

Research in

ISSN: P-2409-0603, E-2409-9325

AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK and FISHERIES

An Open Access Peer Reviewed Journal

Open Access

Res. Agric. Livest. Fish.

Research Short Article

Vol. 3, No. 2, August 2016: 339-344

A STUDY ON PREVALENCE OF PESTE DES PETITS RUMINANT (PPR) IN GOAT AT BAGMARA UPAZILLA AT RAJSHAHI DISTRICT IN BANGLADESH

Md. Mostafijur Rahman^{1*}, Khondoker Jahengir Alam¹, Md. Shah Alam¹, Md. Mahmudul Hasan² and Monalisha Moonmoon²

¹Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Babugoni, Barisal 8210; ²Faculty of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Babugoni, Barisal 8210, Bangladesh

Corresponding author: Md. Mostafijur Rahman; E-mail: mrrana@pstu.ac.bd

ARTICLE INFOABSTRACT

Received A study was conducted at Bagmara upazilla under the district of Rajshahi in Bangladesh 02.08.2016 during the period of 2 month from 20 September 2015 to 14 November 2015 to determine the prevalence of PPR in goat. A total of 72 diseases cases were recorded randomly Accepted irrespective of age, sex and breed over the study period. In the present study the overall 15.08.2016 prevalence of PPR in goat was 27.78%. In black Bengal goat, the prevalence of PPR in different age group i.e. up to 6 month, 7-12 month, 13-19 month, above 19 month were Online 18.18%, 42.30%, 22.22% and 12.50%, respectively. Over all, female black bengal goat 17 August 2016 (46.42%) was more infected than male (12.00%). The prevalence of PPR in different age group i.e. 7-12 month, 13-19 month were 42.85% and 25.00%, respectively; and males Key words (16.66%) and female (23.07%) of Jamunapari goat were recorder. In general, black bengal goats have the higher prevalence (30.18%) than Jamunapari goat (21.05%). The non-Prevalence, immunized goat showed higher prevalence (38.77%) than immunized (4.34%) goat. All data PPR, were analyzed by using $\chi 2$ tests with P value at (P<0.05) level of significance.

To cite this article: Rahman MM, KJ Alam, MS Alam, MM Hasan and M Moonmoon, 2016. A study on prevalence of peste des petits ruminant (PPR) in goat at Bagmara upazilla at Rajshahi district in Bangladesh.Res. Agric. Livest. Fish., 3 (2): 339-344.



Black bengal goat

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

www.agroaid-bd.org/ralf, E-mail: editor.ralf@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Small ruminant especially goat is very important in rural economy of the Bangladesh. Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) is a disease of major economic importance and imposes a significant constrain upon sheep and goat production owing to its high mortality rate (Asimet al., 2008). Peste des petits ruminant (PPR), which literally means "Plague of small ruminants", is an economically important disease of sheep and goats. PPR has been recognized as a highly contagious viral disease of small ruminants, particularly in goats in Bangladesh (Islam et al., 2001). The PPR virus is considered as one of the predisposing factor for respiratory disease complex in goats (Taylor et al., 1990). It is a highly contagious, infectious and fatal viral disease of domestic and small ruminants (Abdallalet al., 2012). In Bangladesh, the PPR virus was first identified during a severe outbreak in 1993 (Sil et al., 1995) which was further confirmed by world reference laboratory and found that the virus has a close relation with Indian isolates (West Bengal) of PPR virus at a cluster with Asian group (Barrett et al., 1997). The Peste des petits ruminant's virus (PPRV) has been classified under the family Paramyxoviridae, Order Mononegavirales and Genus Morbillivirus (Toberet al., 1998). As members of the family Paramyxoviridae, the PPR virus has an envelope. The genome of PPR virus has single stranded RNA, approximately 16kb long with negative polarity (Haas et al., 1995). PPR in goat has been recorded in 1993 from the border belt areas of south western districts (Sathkhira, Jessore and Barguna) of Bangladesh and then spreads throughout the country. By the year 1995, it is assumed 75 percent of the district in Bangladesh is affected with PPR (Debnath, 1995). It has been reported that the Black Bengal goats were more susceptible (67.24%) to PPR than Jamunapari breed (32.76%). Morbidity varies from 40-95% and mortality as high as 80-85% (Samad, 2000). Considering the above circumstances and present situation the study was conducted to study the prevalence (%) of PPR of goat at Bagmara upazila of Rajshahi district in Bangladesh.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Study area and duration

The present study was conducted at Bagmara upazilla Veterinary hospital under the district of Rajshahi in Bangladesh. The study was conducted from 20th September, 2015 to 14th November, 2015.

