



Ultrasonographic Evaluation of Urinary Drainage Through New Pelvi-Ureteric Junction Following A-H Pyeloplasty In Children.

Md. Shakhawat Islam¹, Md. Ruhul Amin², Shahnoor Islam³, Sheikh Zahid Boksh³, S.M. Borhan Uddin⁴, Mahia Afshin Laz⁴, Mohammad Mahbubur Rahman⁵, Tamima Hossain¹

Article information

Received: 21.07.2025

Accepted: 01.10.2025

Cite this article:

Islam MS, Amin MR, Islam S, Boksh MZ, Uddin SMB, Laz MA, Rahman MM, Hossain T. Ultrasonographic Evaluation of Urinary Drainage Through New Pelvi-Ureteric Junction Following A-H Pyeloplasty In Children. Sir Salimullah Med Coll J 2024; 32: 65-69

Key words:

Hydronephrosis, Anderson-Hynes Pyeloplasty, Pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction, Pelvic volume, Cortical thickness, Pelvi-cortical ratio, Ultrasonography.

Abstract

Background: Anderson-Hynes pyeloplasty (A-H pyeloplasty) is the established treatment for hydronephrosis. The early post-operative outcomes are variable due to use of different type of trans-anastomotic or trans-renal drainage either internal or external. It is important to evaluate the trans-anastomotic drainage of the pelvis after removal of the stent for the effectiveness of the procedure. The frequency and timing of follow up by imaging after A-H pyeloplasty is changeable, renal ultrasonography is commonly used. The aim of the was to document the volumetric changes of renal pelvis following A-H pyeloplasty and assess the urinary drainage through new pelvi-ureteric junction. **Methods:** This cohort study included 15 children who underwent A-H pyeloplasty due to pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction (PUJO). Urinary drainage was evaluated as a term of renal pelvic volume by ultrasonography post-operatively before and after diuretic use. Antero-posterior pelvic diameter (APPD), cortical thickness (CT) and pelvi-cortical ratio (P/C ratio) was also recorded. **Results:** Mean APPD was 30.16mm in 1st visit, 24.16 mm in 2nd visit and 18.69 mm in 3rd visit. The improvement was notable but that was not statistically significant. Measured mean CT was 5.20 mm, 6.04 mm and 8.60 mm in 1st, 2nd, and 3rd visit respectively. Mean P/C ratio was 7.64 in 1st visit, 5.02 in 2nd visit and 2.97 in 3rd visit. At 3rd visit, CT value improved and P/C ratio decreased significantly. Mean pelvic volume at baseline was 28.28 mm and after diuretics 29.03 mm on first visit that was statistically significant. Like that 2nd and 3rd visit also significant. **Conclusion:** Improvement of postoperative pelvic volume, APPD, CT and P/C ratio can provide objective guidance to surgeons to decide who need early follow-up, and can help for early intervention after pyeloplasty.

Introduction:

Pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction (PUJO) is most common cause of pediatric hydronephrosis encountered by pediatric surgeon with incidence of 1 in 5000 live births. It is more observed in boys than girls and ratio exceed 2:1. Though it may be

unilateral or bilateral, left sided PUJO is predominant¹.

For PUJO, decision for intervention is usually based on different imaging techniques. Worsening hydronephrosis on ultrasonography or decreasing differential renal function on diuretic renogram

1. Assistant registrar, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital, Dhaka.
2. Professor Department of Pediatric Surgery & Dean of the faculty of Surgery (BMU), Dhaka.
3. Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery (SSMCMH), Dhaka.
4. Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Surgery (SSMCMH), Dhaka.
5. Resident Surgeon, Department of Pediatric Surgery (SSMCMH), Dhaka.
6. Assistant Registrar, Department of Pediatric Surgery (SSMCMH), Dhaka.

Correspondence: Dr. Md. Shakhawat Islam, Assistant registrar, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Sir Salimullah Medical College Mitford Hospital, Dhaka. Email: russellrPMC@gmail.com. ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9650-4814.

are widely practiced in this regard². Ultrasonography is the gold standard method of diagnosis of hydronephrosis both in prenatal and postnatal period. Antero-posterior pelvic diameter, cortical thickness, pelvic volume, pelvi-cortical ratio and hydronephrosis grade (described by the Society of Fetal Urology) are the most common sonographic parameters used to assess the severity of disease and to predict the need for surgery³.

If surgery is indicated, pyeloplasty is an effective means for long-term correction of hydro-nephrosis with significant obstruction. It improved both impaired drainage and renal function⁴.

