Introduction:
Diagnostic selective coronary arteriography (CAG) is a safe and widely practiced procedure for the detection of coronary artery disease (CAD). First performed by Sones in 1959, coronary arteriography has become one of the most widely performed and accurate test in cardiovascular medicine. CAG findings showed various types of lesions in respect to their anatomy, severity of stenosis, extent of vessel involvement and morphology of the lesions in different types of ischaemic heart disease.

With their initial myocardial infarction, more than 50% of all patients have significant obstructive atherosclerosis in only one vessel. This fact emphasizes the potential for secondary prevention. Interestingly, lesions that occlude to produce acute syndromes are only moderately severe, typically 45 to 50 percent lumen diameter reduction. A small number (<5 percent) of patients with AMI are found to have normal coronary vessels.

Among patients with chronic stable angina pectoris coronary angiography shows, approximately 25 percent of patients each have one-, two-, or three-vessel disease (i.e., >70% luminal diameter narrowing); 5 to 10 percent have obstruction of the left main coronary artery; and in approximately 15 percent no critical obstruction is detectable.

There is a common belief that more extensive and severe coronary atherosclerosis would be present in patients with acute myocardial infarction than in those with chronic stable angina. Survivors of first acute MI, particularly in the younger group showed low prevalence of multivessel disease and residual infarct related stenoses were not necessarily severe.

Methods and Materials:
This prospective study was carried out in the National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka during the period from January’97 to March’98. A total of 100 cases,
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50 from each group as follows, were selected for analysis from 105 cases selected randomly from the patients admitted in my different units for CAG (Coronary angiogram). For better comparison equal number of patients were selected from both subsets of patients. Patients were selected from those who attended and got themselves admitted for CAG in different cardiology units. History and clinical findings including relevant investigation reports were scrutinized as per defined inclusion/exclusion criteria. CAG were performed by the expert cardiologists using the standard Judkin’s procedure. Preliminary CAG reports from the unit concerned were collected. For more detailed information about CAG findings as mentioned in the proforma, each CAG films were reviewed and scrutinized carefully by two unbiased expert cardiologists and in doubtful cases the films were reviewed by an expert radiologist. When a consensus is not reached due to technical or visual error the case was discarded from the study. Coronary angiographic evaluation of both subsets were done on the basis of following angiographic criteria, which were also followed by the previous study groups.

Selection Criteria And Grouping of the Patients:
The patients were grouped into two groups according to their diagnosis and selection criteria as follows:

Group -I : Unheralded acute myocardial infarction

**Unheralded AMI** means – AMI without any past history of IHD e.g. stable angina, unstable angina or AMI

**Inclusion criteria for group-I:**
1) AMI is the first manifestation of IHD (prodrome of 4 wks chest pain permitted)
2) Diagnosis of Acute MI by presence of at least 2 of the followings:
   - Characteristic chest pain
   - Cardiac CK-MB enzymes > 2 times of normal upper limit
   - ECG changes lasting > 48 hrs.
3) CAG must be within 3 months of AMI – Assumption is that CAG done within this time period may have better relation with the recent AMI and probably to avoid new atherosclerotic lesion after 3 months.
4) Men £ 60 yrs; Women £ 65 yrs

**Exclusion criteria for Group-I:**
1) Past history of any form of ischaemic heart disease e.g: stable angina, unstable angina or myocardial infarction.
2) Men >60 yrs; Women >65 yrs.
3) CAG more than 3 months after acute MI.

Group -II : Uncomplicated stable angina pectoris

**Uncomplicated Stable angina** means – Stable angina pectoris without any history of acute coronary events e.g. Unstable angina or AMI

**Inclusion criteria for group-II:**
1) At least 6 months history of typical stable angina.
2) Positive ETT test.
3) CAG must be done ³ 6 months after initial symptoms and there must not be any history of acute events upto & including the time of CAG.
4) Men £ 60 yrs; Women £65 yrs

**Exclusion criteria for group-II:**
1) Any history of acute coronary events (i.e-unstable angina or acute MI).
2) Any of the following ECG features:
   - Q-wave
   - R/S > 1 in V1 or V2
   - LBBB
   - Pacemaker rhythm
3) Segmental akinesia or dyskinesia on LV graphy.
4) Men >60 yrs; Women >65 yrs

**Angiographic Severity Criteria**

**Angiographic Severity Criteria**

1) No. of vessels:
   - No. of major epicardial vessels with $≥70\%$ stenoses (max=3) Lt. Main stenoses $≥50\% = 2$ vessels
2) No. of stenoses:
   - Total no. of $≥50\%$ narrowings on all the vessels of angiogram
   - Stenoses longer than twice the normal diameter = 2 stenoses
   - Max. 3 stenoses per segment
3) Occlusions:
   - Number of occlusions / subtotal occlusions (with delayed or incomplete antegrade flow)

**Results:**
A total of 100 cases (mean age 48.98±8.37 years) were selected for the study after fulfillment of inclusion and exclusion criteria. For better comparison equal number of patients were selected, 50(fifty) patients in unheralded acute myocardial infarction (AMI) group (Group-I) and
50 (fifty) in stable angina pectoris (SAP) group (Group-II). Consecutive case collection were done and group – I reached the target figure first followed by group-II. A total of 5 (five) cases were discarded from the study due to failure to reach a consensus due to technical and/or visual error and subsequently those were replaced by 5 more cases.

