized and pedicled grafts. Although the survival advantage of LIMAs compared with other grafts is accepted worldwide, no clear benefits of one harvesting technique over the other have been definitely demonstrated.

The present study is designed to see the short-term clinical outcomes of skeletonized and pedicled techniques used in harvesting the LIMA for coronary revascularization. As the coronary angiography still remains the gold standard investigation for coronary evaluation, therefore the aim of this study is to find out the analysis of angiographic results in our settings.

Materials & Methods

The present study evaluates clinical and angiographic results of a prospective series of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) with pedicled LIMA (Control group) or skeletonized LIMA (Experimental group), performed either off pump (OPCABG) or on pump, at a single academic institution. The study protocol was approved by the institution’s Ethical Committee/Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained. The decision for a patient to have a graft in LAD by using whether a pedicled or skeletonized LIMA was decided by the operating surgeon. Additional cardiac or vascular surgical procedures and severe systemic comorbidities (Renal failure, hepatic failure, cancer, autoimmune disease) were the exclusion criteria. Because the different geometry for the construction of a Y graft or T graft inuenced angiographic results, coronary revascularization with these grafts were excluded from the analysis.

Surgical Technique:

All surgical procedures were performed by the same surgical team. In all patients, CABG was performed through a median sternotomy, and the left anterior descending artery was always grafted using the LIMA. The pedicled LIMA (Figure-1) was harvested together with the surrounding veins, muscle, and fascia. Low-voltage (10-20 mV) cautery always used and the side branches were clipped. In both groups, the LIMA was harvested from the bifurcation of the musculophrenic and superior epigastric arteries up to the upper margin of the first rib. The width of pedicled LIMAs ranged from 1 to 1.5 cm. To avoid any distortion to the graft, the pedicle was secured with two epicardial stitches using 6-0 polypropylene on both sides after completion of distal anastomosis.

The Skeletonization technique was as follows. After having dissected the reflection of the mediastinal pleura from the endothoracic fascia, the mammary artery and both satellite veins were visualized. The fascia was incised medially to the medial mammary vein for the whole length of the vessel, and pulled down on the pleura. A blunt dissection of the artery from the chest wall was performed by means of low-voltage (10 mV) cautery or cold tip; the sternal and the anterior intercostal branches were occluded with hemoclips. Collaterals were divided using low voltage cauterity, and its mammary side similarly was occluded with small haemostatic clips (Figure-2).

Both pedicled and skeletonized LIMAs were at the distal end proximal to bifurcation, and checked for a good pulsatile free flow (>50ml/min) before their use. No vasodilators or other drug was injected inside the LIMA at any time (intraluminal no-touch technique). Distal anastomosis was constructed using 8-0 polypropylene sutures.

Three stitches were made around the “heel” of the graft: One stitch on one side of the apex of the graft, one stitch through the apex, and one stitch on the opposite side. Until the stitches in place, the graft was not connected to the coronary artery. The suture loops were pulled up to approximate the graft to the coronary artery. The anastomosis was completed by placing stitches around the toe of the graft in a clockwise direction. Exposure and stabilization was achieved with the AcrobatTM Vaccum off pump system (Guidant) and intra-coronary shunts were used routinely. No Apical-suction device was used in whole series.

Check Angiogram:

A check angiogram was done 6±1 month post-operatively. The three points for diameter measurements on the postoperative quantitative angiogram of the left internal mammary artery (LIMA) graft was done by using an automatic edge-detection program calibrated with the diameter of the catheter used (Figure-3): D1, at the origin
from the left subclavian artery; D2, at the level of the second intercostal space; and D3, just proximal to the anastomosis. The diameter of the left anterior descending artery (LAD) was also measured just distal to the anastomosis with the internal mammary artery (D4).

Post-operative coronary angiography of each patient was reviewed by two cardiologists, blinded toward the study.

**Results:**

**Clinical Outcome and Complications**

Table-1 showing the preoperative variables and operative data. Time required for harvesting the LIMA was significantly less in Control Group than that in Experimental Group (40.73± 5.93 min vs. 23.53± 3.13 min, p < 0.001). LIMA flow was comparable between two groups 98.33 ± 20.81 ml/min vs. 92 ± 15.62 ml/min (p=0.18). There was significant difference in length of LIMA in two groups. Experimental Group had significantly higher length than that of Control Group (19.71 ± 1.77 cm vs. 17.08 ± 0.71 cm, p < 0.001). 16.66% of patient in both group complained of superficial sternal wound infection after surgery, which was also statistically non significant (p = 0.34). None of both groups were having any deep sternal wound infection at any time. 53.33% of patient in Experimental Group were complaining of post CABG pain (PCP) compared to 70% of Control Group in hospital days after surgery (p = 0.18). At 1st follow-up (30 days) the PCP reduced to 36.77% for Experimental Group and 53.33% for Control Group with non significant statistical difference (p = 0.19). In 2nd follow up (3months) the PCP was 10% in Experimental Group, which was similar 17.24% in Control Group (p = 0.33). There was significant PCP in Control Group at 6 month follow up (3rd follow up) compared to Experimental Group (p<0.05). There was no operative death in either group. One patient in control group died during follow-up.

