QUALITY OF INDIAN MACKEREL AS AFFECTED BY POMEGRANATE PEEL AND TEA LEAF EXTRACTS DURING ICE STORAGE

P.A. Shinde, V.K. Reddy, S*1 and S.B. Patange
Department of Fish Processing Technology and Microbiology, Fisheries College, Shirgaon, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India -415 629

ABSTRACT

The effect of dip treatments of Indian mackerel (Rastrelliger kanagurta) in 0.5 % & 1 % pomegranate peel extracts (Punica granatum) (PPE) and 3 % & 5 % green tea (Camelia sinensis) (GTE) extracts on the ice storage characteristics was evaluated. Total phenolic content of PPE and GTE were found to be 212±20.55 and 159.3±7.72 mg g⁻¹ tannic equivalent. Fish treated with PPE and GTE were evaluated for bio-chemical, microbiological and sensory attributes during the period of storage in ice. Indicator organisms like Escherichia coli, and Staphylococcus aureus were not detected in any sample, whereas biochemical attributes increased significantly (p < 0.05) during the storage period. The fishes were acceptable up to 17th and 16th days in ice in case of PPE & GTE treatments, respectively. On the other hand the untreated fish was acceptable only up to 8 days. From this study it is concluded that; the natural extracts of PPE & GTE can be used to preserve the fatty fish and extend the shelf life during ice storage for a considerable period.
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INTRODUCTION

Fish is one of the most perishable and difficult to handle of all foods. It is mainly due to the catching method that has no control on its initial quality, which results in variation of intrinsic quality of raw material. Icing is the easiest, cheapest, reasonably efficient and common method of preserving fresh fish. Iced fish has a limited shelf life. Fish can be stored in ice and maintained in good conditions for 3-15 days depending on species and various intrinsic factors. Fatty fishes like sardines have a limited shelf life of only 2-5 days in ice (Balachandran et al., 2001), whereas lean fishes will have a little more. This period is inadequate for long distance
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transport of fish, as most of the fishes are not caught near the landing spots. In addition the landing spots are often far from ultimate place of consumption. Therefore, further extension of shelf life could be of great practical significance; particularly for the developing countries, where fast moving refrigeration system is lacking. There are several other preservatives, mainly chemicals used in combination with icing to increase the shelf life of ice stored fish. The demand for natural extracts, which act as preservative is gaining importance due to the negative effects and health problems associated with chemical preservatives. Plant extracts like green tea (*Camelia sinensis*), grape seed (*Vitis vinifera*) and pomegranate peel (*Punica granatum*) extracts have been studied for their preservative effect when used in foods and the results were positive. In this study, plant extracts i.e. pomegranate peel and green tea extracts were tested for their preservative effects on the ice stored Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Preparation of extracts and storage*

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) were purchased from the local market and peeled manually. Pomegranate peels (PP) were dried at 50 °C until a constant weight and ground to powder. Powder was dissolved in ethanol (1:20 w/v) and then extracted in water bath with shaker at 40 °C for 4 h. The extract was filtered and concentrated in a water bath to get crude extract.

Antique green tea (GT) dried leaves (*Camelia sinensis*) were purchased from the local market in Ratnagiri. Leaves were ground into fine powder. The powder was dissolved in ethanol (1:20 w/v) and then the active ingredients were extracted using a water bath with shaker at 40 °C for 4 h. The extract was filtered and concentrated to get crude extract.

The green tea and pomegranate peel extracts were stored in a refrigerator in air tight bottles.

*Treatment with extracts*

Extract solution was prepared by dissolving 1.0 g plant extract in 100 ml distilled water. Fishes were separately dipped into two extract solutions i.e. pomegranate peel (PP) and green tea (GT) extracts, stored at 0°C. Another group of fishes were dipped into water and used as control.

*Analyses*

Quality control analyses of fish were performed regularly during the entire storage period.

1. **Total Phenolics in Plant Extracts**

Total phenolic contents of the extracts were determined spectrophotometrically according to the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method (Spanos and Wrolstad, 1990).
Each extract of 0.1 ml was introduced to 5 ml Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent (10 %), 4 ml sodium carbonate (10 %) and 0.9 ml distilled water. The mixture was allowed to stand for 2 hours before absorbance measurement against blank at 765 nm (Genensys 10 UV). Results were expressed as mg tannic acid equivalent (GAE) in mg 100g⁻¹.