Several techniques have been designed for the pyeloplasty. Different flap techniques like Y-V-plasty, spiral flap, Scardino-Prince vertical flaps practiced for years. But in recent times, A-H pyeloplasty is widely practiced by pediatric surgeons due to its favorable outcome⁵.

The basic operative technique for dismembered A-H pyeloplasty is universal, involving excision of the uretero-pelvic junction and redundant pelvis when necessary, and re-anastomosis of the healthy ureter to the renal pelvis in addition, stenting that ensures no early postoperative kinking at the anastomotic site⁶.

But some children unfortunately experience recurrent obstruction following A-H pyeloplasty, commonly due to anastomotic stenosis or kinking (3 to 7%)⁷. Which may impair urinary drainage after removal of the stent and Further interventions required to avoid additional loss of renal function. So, the main challenge following pyeloplasty is through follow up of renal function and early detection of recurrence².

Resolution of hydronephrosis after surgery is relatively slow, but renal parenchymal growth is rapid due to release of obstruction that leads to proper drainage. Sonological reviewing the already stated parameters is to be done post-operatively. Also, improvement of drainage can be evaluated by the assessment of urinary volume within the pelvis⁸. The success of surgery is usually demonstrated radiographically by improvement in drainage or function on the postoperative diuretic renogram and by decreased pelvicalyceal dilatation on serial renal ultrasound. Renal ultrasound is also

the best study to assess renal parenchymal thickness, echogenicity and renal growth postoperatively⁹.

In this study, we evaluated the urinary drainage by measuring pelvic volume of the repaired kidney at pre and post status following the diuretic dose¹⁰. A standard dose of furosemide (0.3 mg/kg) was given intravenously to mimic the dose given during diuretic renography¹¹.

The drainage through new PUJ usually demonstrated radiographically or by scintigraphy. Both the procedure is not available everywhere. This study was design to evaluate the effectiveness of new PUJ by a noninvasive, easily available technique as well as detection of recurrence.

Methods:

This cohort study was carried out in the department of Pediatric Surgery, Bangladesh Medical University (BMU), Dhaka at March 2021 to September 2022. All study subjects provided informed consent. A total of 15 pediatric patients (6 months to 5 years old) who underwent A-H pyeloplasty due to unilateral pelvi-ureteric junction obstruction, were included¹². Patients whose post-operative time exceeded 6 weeks, or patients facing any stent related complication like- encrustation of stent, stent migration or malposition, stent fracture, recurrent UTI and patient who refused to participate were excluded. The clinical history and physical examination were done for each patient and recorded in predesigned data collection sheet. After case selection, informed consent was obtained from. Follow up of all the study populations was done at defined time schedule (first visit- day after D-J stent removal at the 6th weeks of post-operative period, second and third- one month and four 4 months after the first visit respectively) and high resolution ultra-sonographic evaluation of the kidney was done. During follow-up, APPD, CT and pelvic volume were measured by high resolution ultra-sonography. After 10 minutes of intravenous diuretics administration, pelvic volume was further assessed. All data were compiled and sorted properly and analyzed. The numerical data was presented by percentage, ratio, mean $\pm$ SD. The parameters were compared with independent sample t-test and Paired t-test and P-value of <0.05 considered as significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software package version-22 (Strata Corporation, College station, Texas).

Results:

This cross-sectional study was carried out with the aim to assess urinary drainage in new pelvi-ureteric junction following A-H pyeloplasty in children. Antero-posterior pelvic diameter of pelvis at the level of hilum, cortical thickness, pelvi-cortical (P/C) ratio, and the renal pelvic volume before and after diuresis were assessed by high resolution ultrasonographic evaluation. Ultrasonography was done in the department of Radiology and Imaging, BMU, Shahbag, Dhaka.

APPD and P/C ratio of operated kidney of the respondents were decreased and CT value was increased in 2nd visit after DJ stent removal (Table I).

Table I: Changes in sonographic parameters in 2nd visit of DJ stent removal in patients with PUJ obstruction (n=15).

Variables	1st visit	2nd visit	P
APPD(mm)	30.16±19.77	24.16±14.47	value
Mean±SSD			0.56
CT (mm)	5.20 ± 1.92	6.04 ± 2.05	0.76
Mean±SSD			
P/C ratio	7.64 ± 7.18	5.02 ± 4.62	0.19

P Value was calculated by independent sample test

Results of sonographic parameters after 4 months of DJ stent removal have been shown in table II. In 3rd visit after DJ stent removal, a significant increase in CT value (5.20 ± 1.92 Vs 8.62 ± 3.22 , $P=0.04$) along with significant reduction of P/C (7.64 ± 7.18 Vs 2.97 ± 2.83 , $P=0.02$) ratio from 1st visit.