Sample and sample size

The study was conducted on naturally PPR suspected goat brought to the veterinary hospital during the study period. A total number of 72 diseases cases were recorded during the study period. Samples were selected randomly irrespective of age, sex and breed over the study period. During the study period different aged goats are consider. Both Black Bengal and Jamunapari goat were grouped into 4 different age groups. Such as, up to 6 month, 7-12 month, 13-19 month, above 19 month of age goat were categorized as group I, II, III and group IV, respectively.

Data collection

The data were directly collected from the owner. Data were based on , Client/owners complains, anamnesis of patient (goat), clinical history, physical examination data (Inspection, Temperature, auscultation, respiration) and clinical sign of suspected goats. History of the cases were taken carefully from the owner based the following aspect (Age, Sex, Breed, Vaccination-yes/no, duration of rearing, housing, previous disease history etc).

Recording of signs and symptoms

Close inspection: Different exposed signs and symptoms were recorded carefully by close infection-Erosion of oral mucosa, respiratory distress, discharges from eyes, nose, mouth, rough coat, soiled hind quarter.

Temperature: Temperatures were recorded by indirect palpation per rectum by thermometer of every case and tabulate.

Indirect auscultation: Indirect auscultation was performed to hear the lung and tracheal sound to coincide with the symptoms of pneumonia.

Skin fold test: Skin fold test were performed to take the rough estimation of the degree of dehydration.

Diagnosis: Among all diseased cases of goat brought to the veterinary hospitals for treatment, presumptive diagnosis of PPR in goat were made on the basis of owners complains, clinical history, clinical signs.

Data analysis

The raw data were collected from owners complain, clinical history and clinical signs and recorded into a previously formed data record sheet. Positivity and prevalence rate were calculated by using MS excel programme. $\chi 2$ test and P value were calculated by the help of SSS (online) software. A descriptive analysis was performed to interpret the data.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The present study was conducted to observe the prevalence of PPR in goat. The prevalence and associated results of this study are summarized in the table given below.

Table 1. Over all prevalence of PPR in goat

Total Case	No. of infected goat	Percent (%)	
72	20	27.78	

In the present study the overall prevalence (%) of PPR was 27.78% (Table 1). Khan *et al.*, (2007) reported the overall prevalence of PPRV was 43.33% of the ruminant population in Punjab. They also mentioned the overall PPR antibody seroprevalence in goats was 39.02% which is significantly higher. Abubakar et al., (2008) recorded the prevalence of PPR in small ruminants in Pakistan was 40.98%, but overall was 46.7%. On the contrary in Cameroon, (N = 320), 35% PPR antibodies while for Nigeria (N= 382), the values was 56.5 (Majiyagbe et al., 1992).

Prevalence of PPR on the basis of age

The prevalence of PPR in goats (Black Bengal and Jamunapari) were high in the age between 7-12 months, the prevalence per cent were observed 42.30%, 42.85% in Black Bengal and Jamunapari, respectively. On the other hand, in adult goat (aged over 19 months) prevalence of PPR were quiet negligible; it was 12.1% in Black Bengal whereas no clinical case was recorded in case of Jamunapari goat during the study period (Table 2). The result was statistically not significant. The findings of this study were agreed with Venkataramanan J. (2005) and Blood et al., (1995) they found that more prevalence of PPR in goats were under 1 year of age, specially 4-12 months of age. Taylor et al., (1990) also reported the susceptibility of young animals aged 3 to 18 months was proved to be very high. Radostits et al., (2000) and Singh et al. (2004) also assessed that most prevalent in the goats less than one year.