2. Sensory analysis

Sensory characteristics i.e. appearance, color, odor, taste, texture and overall acceptability were evaluated by a trained panel of 5 members using 9-point hedonic scale according to Peryam and Pilgrim (1957). The limit of acceptability was 4 for all the samples (Table 1). High score indicated good quality and vice versa.

3. Statistical analysis

Recorded results were analyzed using appropriate statistical methods (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). The significant results were stated as $P<0.05$. (Simeonidou et al., 1997).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total Phenolics of Plant Extracts

In the present study, the phenolic content of PP and GT extracts at different concentrations in terms of tannic acid equivalent was estimated using the standard curve equation: $y = 0.174+19.03x$, $r^2 = 0.967$ obtained from standard curve of known tannic acid concentrations. The phenolic content in pomegranate peel extract (PPE) and green tea extract (GTE) were observed to be 212±20.55 and 159.3±7.72 mg g⁻¹ tannic acid equivalent respectively.

Yerlikaya et al. (2010) reported the total phenolic content of pomegranate peel extract and green tea extract to be 249±17.2 & 126±4.5 mg g⁻¹ tannic acid equivalent, respectively. The values observed in the present study, if compared to that of Yerlikaya et al. (2010), showed that phenolic content was slightly lower in PPE and higher in GTE.

Sarah et al. (2010) reported the total phenolic content in red onion (Allium cepa) juice OJ and green tea (Camellia sinensis) TE extracts at different concentrations in terms of TAE (with the standard curve equation: $y = 0.0091+0.0075x$, $r^2 = 0.9945$). The lowest (3.13 mg TAE g⁻¹) and highest (538.2 mg TAE g⁻¹) contents were found in aqueous solutions of 1 % OJ and 5 % TE respectively. Green tea extract had more phenolic compounds when compared to onion juice at the same concentration. Unalan et al. (2011) reported that the pomegranate peel (Punica granatum) extract had high phenolic content of 481 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE g⁻¹) dry extract; whereas aqueous rosemary extract (1:1) had 30.2 mg GAE g⁻¹ dry extract. Ibrahium (2010) also reported relatively higher total polyphenols content in pomegranate peel extract (867 mg g⁻¹). The polyphenolic composition of PPE is characterized by a high proportion of punicalagin (296 mg g⁻¹) in comparison to the remaining compounds. Khammuang and Sarinthima (2011) reported that the total phenolic content in mango (Mangifera indica L.) extract was 399.8 mg GAE g⁻¹.
**Biochemical analysis**

The TMA-N content was observed to increase progressively in both PPE & GTE treated samples (Figure 1). However, the increment of TMA-N content was rapid in GTE treated samples than PPE treated samples. The study indicated that the increase in TMA-N value was slow in the PPE treated samples when compared to GTE treated samples. These findings are in agreement with Unalan et al. (2011) for pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) extract (1 % v/w) and rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) extract (1 % v/w) on shelf life extension of frozen and chilled Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*) fillets. The fillets were kept in modified atmosphere packaging (40 % CO₂/60 % N₂) during chilled storage at 2°C. The TMA-N values were increased significantly (P < 0.05) during the chilled storage and reached nearly limit level at the end of chilled storage. The treatment of mackerel with PPE & GTE showed significant effect on the levels of TMA-N between treated and untreated fish, whereas no significant difference was found between the treated fish.

During the ice storage of PPE & GTE treated mackerel the production of non-volatile amines was not significant even when the fish attained the putrid state. Hence, the use of these amines as overall quality predictors is not advisable.

In the present study TVB-N was 7.12±0.58 mg % at the beginning of the storage in PPE treated as well as GTE treated samples. The TVB-N content was observed to increase progressively in both PPE & GTE treated samples. However, the increment of TVB-N content was rapid in GTE treated samples than PPE treated samples. The lower TVB-N values were recorded in PPE treated sample during storage (Figure 2). The values in treated samples below or close to limit level indicated that the increase in TVB-N value was slow in the PPE treated samples as compared to GTE treated samples. These findings are in agreement with Unalan et al. (2011). The TVB-N values for these treatments significantly increased (P < 0.05) during the chilled storage and below limit level at the end of chilled storage.