Table II: Changes in sonographic parameters in 3rd visit of DJ stent removal in patients with PUJ obstruction (n=15).

Variables	1st visit	3rd visit	P value
APPD(mm)	30.16±19.77	18.69±11.47	0.25
Mean±SSD			
CT (mm)	5.20 ± 1.92	8.62 ± 3.22	0.04*
Mean±SSD			
P/C ratio	7.64 ± 7.18	2.97 ± 2.83	0.02*

P Value was calculated by independent sample test. *significant

Table III showing sonographic parameters of pelvic volume before and after diuretics. At 1st visit baseline pelvic volume was 28.28 ± 37.80 and after 10 minutes 29.03 ± 38.50 . On 2nd visit pelvic volume was 21.92 ± 32.30 and 22.60 ± 32.9 . On 3rd visit that was 17.95 ± 28.50 and 18.63 ± 29.10 . In every visit pelvic volume shows, statistically significant relation with diuretic.

Table III: Comparison of pelvic volume from baseline to after 10 minutes of diuretic use (n=15).

Visit	Pelvicvolume		P
	Mean± SD	Difference	value
1stvisit			
Baseline	28.28 ± 37.80	-0.74	0.006*
10minutes	29.03 ± 38.50		
2ndvisit			
Baseline	21.92 ± 32.30	-0.68	0.008*
10minutes	22.60 ± 32.9		
3rdvisit			
Baseline	17.95 ± 28.50	-0.68	0.008*
10minutes	18.63 ± 29.10		

P value was calculated by independent sample test, *significant

Discussion:

The standard procedure for hydronephrosis is an A-H dismembered pyeloplasty, which is combined with resection of the dilated renal pelvis¹³. Hydronephrosis caused by PUJ obstruction is treated surgically (open or laparoscopically) based on decreased renal function and worsening hydronephrosis on USG².

Traditionally, after A-H pyeloplasty, repeated USG together with radionuclide scans are the method of choice to confirm successful correction of obstruction¹⁴. However, nuclear scanning requires intravenous access and urethral catheterization, and entails radiation exposure with additional costs¹⁵. Some author¹⁶ found that patterns of hydronephrosis on serial USG were superior to invasive renography.

Similar study¹⁷ comparing the result of postoperative USG and diuretic renal scans, proposed that USG alone can be used for the initial assessment after A-H pyeloplasty, with nuclear

scans used for the subgroup showing no improvement.

In this study renal pelvic volume was measured at the resting stage or baseline value and 10 minutes after given standard diuretic dose. That was the first visit and measure day after removal of D-J stent following A-H pyeloplasty. And that was repeated 1 month and 4 months after the first visit. On first visit mean pelvic volume at baseline 28.28 mm and after diuretics 29.03 mm that was statistically significant. Like that 2nd and 3rd visit also significant. It was noted that well urinary drainage established though new PUJ. Our study almost similar to other study¹¹ attempt to measure intra-renal pelvic pressure at rest and in response to standard diuretic dose following pyeloplasty.

Miyamoto et al.¹⁸ showed that initially poorly drainage kidney due to PUJO after pyeloplasty should be evaluated for good drainage and they achieved 57% of good drainage.

In this study, every visit APPD and CT was measured before diuresis. Mean APPD on 1st visit 30.16 mm, 2nd visit 24.16 mm and 3rd visit 18.69 mm. The improvement was notable but that was not statistically significant. Romao et al.¹⁹ showed improvement in APPD pre and postoperatively, where postoperative APPD was less associated with success of pyeloplasty.

Measured mean CT was on 1st visit 5.20 mm, 2nd visit 6.04 mm and 3rd visit 8.60 mm. That show improvement of CT on 2nd visit and statistically significant on 3rd visit. Babu et al.²⁰ show The increase in CT was not significant at 3 months, but was significant at 1 year ($P < 0.01$).

In this study P/C ratio was also recorded in every visit. That was on 1st visit 7.64, 2nd visit 5.02 and 3rd visit 2.97. P/C ratio also significant at 3rd visit. Babuet al.²⁰ showed the reduction in P/C ratio was significant at 3 months ($P < 0.01$) as well as at 1 year ($P < 0.01$).

Renal pelvic volume can be early predictor for post-operative evaluation of urinary drainage and played a significant role in the monitoring of children who underwent A-H pyeloplasty. The noninvasive nature of ultrasonography makes it an appealing alternative diagnostic tool. This can be also use for child with hydronephrosis.

