ORIGINAL ARTICLE

∂ OPEN ACCESS

Bacteriological Profile of Suspected Neonatal Septicaemia and Its Relationship with Selected Risk Factors

Md.Shamsul Alam^{*1}, Sanaul Haque Mia², Md.Belal Uddin³

Abstract

Introduction: Neonatal septicaemia is one of the major problem in neonatology with a high case fatality rate. Manifestations of neonatal septicaemia are subtle & non-specific. Blood culture is the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of neonatal septicaemia, a high index of suspicion with or without laboratory evidences of infection is the key for early diagnosis. Early diagnosis & introduction of antibiotic may reduce mortality and morbidity. Objective: To explore the bacterial organisms among the suspected neonatal septicaemic cases and its relationship with selected risk factors. Materials and Methods: A Cross sectional descriptive type of study was carried out on all suspected cases of neonatal septicaemia patients admitted in the paediatric wards of Rajshahi Medical College Hospital during the period of july 2008 to june 2010 .Total 60 sixty cases who had non-specific symptoms of septicaemia were enrolled in this study. After a clinical evaluation and management of the patient blood culture was performed on all neonates with risk factors or signs suggestive of sepsis. **Results**: Out of sixty cases of suspected neonatal septiceamic newborns 18.33% were culture positive for bacteria. Both gram negative and gram positive bacteria were responsible for neonatal septicaemia. Majority of the organisms were gram negative (54.5%) and most common organisms was E.coli (54.5%). Other gram-positive organisms were streptococcus spp(27.3%) and staph aureus(18.2%) respectively. Among blood culture positive cases 82% were early onset neonatal septicaemia & 18% were late onset septisaemia. Gestational age, Low birth weight, Low socioeconomic status of mother, mode of delivery and birth asphyxia were risk factor for both early onset neonatal sepsis & late onset neonatal sepsis. Conclusion: Septicaemia is one of the major causes of death & its manifestations are subtle and non specific. Both gram negative & gram positive bacteria are responsible for neonatal septicaemia. Most isolated bacteria E.coli. Risk factors may be the factor for NNS. Although blood culture is the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of NNS, a high index of suspicion with or without laboratory evidences of infection is the key for early diagnosis. If we can avoid risk factors for NNS by antenatal check up of pregnant mother and thereby number of septicaemia could be reduced.

Keywords: Bacterial profile, Septicaemia, Risk Factors.

Number of Tables: 04; Number of References: 29; Number of Correspondence: 04.

Introduction:

*1. Corresponding Author:

Dr. Md. Shamsul Alam

 Assistant Professor
 Department of Paediatrics
 Shaheed M. Monsur Ali Medical College
 Sirajgonj, Bangladesh.
 Mobile: 01715139788
 E-mail shamsula47@gmail.com

 Professor Dr. Sanaul Haque Mia

 Professor

Department of Paediatrics Islami Bank Medical College Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

3. Professor Dr. Md.Belal Uddin Professor Department of Paediatrics Rajshahi Medical College

Rajshahi, Bangladesh.

clinical syndrome characterized by signs of systemic infection and documented by a positive blood culture in the first few weeks of life¹. Despite considerable progress in hygiene, anti microbial therapy and supportive treatment septicaemia is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in neonatal period². Reduction of neonatal mortality is a special important task of modern pediatrics. Unfortunately morbidity & mortality due to neonatal septicaemia is still continuing. The mortality rate from bacterial sepsis has not changed substantially since the early 1970s3. Primary site of invasion is most often the blood stream. Case fatality rate varies from 20 to more than 75 percent and substantial percentage of surviving infants have neurological handicapped⁴. There is variation depending on geographical area and also changes in the same area with time⁵. Comparable figure much higher in Bangladesh. Risk factors for infection may be multiple vaginal examinations, umbilical catheterization for >5 days, mechanical ventilation for >5 days, birth weight <2500 gm, transfer from other hospital, total parenteral nutrition and nasogastric tube. These risk factors are independently associated with neonatal sepsis⁶. Neonatal septicaemia has been

