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Abstract

The study, a cross-sectional survey, was carried out at the Department of Biochemistry in Bangladesh Institute of Research and Rehabilitation in Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders (BIRDEM) General Hospital from July 2015 to June 2016. A total of 576 clinically diagnosed children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) aged 10-18 years attending in ‘Changing Diabetes in Children’ clinic, BIRDEM-2, Dhaka were selected according to appropriate inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study subjects underwent detailed medical history and examination. Fasting blood samples were drawn from the participants for biochemical assays such as fasting blood sugar (FBS), glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides. Anthropometric data and blood pressures both systolic and diastolic were measured. Student unpaired t-test and Chi-square test were used to determine the association between different groups. Out of 576 T1DM patients, 45.0% (259) were male and 55.0% (317) were female. Of the 576 T1DM patients, 35.1% were without dyslipidemia (DLP) and 64.9% were with DLP. Study revealed that the patients with DLP were more likely to have higher values of HbA1c (10.1±2.2% vs 9.4±1.9%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and FBS (13.0±4.4 mmol/L vs 10.2±2.9 mmol/L, \( p < 0.001 \)). A substantial proportion of children and adolescents with T1DM had DLP. We found an association between poor glycemic control and abnormal lipid profiles in those patients.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common endocrine disorder among children and adolescents in Bangladesh.1 The increasing incidence of childhood and adolescent DM is a global phenomenon.2 Type 1 DM (T1DM) accounts for majority of childhood and adolescent onset DM seen in population of developed world.3 Children diagnosed with T1DM have a high risk of early subclinical and clinical cardiovascular disease (CVD).4 Risk of coronary heart disease is fourfold (in men) to eightfold (in women) in patients with T1DM.5 The American Heart Association categorizes children with T1DM in the highest tier for cardiovascular risk.4 CVD is the major complication responsible for more than 50% and up to 80% of deaths in people with DM.6

Dyslipidemia (DLP) is a preventable major risk factor for CVD. There are several studies that have evaluated DLP in patients with
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Materials and Method

Subjects
The study was conducted on clinically diagnosed T1DM children and adolescents between 10-18 years of age from ‘Changing Diabetes in Children’ clinic, BIRDEM-2, Dhaka, Bangladesh from the period of July 2015 to June 2016. The study was cross sectional, observational, descriptive and analytic type. The participants were selected through convenience sampling method. A total of 576 T1DM children and adolescents that had been diagnosed with T1DM were selected.

Inclusion criteria included clinically diagnosed T1DM age between 10 and 18 years, both male and female and no medications other than insulin. T1DM was diagnosed based on the World Health Organization & International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) criteria in children and adolescents. The study was reviewed and approved by Ethical Review Committee of Bangladesh Diabetic Samity (BADAS) and all participants provided informed written consent and/or assent.

Data collection and analysis
During the study visit, detailed medical history was recorded in a structured questionnaire for each study subject including data about current age, sex, duration of DM, age at onset. An examination was performed to measure systolic and diastolic blood pressures, height, weight and body mass index (BMI). Blood samples for fasting blood sugar (FBS), glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC) and triglycerides (TG) were obtained under condition of metabolic stability after at least 8 hours of fasting.

Cut off points for abnormal lipid levels (TC ≥ 200 mg/dl, LDLC ≥130 mg/dl, TG ≥150 mg/dl and HDLC <40 mg/dl for male and <50 mg/dl for female) were taken from the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education program and the American diabetes Association.13,14 DLP was defined by the...
presence of one or more abnormal serum lipid concentrations. For the present cross-sectional analysis, clinical data, FBS, HbA1c, BMI and lipid profiles were investigated in children and adolescents with T1DM. We compared the lipid profiles of patients with DLP and those without DLP. Student unpaired t-test and Chi-square test were used to determine the association between different groups.