In the present study, the untreated and PPE & GTE treated fish samples exhibited increase in pH during the entire 1-17 days of ice storage. The initial value of 6.20±0.58, reached a value of 7.59±0.51 in untreated fish, whereas the values found to be 6.90±0.82, 7.12±0.85, 7.40±0.55 and 7.30±0.59 in 0.5 % PPE, 1 % PPE, 3 % GTE and 5 % GTE treated fish, respectively (Figure 3). The increase in the pH value during storage may be attributed to the raising levels of TMA and other volatile bases in fish muscle as a result of spoilage.

Bennopur et al. (1991) reported that the pH of fresh mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) was 5.69. It varied from 5.95 to 6.02 at different rejection times. At the end of the storage, the pH values were 6.24, 6.29, and 6.52, for ice: fish ratios of 1:2, 1:3, and 1:4, respectively. This slow rise in pH was observed by all of three different ice: fish ratios (1:2, 1:3, and 1:4) if compared to ice: fish ratio of 1:1. Lokuruka et al. (2009) reported that the pH of the Nile perch (*Lates niloticus*) stored in ice increased...
with storage time. On day 3, the pH was 6.85. However, there was no significant increase in the pH until the 10th day when it increased to 6.94. On day 22, when the fish was considered unacceptable for human consumption, the pH was 7.18.

The amount of FFA formed was more than double during the entire storage period. However, significant differences were found between PPE & GTE treated and untreated samples stored in ice. The 0.5 % PPE & 3 % GTE showed a lower FFA content as compared to higher concentrations of PPE & GTE. The PPE showed better inhibition of lipid degradation than GTE in mackerel as assayed by increased FFA content in GTE treated samples. Quitral et al. (2009) reported that the higher hydrolytic activity could be explained on the basis of the marked pH increase observed for untreated fish.

Comparison between individuals corresponding to *Origanum vulgare* (OI) and *Rosmarinus officinalis* (RI) conditions led to a lower (*p < 0.05*) lipid hydrolysis development at days 2 and 23 in Chilean jack mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*) treated under the RI icing system. During chilled storage, FFA formation has been reported during a first stage as a result of endogenous enzyme activity (Quitral, 2009). Later on, microbial activity should be important, so that FFA formation should mostly be produced as a result of bacterial enzyme activity. A partial inhibitory effect of the plant extract on the endogenous enzyme activity occurred in the first stage (days 2–6); meanwhile, the antimicrobial effect of the plant extracts was strong lead in the second stage (days 10–23) to a lower FFA formation in the fish muscle.

**Microbiological analysis**

TPC of PPE & GTE treated samples stored in ice were comparatively lower than that of untreated samples. This may be due to action of antimicrobial treatment given to the fishes. These findings are in agreement with Ibrahium; (2010), who reported that pomegranate peel extract was effective against the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The inhibitory effect of PPE increased by increasing concentrations of PPE and inhibition zones ranged from 9.6 to 25.7 mm. The highest inhibition was obtained for *E. coli* while the lowest was for *S. cerevisiae*. These results provide evidence for the presence of antimicrobial phenolic compounds in PPE. These compounds degrade the cell wall, disrupt the cytoplasmic membrane, damage membrane proteins and interfere with membrane-integrated enzymes, which may eventually lead to cell death. Also, Nurmahani et al. (2012) reported that the antibacterial activity of PP & GT plant extracts may be due to the capability of bioactive compounds to form a complex with extracellular and soluble proteins, inhibit enzyme activity and also affect bacterial cell walls.

**Sensory analysis**

In the present study, organoleptic score for fresh fish was taken as the main criteria for judging the quality of ice stored fish. The sensory value, on 6th day for
control was 1.76±0.97 which was below the level of acceptability limit. Mackerel treated with 0.5 % & 1 % PPE was acceptable up to 17 days, where as those with 3 % & 5 % GTE was acceptable up to 16 days of ice storage. It indicated that both PPE & GTE had tangible effects on increasing the shelf life of mackerel in ice storage. An extension of 8 days of shelf life was noticed due to PPE & GTE treatment of mackerel. Physical and chemical changes in proteins of fish during ice storage caused texture deterioration. This problem also affected sensory aspects.

