

EFFECTS OF MICRONUTRIENT APPLICATION ON DIFFERENT ATTRIBUTES OF POTATO IN FLOODPLAIN SOILS OF BANGLADESH

M.M.H. Sarker^{1*}, A.Z.M Moslehuddin², M. Jahiruddin² and M.R. Islam²

¹Department of Soil Science, Sylhet Agricultural University, Sylhet-3100, Bangladesh

²Department of Soil Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University
Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Different crops have variations in their responses to applied micronutrients in soil. A study was conducted on floodplain soil of Bangladesh to explore the response of potato to application of micronutrients in soil. The experimental site was located at farmers' field in Chandina upazila under Cumilla district of Bangladesh covering the soils of Old Meghna Estuarine Floodplain (AEZ 19) during 2011-12. Randomized complete block design with 3 replications of each treatment was used in the experiment, where seven treatments including a control were tested. Additive element trial technique was followed while designing the treatments taking six micronutrients i. e. Zn, B, Cu, Mn, Fe and Mo at the rate of 3, 2, 2, 3, 5 and 1 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Macronutrients, such as N, P, K and S were applied at recommended rates to all plots. The highest tuber yield (28.7 t ha⁻¹) was produced by the combined application of Zn and B. Only Zn application was sufficient to obtain the highest content of protein as well as content of almost all the nutrients in potato tuber. Antagonistic relation between Zn and P in soil-plant system was recorded in the study. Zinc and boron application influenced different growth and yield parameters of potato while the other four added micronutrients did not have any significant effect but combined application of Zn, B, Cu, Mn, Fe and Mo had beneficial role for better plant growth and production. Proper management of zinc and boron fertilizers including optimization of application rates of those nutrients can help to uphold the yield and quality of potato in floodplain soil.

Keywords: Micronutrients, potato, floodplain soil, Bangladesh.

* Corresponding author email: mosharaf_srди@yahoo.com, mosharaf_soil@sau.ac.bd

INTRODUCTION

The agro-based economy of Bangladesh has two main challenges which are vast population to feed and small arable land area. To produce more food for the ever increasing population the arable land is being intensively used. Cropping intensity of this country in 1983-84 was 171% which has become 194% in 2015-16 (BBS, 2017). Moreover, cultivation of HYV and hybrid varieties of different crops is deteriorating soil fertility day by day due to exhaustive nature of those varieties. As a consequence new nutrient deficiency in soil is emerging. Chronologically N, P, K, S, Zn and B deficiencies have arisen in this country's soils (Islam, 2008). Occurrence of Cu, Mo and Mn deficiencies in crops are reported sporadically (Bhuiyan et al., 1998 and Khanam et al., 2000). Some reasons of micronutrient deficiency in Bangladesh were highlighted by Jahiruddin and Islam (2014) and those are organic matter depletion, unbalanced use of fertilizers, minimum or no use of manure, high cropping intensity, high pH (e.g. calcareous soils), nutrient leaching and light textured soils (Jahiruddin and Islam, 2014). Farmers of Bangladesh are not habituated with the use of micronutrient in crop cultivation that challenge balanced fertilization and creates negative impact in crop production (Rijpma and Jahiruddin, 2004).

Micronutrients help increase the efficiency of the use of macronutrients. Again, continual use of micronutrients may lead to an accumulation of toxic levels of those that may threaten crop quality. Hence, judicious application of micronutrients is very much essential; whereas micronutrients have received less attention in different research and extension projects. Different institutions have carried out a number of field trials with micronutrients at different regions of the country. These researches were concentrated mainly on cereal crops, but those were scanty with vegetables. Experiments with micronutrient have been conducted mainly on rice (Jahiruddin et al., 1994), wheat (Hossain, 2005) and maize (Alam et al., 2000), among the cereals. Among the vegetables, potatoes have been an important constituent of food for centuries and are an integral part of the diet, both for rich and poor population. Some field trials on micronutrients in vegetables cultivation have been made (Nasreen et al., 2009).

