Original Article

Treatment of Displaced Femoral Neck Fractures: Unipolar Hemiarthroplasty Versus Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty

Islam MA¹, Rashid MA², Islam MR³, Rahman MM⁴, Khan MAH⁵, Milon MHR⁶, Roy R⁷

Abstract

Background: Femoral neck fractures are common in the elderly population. To avoid the poor outcome of internal fixation and for early mobilization, hemiarthroplasty is performed. However, there is inadequate evidence to support the choice between unipolar or bipolar hemiarthroplasty.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare the outcome of unipolar with the bipolar prosthesis in geriatric patients.

Methods: Forty-one patients above 60 years of age and an acute displaced fracture of the femoral neck were randomly allocated to treatment by either unipolar or bipolar hemiarthroplasty, in the Department of Orthopaedics at Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College and Hospital, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj, Bangladesh in between December 2014 and February 2017. Functional outcome was assessed and compared using Harris hip score and radiological parameters with a follow-up of one year.

Results: The two groups of patients with mean age of 67.3 in bipolar group and 75.6 in unipolar group did not differ in their pre-injury characteristics and perioperative parameters. The mean Harris hip score in bipolar and unipolar groups was 86.18 ± 12.18 and 79.79 ± 15.55 , respectively (p=0.183); range of motion was 210.63 ± 28.39 and 181.58 ± 37 (p=0.015) with bipolar and unipolar groups, respectively. Functional activities were better in the bipolar group. Complications like painful hip, posterior dislocation, periprosthetic fracture and acetabular erosion were encountered in unipolar prostheses.

Conclusion: The use of bipolar endoprosthesis in the management of displaced femoral neck fractures in the elderly was associated with better mean Harris hip score and incidence of complications was limited. Hence, bipolar would be a better option in elderly patients with fracture neck of femur.

Keywords: Unipolar Hemiarthroplasty, Bipolar Hemiarthroplasty.

Date of received: 25.04.2017 **Date of acceptance:** 05.05.2017

Introduction

Fracture of the neck of femur is a common injury. With increasing life expectancy worldwide, the number of elderly individuals is increasing, and it is estimated that the incidence of Neck of femur fracture will rise from

1.66 million in 1990 to 6.26 million by 2050. According to the Swedish National Hip Fracture Register, intracapsular fractures of the femoral neck constitute 53% of all hip fractures with 33% undisplaced and 67% displaced¹.

- 1. Dr. Md. Ashraful Islam, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 2. Dr. Md. Abdur Rashid, Associate Professor & HOD, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 3. Dr. Md. Rafiqul Islam, Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 4. Dr. Md. Masudar Rahman, Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 5. Dr. Md. Asmaul Hossain Khan, Medical Officer, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 6. Dr. Md. Hafizur Rahman Milon, Registrar, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.
- 7. Dr. Robin Roy, Medical Officer, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.

Correspondent: Dr. Md. Ashraful Islam, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, KYAMCH, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj.

The rationale for operative treatment by means of internal fixation is to reduce the risk of secondary displacement from undisplaced and displaced fractures, and to maintain fracture reduction for displaced fractures. The main reasons for the failing of internal fixation are avascular necrosis and non union. Failure of internal fixation leads to a re-intervention rate of 35% with decreased function and increased morbidity as demonstrated by a meta-analysis by Lu Yao². Replacement of the femoral head and neck with a prosthesis offers a way to prevent complications of internal fixation and is therefore an attractive alternative in the elderly patient³. There is however no consensus on how to treat patients with a displaced intracapsular fracture between sixty and eighty years of age. It is because of the poor clinical results that the displaced intracapsular fracture is referred to as "the unsolved fracture"4. Moore and Bohlman5,6 after removal of a giant cell tumor of the femoral head, introduced hemiarthroplasty in 1940. Since then it has also been used for the treatment of displaced femoral neck fractures. It had the following features: solid polished unipolar head with a collared, straight, fenestrated stem designed for non-cemented use. The development of bipolar hemiarthroplasty was based on the clinical experience with limited success of unipolar prosthesis due to progressive acetabular erosion and protrusion. Based on Charnley's pioneering arthroplasty principles, two bipolar designs emerged in the early 1970's: the Bateman and the Gilberty prostheses. This is a prospective randomized study of the short-term results of hemiarthoplasty using Austin Moore unipolar prosthesis and bipolar prosthesis. Outcomes at six weeks, three months, six months and 12 months were analyzed and compared using Modified Harris hip score and radiographs.

