Abstract
Common Bile Duct (CBD) exploration for choledocholithiasis is usually closed after T-tube insertion. However, complications of T-tube insertion limit its use. In the present study, we wanted to compare outcomes between primary repair of choledochotomy and traditional T-tube insertion. Thirty patients with CBD stones admitted at Tangail Medical College Hospital, Tangail, from January 2010 to December 2015, were included in this study. The patients were randomly divided into two groups: T-tube drainage group and primary closure group. Intraoperative findings and postoperative complications were recorded and analyzed. There was no mortality and retained stones in both groups. Two of 15 patients in the T-tube group and four of 15 patients in primary closure group suffered from minor bile leakage. There was no major bile leakage in the T-tube group but one patient in the primary closure group had major bile leakage, which was treated conservatively without surgical or endoscopic intervention. Wound infection was seen in two patients in the T-tube group and one patient in the primary closure group. In follow up assessment, there was no intra-abdominal collection in both groups. Overall postoperative complications include biliary complications, wound infection and intra-abdominal collections, were seen in four patients in the T-tube group and six patients in primary closure group; that was not statistically significant. Primary (Para) closure of CBD after open choledochotomy is feasible and is as safe as T-tube insertion. In effect, primary closure avoids T-tube insertion and disadvantages associated with the use of T-tube. Primary closure can be recommended for selected patients with choledocholithiasis.
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Introduction
Choledocholithiasis is not an uncommon disease. The incidence is even higher in older ages. It may result in complete or incomplete bile duct obstruction and manifest with cholangitis or gallstone pancreatitis. Closure of choledochotomy upon T-tube is the traditional surgical technique following open choledocal drainage. The theories for this strategy are distal decompression of bile duct, availability of postoperative contrast studies and availability of extraction of retained stones. However, T-tube insertion deserves potential complications. The most frequent of this is bile leakage after T-tube removal. Complications may be serious in some patients. Biliary Tract infection and bile leakage following early removal of T-tubes without tract formation may require reoperation and has potential morbidity and mortality. Primary closure of the CBD after choledochotomy is not new.
There are many papers reported by different authors, which support the primary closure of the duct immediately after CBD exploration. The aim of this randomized study is to find out whether primary closure without T-tube drainage after open cholecystectomy is feasible and as safe as T-tube insertion.

Materials and Methods

30 patients with CBD stones disease admitted at Tangail Medical College Hospital, Tangail, from January 2010-December 2015, were included in this study. The patients were randomly divided into two groups: T-tube drainage group and primary closure group. Each group consisted of 15 patients. Routine investigations were performed for all patients including complete blood count, liver function tests, serum amylase, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine and abdominal ultrasonography. Inclusion criteria was jaundice on physical examination or hyperbilirubinemia in the presence of gallstone disease, CBD stones in ultrasonography, intraoperative palpation of stones in CBD. Patients with acute pancreatitis or cholangitis.

At presentation, suspicious malignancy, lesser than 20 or older than 80 years old, patients with history of laparotomy, history of heart failure, renal failure, cerebrovascular accidents and myocardial infarction, obese patients were excluded. All patients were given prophylactic antibiotic and a Kocher’s subcostal incision was used. A longitudinal supraduodenal cholecystectomy was done. Stones were taken out and saline flushing followed to ensure patency. We confirmed the clearance of the duct with an intraoperative cholangiography (IOC). After completion of IOC, patients were randomized to two groups of primary duct closure and T-tube drainage. In the primary closure group, the cholecystectomy was closed primarily with interrupted 4-0 absorbable sutures (4-0 PDS), whereas in the T-tube drainage group, a latex rubber T-tube of appropriate size (14-16 French size) was inserted into the CBD and CBD incision was closed using interrupted sutures (4-0 PDS). Saline was flushed through the T-tube to rule out leakage. At the end of the procedure, a single sub-hepatic closed suction drain was placed (Jackson Pratt Drain).

The day after the surgery, patients were ambulated and returned to oral intake as tolerated. If there was an insignificant output from closed suction drain, it was removed after a few days and patients were discharged. Afterward, they were follow up after (controlled) 2 weeks, 1 month and 3 month following discharge.

Patient’s demographics (age, gender), operative time, duration of hospital stay, comorbidities, number of CBD stones, CBD diameter, clinical presentation and postoperative complications; including minor (<200 ml in 24 hours) and major (>200ml in 24 hours); bile leakage, intra-abdominal collection were recorded.