The present study revealed that, females were more susceptible to PPR than male. The prevalence (%) was found 46.42% and 23.07% in female followed by 12.00% and 16.66% in male Black Bengal and Jamunapari goat, respectively (Table 3). The statistical data analysis shows that, the results of Black Bengal goat was significant where as the result obtained in case of jamunapari goat was statistically not significant (P<0.05). The actual cause is not known but it was assumed that, females were normally immunologically weaker than male due to some hormonal effects, Pregnancy or milking status (Chakrabarti, 2004). Samad, (2001) reported that around 60.23% female goat affected with PPR. But this study shows lower value than Samad's observation. This is might be due to Sample size and duration of the present study was lower than that of Samad (2000).

Table 2. Age wise prevalence of PPR in Black Bengal (BB) and Jamunapari goat

Black Bengal Goat						
Age group	No. of goat	No. of infected	Prevalence (%)	χ2 test	P Value <0.05	
Group I	11	2	18.18			
Group II	26	11	42.30	0.0404	0.500.455	
Group III	9	2	22.22	2.2434	0.523455	
Group IV	8	1	12.50			
		<u>Jamu</u>	napari Goat			
Age group	No. of goat	No. of infected in PPR	Prevalence (%)	χ2test	P Value <0.05	
Group I	3	0	-			
Group II	7	3	42.85			
Group III	4	1	25.00	2.8145	0.421123	
Group IV	5	0	-			

Sex wise prevalence of PPR

Table 3. Prevalence of PPR in different sexes of Black Bengal goat

Black Bengal Goat						
sex	No. of goat	No. of affected in	Prevalence (%)	χ2test	P Value	
		PPR			<0.05	
Female	28	13	46.42	4.1167	0.042463	
Male	25	3	12.00	4.1107		
Jamnapari goat						
Sex	No. of goat	No. of affected in	Percent in affected	χ2test	P Value	
		PPR			<0.05	
Female	13	3	23.07%	0.0676	0.794935	
Male	6	1	16.66%		3 3 .000	

Breed wise prevalence of PPR

In the study area during the study period Black Bengal (BB) goat and Jamunapari goat were brought to the hospital for treatment. So this two breed were consider for the present study. The result of the breed wise prevalence of PPR was summarized and presented in (Table 4). The result of the present study revealed that, Black Bengal breed of goat have the higher prevalence rate (30.18%) than Jamunapari (21.05%) with $\chi 2$ test (0.3407) and P value (0.559402) at (P<0.05) level of significance.

Table 4. Breed wise prevalence of PPR in goat

Breed	No. of case recorded	No. of PPR case	Prevalence (%)	χ2 test	P Value <0.05
ВВ	53	16	30.18		
Jamunapari	19	4	21.05	0.3407	0.559402
Total	72	20	27.78		

The statistical analysis of the results shows that the result was not significant (P<0.05). This result is in agreement with the Shaila*et al.*, (1989) and Samad (2001) reported Black Bengal goats are more susceptible (67.24%) to PPR than Jamunapari breed (32.76%). Higher incidence of PPR in to indigenous Black Bengal goats (27.13%) may be due to enhance participation in disease surveillance and immune-suppression and irregular vaccination program (Mondal*et al.*, 1995).

Prevalence of PPR on the basis of immune status

Table 5. Prevalence of PPR on the basis of immunological status irrespective of breed

Immune status	No. of goat	No. of affected in PPR	Percent infected	in	χ2 test	P Value <0.05
Vaccinated	23	1	4.34%			
Non-vaccinated	49	19	38.77%		5.8934	0.15197

The findings of present study showed that, the prevalence of PPR was higher in non-vaccinated 38.77 (%) as compared to vaccinated 4.34 (%) goat irrespective to breeds (Table 5). The statistical analysis revealed that the result was not significant with $\chi 2$ test (5.8934) and P value (0.15197) at (P<0.05) level of significance. This result supports the earlier report where higher prevalence of PPR (68.38%) was found in the unvaccinated goat (Gibbs et al., 1979).

