FEASIBILITY OF QUALITY IMPROVEMENT OF JUTE SEED BY PLANT EXTRACTS

K. K. Roy, M. M. R. Khan, M. M. Hossain and M. A. R. Khokon Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Five plant extracts *viz*. garlic tablet, allamanda tablet, neem leaf extract, bishkatali leaf extract and zinger rhizome extract were assessed as seed treating agents against seed-borne pathogens of jute. Farmers' seeds carry seed-borne fungi and their prevalence varies in varieties and locations. Garlic tablet was effective in controlling seed-borne fungal infection; consequently the seed germination was high. The effect of allamanda tablet was similar to that of garlic tablet. Neem leaf extract was able to reduce seed-borne fungi but the other three extracts were not effective in controlling seed-borne infection. The performance of garlic tablet was similar to that of Vitavax-200. A significant increase in seedling vigor was also observed over untreated control after garlic treatment.

Kay Words: Plant extract, Germination, Seed-borne fungi, Jute, Seed quality

INTRODUCTION

Jute (*Corchorus* spp.) is the principal fibre crop of Bangladesh. In Bangladesh, 5.1 million bales of fibre were produced from 0.42 million hectares of land with an average yield of 12.2 bales per hectare (BBS, 2010). Among the various factors related to good production, seed quality plays the pivotal role. Quality seed of an improved variety itself can provide 20% additional jute yield (Hossain *et al.*, 1994). Unfortunately the availability of good quality jute seed in our country is far below than the total requirement. Annual demand of jute seeds in Bangladesh is 3570 metric tons. Only 456 metric tons are supplied by public sector and 1350 metric tons by private sector. The gap between local production and demand for seed amounts to nearly 87% (BSGDMA, 2007). The contribution of private sector in seed supply is import based and they import jute seeds mostly from India. Nevertheless, the country has to largely rely on the farmers' seed and it faces acute scarcity of quality jute seed every year.

Seed-borne infection poses a serious threat to jute production. Jute crop suffers from 12 different diseases of which 10 are known to be seed-borne. Among the fungal pathogens *Botryodiplodia theobromae, Colletotrichum corchori* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* are predominant and *Curvularia lunata, Fusarium moniliforme, F. semitectum* and *Corynespora cassiicola* can also be associated with jute seeds (Islam *et al.*, 2007).

Quality improvement of jute seed

Proper disease control measure can substantially improve the quality of produce and significantly increase the yield. Among the practices seed treatment is probably the cheapest and safest way of plant disease control (Ahmed and Sultana, 1985). In many countries, regular practice of chemical seed treatment is considered as safeguard against the building up of inocula which help greatly in reducing yield loss, and also improve the quality of the produce. The eco-friendly botanical pesticides can be used for seed treatment instead of chemical fungicides. Therefore, this study was undertaken to assess the efficacy of different plant extracts on jute seed quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of seed samples

Twenty jute seed samples (10 for CVL-1 and 10 for O-9897) were collected from 10 different locations of Kaharul upazila of Dinajpur district. The samples were then kept in brown paper bags and stored in the refrigerator at 5-7°C until subsequent studies.

Dry inspection

Seed samples were examined by naked eye and hand lens to separate out apparently healthy, diseased, shriveled and discoloured, and mechanically injured seeds. 400 seeds were tested form each sample and data were expressed in percentage.

Germination test

Four hundred seeds from each sample were tested for germination. Twenty five seeds were placed in each petri plate of 9 cm diameter containing three well-moistened blotter papers. Plates were then incubated at room temperature for five days. Seeds producing both plumule and radical were considered as germinated seeds. Data were expressed as percentage.

Blotter incubation test

Four hundred seeds from each sample were tested. Twenty five seeds were placed on three layers of moistened blotter papers in each plate. Seeds were then incubated at $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under alternating cycle of 12 hours of darkness and 12 hours under near ultraviolet (NUV) light for seven days. Seeds were then examined under dissecting microscope for the presence of associated seed-borne fungi, if needed was confirmed with the aid of compound microscope and pertinent literature. Total number of seeds infected by specific seed-borne fungus was scored to determine percentage of seed infection.