Impact of a micronutrient deficiency is commonly measured as loss of crop yield; nevertheless quality of harvested products is also important. For the sake of improved human and animal health, micronutrient levels in foods need to be enhanced. Bell and Dell (2008) estimated that more than three billion people in the world are suffering from micronutrient malnutrition. A nutrient balanced diet is the aim of any sustainable food security program. Studies have revealed that micronutrient deficiency led disorders occur in over half of the total human population globally. As per available literature, an adult human body has about 2-3 g of zinc, about 0.1% of which is replenished daily. The recent studies in molecular physiology strongly suggest that in some cases the iron deficiencies in humans may be associated with zinc deficiency (Upadhyay et al., 2012). The soil resource of Bangladesh mostly comprises with floodplain soils and the Old Meghna Estuarine Floodplain (AEZ 19)

has coverage of huge agricultural land area. With a view of considering the above points, a study was conducted for evaluating the effect of micronutrient application on different traits of potato in floodplain soil of Bangladesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

Farmer's field in Gabura village under Chandina upazila of Cumilla district in Bangladesh was the site of the experiment. The soil of the experimental field belonged to the Old Meghna Estuarine Floodplain (AEZ 19) having Chandina soil series under Non-Calcareous Dark Grey Floodplain Soil type. The nutrient status including other parameters in initial soil is shown in Table 1. The popular potato variety 'Diamant' was used in the trial.

Table 1. Initial status of nutrients and other parameters in soil of experimental field

Characteristics	Status
Organic matter (%)	1.68
pH	6.1
Total N (%)	0.10
Available P (mg kg ⁻¹)	14.8
Exchangeable K (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	0.07
Exchangeable Ca (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	3.95
Exchangeable Mg (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	2.73
Available S (mg kg ⁻¹)	7.5
Available Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.79
Available B (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.28
Available Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	2.28
Available Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	257
Available Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	8.9

Treatments

Seven treatment combinations including a control were tested in the experiment. The treatments were T₁ (Control), T₂ (Zn), T₃ (Zn+B), T₄ (Zn+B+Cu), T₅ (Zn+B+Cu+Mn), T₆ (Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe) and T₇ (Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo). Micronutrients were

applied as $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, H_3BO_3 , $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, MnCl_2 , $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and Na_2MoO_4 at the rates of 3 kg Zn, 2 kg B, 2 kg Cu, 3 kg Mn, 5 kg Fe and 1 kg Mo ha^{-1} , respectively. To apply different macronutrients, urea, TSP, MoP and gypsum were used at recommended rates (125, 25, 100 and 10 kg ha^{-1} for N, P, K and S, respectively) equally for all plots (FRG, 2005).

Experimental design and layout

The experiment was set up with randomized complete block design having three replications of each treatment. The treatments were randomly distributed to the plots in each block. The individual plots measuring 5 m \times 4 m were surrounded by 40 cm wide and 10 cm height earthen bunds. One meter wide and 10 cm deep irrigation channel was made in-between two blocks. The land was prepared well before planting. The layout of the experiment and randomization was done in accordance with the standard statistical methods.

Planting potato seeds and intercultural operations

Furrows were made with a plough and sprouted seed tubers were planted in the furrows maintaining a spacing 55 cm \times 15 cm. All the tubers in the furrows were covered with soil. The first earthing-up was done after the second dose of urea application at 30 DAP. The 2nd earthing-up was done at 40 DAP. Irrigation was provided once at 35 DAP. To control late blight disease, ridomyl was sprayed at 10-day intervals starting from 25 DAP until maturity. To control rodent, phostoxin tablet (fumigant) was inserted into the hole of the rodents and then opening of the hole was blocked with soil.

