Progress. Agric. 18(2): 57-60, 2007

ISSN 1017-8139

# In vitro ANDROGENESIS OF SOME WHEAT (Triticum aestivum L.) VARIETIES AND THEIR F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> PROGENIES AND COMBINING ABILITY OF EMBRYOID PRODUCTION

### M. Hasan, J. Pauk<sup>1</sup> and Z. Kertesz<sup>2</sup>

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur-1706, Bangladesh

#### ABSTRACT

Six parental lines along with their  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies were investigated for general combining ability (GCA) effects on embryo-like-structure (ELS) production in anther culture. Significant differences were found among the parents in respect to the ELS production. Evaluating the combining ability effects, among the female parents Alfold showed the best result in ELS production, while Palma was the best among the male lines. While comparing  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations, in most of the cases (except one)  $F_2$ generation was superior in ELS production. Polygenic effect plays a key role in such type of superiority in the  $F_2$  generation. The reason for such superiority may be due to the recombination of superior genes.

Key Words : Anther culture, Combining ability, ELS

# **INTRODUCTION**

*In vitro* androgenesis is an efficient system of homozygous line (DH) production that is widely applicable in wheat and could make a significant contribution to the breeding of new varieties by saving time and increasing selection efficiency via DH lines (Snape, 1981; Baenziger *et al.*, 1984). Conventional recombination breeding is time consuming and during selection there is considerable loss of precious variability (Barnabas *et al.*, 2000).

The androgenic response is influenced by three genetic components: callus/embryoid induction, plant regeneration and green plant induction ability. During developing doubled haploid production into a routine technique, many problems have been solved (Schaeffer *et al.*, 1979; Lazar *et al.*, 1984; Barnabas *et al.*, 2000). But still little information is available on the genetics of *in vitro* responses and on the combining ability of doubled haploids in their crosses (Cseuz *et al.*, 1990). Therefore, the present study was designed to estimate combining ability of some cultivated Hungarian wheat varieties for microspore embryoid production and also to check the embryoid production responses of their  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  progenies.

### MATERALS AND METHODS

Six parents and their nine  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations were used for androgenic wheat studies. The donor plants were grown in a greenhouse under standard growing conditions. Cold

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Biotechnology Division, <sup>2</sup>Wheat Breeding Division, Cereal Research Non-Profit Organization, H-6701, P.O. Box 391, Hungary

treatment of donor shoots for anther culture was carried out in a cool chamber (4°C) for 14-16 days. Spikes containing early and mid-uninucleate stage microspores were surface sterilized with 2% NaOCl containing some drops of Tween-80 for 20 minutes and then rinsed with sterile distilled water. Excised anthers (150 each in 5 replications) were plated onto a modified P-4 inducton medium (Ouyang *et al.*, 1983) in 60 mm plastic Petri dishes. The P-4 medium was improved in two important components. Instead of sucrose and agar, 8% maltose and 10% Ficoll were used, respectively. For plant regeneration, a modified 190-2 medium (Zhuang and Jia, 1983) was applied (190-2 Cu) increasing CuSO<sub>4</sub> x 7H<sub>2</sub>O doses twenty times compared to the content in the original MS medium, following results of Purnhauser and Gyulai (1993). Floated anthers in Petri dishes were kept in a dark room at 32°C (Ouyang *et al.*, 1987) for 3 days and after that at 28°C for embryoid induction. ELS of about 1.5-2 mm were transferred to regeneration medium. Parents and progenies embryo-like-structure (ELS) production was analyzed by Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the present study, three male namely Palma, Csuros, Optima and three female Kompolti, Alfold and Kondor were tested. Their average embryoid production in anthers has been presented in Table 1.

Genotypes	ELS number/culture
Palma	238.4a
Kompolti	79.0b
Alfold	85.8b
Kondor	18.0c
Csuros	15.6c
Optima	4.0c

Table 1. Average embryoid production of the parents

### Genotypic variability in response to anther culture

There were three different responsive groups among the tested varieties according to embryo-like-structure (ELS) production in anther culture (Table 1). Remarkable difference was found in anther culture response among the tested varieties. According to the Table 1, Palma had the highest ELS production per Petri dish, while Optima showed the poorest fooled by Csuros and Kondor.

# Combining ability of ELS production of F<sub>1</sub>s

The combining ability effect of the female and male parents of embryo production are summarized in Table 2. Among the female lines, Alfold showed significantly higher combining ability effects (22.0). Strong negative combining ability effects were found in Kondor (-37.3). Examining the group of males, Palma had good combining ability effect on ELS production (57.8), while the other two showed strong negative effect. Cseuz *et al.* 

## Hasan et al.

(1990) got some good genotypes with increased frequency of *in vitro* androgenesis regarding ELS production.

