EFFECT OF DWARF AND NAKED NECK GENE ON THE EGG PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE IN TROPICS

M. K. Uddin¹, M. Ahammed, M. R. Rahman² and M. A. R. Howlider Department of Poultry Science, Bangladesh Agricultural University

Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Cocks and pullets from each of 4 genotypes; Redbro (RbRb), Naked neck (NaNa), Redbro dwarf (Rbdw) and Naked neck dwarf (Nadw) were crossed reciprocally for egg production trial. Egg quality (egg weight, egg diameter, egg length, shell thickness, membrane thickness, membrane weight, shell weight, % of shell, yolk color score, albumen diameter, albumen height, yolk diameter and yolk weight) were compared among 4 genotypes. Daily feed intakes, almost related to respected body size were reduced in Rbdw and Nadw hens by 7.41 and 19.89% than in normal sized RbRb hens. The feed conversion and hen day egg production were highest in Rbdw, intermediate in RbRb and Nadw and lowest in NaNa. Naked neck (Na) and dwarf genotypes had favorable effect on egg fertility and hatchability. Parents in both Na and dw inheritance had favorable shell thickness, shell membrane weight (%), albumen height and diameter, yolk height and diameter, albumen weight and albumen weight (%) and yolk weight, while NaNa had highest yolk weight (%). Both egg weight and chick weight percent were highest in RbRb x Rbdw genotypes, followed by RbRb, RbRb x Nadw, RbRb x NaNa, Rbdw x RbRb, Rbdw, Rbdw x Nadw, Rbdw x NaNa, Nadw x RbRb, Nadw x Rbdw, Nadw, Nadw x NaNa, NaNa x RbRb, NaNa x Rbdw, NaNa x Nadw and NaNa respectively. Chick weight as percent of egg weight irrespective of genotype was simply the functions of egg weight.

Key Words : Dwarf gene, Naked neck gene, Egg production, Egg quality

INTRODUCTION

In Bangladesh poultry comprises mainly of *Deshi* chicken and ducks are rearing predominatly by scavenging system (UNDP/FAO, 1989). The small sized scavenging *Deshi* chickens weighing 1-2 kg are poor producer of both the meat and eggs (Huque, 1998). It will take long time to replace the scavenging poultry by commercial poultry industry. Thus, Bangladesh has a great potential to increase the poultry production by increasing small holders non-sophisticated rural units. Exotic improved breeds like White leghorn, Rhode Island Red, New Hampshire etc. of high yielding strains do not perform as per standard in the small holding units with poor management. The naked neck (Na) chickens are well known for their higher heat tolerance than their full-feathered counter parts. They also have higher total meat, breast meat and egg

¹ Laboratory of Applied Greenstock Science, Faculty of Bioresources, Mie University, Japan

²School of Agriculture and Rural Development, Bangladesh Open University, Gazipur, Bangladesh

production (Merat, 1986; Howlider *et al.*, 1995; Bairagi *et al.*, 1992) than in full feathered birds. On the other hand, the dwarf birds are also heat and disease tolerant, more egg producer, need less maintenance and feed requirement than for the normal sized birds (Merat, 1986). Present study was conducted to know the effect of naked neck and dwarf genes on egg production performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The entire experiment was conducted in an open-sided house of Bangladesh Agricultural University Poultry farm. A total of 20 cocks and 200 pullets were divided into 4 genotypes (Rbrb, Rbdw, NaNa and Nadw), each group consists of 5 cocks and 50 pullets. The birds were reared in cages for a period of 36 weeks from 25 to 65 weeks of age. During the whole experimental period all birds had an ad-libitum access to the balanced feed according to their age. Lighting period was maintained 16 hours daily with 8 hours darkness. Eggs were collected twice daily at 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. respectively. Thirty six eggs from each of the 4 genotypes were collected to observe egg quality characteristics. Experimental birds were allowed to cross according to the diagram given in Table 1.

Male Female	Redbro (RbRb)	Redbro dwarf (Rbdw)	Naked neck dwarf (Nadw)	Local naked neck (NaNa)
Redbro (RbRb)	RbRb x RbRb	Rbdw x RbRb	Nadw x RbRb	NaNa x RbRb
Redbro dwarf (Rbdw)	RbRb x Rbdw	Rbdw x Rbdw	Nadw x Rbdw	NaNa x Rbdw
Naked neck dwarf (Nadw)	RbRb x Nadw	Rbdw x Nadw	Nadw x Nadw	NaNa x Nadw
Local naked neck (NaNa)	RbRb x NaNa	Rbdw x NaNa	Nadw x NaNa	NaNa x NaNa