Data recorded

Potato tubers were harvested when they attained edible stage. Six square meter area from each plot was harvested to record tuber and haulm yields. The weights of tuber were taken just after harvest. The haulm yield was expressed on sundry basis. Data on plant height were recorded from 10 randomly selected representative plants from outside the harvested area within a plot, as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

Collection and preparation of plant samples for chemical analysis

Plant samples (tuber and haulm) were collected at the time of harvesting. The haulm samples were air dried immediately after collection and the dry samples were chopped off into smaller pieces. The collected plant samples were then oven dried at 65°C for 24 hours. To obtain homogenous powder, the samples were finely ground by using a grinding-mill to pass through a 60-mesh sieve. The processed plant samples were chemically analyzed for determination of N, P, K, S, Zn and B concentrations following the methods stated in Table 3. Nutrient uptake was calculated from the yield and respective nutrient concentration data using the following formulae-

-For N, P, K and S:

Nutrient uptake by tuber (kg ha^{-1}) = Nutrient content in tuber (%) \times Oven dry yield (t ha^{-1}) \times 10

Nutrient uptake by haulm (kg ha^{-1}) = Nutrient content in haulm (%) \times Oven dry yield (kg ha^{-1})/100

-For Zn and B:

Nutrient uptake (g ha^{-1}) = Nutrient content in tuber ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) \times Oven dry yield (t ha^{-1})

Nutrient uptake (g ha^{-1}) = Nutrient content in haulm ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) \times Yield (kg ha^{-1})/1000

Protein concentrations of potato tuber were calculated from N concentration of tuber by using the following formulae-

% Protein = % N of the produce \times 6.25. (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985)

Table 2. Methods used for plant analysis

Elements analysed	Analytical methods used
N	Micro-Kjeldahl method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982)
P	Colorimetric method (Yoshida <i>et al.</i> , 1976).
K	Ammonium acetate extraction method using flame photometer (Yoshida <i>et al.</i> , 1976)
S	Turbidimetric method (Chapman and Pratt, 1961)
Zn	DTPA extraction method using atomic adsorption spectrophotometer (Yoshida <i>et al.</i> , 1976)
B	The B concentration was determined by spectrophotometer following azomethine-H method (Keren, 1996)

Data analysis

The collected data were compiled and tabulated, which were subjected to statistical analyses following standard methodology and the mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS

The effects were evaluated in terms of tuber yield, haulm yield, plant height, protein & zinc concentration of tuber, and nutrient uptake by tuber & haulm.

Plant height

Plant height of potato was significantly affected by the treatments showing a range of 45.2-56.7 cm (Table 3). The highest plant height was observed in T₇ treatment which had statistical similarities to those of all other treatments except control.

Tuber yield

Tuber yield of potato was significantly influenced by the micronutrients treatment (Table 3). The highest tuber yield (30.3 t ha^{-1}) was recorded in T_6 treatment, which was statistically similar to those of all other treatments except T_1 and T_2 . The lowest tuber yield (21.0 t ha^{-1}) was produced by control treatment. The T_2 treatment produced tuber yield (25.3 t ha^{-1}), which was higher than that of control but similar to T_3 and T_4 treatments. Like tuber yield, haulm yield was also significantly influenced by the treatments and it varied from 9.64 kg ha^{-1} in T_1 to 1.23 kg ha^{-1} in T_7 treatment. All treatments other than control produced statistically similar haulm yield.

Protein content of potato tuber

Nutritional quality of potato tuber with regards to protein and zinc concentrations varied significantly due to application of different micronutrients (Table 4). Protein concentration ranged from 1.31% in control treatment to 1.81% in T_5 treatment. Except control, all treatment effects had statistical similarities to each other which indicated that addition of micronutrients other than Zn did not add any extra benefit. It is also noted that Zn helped protein synthesis in tuber, which improves food quality.

Table 3. Effects of micronutrients on plant height and yield of potato

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Tuber yield (t ha^{-1})	Haulm yield (t ha^{-1})
T_1 : Control	45.2b	21.0c	9.64b
T_2 : Zn	56.7a	25.3b	1.18a
T_3 : Zn+B	56.3a	28.7ab	1.18a
T_4 : Zn+B+Cu	55.0a	28.9ab	1.16a
T_5 : Zn+B+Cu+Mn	55.8a	29.5a	1.18a
T_6 : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe	55.8a	30.3a	1.20a
T_7 : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo	56.7a	29.8a	1.23a
CV (%)	5.23	7.79	5.92
Significance level	**	**	**
SE (\pm)	1.65	1.24	0.04

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.