Methods

The present study is of intracapsular fracture neck of femur in elderly patients above the age of 60 years, irrespective of gender, treated with hemiarthroplasty using uncemented unipolar Austin Moore's prosthesis (AMP) in 20 patients and bipolar endoprosthesis in 21 patients, in the Department of Orthopaedics at Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College and Hospital (KYAMCH), Enayetpur, Sirajgonj, Bangladesh, selected on the basis of purposive sampling (judgment sampling) method (Figure 1 and 2). All implants used were manufactured by Inor Medical Products, Mumbai, India. All the patients were walking normally before injury. All patients were operated through a Posterior Moore

approach, and received antibiotics and venous thromboembolism prophylaxis. Postoperatively, full weight bearing was allowed with the help of physiotherapists as per their compliance. The patients were assessed pre-operatively and post-operatively based on Harris hip score at intervals of six weeks, three months, six months and one year. Sequential radiographs were compared to assess diminishing joint space, acetabular erosion, proximal migration and protrusion of the acetabulum. Loosening, subsidence and angular shift of the femoral stem were also assessed on these radiographs Descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were carried out in the present study with Student t-test (two tailed, independent), inter group analysis on metric parameters. Chi-square/ Fisher Exact test were used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups. Ethical clearance was obtained from our institutional ethics committee.

Results

Patients who had unipolar prostheses were comparatively older to those with bipolar prostheses (75.5 vs. 67.3, P<0.01). Females constituted 65.8%. Mortality rate was statistically similar in both groups, due to age related factors (p=0.663). Mean length of hospital stay was similar in both groups (p=0.894). All cases were analyzed based on the Harris hip score (Table I). The total score was tabulated and graded as excellent, good, fair, poor and failure (Table II). Most of the complications were recorded with the unipolar group (Table III). All cases, one (4.7%) in the unipolar group presented with posterior dislocation (Figure 4) on the 8th post operative day, for which closed reduction was done under GA and immobilized for one and half months and there after mobilized successfully. Another case (4.7%) of unipolar group presented with periprosthetic fracture (Figure 3) after three months following trauma, which was managed with open reduction and internal fixation with plate and screws retaining the same prosthesis. The patient was mobilized after two months and he continued to have thigh pain. A case of acetabular erosion (Figure 5) was noted in the unipolar group. A single case of superficial infection was recorded in each group, which responded to antibiotics.

Discussion

Comparison between 21 cases of bipolar hemiarthroplasty and 20 cases of Austin-Moore prosthetic replacement for femoral neck fractures in

elderly patients over a one year period has shown that patients with bipolar prostheses had better functional outcomes in terms of range of motion, ability to use public transport and ability to cut toe nails. Mean Harris hip score was better with the bipolar group. Lunceford Jr' felt that the pain following hemiarthroplasty should not be the reason for condemning the procedure. He listed the following causes for pain: infection, improper prosthetic seating, metallic corrosion and tissue reaction, improper sized femoral head, contractures, periarticular ossification, toggle or acetabular wandering and redundant ligamentum teres. Limping is a common consequence of hemiarthroplasty in adults. Alteration in the abductor mechanism due to a marginally greater excision of neck is the most probable cause⁸. Cornell et. al. reported that patients with bipolar prosthesis did better on walk tests and had better range of motion at six months. Sabnis and Brenkel¹⁰ reported 14 % unipolar patients walking unaided compared to 54% of bipolar patients walking unaided. Yamagata et. al.11, in their classical study, reviewed 1001 cases of hip hemiarthroplasty. There were 682 unipolar and 319 bipolar cases. Patients undergoing bipolar arthroplasty exhibited higher hip scores and lower acetabular erosion rates compared to the unipolar replacement. Lestrange¹² reviewed 496 patients with bipolar replacement for displaced femoral neck fractures and compared them with patients having fixed-head prosthesis. He found that the bipolar prosthesis offered advantages over onepiece designs in terms of stability, decreased acetabular erosion and improved function. D'Arcy and Devas¹³ reported incidence of dislocation following prosthetic replacement ranging from 0.3% and 10%. Dislocation following hemiarthroplasty was due to the disruption of the posterior stabilizers while performing the posterior approach, ultimately leading to failure and dislocation¹⁴.