Mackerel treated with green tea extract was the most preferred samples in terms of sensory scores. Ababouch et al. (1996) reported that the keeping time of sardines (Sardina pilchardus) varied between 21 and 27 h (average 23 h) for fish stored at ambient and from 8-11 days (average 9.5 days) in ice. Surendran and Iyer (1980) reported that the shelf life of pearl spot (Etroplus suratensis) in ice was 8-10 days.

**CONCLUSION**

Lipid oxidation and protein degradation cause unpleasant physical and sensory alterations even during ice storage. In this study, it was found that use of plant extracts as natural antioxidants have positive effects on quality parameters during ice storage. Green tea extract treatment was more preferred in terms of brightness, odor and texture aspects. The present findings will be useful in leading to further experiments on the identification and characterization of natural sources that are responsible for preservation of eating quality and extended shelf life of food products.
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Table 1. Sensory evaluation scale for ice stored fish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Outer slime</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Milky</td>
<td>Opaque</td>
<td>Clotted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pigmentation</td>
<td>Bright, Iridescent</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>Less natural</td>
<td>Not bright</td>
<td>Faded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes</td>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Bright pupil, Translucent Cornea</td>
<td>Translucent, faded pupil</td>
<td>Cornea, Opalescent cornea</td>
<td>Gray pupil, Milky Cornea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Completely convex</td>
<td>Completely convex</td>
<td>Less convex</td>
<td>Plane</td>
<td>Slightly concave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Completely concave, sunken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gills</td>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Bloody Red</td>
<td>Bloody red</td>
<td>Dull red</td>
<td>Pale red</td>
<td>Dirty yellow, White grayish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>Fresh (algae, sea weed)</td>
<td>Neutral sweet</td>
<td>Neutral sweet</td>
<td>Slightly rancid</td>
<td>Disagreeable Off odours, nauseous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flesh</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Firm (slightly rigid)</td>
<td>Elastic</td>
<td>Flexible, soft</td>
<td>Very soft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of belly</td>
<td>Firm rigid</td>
<td>Intact (not rigid)</td>
<td>Distended firm</td>
<td>Soft (not firm)</td>
<td>Fragile, Perforated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Rigid</td>
<td>Firm (slightly rigid)</td>
<td>Elastic</td>
<td>Very soft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-4 “excellent”, 4-3 “good”, 3-2.5 “fair”, 2.5-2 “poor, 2-1 “very poor” Scores<2.5 is rejected
**Note:** PP1- 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract, PP2- 1% Pomegranate peel extract, GT1- 3% Green tea extract, GT2- 5% Green tea extract and CTL- Control (untreated).

Figure 1. Changes in TMA-N (mg %) content in pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage.
Note: PP1- 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract, PP2- 1% Pomegranate peel extract, GT1- 3% Green tea extract, GT2- 5% Green tea extract and CTL- Control (untreated).

Figure 2. Changes in TVB-N (mg %) content in pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage
Note: PP1 - 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract, PP2 - 1% Pomegranate peel extract, GT1 - 3% Green tea extract, GT2 - 5% Green tea extract and CTL - Control (untreated).

Figure 3. Changes in pH value in pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage
Note: PP1 - 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract, PP2 - 1% Pomegranate peel extract, GT1 - 3% Green tea extract, GT2 - 5% Green tea extract and CTL - Control (untreated).

Figure 4. Changes in FFA (% oleic acid) content in pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage
Note: PP1 - 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract concentration, PP2 - 1% Pomegranate peel extract concentration, GT1 - 3% Green tea extract, GT2 - 5% Green tea extract concentration and CTL - Control (untreated).

Figure 5. Changes in TPC (Log cfu/g) of pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage.
Note: PP1- 0.5% Pomegranate peel extract concentration, PP2- 1% Pomegranate peel extract, GT1- 3% Green tea extract, GT2- 5% Green tea extract and CTL- Control (untreated).

Figure 6. Sensory scores of pomegranate peel and green tea extract treated mackerel during ice storage.