Vigor test

Vigor index of jute seeds was examined following sand tray method. Only one treatment (garlic tablet 1:2) was employed for the vigor test. One hundred seeds were sowed in each tray and four trays were maintained for each variety. Data on germination, root length and shoot length were recorded after eight days of sowing. Seedling vigor was calculated following the formula of Baki and Anderson (1972):

Roy *et al.*

Vigor Index = (Mean root length + Mean shoot length) × % Germination

Seed treatment

Five botanical extracts were used for the experimentation (Table 1). Garlic and allamanda tablets were collected from the IPM Laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU) and other plant species were collected from different areas of BAU. For garlic and allamanda tablets, one part of tablet (ground and powdered) was added to one and two parts of distilled water (weight/volume) to prepare 1:1 and 1:2 dilutions, respectively. For other extracts, respective plant parts were chopped and crushed in a blender without water followed by squeezing through three folds of fine cloths. The filtrates were used as plant extracts and required dilutions were made by adding distilled water. For treatment, seeds were dipped into the dilution dozes for twenty minutes followed by proper drying. As positive control, seeds were treated with Vitavax 200 @ 0.25% of seed weight.

Common name	Scientific name	Plant part/product used
Garlic	Allium sativum	Tablet
Allamanda	Allamanda cathartica	Tablet
Neem	Azadirachta indica	Leaf
Bishkatali	Polygonum hydropiper	Leaf
Zinger	Zingiber officinale	Rhizome

Table 1. Botanicals used in the experiment

Data analysis

Data were analyzed by ANOVA following completely randomized design (CRD) using a statistical package MSTATC. Treatment means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seed samples were subjected to dry inspection to sort out the apparently healthy, diseased, shriveled and discoloured, and mechanically injured seeds (Table 2). In case of CVL-1, seeds collected from Mirjapur appeared to be the best followed by Kakor. Seeds from Mirjapur had 83% apparently healthy seed; diseased, discoloured and injured seeds were comparatively low. Seeds from Sundol were of poor quality having 66.25% apparently healthy seed, 3.5% diseased seed, 27% shriveled and discoloured seed and 3.25% seed with mechanical injury. For O-9897, the scenario was also similar. Mirjapur seeds seemed to be the best with 78.75% healthy seeds. Diseased, shriveled and discoloured seeds and discoloured seeds were the least though mechanical injury was comparatively higher. Seeds collected from Sundol and Malihata were of worst quality having 66.25% and 66.50% healthy seed, respectively. Among CVL-1 samples, seeds from Joynanda (6.00%)

and in case of O-9897, seeds from Malihata (5.25%) showed the highest amount of diseased seed, respectively.

Variety	Location	% of seed				
		Apparently healthy	Diseased	Shriveled and discoloured	Mechanically injured	
Deshi (CVL-1)	Kamore	73.25	3.75	22.00	1.00	
	Malihata	69.75	5.00	22.75	2.50	
	Mirjapur	83.00	2.50	13.00	1.50	
	Bergaon	77.25	1.75	19.50	1.50	
	Sundol	66.25	3.50	27.00	3.25	
	Voronda	70.25	1.75	26.00	2.00	
	Kakor	81.50	2.25	13.75	2.50	
	Badlipara	70.75	2.75	22.75	3.75	
	Rukunpur	76.00	4.75	16.25	3.00	
	Joynonda	72.75	6.00	19.25	2.00	
Mean		74.07	3.40	20.23	2.30	
Standard deviati	on	28.51	1.97	22.95	0.74	
Tossa (O-9897)	Kamore	71.50	4.75	22.50	1.25	
	Malihata	66.50	5.25	25.75	2.50	
	Mirjapur	78.75	2.75	16.25	2.25	
	Bergaon	74.25	3.50	21.25	1.00	
	Sundol	66.25	3.50	27.00	3.25	
	Voronda	73.25	4.00	19.75	3.00	
	Kakor	73.50	2.50	21.00	3.00	
	Badlipara	75.25	3.75	18.25	2.75	
	Rukunpur	73.00	3.00	22.25	1.75	
	Joynonda	72.25	3.25	23.25	1.25	
Mean		72.45	3.63	21.93	2.30	
Standard deviati	on	14.09	0.95	8.70	0.80	