Neonatal septisaemia is an important cause of mortality and

morbidity among infant. Neonatal septicaemia is best defined as a

categorized into early onset disease (EOD), occurring during the first week of life, and late onset disease (LOD), between 7 and 28 days of life7. There are no definitive criteria for diagnosis of septicaemia in newborn. It is based mainly on consideration of clinical and laboratory parameters, but without positive culture from central body fluid the diagnosis of septicaemia remains unconfirmed. Clinical presentation in neonatal septicaemia is nonspecific and common symptoms are lethargy irritability, respiratory distress, fever, hypothermia, apnoeic spell, cyanosis, poor feeding ,diarrhea, vomiting, convulsion and abdominal distension⁸. Bacterial organisms causing neonatal sepsis may differ among countries. Group B streptococcus (GBS; streptococcus agalactiae) is the most frequent cause of serious neonatal infection in North America9. However, in most developing countries, Gram-negative bacteria remain the major source of infection¹⁰. The result of epidemiological study from the developed and developing countries may not be same. Furthermore, the epidemiology of neonatal septicaemia within the geographical location may change with time¹¹. The incidence of neonatal sepsis in neonatal intensive care unit(NICU) have been ranged from 5.2-to 30.4 per 100 patients¹². Neonatal septicaemia is still a life threatening emergency and any delay of diagnosis and treatment with appropriate antibiotics may have devastating consequences. It is necessary, therefore, to continue epidemiological surveillance to identify the common pathogens causing neonatal septicaemia and their antibiotic sensitivity in particular area for best choice of empirical antibiotic therapy.

Material and Methods:

This cross sectional descriptive study was carried out in the paediatric department of Rajshahi Medical College from July 2008 to June 2010. During the period 60 cases of were diagnose clinically and enrolled in the study. Diagnosis was made on the basis of some clinical manifestations like lethargy, irritability, respiratory distress, jaundice, fever, poor feeding, abdominal distention, apneotic spell, hypothermia, cyanosis, convulsion and diarrhea. Mothers were informed regarding the study, sample collection and its purpose. Perinatal history was taken carefully from mother for the identification of risk factors of neonatal septicaemia. Special emphasis were given on socioeconomic status, gestational age, residence and time of disease, maternal chorioamnionitis, urinary tract infection, unclean vaginal examination and prolonged rupture of membrane, place of delivery, birth weight, and any birth asphyxia along with feeding history. Thorough examinations were done and all findings were recorded in the specific questionnaire. Blood culture is the only investigation done to confirm the diagnosis. Blood culture done at the microbiology department of Rajshahi Medical College. However, diagnosis was based on a combination of high degree of suspicion, clinical feature and positive blood culture. The

feature of NNS were studied and analyzed. **Results:**

60 cases were enrolled in this study during the period of July 2008 to june 2010. Majority of the cases were male and Male female ratio M:F=2.7:1. Rural patient were predominance than urban patients. Symptoms of septicaemia developed within 1-7 days in 63.3% of the male babies. Most of the babies delivered of in hospital than home and private clinic. Normal delivery was more than ceasearian section 76.66%, 23.33% respectively. Early onset of symptoms (<7 days) were 82% and late onset (8-28 days) of symptoms were 18%. 81.67% were culture negative and only 18.33% were culture positive. E.coli was more common in low birth weight, early onset neonatal septicaemic babies than normal weight babies in case of term and preterm there are no difference of E.coli infection, whereas in streptococcus infection low birth weight babies were more affected & in case of Staph Aureus infection normal weight babies were more affected. Babies of low socioeconomic groups of parents (Monthly income 5000taka or less) were more affected than middle or high income group of parents. Among the culture group babies came from rural area were hundred percent culture positive term and preterm, low birth weight and normal weight, asphyxiated and non asphyxiated babies were almost equally affected but statistically not significant.

Table-I:

Distribution of suspected neonatal septicaemia cases by sex, time of onset of symptoms, residence & mode of delivery (n=60).

Distribution of the neonates	frequency	Percentage (%)	Total
Male	42	70%	
Female	18	30%	60 (100%)
1-7 days (Early onset)	38	63.3 (%)	60 (100%)
8-28 days (Late onset)	22	36.7 (%)	00 (10070)
Rural	50	83.3 (%)	60 (100%)
Urban	10	16.7 (%)	00 (10070)
NVD	46	76.66 (%)	60 (1009/)
LUCS	14	23.33 (%)	60 (100%)

Table-II:

Distribution of Organisms detected in culture by birth weight, gestational age & time of appearance of symptoms.