SE (\pm) = Standard error of means, CV= Co-efficient of variation, ** = Significant at 1% level

Table 4. Effects of micronutrients on protein and zinc concentrations of potato tuber

Treatments	Protein (%)
T ₁ : Control	1.31b
T ₂ : Zn	1.64a
T ₃ : Zn+B	1.68a
T ₄ : Zn+B+Cu	1.67a
T ₅ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn	1.81a
T ₆ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe	1.66a
T ₇ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo	1.67a
CV (%)	9.15
Significance level	*
SE (\pm)	0.09

Note: Protein and zinc concentration of potato tuber is expressed as fresh weight basis.

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.

SE (\pm) = Standard error of means, CV = Co-efficient of variation, * = Significant at 5% level

Nutrient concentration and their uptake by potato

Nutrient concentrations of potato tuber were expressed as fresh weight basis (Table 5). Other than P in tuber and S in both tuber and haulm, the nutrient concentrations of potato affected significantly by the treatments applied. In almost all cases (except K in tuber and B in both tuber and haulm), application of only Zn was found to be sufficient for the highest concentrations. The uptake of different nutrient elements as calculated from respective nutrient concentration and yield data is presented in Table 6 and discussed below :-

Nitrogen uptake

There were significant differences in the N uptake by potato (tuber + haulm) due to different treatments. The highest uptake of tuber N and total uptake of N (86.3 and 107 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) was found in T₅ (Zn+B+Cu+Mn) treatment, while that of haulm N (22.1 kg ha⁻¹) was in T₇ (Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo) treatment. Except T₁, all other treatments had statistically similar effects on N uptake.

Table 5. Effects of micronutrients on the nutrient concentration of potato

Treatments	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)		S (%)		Zn ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)		B ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	
	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>
T ₁ : Control	0.209b	1.56b	0.063	0.214a	0.414c	1.66b	0.044	0.207	6.98b	85b	6.71b	37.2b
T ₂ : Zn	0.263a	1.77a	0.052	0.180c	0.469b	1.90a	0.051	0.238	8.56a	102a	7.29b	39.5b
T ₃ : Zn+B	0.269a	1.79a	0.052	0.204ab	0.520a	1.87a	0.050	0.235	8.60a	108a	8.86a	53.0a
T ₄ : Zn+B+Cu	0.267a	1.81a	0.053	0.193bc	0.515a	1.85a	0.049	0.220	8.45a	107a	8.54a	51.6a
T ₅ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn	0.289a	1.77a	0.055	0.208ab	0.501ab	1.90a	0.050	0.232	8.27a	107a	8.73a	49.9a
T ₆ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe	0.266a	1.78a	0.054	0.190bc	0.511a	1.91a	0.050	0.232	8.64a	109a	8.88a	53.7a
T ₇ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo	0.267a	1.80a	0.053	0.212a	0.505a	1.89a	0.050	0.228	8.51a	108a	8.59a	52.4a
CV (%)	9.12	2.34	8.69	4.90	3.80	2.43	5.91	7.86	6.21	7.86	6.81	7.74
Significance level	*	**	NS	**	**	**	NS	NS	*	*	**	**
SE (\pm)	0.014	0.024	0.003	0.006	0.011	0.026	0.002	0.011	0.30	4.71	0.32	2.15

Note: Nutrient concentration of potato tuber was expressed as fresh weight basis.