Table 2. Dry inspection of jute seed samples collected from different locations of Kaharul upazila of Dinajpur district

400 seeds were inspected for each sample

Seed germination varied location to location irrespective of varieties (Table 3). Average germination of deshi seeds was higher than that of tossa seeds. In case of CVL-1, seeds collected from Mirjapur had the highest (81.00%) germination followed by Kakor (78.75%). The lowest germination was recorded from the seeds of Sundol (61.75%). For O-9897, the highest germination was observed in case of Badlipara seeds (68.25%). Germination was statistically similar between the seeds of Kakor and Voronda. Seeds from Bergaon showed the lowest germination (57%). It is likely that seed germination varies depending on variety and location. Rashid *et al.* (2007) also reported the variation in jute seed

Roy *et al.*

germination based on seed source. However, the differences in germination status might also be due to differences in storage and handling. The prevalence of seed-borne infection is also responsible for lower germination (Fakir, 1998 and Islam *et al.*, 2003).

Varietv Location Germination (%) Variety Location Germination (%) Deshi (CVL-1) Kamore 70.75cd Tossa Kamore 61.50b (O-9897) Malihata 65.00f Malihata 66.00a 63.00b Mirjapur 81.00a Mirjapur Bergaon 72.00c Bergaon 57.00c Sundol Sundol 62.25b 61.75g Voronda Voronda 65.75a 67.25c Kakor Kakor 78.75b 67.25a Badlipara 65.00f Badlipara 68.25a Rukunpur Rukunpur 62.00b 70.75cd Joynonda 70.25d Joynonda 62.50b Mean 70.25 63.55 Standard deviation 0.90 1.70

Table 3. Germination status of jute seed samples collected from different locations

400 seeds were inspected for each sample; Values within the same column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly ($P \ge 0.05$)

Colletotrichum corchori, Macrophomina phaseolina, Botryodiplodia theobromae, Fusarium sp., *Curvularia lunata, Penicillium* sp. and *Cercospora corchori* were associated with jute seeds (Table 4 and 5). Several studies also reported the association of these seed-bore fungi with jute (Mathur *et al.*, 1989; Khan and Fakir, 1993 and Rashid *et al.*, 2007). For CVL-1, the highest seed-borne infection was recorded from the seeds of Joynonda and the lowest from the seeds of Kamore. In contrast, for O-9897, the highest and the lowest fungal infections were recorded from the seeds collected from Malihata and Mirjapur, respectively (Fig. 1).

Plant extracts had significant effect in controlling seed-borne fungal infection and improved germination. Both varieties had improved performance following seed treatment (Table 6 and 7). Garlic and allamanda tablet performed the best among the treatments. It is apparent that garlic and allamanda tablets have broad spectrum antifungal activity offering good control against most of the seed-borne fungi encountered. The performance of T_1 was similar to T_0 . However, T_2 , T_3 and T_4 had statistically similar effect to T_1 in controlling seed-borne fungi, but the germination was lower in those treatments. Allamanda tablet was next to garlic tablet in terms of fungal control and germination percentage. Efficacy of garlic and allamanda extracts in controlling seed-borne fungal infection in different crops has also been reported by Dubey and Dwivedi (1991), Rahman *et al.* (1999), Meah *et al.* (2004) and Rashid *et al.* (2007). Neem leaf extract was moderately effective while bishkatali and zinger extracts were not effective in controlling seed-borne fungi. Rahman *et al.* (1999) also found moderate effect of neem extract against fungi associated with wheat seeds.