Among the study population, 91 patients were male of which 49 in group-I and 42 in group-II and 9 patients were female of which only 1 in group-I and remaining 8 were in group-II. Majority of AMI patients were younger whereas those of SAP (Stable angina pectoris) were relatively elderly. Age and sex distribution of the study population are shown in Table-I and Fig-I respectively.

Table-I

*Age distribution of study population (N=100)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age(yrs)</th>
<th>Gr-I (AMI)-Number</th>
<th>Gr-II (SAP)-Number</th>
<th>Total No (% )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;31</td>
<td>1 0 1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>16 4 20(20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>18 14 32(32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>11 20 31(31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>4 12 16(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 50 100(100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Angiographically CAD (≥70% stenosis) were found in 93 patients and CAD were absent (<70% stenosis) in 7 cases. Among the positive cases single vessel disease (SVD), double vessel disease (DVD) and triple vessel disease (TVD) were present in 31 (31%), 34 (34%) and 28 (28%) respectively. Regarding coronary vessels, LAD (left anterior descending) was involved in majority of patients (79 i.e., 79%), chronologically followed by RCA (right coronary artery) and LCx (left circumflex artery) system 48 (48%) and 34 (34%) respectively. A detailed groupwise distribution and vessel involvement are shown in Table-II.

Table-II

*Angiographic features of study population (N=100)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Gr-I (AMI) Number</th>
<th>Gr-II (SAP) Number</th>
<th>Total No (% )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAD present (≥70% stenosis)</td>
<td>44 49</td>
<td></td>
<td>93 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD absent (&lt;70% stenosis)</td>
<td>6 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of diseased vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVD</td>
<td>27 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD</td>
<td>11 23</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVD</td>
<td>6 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD lesion</td>
<td>32 47</td>
<td></td>
<td>79 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA lesion</td>
<td>19 29</td>
<td></td>
<td>48 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCx lesion</td>
<td>16 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>34 (34%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD- Coronary artery disease  
SVD- Single vessel disease  
DVD- Double vessel disease  
TVD- Triple vessel disease  
LAD- Left anterior descending  
RCA- Right coronary artery  
LCx- Left circumflex artery

Severity  
Severity of the disease was assessed in terms of number of diseased vessels, number of (> 70%) stenosis and number of total or subtotal occlusion with TIMI score <3.

The angiograms of the two cohorts were evaluated and regarding number of vessels diseased, the AMI group had 1.52±0.68 compared with 2.37±0.85 vessels diseased (Fig-2) for the angina group reflecting significantly higher diseased vessel in latter group (P<0.001). The distribution of zero-, one-, two-, and three vessel disease were 12%, 54%, 22%, and 12% respectively in the infarction group; it was 2%, 8%, 46%, and 44% respectively in the stable angina group (Fig-3). Thus from the above data it is clear that single vessel disease is more frequent in AMI group and double- and triple vessel diseases are more prevalent in angina group.
When the other two indexes of severity (stenoses and occlusions) were considered, the results were also similarly comparable to number of diseased vessels (Table-VII and Table-VIII). In comparison with unheralded AMI, the stable angina group had greater number of stenoses (4.16±1.9 vs 2.14±1.5, P<0.001) and also greater number of occlusions (0.80±0.65 vs 0.44±0.31, P<0.001) (Table-3 and Fig-4).

**Table-III**

Comparison of number of stenosis (≥50% narrowing) between two groups (N=100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseased vessels</th>
<th>Group-I (N=50)</th>
<th>Group-II (N=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of stenosis (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>Number of stenosis (Mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-vessel disease</td>
<td>3(0.06±0.03)</td>
<td>2(0.04±0.02)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-vessel disease</td>
<td>37(0.74±0.28)</td>
<td>11(0.22±0.08)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-vessel disease</td>
<td>36(0.72±0.30)</td>
<td>91(1.82±0.65)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripple-vessel disease</td>
<td>31(0.62±0.25)</td>
<td>104(2.08±0.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107(2.14±1.50)</td>
<td>208(4.16±1.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Baseline clinical characteristics

This study observed markedly different angiographic appearance in patients with unheralded acute myocardial infarction undergoing coronary angiography compared with patients of stable angina pectoris.
Amanullah et al. in their 51-cases retrospective study of premature CAD found that unheralded AMI is much more commoner among the younger11.