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT

SE (\pm) = Standard error of means, CV= Co-efficient of variation,

*= Significant at 5% level, **= Significant at 1% level, NS=Non-significant

Table 6 Effects of micronutrients on the nutrient uptake of potato

Treatments	N uptake (kg ha^{-1})			P uptake (kg ha^{-1})			K uptake (kg ha^{-1})		
	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>
T ₁ : Control	43.7b	15.1b	59b	13.3	2.06d	15.3	87c	16.0b	103c
T ₂ : Zn	66.5a	20.9a	87a	13.1	2.12cd	15.2	119b	22.3a	141b
T ₃ : Zn+B	77.0a	21.2a	98a	14.9	2.42abc	17.3	149a	22.1a	171a
T ₄ : Zn+B+Cu	77.2a	21.0a	98a	15.4	2.24bcd	17.7	149a	21.5a	170a
T ₅ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn	86.3a	20.9a	107a	16.3	2.46ab	18.7	148a	22.4a	171a
T ₆ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe	80.6a	21.4a	102a	16.5	2.29bcd	18.8	155a	23.0a	178a
T ₇ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mo	79.6a	22.1a	102a	16.0	2.61a	18.6	151a	23.2a	174a
CV (%)	14.7	6.23	11.4	14.8	7.05	13.3	10.7	6.5	9.6
Significance level	**	**	**	NS	**	NS	**	**	**
SE (\pm)	6.21	0.73	6.15	1.29	0.02	1.33	8.5	0.81	8.8
T ₁ : Control	9.3b	1.98b	11.3b	146c	83b	229c	141b	35.6c	177b
T ₂ : Zn	12.9a	2.81a	15.7a	217b	120a	337b	184b	46.6b	231b
T ₃ : Zn+B	14.3a	2.78a	17.1a	246ab	128a	374ab	254a	62.8a	316a
T ₄ : Zn+B+Cu	14.1a	2.55a	16.6a	243ab	125a	369ab	247a	59.9a	306a

Treatments	N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			P uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)			K uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Tuber</i>	<i>Haulm</i>	<i>Total</i>
T ₅ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn	14.7a	2.74a	17.4a	241ab	126a	367ab	258a	58.7a	317a
T ₆ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe	15.2a	2.80a	18.0a	263a	132a	395a	270a	64.9a	335a
T ₇ : Zn+B+Cu+Mn+Fe+Mn	14.9a	2.80a	17.7a	254ab	133a	387ab	257a	64.6a	321a
CV (%)	11.6	9.70	10.8	9.30	11.3	8.3	12.5	10.5	11.5
Significance level	**	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
SE (±)	0.91	0.02	1.02	12.4	7.9	16.8	16.6	3.42	19.0

Means followed by same letter in a column are not significantly different at 5% level by DMRT.

SE (±) = Standard error of means, CV= Co-efficient of variation, *= Significant at 5% level, **= Significant at 1% level, NS=Non-significant

Phosphorus uptake

Total uptake of P as well as tuber P uptake did not vary significantly with the micronutrient treatments but haulm uptake of P was affected. Tuber P uptake ranged from 13.1 kg ha⁻¹ in T₂ to 16.5 kg ha⁻¹ in T₆ treatment, while the haulm P uptake varied from 2.06 kg ha⁻¹ in T₁ to 2.61 kg ha⁻¹ in T₇ treatment. The highest P uptake of 18.8 kg ha⁻¹ (tuber + haulm) was recorded for T₆ treatment and the lowest P uptake (15.2 kg ha⁻¹) was due to T₂ treatment. It was noted that, tuber P uptake in T₁ was higher than that in T₂ treatment. Such exception was due to the higher P concentration in T₁ as compared to T₂ treatment.

Potassium uptake

Uptake of K by potato significantly increased due to application of micronutrients to soil. The highest uptake of tuber K and total uptake of K (155 and 178 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) was observed in T₆ treatment and the highest haulm K uptake (23.2 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in T₇ treatment. The treatment having the highest K uptake by tuber and the highest total K uptake was statistically identical with all other treatments except T₁ and T₂.

Sulphur uptake

The S uptake by potato was also affected significantly by the various micronutrient treatments. The highest uptake of tuber S and haulm S (15.21 and 2.81 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) was found in T₆ and T₂ treatments.

Zinc uptake

The Zn uptake by potato was significantly influenced by the treatments used. The highest uptake of tuber and total Zn (263 and 395 g ha⁻¹, respectively) was observed in T₆ treatment and it was statistically similar to all other treatments except T₁ and T₂. On the other hand, Zn uptake in haulm was the highest (133 g ha⁻¹) in T₇ treatment which was statistically similar to those of all other treatments except T₁.

Boron uptake

Like zinc uptake, boron uptake by potato also significantly increased over the control as an effect of different micronutrient treatments. The highest uptake of 270 and 64.9 g ha⁻¹ by tuber and haulm, respectively, was observed in T₆ treatment which was statistically similar to that recorded with all other treatments except T₁ and T₂.