Location	Percent seed-borne fungal infection							
	Colletotrichum corchori	Macrophomina phaseolina	Botryodiplodia theobromae	Fusarium spp.	Curvularia lunata	Penicillium sp.	Cercospora corchori	
Kamore	0.25d	0.00d	0.00e	5.50e	2.75c	13.25b	0.00b	
Malihata	3.25ab	1.25c	0.00e	10.25bc	0.00d	12.25c	0.00b	
Mirjapur	0.00d	2.50bc	3.25c	9.25de	0.25d	10.00e	0.00b	
Bergaon	2.25bc	1.75bc	7.00a	11.50a	0.00d	10.75de	0.25b	
Sundol	1.25cd	0.00d	3.50c	8.75d	5.25a	15.00a	0.00b	
Voronda	0.75d	2.00bc	0.00e	11.75a	0.00d	13.25b	0.25b	
Kakor	1.00cd	1.75bc	2.00d	10.25bc	0.00d	10.50de	0.00b	
Badlipara	3.25ab	2.75ab	2.00d	8.25d	4.25b	11.25d	0.00b	
Rukunpur	2.25bc	1.50bc	1.00de	10.50b	2.50c	7.50f	0.00b	
Joynonda	4.00a	3.75a	5.75b	11.75a	5.50a	8.25f	1.75a	

Values within the same column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly (P≥0.05); Data were analyzed after transformation (Arcsin)

Table 5. Prevalence of different seed-borne fungi recorded on farmers' saved jute seeds (O-9897)

Location	Percent seed-borne fungal infection						
	Colletotrichum	Macrophomina	Botryodiplodia	Fusarium spp.	Curvularia lunata	Penicillium sp.	Cercospora
	corchori	phaseolina	theobromae			-	corchori
Kamore	1.25bc	1.00d	0.00e	15.25a	0.00d	9.25de	1.75a
Malihata	3.00a	3.25a	3.25ab	12.25c	3.25a	12.75a	0.25bc
Mirjapur	0.00d	0.75d	2.25bc	10.25d	1.75b	11.25bc	0.00c
Bergaon	0.25cd	2.25b	1.25cd	11.75c	2.25b	13.25a	0.75b
Sundol	1.25bc	0.00e	1.75cd	9.75d	2.25b	11.50b	0.00c
Voronda	2.75a	3.00a	3.50a	11.50c	3.75a	11.25bc	0.75b
Kakor	1.50b	2.00bc	1.25cd	14.25b	0.00d	9.25de	0.00c
Badlipara	0.25cd	1.25cd	0.00e	12.50c	3.75a	11.50b	0.25bc
Rukunpur	3.25a	2.00bc	2.00c	12.25c	1.00c	8.75e	0.00c
Joynonda	2.25ab	1.25cd	0.75de	12.00c	0.75c	10.25cd	0.25bc

Values within the same column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly (P≥0.05); Data were analyzed after transformation (Arcsin)

Treatment	Germination	Percent seed-borne fungal infection						
	(%)	Colletotrichum corchori	Macrophomina phaseolina	Botryodiplodia theobromae	Fusarium sp.	Curvularia lunata	Penicillium sp.	
T ₀	88.00a	0.00d	0.00e	0.00d	0.75d	0.00g	1.00d	
T_1	85.75b	0.00d	0.00e	0.00d	2.25c	0.25f	1.25c	
T ₂	83.25c	0.00d	0.25de	0.00d	3.00bc	1.00ef	1.25c	
T ₃	81.25d	0.00d	0.50cde	0.50cd	3.50bc	1.50de	2.25bc	
T_4	80.00e	0.25d	0.50cde	0.50cd	3.25bc	1.75cde	2.50bc	
T_5	78.50f	0.75cd	1.00b-e	0.75cd	3.75bc	1.75cde	3.00bc	
T_6	75.25g	1.00bcd	0.75b-e	1.25bc	4.00bc	2.00cde	3.25b	
T_7	74.25g	1.50abc	1.00b-e	1.25bc	3.75bc	2.50bc	3.00bc	
T_8	71.00h	2.00abc	1.75abc	2.00a	4.75ab	3.00bc	3.75b	
Т9	71.25h	2.25ab	2.00ab	2.25ab	5.00ab	3.50ab	4.00b	
T ₁₀	70.50h	2.50a	2.75a	3.00a	6.50a	4.50a	6.50a	