Table 1. Crossing of basic parent lines

Determination of egg quality characteristics

The production performances of hen of each groups was recorded based on the egg production, egg weight, feed intake, egg mass production and feed conversion ratio. The egg quality characteristics recorded as egg shell weight, shell dry weight, shell (%), shell thickness and shell membrane thickness (Chowdhury, 1998), Haugh unit, albumen dry matter (%), yolk color score, yolk index (Westey and Stadleman, 1959), fresh yolk (Chowdhury, 1988), and yolk dry matter (%). Egg weight was measured with the help of egg weighing balance. The length and width were measured by a slide calipers and their mean values were recorded. The eggs were then carefully broken on a glass plate (30 cm x 21 cm) to measure the egg quality characteristics.

Determination of egg shape index

The egg shape index was determined by calculating the width and length of each egg using the formula derived by Reddy *et al.* (1965). Following is the formula of calculation :

Shape index = Average width of egg/Average length of egg.

Uddin et al.

Determination of albumen index

The albumen index was determined by dividing the height of thick albumen by the width of thick albumen (Heiman and Carver, 1936). Following is the formula of calculation :

Albumen index = Average height of albumen/Average diameter of albumen.

Yolk index : The yolk index was calculated as the ratio of yolk height to yolk width without removing the yolk from albumen (Wesley and Staldeman, 1959).

Yolk index = Average height of yolk/Average width of yolk.

Haugh Unit (HU) : The unit was calculated for each egg by using the formula suggested by Haugh in 1937.

HU = 100 log (H+7.57-1.7W0.37). Where H = height of thick albumen and W = egg weight.

Yolk color : Yolk color was determined by comparing with the Roche Yolk Colour (RYC) Fan (F. Hoffman and La Roche Ltd., Switzerland).

Shell thickness and shell membrane thickness

After oven drying, shell thickness (mm) and shell membrane thickness (mm) were measured by means of shell thickness meter (Ogawa Shiki Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Breaking strength

The breaking strength was determined by using a formula cited by Arad and Marder (1982). The breaking strength was calculated by the following formula : Average breaking strength = $50.86 \times (EW) = 0.915$. Where EW = egg weight.

Weight of different egg components

The method outlined by Chowdhury (1998) was followed for partitioning different egg components. The yolk was separated carefully from the albumen with the help of a spatula and transferred to a bloating paper subsequently; the blotted yolk was transferred to a previously weighed petridish. The albumen was transferred to a previously weighed petridish by a spatula and reweighed. Precautions were taken to avoid rupture of yolk at all stages and also to keep the losses of yolk and albumen due to handling at minimum. Both raw albumen and yolk were transferred to an electronic oven and dried there at 105° C for 24 hours. On the following day, oven dried albumen and yolk were cooled in desiccators and weighed. These were dried up to constant weight and the final weight was noted. The shell of the broken eggs were rinsed and washed thoroughly in tap water keeping the membranes intact. The washed shells with membranes were immersed in a beaker of water (around 24 hours) for the removal of shell membranes. The shell and shell membranes were then oven dried separately at 105°C over night on glass petridishes. On the following day, oven dried shell and shell membranes were allowed to cool in desiccators and weighed. Drying of shell and shell membranes were counted in an oven until constant weight was obtained. Finally the weight of different components of the shell were determined as per the suggestion made by Chowdhury (1988).

Statistical analysis

All the recorded and calculated data were analysed for ANOVA (Steel and Torrie, 1980) using a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Significant differences to compare mean values of all the recorded and calculated parameters among the treatments were tasted by using Least Significant Differences (LSD). The data of egg production, egg quality characteristics of four genotypes chicken were analyzed using factorial experiment in a CRD.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Egg production performance

Egg production performances of parental genotypes are shown in Table 2.

Genotype		0	Live wt. (gm) At end of expt.			Hen-housed egg production	FCR
RbRb	50	25-65	3300 ± 200	135 ± 20.50	47.75 ± 2.50	40.50 ± 1.50	4.40
Rbdw	50	25-65	2900 ± 250	125 ± 7.50	48.50 ± 4.50	45.50 ± 40.25	4.00
Nadw	50	25-65	1530 ± 450	110 ± 7.50	42.50 ± 2.70	40.25 ± 1.70	4.52
NaNa	50	35-65	950 ± 300	90 ± 6.50	18.75 ± 3.20	16.00 ± 2.50	10.36