DISCUSSION

The effect of micronutrients application on different traits of potato was studied through field trials followed by chemical analysis in the laboratory. Plant height at harvest was affected significantly due to application of different treatments. Only Zn was found to affect plant height. Pregno and Armour (1992) stated that application of B did not increase plant height of potato. Tuber yield of potato was significantly influenced by different micronutrient treatments. Tuber yield increased significantly by the application of Zn but to obtain the highest yield B was needed to apply. This result has similarities with the findings of some other scientists. Dwivedi (1991) showed that ZnSO₄ applications can increase potato yield by 37% and spraying with Zn increased potato yield. Trehan and Grewal (1981) stated that in potato cultivation, Zn and B can help in increasing the foliage coverage at initial growth stages and in the later stages, the translocation of assimilates is responsible for higher yield. Chaudhary et al. (2001) found that potato responded quadratically to Zn application. Puzina (2004) observed that potato fertilization using boric acid caused an increase in tuber size and weight by increasing of cell diameter in the tuber.

Like tuber yield, application of only Zn was sufficient to achieve the statistically highest haulm yield. The application of 20 ppm Zn increased the dry weight of stem, root and main stolon (Langille and Batteese 1974). Bari et al. (2001) showed that application of 1.1 kg B ha⁻¹ from borax increased potato fresh haulm weight hill⁻¹ and yield of tuber ha⁻¹. Considering nutritional aspects, protein contents of vegetables is a matter of concern in the present situation. In this study, only Zn was sufficient to achieve the highest protein concentration of potato tuber. According to Mousavi et al. (2012) zinc is essential micronutrients for protein production in plants; also zinc is main composition of ribosome and is essential for their development. Zinc is active element in biochemical processes and has a chemical and biological interaction with some other elements. Phosphorus is the most important element which interferes on zinc uptake by plants. Micronutrient application influenced the uptake of N, K, S, Zn and B by potato. P uptake by the crops did not affect significantly. This might be due to antagonistic relationship between Zn and P in soil-plant system. Except Zn and B, the other micronutrients did not play positive role on nutrient uptake by the crops. Sandeep et al. (2014) reported that application B and Zn enhanced potato tuber yield and also influenced uptake of N, P, K, S, Zn and B. El-Banna and Abd El-Salam (2005) reported that foliar spraying of potato plants with B at 75 ppm significantly recorded the highest concentrations of N, K and B in plants. Arisha et al. (1999)

found that foliar spray of B as boric acid with recommended doses of NPK increased concentration and total uptake of N, P and K. El-Mahdy (2007) showed that foliar spray of B at rate 75 ppm increased concentration of N, P, K and B and its uptake.

CONCLUSION

In floodplain soil application of zinc and boron fertilizers can help to boost up potato yield as well as ensure nutritional concerns. It is needed to conduct further exclusive research to optimize application rates of these nutrients to potato in floodplain soil.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by Coordinated Project on Soil Fertility and Fertilizer Management for Crops and Cropping Patterns (BAU component), NATP, BARC during the research period.

REFERENCES

- Alam, M.S, Islam, N., and Jahiruddin, M. (2000). Effects of zinc and boron application on the performances of local and hybrid maize, *Bangladesh Journal of Soil Science*, 26, 95-101.
- Arisha, H.M., El-Ghamriny, E.A., and Nour, K.A. (1999). Studies on tomato flowering, fruit set, yield and quality in summer season. Spraying with boron, zinc and phosphorus. *Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research*, 26(5), 1365-1384.
- Bari, M.S., Rabbani, M.G., Rahman, M.Sq., Islam, M.J., and Hoque, A.T.M.R. (2001). Effect of zinc, boron, sulphur and magnesium on the growth and yield of potato. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science*, 4(9), 1090-1093.
- BBS. (2017). Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. The Year Book of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Statistics and Informatics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka. P. 360.
- Bell, R.W. and Dell, B. (2008). Micronutrients for sustainable food, feed, fibre and bioenergy production. International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA), Paris, France.
- Bhuiyan, M.A.H., Khanam, D., Khatun, M.R., and Hassan, M.S. (1998). Effects of molybdenum, boron and *Rhizobium* on nodulation, growth and yield of chickpea, *Bulletin Institute of Tropical Agriculture Kyushu University*, 21, 1-7.
- Chaudhary, R.A., Akram, M., Gill, K.H., and Qazi, M.A. (2001). Zinc requirement of potato crop in the Punjab. *Pakistan Journal of Soil Science*, 19(1-2), 81-83.
- Dwivedi, G.K. (1991). Mode of application of micronutrients to potato in acid soil for Garhwal Himalaya. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 45, 258-263.
- El-Banna, E.N. and El-Salam, H.Z.A. (2005). Response of potato plants for different sources of potassium with different foliar rates of boron and molybdenum. *Journal Agricultural Science*, 30(10), 6221-6233.
- El-Mahdy, R.E. (2007). Effect of heavy nitrogen application on pepper plant (*Capsicum annum*). M. Sci. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture. Mansoura University, Egypt.