Table 6. Effect of botanical seed treatment on seed-borne fungi of farmers' saved jute seeds (CVL-1)

Values within the same column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly (P≥0.05); Data (fungal infection) were analyzed after transformation (Arcsin)

 T_0 = Vitavax 200 @ 0.25% (positive control), T_1 = Garlic tablet (1:1), T_2 = Garlic tablet (1:2), T_3 = Allamanda tablet (1:1), T_4 = Allamanda tablet (1:2), T_5 = Neem leaf extract (1:1), T_6 = Neem leaf extract (1:2), T_7 = Bishkatali leaf extract (1:1), T_8 = Bishkatali leaf extract (1:2), T_9 = Zinger rhizome extract (1:1), and T_{10} = Zinger rhizome extract (1:2)

Treatment	Germination (%)	Percent seed-borne fungal infection					
		Colletotrichum corchori	Macrophomina phaseolina	Botryodiplodia theobromae	<i>Fusarium</i> sp.	Curvularia lunata	Penicillium sp.
T ₀	82.50a	0.00f	0.00f	0.00f	1.00f	0.00f	0.75g
T_1	81.00b	0.00f	0.00f	0.00f	2.50f	0.75f	1.50f
T ₂	79.50c	0.00f	0.00f	0.25ef	3.00ef	1.00f	2.00ef
T ₃	79.00cd	0.00f	0.25f	0.25ef	3.00ef	1.25f	2.25ef
T_4	78.25d	0.50ef	0.75ef	0.75ef	3.50ef	1.50f	2.75ef
T_5	77.00e	1.00de	1.25de	1.50de	4.00e	2.50e	3.25ef
T_6	75.50f	1.50d	2.00cd	2.50cd	5.25d	3.00de	3.50ef
T_7	74.00g	0.75e	2.50c	3.00c	5.75cd	3.75cd	4.25d
T_8	72.00h	2.25c	3.75b	4.50b	6.50b	4.50c	5.75bc
T9	70.25i	3.00b	4.25b	5.00ab	7.25b	5.50b	6.25ab
T ₁₀	66.00j	3.75a	5.75a	5.75a	8.50a	6.75a	7.25a

Table 7. Effect of botanical seed treatment on seed-borne fungi of farmers' saved jute seeds (O-9897)

Values within the same column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly (P≥0.05); Data (fungal infection) were analyzed after transformation (Arcsin)

 T_0 = Vitavax 200 @ 0.25% (positive control), T_1 = Garlic tablet (1:1), T_2 = Garlic tablet (1:2), T_3 = Allamanda tablet (1:1), T_4 = Allamanda tablet (1:2), T_5 = Neem leaf extract (1:1), T_6 = Neem leaf extract (1:2), T_7 = Bishkatali leaf extract (1:1), T_8 = Bishkatali leaf extract (1:2), T_9 = Zinger rhizome extract (1:1), and T_{10} = Zinger rhizome extract (1:2)

Roy et al.



the present investigation, T_1 appeared to be the best as it had the best control against seedborne infection and higher germination (85.75% in deshi and 81.00% in tossa). But T₂ had almost similar effect to T₁. A significant increase in vigor and 27% increase in germination were observed with garlic treatment (T_2) in both the varieties (Table 8). Ahmed *et al.* (2002) and Hasan et al. (2005) also reported increased seedling vigor in rice and wheat, respectively after seed treatment with garlic extract.

district Mean shoot Mean root length Variety Treatment Germination Vigor index (%) length (cm) (VI)(cm)Deshi Untreated 56.00 3.15 2.15 296.80 (CVL-1) Garlic tablet (1:2) 83.00 3.40 2.29 472.27

5100

78.00

Tossa

(O-9897)

Untreated

Garlic tablet (1:2)

Table 8. Vigor test of jute seeds collected from farmers' of Kaharul upazila of Dinajpur

Garlic and allamanda tablets had the best potential in controlling seed-borne fungi and in increasing germination. Garlic tablet @ 1:2 can be used as seed treatment for controlling seed-borne infection, getting higher germination and seedling vigor.