Table 2. Egg production performance of RbRb, Rbdw, Nadw and NaNa

Daily feed intake of pullets was highest in RbRb, intermediate in Rbdw and Nadw and lowest in NaNa genotypes respectively. In Rbdw and Nadw dwarf genotypes daily feed intakes were reduced by 7.41 and 19.89% compare to that of normal RbRb breeders. The feed intake was almost related to the body weights of different genotypes. The hen day egg production was hgighest in Rbdw followed by RbRb, Nadw and NaNa respectively. Introgression of dw in RbRb breeders also increased egg production. The feed for egg production was highest in Rbdw, medium in RbRb and Nadw and lowest in NaNa. Among the 4 genotypes improved FCR was found in Rbdw (4.00) and poor in NaNa (10.36). The beneficial effects of introgression of dw gene in RbRb on egg production and feed conversion for egg production recorded in Table 2 supports the findings of Yeasmin and Howlider (1998). They reported that the egg production was higher in dwarf broiler breeders than that of their normal counterparts. Decreased daily feed intake by Rbdw and Nadw genotypes than the normal RbRb breeders indicated the use of dwarf dams may substantially cut feed cost leading higher profitable of chick production. Decreased feed intake in birds with dw inheritance is supported by many previous findings (Yeasmin and Howlider, 1998).

Egg quality characteristics

The data in Table 3 impress that the combination Na and dw had favorable effects on shell thickness, shell membrane weight (%), albumen height and diameter, yolk height and diameter, albumen weight and albumen weight (%) and yolk weight. The NaNa had highest yolk weight (%) than the other 4 genotypes. The increased shell thickness, shell membrane weight (%), albumen height and diameter, albumen weight and albumen

118

Uddin et al.

weight (%), and yolk were resulted for the combination of Na and dw genes were partially contradicted by Khoo and Beh (1977). They got no appreciable effect of dw gene on those egg quality characteristics. The difference between the results of this study and previous findings might have been arisen because of current study in Na was combined with dw gene.

Parameter	Genotypes				Level of significance
	RbRb	Rbdw	NaNa	Nadw	G
Egg weight (g/egg)	64.10 ^a	57.65 ^b	40.55 c	59.73 ab	**
Egg diameter (cm)	4.38 ^a	4.32 ^a	3.81 ^b	4.15 ^a	**
Egg length (cm)	5.69 ^a	6.04 ^a	4.81 ^b	6.24 ^a	**
Egg shape index	75.65 ^a	71.52 ^b	79.20 ^a	66.51 ^c	**
Shell thickness	0.32 ^b	0.32 ^b	0.28 ^a	0.34 ^b	*
Membrane thickness (mm)	0.04 ^a	0.04 ^a	0.03 ^b	0.03 ^b	*
Membrane weight (g/egg)	0.20 ^a	0.20a	0.10 ^b	0.20a	**
Membrane wt.% of egg	0.31 ^b	0.35 ^a	0.25 c	0.34 ab	**
Shell weight (g/egg)	5.33ª	4.20 ^b	3.10 c	4.09 ^b	**
Shell %	8.38ª	7.33 b	7.66 ab	7.05 ^b	*
Yolk color score	5.00 ^{ab}	5.50 ^a	4.25 c	4.75 bc	**
Albumen diameter (cm)	7.78 ^{ab}	8.06 ^a	6.91 ^b	7.35 ^{ab}	NS
Albumen height (mm)	5.73 ^b	5.47 ^{bc}	5.00 c	7.75 ^a	**
Albumen index	0.073 ^b	0.067c	0.072 ^b	0.105ª	**
Yolk diameter (cm)	4.65 ^a	4.38 ^b	4.04 c	4.81ª	NS
Yolk height (mm)	18.76 ^b	18.65 ^b	16.38 c	19.93ª	**
Yolk index	0.402 ^{ab}	0.426 ^a	0.406 ab	0.414 ^a	**
Albumen weight (g/egg)	4.81ª	4.23a	2.68 ^b	4.35 ^a	**
Albumen weight %	7.50 ^a	7.31ª	6.57 ^b	7.29 ^a	*
Yolk weight (g/egg)	10.41ª	10.38 ^a	7.65 ^b	10.03ª	**
Yolk weight %	16.25 ^c	18.01 ^b	18.87ª	16.79 c	**
Haugh unit	72.6 ^{bc}	75.0 ^b	73.0 ^{bc}	88.2 ^a	**

Table 3. Egg quality characteristics of Redbro	(Rb), Rb x Dwarf (dw), Local naked neck
(Na) and Nadw genotypes	

NS = Not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01; the means having dissimilar superscript(s) significantly different

Fertility and hatchability

Both fertility and hatchability percent of eggs found highest in NaNa genotypes, followed by Na x Nadw, NaNa x RbRb, NaNa x Rbdw, Nadw, Nadw x NaNa, Nadw x