REFERENCES

- Abdallal AS, Majok, and Malik K, 2012. Sero-prevalence of peste des petits ruminant's virus (PPRV) in small ruminants in Blue Nile, Gadaref and North Kordofan states of Sudan. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology, 4: 59-64.
- 2. Abubakar M, Jamal SM and Hussain M Ali, 2008. Incidence of peste des petits ruminants (PPR) virus in sheep and goat as detected by immuno-capture ELISA (Ic ELISA). Small Ruminant Research, 75: 256-259.
- 3. Asim M, Rashid A, and Chaudhary AH, 2008. Effect of various stabilizers on titre of lyophilized live attenuated Pest des petits ruminants (PPR) vaccine. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 28: 203-204.
- 4. Barrete T, Pronab D, Sreenivasa BP and Corteyn M, 1997. Recent epidemiology of peste des petitsruminants virus (PPRV). Veterinary Microbiology, 88: 125-130.
- 5. Blood DC, Rodostis OM and Gay CC, 1995. Veterinary Medicine. 8th ed. Ballier Tindall, UK, pp. 837.
- 6. Chakrabarti A, 2004. A Text Book of Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2ndedn. Kalyani Publisher, New Delhi, India, pp: 72-76.
- 7. Debnath NC, 1995. Peste des petits ruminants (PPR); an overview proceeding of the BSVER Symposium on Eradication of Rinderpest and Related Diseases. 2nd December, Dhaka, pp: 9-13.
- 8. Gibbs EPJ, Taylor WP and Lawman MJP, 1979. Classification of Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) virus as the fourth member of the genus Morbilivirus. International Journal of virology, 15:35-41.

- 9. Haas TJ, 1995. PPR virus as the fourth member of the genus Morbilivirus. International Journal of Virology, 16: 32-53.
- 10. Islam MR, Shamsuddin M, Das PM and Dewan ML, 2001. An outbreak of Peste des petits ruminants in Black Bengal goats in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. The Bangladesh Veterinarian, 18: 14-19.
- 11. Khan HA, Siddique M, Arshad MJ, Khan QM, and Rehman SU 2007. Sero-prevalence of peste des petits ruminants (PPR) virus in sheep and goats in Punjab province of Pakistan. Pakistan Veterinary Journal, 3: 109-112.
- 12. Majiyagbe KA, Shamaki D, Kulu D, and Udeani TKC, 1992. Peste des petite ruminants (PPR) and rinderpest (RP) antibodies in clinically normal small ruminants in the Cameroon and Nigeria. Small ruminant research and development in Africa, Proceedings of the Second Biennial Conference of the African Small Ruminant Research Network AICC, Arusha, Tanzania 7-11 December 1992.
- 13. Mondal AK, Chottopadhuay AP, Sarkar SD, Saha GR and Bhowmik MK, 1995. Report on epizootological and clinico-pathological observation on peste des petits ruminants (PPR) in West Bengal. Indian Journal of Animal Health Bulletin, 64: 261.
- 14. Radostis OM, Blood DC, and Gay CC, 2000. Veterinary Medicine, 9thedn., Bailliere and Tindall, London, pp:1059-1063.
- 15. Samad MA, 2000. PoshuPalon O Chikitsavidya (Animal Husbandry and Medicine). 2ndEdn., Published by M. Bulbul, Bangladesh Agricultural University campus, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- 16. Samad MA, 2001. PoshuPalon O Chikitsavidya (Animal Husbandry and Medicine). 2ndEdn., Published by M. Bulbul, BAU campus, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- 17. Shaila MS, Purushothaman V, Bhavasar D, Venugopal K, and Venkatesan RA, 1989. Peste des petits ruminants of sheep in India. Veterinary Record, 125:602.
- 18. Sil BK, Rahman MF, and Taimur MJF, 1995. Observation on outbreaks of peste des petits ruminants in organized goat farms and approach of its treatment and preversation Second BSVER Annual Scientific Conference. Programme and Abstracts. Dhaka, 2-3 December, pp.10.
- 19. Singh RP, Sreenivasa BP, Dhar P and Bandyopadhyay SK, 2004. A sandwich-ELISA for the diagnosis of Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) infection in small ruminants using anti-nucleocapsid protein monoclonal antibody. Archive of Virology, 149: 2155-2170.
- 20. Taylor WP, 1990. Epidemiology of peste des petits ruminants. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 3: 135-197.
- 21. Tober C, Seufert M, Schneider H, Billeter MA, Johnston IC, Niewiesk S, terMeulen V, Schneider-Schaulies S, 1998. Expression of measles virus V protein is associated with pathogenicity and control of viral RNA synthesis. Journal of Virology, 72: 8124–8132.
- 22. Venkataramanan J, 2005. The isolation of peste des petits ruminant's virus from Northern India. Veterinary Microbiology, 51:207-212.