Conclusion:

Renal pelvic volume can be early predictor for post-operative evaluation of urinary drainage and played a significant role in the monitoring of children who underwent A-H pyeloplasty. The noninvasive nature of ultrasonography makes it an appealing alternative diagnostic tool. This can be also use for child with HDN.

Recommendations:

Multi- centered study and long-term follow-up should be done to further establish the finding.

Acknowledgement:

The authors thank all the patients for their invaluable cooperation. I would like to deep gratitude and thanks towards the all patients on whom this study was done, without whom this study would be impossible. Wish them all happy and healthy life.

Ethical approval:

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by institutional review board (IRB) of Bangladesh Medical University (BSMMU/ 2021/1317). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients parents for research and publication of this study.

References:

1. Holcomb, George W., J. Patrick Murphy, and Shawn D. St Peter, eds. Holcomb y Ashcraft. *Cirugíapediátrica*. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2021.
2. Helmy, Tamer E., et al. "Can renal ultrasonography predict early success after pyeloplasty in children? A prospective study." *Urologia internationalis* 93.4 (2014): 406-10.
3. Chipde, Saurabh S., et al. "Factors predicting improvement of renal function after pyeloplasty in pediatric patients: a prospective study." *The Journal of urology* 188.1 (2012): 262-65.
4. Gopal, M., et al. "Management of ureteropelvic junction obstruction in children—a roundtable discussion." *Journal of Pediatric Urology* 15.4 (2019): 322-29.
5. Coran, Arnold G., et al. *Pediatric Surgery E-Book: Expert Consult-Online and Print*. Vol. 2. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2012.
6. Smith, Karen E., et al. "Stented versus nonstented pediatric pyeloplasty: a modern series and review of the literature." *The Journal of urology* 168.3 (2002): 1127-30.

7. Gugliotta, A., et al. "Postnatally hydronephrosis (HN) in children with antenatally diagnosed hydronephrosis: surgery or medical treatment?." *Actas Urologicas Espanolas* 32.10 (2008): 1031-34.
8. Kis, E., et al. "The role of ultrasound in the follow-up of postoperative changes after pyeloplasty." *Pediatric radiology* 28.4 (1998): 247-49.
9. Amling, Christopher L., et al. "Renal ultrasound changes after pyeloplasty in children with ureteropelvic junction obstruction: long-term outcome in 47 renal units." *The Journal of urology* 156.6 (1996): 2020-24.
10. Koff, S. A., et al. "Renal pelvis volume during diuresis in children with hydronephrosis: implications for diagnosing obstruction with diuretic renography." *The Journal of urology* 174.1 (2005): 303-7.
11. Mesrobian, Hrair-George J. "Renal dynamics after pyeloplasty." *Urology* 38.3 (1991): 242-46.
12. Babu, Ramesh, and Venkata Sai. "Pelvis/cortex ratio: A sonographic marker of pelvi ureteric junction obstruction in children." *Indian Journal of Urology* 26.4 (2010): 494-96.
13. Sarhan, Osama, et al. "Long term functional and morphological outcome after pyeloplasty for huge renal pelvis." *BJU international* 107.5 (2011): 829-33.
14. Siripongsatian, Dheeratama, et al. "Can ultrasound parameters predict the diagnostic yield of diuretic renal scintigraphy in pediatric hydronephrosis?." *PLoS One* 20.5 (2025): e0324446.
15. Bar-Sever, Zvi, et al. "Pediatric nephro-urology: overview and updates in diuretic renal scans and renal cortical scintigraphy." *Seminars in nuclear medicine*. Vol. 52. No. 4. WB Saunders, 2022.
16. Hafez, Ashraf T., et al. "Analysis of trends on serial ultrasound for high grade neonatal hydronephrosis." *The Journal of urology* 168.4 Part 1 (2002): 1518-21.
17. Almodhen, Fayez, et al. "Is routine renography required after pyeloplasty?." *The Journal of urology* 184.3 (2010): 1128-33.
18. Miyamoto, K. K., and H-GO Mesrobian. "Long-term outcome of kidneys with initially poor drainage or no drainage following pyeloplasty." *World journal of urology* 14.6 (1996): 380-83.
19. Romao, Rodrigo LP, et al. "Early postoperative ultrasound after open pyeloplasty in children with prenatal hydronephrosis helps identify low risk of recurrent obstruction." *The Journal of urology* 188.6 (2012): 2347-53.
20. Babu, Ramesh, and Venkata Sai. "Pelvis/cortex ratio: an early marker of success following pyeloplasty in children." *Journal of pediatric urology* 6.5 (2010): 473-76.