Males	Palma	Csuros	Optima	Family mean of $F_1s$	GCA effect
Females	Embry	Embryoid number/culture		ELS number/culture	
Kompolti	156.2	7.8	24.6	62.9	15.3
Alfold	138.6	34	36	69.5	22
Kondor	21.4	4.6	4.8	10.3	-37.3
$F_1$ means	105.4	15.5	21.8	47.6	
GCA effect	57.8	-32.1	-25.8		0.0

Table 2. ELS production of the studied 9 F1 combinations and GCA effects of the parents

## Comparison between F1 and F2 generation on the basis of their ELS production

Among the F<sub>1</sub>s Kompolti x Palma crossing showed the highest ELS production followed by Alfold x Palma and Kondor x Palma (Table 3). None of the crossings had heterotic effect. Among the F<sub>2</sub>s, Kompolti x Palma was the best followed by Alfold x Palma and Kompolti x Csuros. While comparing F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations, except in one case (Alfold x Optima), F<sub>2</sub>s had better performance than F<sub>1</sub>s. Recombination of superior genes in F<sub>2</sub> generation may be the reason of better performance of F<sub>2</sub> generation. Karsai *et al.* (1991) found similar results in F<sub>2</sub> generation.

Table 3. Comparison	between F₁ and	$F_2$ generation on	the basis of	f their ELS production
				F

Female parent	Male parent	Progenies		
Kompolti	Palma	F <sub>1</sub> generation	F <sub>2</sub> generation	
79.0c	238.4ab	156.2bc	261.0a	
Alfold	Palma	F <sub>1</sub> generation	$F_2$ generation	
85.8b	238.4a	138.4b	165.4b	
Kondor	Palma	F <sub>1</sub> generation	F <sub>2</sub> generation	
18.0b	238.4a	21.4b	76.0b	
Kompolti	Csuros	F <sub>1</sub> generation	$F_2$ generation	
79.0b	15.6c	7.8c	152.0a	
Alfold	Csuros	F <sub>1</sub> generation	$F_2$ generation	
85.8a	15.6b	34.0b	84.2a	
Kondor	Csuros	F <sub>1</sub> generation	$F_2$ generation	
18.0ab	15.6b	4.6b	18.0a	
Kompolti	Optima	F <sub>1</sub> generation	$F_2$ generation	
79.0a	4.0c	24.6bc	36.8b	
Alfold	Optima	F <sub>1</sub> generation	F <sub>2</sub> generation	
85.8a	4.0c	36.0b	16.8c	
Kondor	Optima	F <sub>1</sub> generation	F <sub>2</sub> generation	
18.0ab	4.0b	4.8b	27.0a	

#### CONCLUSION

Six parents and their 9  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations were tested regarding their androgenic response. Significant differences were found in anther culture response among the tested varieties. When evaluating the combining ability effects for ELS production, among the female lines Alfold was the best, while among the male lines Palma had best performance. When  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations were compared,  $F_2$  had better performance. It might be due to polygenic effect in the  $F_2$  generation. The reason for such superiority may be due to the recombination of superior genes. Based upon all the results obtained from the experiments, it is advisable to cross wheat parents with good combining ability.

## REFERENCES

- Baenziger, P. S., Kudirka, D. T. and Lazar, M. D. 1984. The significance of doubled haploid variation. *In* : Gustafson J. P. (ed.). Gene Manipulation in Plant Improvement. New York, pp. 385-414.
- Barnabas, B., Szakacs, E., Karsai, I. and Bedo, Z. 2000. *In vitro* androgenesis of wheat from fundamentals to practical application. In; Bedo and Lang (eds.). Wheat in a Global Environment. Kluwer Acad. Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 517-525.
- Cseuz, L., Kertesz, Z. and Pauk, J. 1990. Combining ability of doubled haploid wheat lines. *Cereal Res. Comm.*, 18 : 45-50.
- Karsai, I., Bedo, Z., and Balla, L. 1991. The effect of repeated anther on *in vitro* androgenesis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Cereal Res. Comm.*, 19 : 424-430.
- Lazar, M. D., Baenziger, P. S. and Schaeffer, G. W. 1984. Cultivar and cultivar x environment effects on the development callus and polyhaploid plants from anther cultures of wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 67 : 273-277.
- Ouyang, J. W., He, D. G., Feng, G. H. and Jia, S. E. 1987. The response of anther culture to culture temperature varies with growth conditions of anther-donor plants. *Plant Sci.*, 49 : 145-148.
- Ouyang, J. W., Zhou, S. M. and Jia, S. E. 1983. The response of anther culture to culture temperature in *Triticum aestivum*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 66 : 101-109.
- Purnhauser, L. and Gyulai, G. 1993. Effect of copper on shoot and root regeneration in wheat, triticale, rape and tobacco tissue cultures. *Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult.*, 35 : 131-139.
- Schaeffer, G. W., Baenziger, P. S. and Worley. J. 1979. Haploid plant development from anthers and *in vitro* embryo culture of wheat. *Crop Sci.*, 19 : 697-702.
- Snape, J. W. 1981. The use of doubled haploids in plant breeding. *In* : Induced variability in Plant Breeding. Proc. Int. Symp. Eucarpia. Wageningen.
- Zhuang, J. J., and Jia, X. 1983. Increasing differentiation frequencies in wheat pollen callus. In: Cell and Tissue culture Techniques for Cereal Crop Improvement. Proceeding of a workshop cosponsored by the Institute of Genetics, Academia Sinica and IRRI. Science Press, Beijing, pp. 431-432.