	Orga	nisms detected in	culture	T- 4-1	T-4-1
	E.coli	Streptococus spp	Staph Aureus	Total	Total
Birth wt Less than 2.5kg	4(66.7%)	2(33.3%)	0(%)	6(54.5%)	
Birth wt 2.5kg and above	2(40.0%)	1(20.0%)	2(40.0%)	5(45.5%)	11(100%)
Pretern	3(27.27%)	1(9.09%)	1(9.09%)	5(45.5%)	11/100%
Term	3(27.27%)	2(18.18%)	1(9.09%)	6(54.54%)	11(10070)
EONS	6(54.54%)	2(18.18%)	1(9.09%)	9(81.81%)	11(100%)
LONS	0(00%)	1(9.09%)	1(9.09%)	2(18.18%)	11(10070)

EONS:Early onset neonatal septicaemia,(EONS): Late onset neonatal septicaemia(LONS)

Table-III:

Showed distribution of Organisms detected in culture positive cases according to socioeconomic status of their parents.

Monthly in come	Orga	inisms detected in	culture	
Monthly income group	Ecoli	Streptococcus spp	Staph Aureus	Total
5000taka or less	4(57.1%)	2(28.6%)	1(14.3%)	7(63.6%)
5001-10000taka	1(33.3%)	1(33.3%)	1(33.3%)	3(27.3%)
>10,000taka	1(100%)	0(00%)	0(00%)	1(9.1%)
Total	6(54.5%)	3(27.3%)	2(18.2%)	11(100%)
X2=1.57,0	lf=4.(p>.05)			

Table-IV:

Distribution of culture positive& negative cases by their birth weight, gestational age, residence, mode of delivery, presence or absence of birth asphyxia & jaundice.

	Blood culture	culture		Total		
	positive	negative				
Birth -wt<2.5kg	6(28.6%)	15(71.4%) 21(35%)	21(35%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=2.26,df=1.(p>.05)	p>.05
2.5kg& above	5(12.8%)	34(87.2%)	39(65%)			
Term	6(16.2%)	31(83.8%)	37(61.66%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=0.289,df=1.(p>.05) p>.05	p>.05
preterm	5(21.7%)	18(78.3%)	18(78.3%) 23 (38.34%)			
Rural	11(22%)	39(78%)	50(83.3%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=2.69,df=1.(p>.05) p>.05	p>.05
Urban	0	10(100%)	10(16.7%)			
Normal(NVD)	10(21.7%)	10(21.7%) 36(78.3%)	46(76.7%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=1.52,df=1.(p>.05)	p>.05
Caeserean section	1(7.1%)	13(92.9%)	14(23.3%)			
Birth asphyxia Present	5(27.8%)	13(72.2%) 18(30%)	18(30%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=1.53, df=1.(p>.05) p>.05	p>.05
Birth asphyxia Absent	6(14.3%)	36(85.7%)	42(70%)			
Jaundice Present	3(25%)	9(75%)	12(20.0%)	60(100%)	60(100%) X2=0.445,df=1.(p>.05) p>.05	p>.05
Jaundice Absent	8(16.7%)	40(83.3%)	48(80.0%)			

MEDICINE 2022 Volume 34 Number 01

Discussion:

A total of sixty (60) suspected cases of neonatal septicaemia were enrolled in this study. 18.33% cases (11) were culture positive for bacteria. Similar culture positivity was found in Nigerian study¹³ but in contrast to another study the incidence of positive blood culture is 47.5% of neonatal septicaemia¹⁴. Low culture positivity in early onset septicaemia (EOS) might be due to over diagnosis in this age group because of more nonspecific symptomatology .However negative blood culture does not rule out septicaemia. We have done a single culture utilizing 2 ml blood.

The number of positive cases in early onset septicaemia (EOS) was 9 (81.8%) in comparison to 2(18.2%) in the late onset septicaemia (LOS). In this study, we found that early onset septicaemia (EOS) was more common than late onset septicaemia (LOS), which had the similarity to other studies¹⁵. Early onset sepsis was indistinguishable from that of perinatal asphyxia and respiratory distress syndrome. Although males have been reported 2-5 fold more likely than females to develop septicaemia¹⁶. Male female ratio of infant in our study was 2.66:1. The majority of neonates with septicaemia have one or more risk factors. In our study 45.5% (5) cases of neonatal septicaemia were preterm (<37 wks of gestation) and LBW (<2.5k). It was also found in some previous studies^{17,18}. Maternal fever during delivery presents in 2 cases (18.2%) and 1(9.1%) of two also had prolonged rupture of membranes for more than 24 hours before delivery. So PROM might be one of the risk factors. It was comparable with the rates reported by others, ranging from 7.8% to 12.0%¹⁹.