Results
Table 1 shows the clinical and laboratory variables of the T1DM patients with DLP and without DLP. The mean±SD age was 15.0±2.8 years. The mean±SD age at onset of DM in the study group was 11.2±3.4 years. The mean±SD values for fasting lipid measures were as follows: TC, 183.2±46.6 mg/dl; LDLC, 98.3±29.7 mg/dl; HDLC, 45.7±12.6 mg/dl, and TG, 174.6±78.6 mg/dl. The mean±SD level of FBS and HbA1c of the patients were 12.0±4.2 mmol/L and 9.8±2.1%, respectively. The HbA1c of the patients with DLP was significantly higher than that of the patients without DLP (mean±SD, 10.1±2.2% vs 9.4±1.9%; p < 0.001). Within the study group, comparison of the fasting serum lipid profile (mean±SD) between those with DLP and those without DLP revealed significantly higher values of TC, LDLC and TG, and significantly lower values of HDLC in the former (Table 1). There was no significant difference in age, age at the time of diagnosis of DM between the groups of T1DM patients with DLP and without DLP.

Table 2 shows the association between the level of glycemic control and abnormal lipid profiles in study subjects. To evaluate the association between lipid values and glycemic control, we categorized patients into two groups. Participants with HbA1c <9% as good to fair glycemic control and participants with HbA1c ≥9% as poor glycemic control. Participants with good to fair glycemic control (HbA1c <9%) and abnormal cholesterol level (TC ≥200 mg/dl) were 50 (23.7%) compared to 145 (39.7%) with poor glycemic control (HbA1c ≥9%) and abnormal lipids. The association was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The patients, 31 (14.7%), with elevated LDLc had good to fair glycemic control in comparison to 73 (20.0%) with poor control. The association was not signifi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All patients (n = 576) Mean±SD</th>
<th>With DLP (n = 374) Mean±SD</th>
<th>Without DLP (n = 202) Mean±SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at the time of diagnosis of DM, years</td>
<td>11.2±3.4</td>
<td>11.2±3.4</td>
<td>11.4±3.4</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at visit, years</td>
<td>15.0±2.8</td>
<td>14.9±2.8</td>
<td>15.2±2.8</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS, mmol/L</td>
<td>12.0±4.2</td>
<td>13.0±4.4</td>
<td>10.2±2.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>9.8±2.1</td>
<td>10.1±2.2</td>
<td>9.4±1.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC, mg/dl</td>
<td>183.2±46.6</td>
<td>199.7±47.4</td>
<td>152.8±24.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLC, mg/dl</td>
<td>98.3±29.7</td>
<td>106.7±31.8</td>
<td>82.6±16.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLC, mg/dl</td>
<td>45.7±12.6</td>
<td>41.0±10.9</td>
<td>54.5±10.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mg/dl</td>
<td>174.6±78.6</td>
<td>211.6±73.1</td>
<td>106.0±21.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Prevalence of DLP for patients with T1DM.

Table 2. Association between the level of glycemic control and abnormal lipid profiles in study subjects, n = 576

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormal lipid profiles; number of patients</th>
<th>HbA1c &lt;9%</th>
<th>HbA1c ≥9%</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC ≥200 mg/dl; 195 patients</td>
<td>50 (23.7)</td>
<td>145 (39.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC &lt;200 mg/dl; 381 patients</td>
<td>161 (76.3)</td>
<td>220 (60.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLC ≥130 mg/dl; 104 patients</td>
<td>31 (14.7)</td>
<td>73 (20.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLC &lt;130 mg/dl; 472 patients</td>
<td>180 (85.3)</td>
<td>292 (80.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG ≥150 mg/dl; 313 patients</td>
<td>96 (45.5)</td>
<td>217 (59.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG &lt;150 mg/dl; 263 patients</td>
<td>115 (54.5)</td>
<td>148 (40.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLC (&lt;40 mg/dl in male and &lt;50 mg/dl in female); 214 patients</td>
<td>68 (32.2)</td>
<td>146 (40.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLC (≥40 mg/dl in male and ≥50 mg/dl in female); 362 patients</td>
<td>143 (67.8)</td>
<td>219 (60.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cantly ($p > 0.05$). The participants, 96 (45.5%), with elevated TG ($\geq 150$ mg/dl) had good to fair glycemic control in comparison to 217 (59.5%) with poor control. The association was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$). Patients with low HDLC ($< 40$ mg/dl in male and $< 50$ mg/dl in female) with good to fair glycemic control were 68 (32.2%) compared to 146 (40.0%) with poor glycemic control. The association was not statistically insignificant ($p > 0.05$).