Khandakar et al. found that 18.74 percent of the acute myocardial infarction cases reporting to the hospital were below age 40 years and maximum incidence was in the age group 41-50 years (32.30%)12, which is comparable to the findings in presenting study showing in age group 31-40 years (32%) and in 41-50 years (36%), though here, only unheralded infarctions are included in AMI group.

Akanda et al. demonstrated prevalence of single-, double-, and triple-vessel disease as 25%, 33%, and 42% respectively among the CAD patients in general13, whereas the presenting study shows these as 31%, 34% and 28% respectively. This slight differences, particularly regarding triple vessel diseases, might be due to highly selective grouping of study population in the presenting study.

Severity of disease

The major finding of this study is the markedly different angiographic appearance of unheralded acute myocardial infarction compared with stable angina pectoris. Whether the standard criterion of number of vessels diseased was used or the number of stenoses or occlusions or an index of disease extent, the results are concordant and discriminate clearly between the two groups. The angiograms of the two cohorts were evaluated and regarding number of vessels diseased, the AMI group had 1.52±0.68 compared with 2.37±0.85 vessels diseased (Fig-2) for the angina group reflecting significantly higher diseased vessel in latter group (P<0.001). The distribution of zero-, one-, two-, and three vessel disease were 12%, 54%, 22%, and 12% respectively in the infarction group; it was 2%, 8%, 46%, and 44% respectively in the stable angina group. Thus from the above data it is clear that single vessel disease is more frequent in AMI group and double- and triple-vessel diseases are more prevalent in angina group.

In comparison with unheralded AMI, the stable angina group had greater number of stenoses (4.16±1.9 vs 2.14±1.5, P<0.001) and also greater number of occlusions (0.80±0.65 vs 0.44±0.31, P<0.001). These finding correlates well with the previous similar studies done by Bogaty et al. and Cianflone et al.9,10.

Besides in presenting study, the total number of lesions are also shown irrespective of their significance, including the minimal insignificant lesions. It shows significantly higher number of total lesions (273, mean 5.46±1.13) in angina group than that of infarction group (155, mean 3.10±0.73), P<0.01. In previous studies total lesions were not considered but from study of their extent index, the present findings seems to be similar and of significance.

Amanullah et al. mentioned in their study that CAG of young MI patients demonstrated to have either normal CAG or focal lesions in one of the coronary arteries.11 The presenting study, in AMI group, also found similar results and thus correlates well.

Study limitations

Stringent clinical criteria were applied so that the 2 cohorts might constitute, as far as possible, pure subsets of coronary syndromes. Despite these precautions, the occurrence of prior silent or inapparent acute coronary events cannot be excluded in the group of stable angina, nor can prior silent ischaemia in patients who presented with acute myocardial infarction. Another factor is the longer history of ischaemic heart disease in some patients with stable angina, which might have contributed to the greater severity and extent of coronary atherosclerosis. Even allowing for the interobserver differences, the simplified classification of patients with stable reversible myocardial ischaemia into single-, double-, and triple-vessel disease is useful and widespread14,15. However data do exist to indicate that lesions of less than 50% narrowing also contribute to prognosis.

Normal coronary artery and coronary artery with insignificant lesion were found in both groups, comparatively more in AMI group in presenting study. There are only few exceptions in which despite the presence of ischemic chest pain, CAG findings are normal eg. syndrome X, microvascular angina, coronary artery spasm and linked angina16-19. In these conditions atherosclerosis plays little role, if at all. Amanullah et al. proposed these lesions as thrombogenic rather than atherogenic11. In AMI group it may be due to spontaneous lysis. Coronary thrombosis undergo spontaneous lysis, even if untreated, in about 50% of cases within 10 days20. It is well established by coronary angiographic studies performed early in the course of an AMI that the infarct related artery is patent in 20% of patients, presumably reflecting spontaneous reperfusion. Moreover, there is a progressive increase in the percentage of arteries that are patent from 6 to 24 hours to 4 weeks, reflecting an increase in spontaneous thrombolysis over time2,20.
Summary and Conclusion

The main objectives of the study were to compare the coronary angiographic severity between unheralded acute myocardial infarction and uncomplicated stable angina in Bangladeshi population.

This study observed very significant difference in angiographic severity between two groups measured in terms of number of diseased vessels, number of stenoses and number of total or sub-total occlusions. This study correlated and observed significant differences in CAG findings between unheralded AMI and uncomplicated stable angina pectoris. There were fewer number of diseased vessels, fewer stenoses and discrete type of lesions in the former and more number of diseased vessels, more stenoses, and diffuse type of lesions in the latter.

As the study was done in highly selected group of patients, comprising small cohorts in one hospital only, the study may not reflect the true picture of Bangladeshi patients. But this study will definitely serve as a soil for similar future work in this field.
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