A significant number of study cases were delivered at home, largely in the hand of untrained birth attendants. Home delivery is common in Bangladesh²⁰. Repeated examinations increase the chance of introducing bacteria from the external environment into the birth canal²¹. Home deliveries related to birth asphyxia as reported in a dissertation²². In our study (45.5%) cases of the culture positive neonatal septicaemia had birth asphyxia. In this study commonly, observed clinical features were poor feeding(68.3%), Fever (48.3%), Lethargy (46.7%), Respiratory distress (38.3%), Convulsion (25%), Jaundice (20.00%), Abdominal distention (13.3%) and Cyanosis (6.7%). These observations showed similarity with findings of other investigator²³. We found majority of the organisms were gram-negative 54.5%.E. coil was the most common pathogen isolated (54.5%). Similar organisms have been reported in the study in Ngeria¹³ and in Nepal²⁴. This could be due to most deliveries were conducted in poor standard of hygiene and newborn infants usually lack antibody mediated protection against E. coli. Other common organisms were streptococcus spp. Which was found 27.3% of cases and staph aureus was found in 18.2% of cases. GBS was not found in this study. This might be attributed to low prevalence of GBS in genitalia of pregnant mother in this area²⁵. Increasing prevalence of gram-negative septicaemia has been reported from other studies in India, Pakistan and

Bangladesh^{16,25,26}. Some studies^{25,26} showed that bacteriological profile of neonatal septicaemia differs in EOS and LOS and it also differs in developing and developed countries. In developing countries gram-negative (-ve) organisms were predominant in EOS & LOS but E.coil was predominant in EOS^{27,28}. In our study we found that EOS 81.8% (9/11) was more common than LOS and mortality in culture positive cases was 27% (3/11). The mortality rate in the Iranian study was 19.8%²⁹.

Conclusion:

The present study showed that neonatal septicaemia was one of the major problem in neonatology with a high case fatality rate. Manifestations of neonatal septicaemia are subtle & non-specific. Both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria were responsible for neonatal septicaemia. Majority of the organism were gram-negative (54.5%). E.coli was the commonest bacteria isolate responsible for septicemia in neonates. Although blood culture is the "gold standard" in the diagnosis of neonatal septicaemia, a high index of suspicion with or without laboratory evidences of infection is the key for early diagnosis. History, clinical examination and laboratory data can minimize the therapy for those neonates who have no infection. Prompt institution of antibiotic therapy and supportive care will save most of the cases of neonatal sepsis. The pattern of antibiotic usage must be reviewed periodically and it must be started as possible in suspected cases and their combination should be changed according to response. If we can avoid risk factors for neonatal septicaemia by antenatal check up of the pregnant mother and thereby the number of neonatal septicaemia can be reduced.

Conflict of Interest: None.

References:

20

 Gotoff SP. Neonatal sepsis and meningitis. In: Behrman RE, Kliegman RM, and editors. Nelson Text book of paediatrics.(15th edition). WB Saunders company; 1999:528-37.
 Tessin.I, Trollfors B, Thringer.K. Incidence of Neonatal

septicaemia and meningitis in western sweeden 1975-86. Acta Paediatri Scand. 1990; 79:1023-30.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1990.tb11378.x PMid:2267918

3.Guerina NG. Bacterial and fungal infection. In: Cloherty JP, Stark AR, editors. Manual of Neonatal care.4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincortt-Raven Publishers,1998:271-99.

4.Ben Stenson. Infection and immunity in the newborn. In:Mcintosh N.editors Forfar and Arneil's Text book of paediatrics. (7th edition). Churchill Livingstone Elsevier pub in. 2008;319-25.

5.Klein Jo, Remington Js, Current concepts of infections in the foetus and newborn infant.In Remington js,Klein jo, editors.Infectious disease of the foetus and newborn infant.(3rdEdition).Philadelphia: WB Saundders,1990;2-16.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-72-160537-0/50003-7

6.Kuruvilla KA, Pillai S, Jesudason M, Jana AK. Bacterial profile of sepsis in a neonatal unit in south India. Indian Pediatr. 1998; 35(9):851-858.

7.Robillard p-y Nabeth P, Hulsey TC. Neonatal bacterial sepcaemia in a tropical area. Four-year experience in Guade-loupe (French West Indies). Acta Paediat. 1993;82:687-89. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1993.tb18041.x

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1993.tb12791.x

8.Daund AS, Abuekteish F, Obeidat A. The changing face of neonatal septicaemia. Am j Trop Paediat. 1995;15(1):93-6. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724936.1995.11747755

PMid:7598444

9.Schuchat A, Wenger JD. Epidemiology of group B streptococcal disease. Risk factors, prevention strategies, and vaccine development. Epidemiol Rev. 1994;16(2):374-402. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.a036159

PMid:7713185

10.Dawodu A, Al Umran K, Danso K. Case study of neonatal sepsis in very low birth weight infants. N Engl .J.Med. 2002;347:240-247.