- FAO/WHO/UNU. (1985). Energy and protein requirements, Report of a joint FAO/WHO/UNU expert consultation, WHO Technical Report Series 724, Geneva, WHO.
- FRG. (2005). Fertilizer Recommendation Guide. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC), Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Gomez, K.A., and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Hossain, M.S. (2005). Effects of Different Methods of Zinc Application on Grain Yield and Grain Zinc Concentration of Wheat Genotypes, MS Thesis, Department of Soil Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Islam, M.S. (2008). Soil fertility history, present status and future scenario in Bangladesh, *Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, 4, 129-151.
- Jahiruddin, M., and Islam, M.R. (2014). Project Report (2011-2014). Requirement of Micronutrients for Crops and Cropping Patterns. PIU-BARC (NATP Phase-I) project. Project ID No. 339.
- Jahiruddin, M., Islam, M.N., Hashem, M.A. and Islam, M.R. (1994). Influence of sulphur, zinc and boron on yield and nutrient uptake of BR2 rice. *Progressive Agriculture*, 5(1), 61-67.
- Khanam, R., Arefin, M.S., Haque, M.A., Islam, M.R., and Jahiruddin, M. (2000). Effects of magnesium, boron, and molybdenum on the growth, yield and protein content of chickpea and lentil, *Progressive Agriculture*, 11(1&2), 77-80.
- Langille, B., and Batteese, I.R. (1974). Influence of manganese concentration in nutrient solution on growth and elemental content of the katahdin potato plant. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 54, 375-381.
- Mousavi, S.R., Galavi, M., and Rezaei, M. (2012). The interaction of zinc with other elements in plants: a review, *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Science*, 4(24), 1881-1884.
- Nasreen, S., Siddiky, M.A., Ahmed, R., and Rahman, M.H. (2009). Response of okra to boron and zinc fertilization. Presented at the research review and programme planning workshop on soils programme of NARS institutes, BARC, Dhaka.
- Pregno, L.M., and Armour, J.D. (1992). Boron deficiency and toxicity in potato cv. Sebago on an oxisol of the Atherton, North Queensland. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 32, 251-253.
- Puzina, T.I. (2004). Effect of zinc sulphate and boric acid on the hormonal status of potato plants in relation to tuberization. *Russian Journal Plant Physiology*, 51(2), 209-215.
- Rijpma, J., and Jahiruddin, M. (2004). Strategy and plan for use of soil nutrient balance in Bangladesh, Final Report of Short-term Assignment. SFFP/DANIDA.
- Sandeep, S., Deependra, K., Singh, C.B., and Vinay, S. (2014). Effect of balanced fertilization on yield, nutrients uptake and economics of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) in alluvial soil. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(30), 451-454.
- Trehan, S.P. and Grewal, J.S. (1981). Comparative efficiency of methods of application of zinc to potato. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, 51, 240-243.
- Upadhyay, N.C., Singh, B.P., Kaushik, S.K., Khan, M.A., Ezekiel, R., Singh, N., and Kumar M. (2012). Zinc deficiency in potato tubers, CPRI Newsletter, Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla 171 001, HP, India, pp. 1-2.