2.28

2.53

1.50

1.71

192.78

330.72

REFERENCES

Ahmed, M. F., Khaleguzzaman, K. M., Islam, M. N., Anam, M. K. and Islam, M. T. 2002. Effect of plant extracts against Bipolaris oryzae of rice under in vitro conditions. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 5(4): 442-445.

- Ahmed, N. and Sultana, K. 1985. Survey on the production and quality jute seed at farm level. Annual Report, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute, Dhaka, pp. 296-323.
- Baki, A. A. and Anderson, J. D. 1972. Physiological and biological deterioration of seeds. *In* : Seed Biology, Vol. 11. Academic Press, New York, pp. 283-315.
- BBS. 2010. Yearbook of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, p. 37.
- BSGDMA. 2007. Bangladesh Seed Grower, Dealer and Merchants Association. Paper presented in Asian Seed Congress, Manila, Philippines.
- Dubey, R. C. and Dwivedi, R. S. 1991. Fungitoxic properties of some plant extracts against vegetative growth and sclerotial viability of Macrophomina phaseolina. *Indian Phytopathol.*, 44(3): 411-413.
- Fakir, G. A. 1998. Health status of farmers jute seeds: progress and prospect of seed pathological research in Bangladesh. 1st National Workshop on Seed Pathology, Department of Plant Pathology, BAU, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.
- Hasan, M. M., Chowdhury, S. P., Alam, S., Hossain, B. and Alam, M. S. 2005. Antifungal effects of plant extracts on seed-borne fungi of wheat seed regarding seed germination, seedling health and vigour index. *Pakistan J. Biol. Sci.*, 8(9): 1284-1289.
- Hossain, M. A, Mannan, S. A., Sultana, K. and Khandakar, A. L. 1994. Survey on the constraints of quality jute seed at farm level. Agril. Support Service Project (GOB/WORLD BANK/ODA). Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- Islam, M. M., Sultana, K., Haque, S. M. A., Mosaddeque, H. Q. M. and Ahmed, B. 2007. Frequency of occurrence of pathogenic fungi in jute seeds. *Int. J. Sustainable Agril. Technol.*, 3(1): 1-6.
- Islam, M. M., Sultana, K., Mostafa, M. G., Begum, H. A., Rahman, M. M. and Nabi, M. N. 2003. Effect of different level of seed-borne infections on fibre yield contributing characters of jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.). *Pakistan J. Plant Pathol.*, 2(3): 129-135.
- Khan, A. A. and Fakir, G. A. 1993. Association of fungal pathogens with growing capsules and their entry to developing seeds in jute. *Bangladesh J. Pl. Pathol.*, 9(1&2): 1-3.
- Mathur, S. B., Ghosh, T. and Ahmed, Q. A. 1989. *Seed-borne diseases of jute*. Tech. Bull. The Danish Government Institute of Seed Pathology for Developing Countries, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Meah, M. B., Islam, M. R. and Islam, M. M. 2004. Development of an integrated approach of management of Phomopsis blight and fruit rot of eggplant in Bangladesh. Annual Research Report, Department of Plant Pathology, BAU, Mymensingh, Bangladesh, p. 57.
- Rahman, G. M. M., Islam, M. R. and Wadud, M. A. 1999. Seed treatment with plant extracts and hot water: a potential biophysical method of controlling seed-borne infection of wheat. *Bangladesh J. Train. Dev.*, 12(1&2): 185-190.
- Rahsid, M. M., Khan, M. M. R., Hossain, M. A. and Hossain, M. M. 2007. Management of seedborne fungi of jute in Mymensingh region. *Bangladesh J. Crop Sci.*, 18(1): 209-214.