Results

CBD exploration was performed in 30 patients, out of which 15 had primary closure of CBD after stone removal and T-tube drain was placed in remainder.

The mean age of patients in primary closure was 42.1 years and that of T-tube group was 40.1, (PV=0.71). There were three males (20%) and 12 females (80%) in the primary closure group, and four males (26.7%) and 11 females (73.3%) in T-tube group (Table I).

Table-I: Age distribution of patients of primary closure and T-tube drainage group (n=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n=30</th>
<th>Minimum age</th>
<th>Maximum age</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Closure</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>42.13±15.5</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>Not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-tube drainage</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40.13±20.38</td>
<td>Significant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were expressed as mean ±SD. n: Number of the subject.

Group A: Primary closure. Group B: T-Tube drainage.

T-tube cholangiography or ultrasonography was used for detection of residual stones and ultrasonography was used for assessment of postoperative intra-abdominal collections. Wound infection was defined as a wound requiring partial or complete opening. Abdominal collections were defined intra-abdominal collections requiring surgical or percutaneous drainage. Operating time was calculated in minutes. Hospital stay was defined as postoperative admission days.

In the T-tube group, T-tube cholangiogram was performed on the 25-30th postoperative day and tube was removed after confirmation of free flow of contrast with no residual stone.

For comparison of the two groups, Fisher’s exact test of chi-squared was used when appropriate for qualitative data P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS (version 18) software.

Informed consent for randomization to primary closure or T-tube drainage was requested to all patients, none refused.

The median time spent in hospital after the operation for those having primary closure was 5.8 days, whereas those patients having a T-tube inserted remained in hospital from 6.3 days. Preoperative abdominal ultrasound showed the diameter of CBD, which was then confirmed during the operation. Operative findings are summarized in Table-III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n=30</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male:Female</th>
<th>Remark.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary Closure</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-tube drainage</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:3.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Most common presentation in the primary closure group was jaundice but most of the patients in the T-tube group presented with acute cholecystitis. The clinical presentations of patients are listed in Table IV.

Table IV: Clinical Presentation of the patients.

Group                          | n=30 | Acute Cholecystitis | Biliary Colic | Dyspepsia | Jaundice
---                            |-----|---------------------|---------------|-----------|---------
Primary Closure                | 15 (13.3%) | 3 (20.0%) | 4 (26.6%) | 6 (40.0%) |
T-tube drainage                | 15 (13.3%) | 1 (6.7%) | 2 (13.3%) | 2 (13.3%) |

*Results are expressed as number and percentage.

One patient (6.7%) in the primary closure group and two patients (13.3%) in the T-tube group had wound infection that requiring opening for drainage. In the primary closure group, one patient experienced major bile leakage, which responded to conservative treatment and bile leak ceased spontaneous on the 7th postoperative day.

Endoscopic or surgical intervention did not require. None of the patients in the T-tube group had major bile leak. Four patients (26.6%) in the primary closure group and two patients (13.3%) in the T-tube group experienced minor bile leakage, which stopped spontaneously with extended peritoneal drainage. There was no complication following removal of T-tube. Overall postoperative complications include biliary complications, wound infection and intra-abdominal collections were observed in four patients (13.3%) of the group assigned to the T-tube group and six patients (19.9%) assigned to primary closure group; that was not statistically significant difference. There were not any residual CBD stones and intra-abdominal collections, in this study. Also there were no deaths. Compared with primary closure group, T-tube group had shorter operating time (111 versus 124 minutes, P < 0.13). The reason for this was probably that we spent more time because of intraoperative cholangiography in this group of patients. The difference between the groups was not statically significant for hospital stay (6.3 and 5.8 days). The two groups were similar in terms of demographics include age, gender etc. Diameter of CBD in the T-tube group was statistically lower than that of primary closure group (Table III).

Similar to the findings by others in our study, there were no statically significant differences for postoperative complications and residual stones. Therefore, postoperative T-tube drainage is not necessary for decompression of the biliary tree. In addition, the use of intraoperative cholangiography can also avoid missed biliary problems. Our findings showed that primary closure did not increase the risk of postoperative bile leakage. We believe that primary closure is a safe method in patients with choledocal stones. Patients could return to work earlier, following exploration of the common bile-duct, if the duct is sutured without T-tube drainage, and primary closure of CBD is a safe and effective alternative measure and is associated with low complication rates.
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