RbRb, Nadw x Rbdw, RbRb x NaNa, RbRb x Nadw, RbRb, RbRb x Rbdw, Rbdw x NaNa, Rbdw x Nadw, Rbdw x RbRb and Rbdw genotypes, respectively. Slightly higher fertility and hatchability (Table 4) obtained in crossing genotypes with Na and dw inheritance was contradictory to the findings of Guillaume (1976); Merat (1984). They did not find any difference in fertility and hatchability which could be explained by the difference of dw inheritance. Higher fertility and hatchability of birds with the Na inheritance recorded was contradictory to the general believe of proper reproductive fitness of Na chicken. This finding clearly indicates that the local naked neck chicken of Bangladesh may have different reproductive fitness than naked neck of other origin.

Strains	Fertility (%)	Hatchability (%)
Redbro	74.87	80.25
Redbro x Redbro dwarf	76.24	80.50
Redbro x Naked Neck dwarf	75.98	80.65
Redbro x Pure Naked Neck	72.24	78.50
Redbro dwarf x Redbro	72.25	78.50
Redbro dwarf	74.25	81.00
Redbro dwarf x Naked Neck Dwarf	73.25	81.25
Redbro dwarf x Pure Naked Neck	76.36	82.50
Naked Neck Dwarf x Redbro	75.25	82.50
Naked Neck Dwarf x Redbro dwarf	75.40	83.60
Naked Neck Dwarf	78.00	83.75
Naked Neck Dwarf x Pure Naked Neck	78.25	87.50
Naked Neck x Redbro	72.25	86.50
Naked Neck x Redbro dwarf	75.25	86.75
Naked Neck x Naked Neck Dwarf	77.50	88.25
Pure Naked Neck	78.75	88.50

CONCLUSION

According to the results obtained, the normal x dwarf chicken had higher performance of hen day egg production than that of broiler parents. The hen day egg production of naked neck dwarf line (Nadw) was almost comparable to that of broiler breeder (RbRb). The fertility and hatchability of Na inheritance was higher in the hot humid climates which implies that it has the opportunity to genetic improvement of local chicken for hot humid climates.

REFERENCES

Arad, Z. and Marde, J. 1988. Differences in egg shell quality among the Sinia Bedouin fowl, the commercial white Leghorn and their crossbreds. *British Poult. Sci.*, 23 : 107-112.

120

Uddin et al.

- Bairagi, M., Hamid, M. A., Islam, M. A. and Howlider, M. A. R. 1992. The effect of incorporation of naked neck gene from Bangladesh indigenous chicken to Rhode Island Red and White Leghorn on growth. *Poult. Guide*, 25 : 43-45.
- Chowdhury, S. D. 1988. A method of partitioning egg components. Bangladesh J. Anim. Sci., 17: 93-97.
- FAO. 1989. FAO Statistics on Livestock Population. Asian Livest., 16(2): 18-23.
- Guillaume, J. 1976. The dwarfing gene (dw-); its effects on Anatomy, Physiology, Nutrition and Management. Its application in poultry industry. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 32 : 285-303.
- Heiman, V. and Carver, J. C. 1936. The albumen index as a physical measurement of observed egg quality. *Poult. Sci.*, 28 : 541-546.
- Howlider, M. A. R., Begum, F., Islam, M. S. and Wahid, M. A. 1995. Feathering and meat yield of full feathered and naked neck indigenous chicken of Bangladesh. J. Appl. Anim. Res., 8 : 191-195.
- Huque, Q. M. E. and Ukil, M. A. 1998. Energy contents of feeds consumed by scavenging chicken and duck in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J. Livest. Res.*, 1-5(2): 103-113.
- Khoo, T. H. and Beh, B. L. 1977. Studies on the performance and nutritional requirements of dwarf and normal layers. *Intl. Poult. Abst.*, 4 : 1978-1985.
- Merat, P. 1986. Potential usefulness of Na (Naked -neck) gene in poultry production. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 42 : 124-142.
- Reddy, V. B., Sharma, P. L. M. and Varadarajulu, P. 1965. The effect of breed, preincubation storage time and egg weight on hatchability of poultry eggs. *Indian Vet. J.*, 42: 438.
- Wesley, R. L. and Stadelman, W. J. 1959. Measurements of interior egg quality. *Poult. Sci.*, 38: 479-481.
- Yeasmin, T., Howlider, M. A. R. 1998. Comparative physical features egg production and egg quality characteristics of Normal and dwarf indigenous (*Deshi*) hens of Bangladesh. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 13 : 191.