Discussion
To the best of our knowledge, there was a lack of data about serum lipid profiles in Bangladeshi children and adolescents with T1DM. In the present study, the overall prevalence of DLP among children and adolescents with T1DM was 64.9%. This prevalence is higher than the 37.9% observed among Korean adolescents and young adults with T1DM and 39.2% among children with T1DM in United Kingdom. This finding has potential clinical significance, given the well-known relationship between DLP and cardiovascular events and the fact that lipid levels frequently track from childhood to adulthood. This result is similar to those reported in other studies. While the finding is different from a study where the prevalence of DLP in T1DM patients was 40.0%. In the current study, the most frequent type of DLP was high TG in 54.0% of the children and adolescents with T1DM, which was also found to be the most common type reported in some studies. High LDLC and low HDLC reported by Mona et al and Al-Naama et al were different to the present study.

Females outnumbered males in this study, which is similar to Ahmed and contradicts the study done by Pollak et al in which males outnumbered females. In this study, the female patients were significantly higher than male patients having DLP. This finding is in agreement with some other studies. Our finding is in contrast to the results reported by others who found that there was no relationship between the lipid abnormalities in children and adolescents with T1DM and the gender.

HbA1c is valuable and widely used to blood glucose determination for monitoring long term glycemic control. It is a measure of risk of complications of DM. It is a strong predictor of cardiovascular risk factors, cardiovascular events and strokes in DM patients. Maahs et al performed a retrospective analysis of subjects with T1DM by analyzing random lipid levels measured during clinical practice. Their study showed that HbA1c positively associated with both TC and non-HDLC. Guy et al reported data from the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth case-control study, in which T1DM subjects with a HbA1c target $< 7.5\%$ had lower prevalence of DLP, similar to healthy controls, while T1DM subjects with HbA1c $\geq 7.5\%$ were more likely to have elevated TC and LDL levels.

In present study, the majority of the patients with DLP (69.9%) had poor glycemic control (mean $\pm$ SD HbA1c $10.1 \pm 2.2\%$), while in the patients without DLP, most of them (56.4%) had good to fair glycemic control (mean $\pm$ SD HbA1c $9.4 \pm 1.9\%$) with significant difference between the groups regarding HbA1c ($p < 0.001$). This result is in concordance with some researchers who found that poorer (inadequate) glycemic control is related to higher serum lipid levels. On the contrary, some researchers found that lipid disorders in children and adolescents with T1DM may be present regardless of their metabolic control. It was observed that abnormal TG and TC were higher in poor glycemic control (HbA1c $\geq 9\%$) compared to good to fair glycemic control (HbA1c $< 9\%$) participants with significant association ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively); whereas, abnormal LDL and HDLC were found in poor control group than good to fair control group but with no significant association similar to another study.

Conclusions
DLP, a major risk for chronic heart disease, remains largely undiagnosed and untreated in high risk populations, such as patients with T1DM. DLP is a common health problem among Bangladeshi children and adolescents. So, the findings of our study provide useful information for health policy makers to implement action-oriented interventions for the prevention and early control of these important risk factors for CVD. We found a substantial proportion (64.9%) of children and adolescents with T1DM had DLP. The
most frequent type of DLP was high TG alone or in combination with other parameters in 54.3% of children and adolescents with T1DM and DLP in this population was associated with poor glycemic control. Majority of the participants (63.4%) had poor glycemic control in this study. Significant difference of lipid parameters in two groups (HbA1c <9% and ≥9%) indicates that HbA1c can be used as a potential biomarkers for predicting DLP in T1DM patients in addition to glycemic control hence early diagnosis can be accomplished through relatively inexpensive blood testing. These findings suggest that there is intense need for early interventions to prevent complications in future. DLP can serve as early biomarker for cardiovascular dysfunction in children and adolescents with T1DM. Early detection and treatment of these conditions prevent complications and further decrease the morbidity and mortality. Thus monitoring of the lipid levels in serum along with glycemic control has diagnostic and prognostic importance in T1DM. The important impact of DLP on complications requires undivided attention throughout the course of disease.
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