11.Dawodu A, Al Umran K, TWUM - Danso K. A case control study of neonatal sepsis: Experience from Saudi Arabia. J Trop Paediat. 1997;43:84-8.

https://doi.org/10.1093/tropej/43.2.84

PMid:9143177

12.Sanghvi KP, Tudehopr DI. Neonatal bacterial sepsis in a neonatal intensive care unit : a 5-years analysis. J Paediatr Child Health. 1996;32:333-8.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1754.1996.tb02565.x

PMid:8844541

13.S. I. Nwadioha, E. O. P. Nwokedi, E. Kashibu. A review of bacterial isolates in blood cultures of children with suspected septicaemia in a Nigerian tertiary Hospital. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2010 Feb ;4(4):222-225.

14.M I Roy, A Jain, M Kumar, SK Agarwal. Bacteriology of Neonatal Septicaemia in a Tertiary care Hospital of Northern India. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2002;20 (3):156-159.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0255-0857(21)03250-3

15.Glandstone IM, Ehrenkranz RA, Edberg SC, Baltimore RS. A ten year Review of neonatal sepsis and comparison with the previous fifty year experience. Paediat Infect Dis J. 1990; 9:819-25.

https://doi.org/10.1097/00006454-199011000-00009 PMid:2263432

16.Bhutta ZA, Naqvi SH, Muzaffar T, Farooqui J. Neonatal sepsis in Pakistan: Presentation and pathogen. Acta Paedit Scand. 1991;80: 596-601.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.1991.tb11916.x PMid:1867074

17. Suara RO, Adegbola RA, Baker CJ, Secka O, Mulholland

pregnant Gambian mother and their infants. J Infect Dis. College of Physicians and Surgeons, Dhaka; January, 1996. 1994;170:1316-1319.

https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/170.5.1316

PMid:7963736

18.Shah GS, Budhathoki S, Das BK, Mandal RN. Risk factors in early neonatal sepsis. Kathmandu University Medical Journal. 2006;4(2 Issue 14):187-191.

19.Herbst, Andreas, Kallen, Karin. Time between Membrane Rupture and Delivery and Septicaemia in Term ka.BIRPERHT;1995. Neonates. Obstetrics & Gynecology: 2007 September;110(3):612-618.

https://doi.org/10.1097/01.AOG.0000277632.36186.84 PMid:17766608

20.Islam S. How safe is safe delivery. Bulletin of commonwealth Association of Mental Handdicap and Developmental Disabilities;1991.

21.Dutta Sourabh, Reddy Rajeswar, Sheikh Samir, Kalra Jaswinder, Pallob Ray, Narang Anil. Intrapartum antibiotics and risk factors for early onset sepsis Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2010;95:F99-F103doi:10.1136/adc.2009.163220

https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2009.163220

PMid:19996327

22. Jahan A. Correlation between birth asphyxia and neurode-

EK, Greenwood BM. Carriage of group B streptococci in velopmental disabilities in children; (Dissertation). Bangladesh

23.Mugalu J, Nakakeeto MK, Kiguli S, Kaddu-Mullindwa DH. Aetiology, risk factor and immediate outcome of bacteriologically confirmed neonatal septicaemia in Mulago hospital, Uganda. Afr Health Sci. 2006;6:120-126.

24.Singh M, Deorasi AK, Khayuria RC. Perinatal and neonatal mortality in hospital j Med Res. 1991;94: 1-5.

25.Akhter HH, Rahman MH, Mannan I. Elahi ME, Khan AKZ. Review of performances of trained TBAs, Dha-

26.Rasul HC, Hossain AM Habibullah M. Neonatal sepsis and use of antibiotic in a tertiary care hospital. Pak J Med Sci. 2007 January-March ; 23(1):78-813.

27.Islam MN. Neonatal infection in developing countries. Worshop on improving neonatal survival in developing countries. Dhaka 2-3 Nov 2001.

28.Ahmed ASMNU, Choudhury MAKA, hoque m Damstadt GL.clinical & bacteriological profileof neonatal septicemia in tertiary level pediatric hospital in Bangladesh. Ind pediatrics. 2002;39:1034.

29. Movahedian AH, Moniri R, Mosayebi Z. Bacterial Culture of neonatal sepsis Iranian J publ Health. 2006;35(4):84-89.