**Postoperative Quantitative Angiography**

The IMA grafts to the LAD were all patent (Table-2). There was no significant difference in D1, D2 or D4 in either group (p = 0.84, p = 0.90 and p = 0.29 respectively). D3 was greater in Experimental Group than in Control Group (1.78 ± 0.21 vs. 1.66 ± 0.18 mm, p = 0.03). The ratio D3/D1, which implies the degree of narrowing along the LIMA graft, was greater in Experimental Group than in Control Group (0.80 ± 0.05 vs. 0.76 ± 0.07, p = 0.02).

**Table-I**

**Preoperative and Intraoperative Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Experimental Group (n = 30)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 30)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>53.37±6.66</td>
<td>52.80±7.76</td>
<td>0.76NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>25/5</td>
<td>26/4</td>
<td>0.50NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.15± 2.77</td>
<td>23.53± 3.13</td>
<td>0.417NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area(sq. m)</td>
<td>1.68±0.13</td>
<td>1.67± 0.14</td>
<td>0.68NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
<td>5(16.67)</td>
<td>0.22NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>18 (60)</td>
<td>17(56.67)</td>
<td>0.79NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>15(50)13(43.33)</td>
<td>0.60NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>3(10)</td>
<td>3(10)</td>
<td>0.001S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable Angina</td>
<td>8(26.77)</td>
<td>3(10)</td>
<td>0.09NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>1(3.33)</td>
<td>1(3.33)</td>
<td>0.75NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td>1(3.33)</td>
<td>1(3.33)</td>
<td>0.75NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative arrhythmia</td>
<td>2(6.77)</td>
<td>2(6.77)</td>
<td>0.69NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid artery disease</td>
<td>1(3.33)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.50NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF(%)</td>
<td>53.83±8.14</td>
<td>55.70±8.64</td>
<td>0.39NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main disease</td>
<td>7(23.33)</td>
<td>2(6.67)</td>
<td>0.07NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation(min)</td>
<td>317.01 ± 46.61</td>
<td>307 ± 40.44</td>
<td>0.37NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB used</td>
<td>3(10)</td>
<td>1(3.3)</td>
<td>0.30NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of anastomosis/pt</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.80</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.90</td>
<td>0.295NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation time (min)</td>
<td>633.83 ± 206.01</td>
<td>770.33 ± 544.17</td>
<td>0.20NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ICU stay (hrs)</td>
<td>61.5 ± 11.31</td>
<td>62.23 ± 21.77</td>
<td>0.87NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>8 ± 1.17</td>
<td>8.53 ± 3.79</td>
<td>0.46NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss (ml)</td>
<td>485.33 ± 220.74</td>
<td>466.50 ± 284.96</td>
<td>0.77NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEF= Left ventricular ejection fraction, CPB= Cardio-pulmonary bypass, ICU= Intensive care unit.
Discussion:
The present study showed, complete skeletonization with a blunt dissection of the artery from the chest wall by means of low-voltage (10 mV) cautery or cold tip, results in increased graft length of skeletonized LIMA; similar to Calafiore and colleagues.\(^{15}\) Time required for harvesting was significantly less for pedicled LIMA; similar significant result given by Kamiya et al but harvesting time was almost half in both groups than that in our study.\(^{12}\)

It has to be kept in mind that very few studies have evaluated the functional behavior of the conduits and its usability in the operation theater, most focusing on the free-flow capacity. Both Deja and coworkers\(^{16}\) and Wendler and coworkers\(^{6}\) found skeletonized LIMAs to have higher free flow compared with pedicled conduits, but we found LIMA flow was similar between two groups.

Both studies measured ûows after intra-arterial papaverine injection, which has been demonstrated to cause endothelial damage to the mammary artery by Noera et al.\(^{17}\) Accordingly, we never used intraluminal papaverine on LIMA.

Furthermore, Wendler and coworkers\(^{6}\) analyzed only a limited number of grafts, initially showing comparable pedicled and skeletonized graft free ûows. These studies did not report if differences existed between the two techniques in the caliber of LIMA, which seems to be the most important factor for free ûow measurements. However, surgeons now have the possibility of studying the functional “in-vivo” behavior of the grafts, after completion of distal anastomoses, through the aid of TTF (Transit time flowmetry) technology.

We found a similar incidence of superfi-icial wound complications in patients undergoing skeletonized LIMA

![Fig.-1: Harvested pedicled Left internal mammary artery using IMA retractor system](image1)

![Fig.-2: Harvested Skeletonized Left internal mammary artery using IMA retractor system](image2)

![Fig.-3: The three points for diameter measurements on the postoperative quantitative angiogram of the LIMA graft. D1, at the origin from the left subclavian artery; D2, at the level of the second intercostal space; and D3, just proximal to the anastomosis. D4, the diameter of LAD just distal to the anastomosis.](image3)
randomization has been performed, although such a goal may be very difficult to reach in current clinical practice. Different and complex mechanisms affect graft patency during follow-up, first of all the progression of atherosclerosis and co-morbid conditions. It can therefore be a mistake to only attribute graft patency to a single surgical variable, such as the harvesting technique. Finally, this is a single-center study, which does not guarantee uniformity of the perioperative management of the patient population.
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