The dislocated hemiarthroplasties have a lower centeredge angle of Wiberg and the patients with low offset. hips were more inherently unstable and hence prone to dislocation. The posterior approach is associated with higher dislocation rate¹⁵. Sikorski and Barrington¹⁶ reported dislocation rates of 10% in the unipolar prosthesis. Blewitt and Mortimore^{17, 20} reviewed cases of dislocation in a series of 1000 consecutive hemiarthroplasties. Recurrent dislocation can be related to component malalignment or improper soft tissue tensioning. Bochner, et. al.¹⁸ observed that dislocation occurs less frequently with bipolar prostheses. The theoretical advantage of the bipolar prosthesis is that the combined arc of motion of the dual joint should reduce the incidence of dislocation, because most of the motion during activities of daily living should take place at the inner articulation. Attarian¹⁹ reported that bipolar prosthesis has a self-aligning acetabular component, which finds a correct orientation on its own (selfcentering mechanism), and the incidence of subluxation and dislocation is low. Whittaker, et. al.20 reporting in a series of 160 hemiarthroplasty cases noted the rate of joint spacing in a 5 year study was 64% with the unipolar prosthesis. Acetabular erosion occurs as a result of impact causing injury to the acetabular cartilage at the time of the trauma, especially as the elderly often sustain injury by a fall directly on the hip. Excessive pressure on the acetabular cartilage after arthroplasty also produces erosion when insufficient femoral neck is resected. The exact matching of the size of the prosthetic head is particularly important as too large a head produces ring wear of the acetabulum and too small a head increases point bearing with subsequent wear. Finally, the cemented metal implant within the upper part of the femoral shaft will be more likely to transmit the impact of each step with greater stress across the prosthesis to bone interface than would normal bone in which there is considerable resilience²¹. Skala-Rosenbaum, et. al. 22 observed that prosthesis migration depended on the position of the head, CE angle and position of the prosthetic stem in the medullary canal. The resection level of the femoral neck and the subsequent position of the prosthetic head is a significant factor influencing the progress of acetabular erosion.

Table -I

Parameters	AMP hemiarthroplasty	Bipolar hemiarthroplasty	Pvalu
Mean Age (years)	75.57	67.35	
Sex			
Male	11(52.3%)	3(15%)	
Female	10(47.6%)	17(85%)	
Mortality	2(9.5%)	3(15%)	0.663
Postoperative pain			
No pain	6(31.5%)	9(52.9%)	0.225
Limp			
No Limp	8(42.1%)	9(52.9%)	0.558
Use of support			
No support	9(42.95%)	5(25%)	0.771
Sitting on chair			
More than a hour	11(57.8%)	14(82.3%)	0.278
Distance walked			
Unlimited	5(26.3%)	9(52.9%)	0.232
Use of public transport	10(52.6%)	14(82.3%)	0.083
Stair climbing			
Without support	4(21%)	7(41.1%)	0.281
Ability to wear shoe or socks			
With ease	2(10.5%)	7(41.1%)	0.042
Range of movements			
211-300 degrees [Flex+Add+Abd+ER+IR] 4(21%)		7(41.1%)	0.015
Mean HHS	79.79	86.18	

HARRIS HIP SCORE	BIPOLAR (%)	AMP (%)
TIPITATIO TIII OCOTE	Dit O'D' I'V (10)	rum (10)
Failure (<60)	1(5.9)	1(5.6)
Poor (60-69)	0	2(11.1)
Fair (70-79)	1(5.9)	5(27.8)
Good (80-89)	7(41.1)	5(27.8)
Excellent (90-100)	8(47.1)	6(33.3)
Total	17	19
Mean ± 5D	86.18±12.18	79.79±15.55
Not recorded	3(15)	2(9.5)

Table III: Complications

	AMP (%)	BIPOLAR (%)
Superficial Infection	1(5.2)	1(5.8)
Gaping	1(5.2)	-
Painful Hip	1(5.2)	1(5.8)
Posterior Dislocation	1(5.2)	
Acetabular Erosion	1(5.2)	
Restricted Range Of Motion	2(10.5)	-
Periprosthetic Fracture	1(5.2)	-





Fig.-2: Post operative radiograph of bipolar prostheses







Fig.-5: Acetabular erosion in unipolar prostheses

Conclusion

Which type of hemiarthroplasty should we select for the elderly patients with displaced fractures of the femoral neck based on the results of our study, there appears to be statistical difference between the two groups, that is bipolar being better in functional aspects. The results of our study showed that the incidence of complications were lower after bipolar hemiarthroplasty. Some Western literature report the disadvantage of bipolar prosthesis as being more expensive but in our institution, there was not much cost difference between the two prostheses. Some Western literature report the disadvantage of bipolar prosthesis as being more expensive but in our institution, there was not much cost difference between the two prostheses.

Acknowledgement

We are highly greatful to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Md. Abdur Rashid, HOD and Associate Professor Department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology, Khwaja Yunus Ali Medical College & Hospital, Enayetpur, Sirajgonj for his kind co-operation and valuable suggestions in conducting this work.

References

- 1. Thorngren KG, Hommel A, Norrman PO, Thorngren J, Wingstrand H. Epidemiology of femoral neck fractures. Injury 2002; **33**(3): 1-7.
- 2. Lu-Yao GL, Keller RB, Littenberg B, Wennberg JE. Outcomes after displaced fractures of the femoral neck. A meta-analysis of one hundred and six published reports. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1994; 76: 15-25.
- 3. Van Vugt AB. The unsolved fracture. A prospective study of 224 consecutive cases with an intracapsular hip fracture. Thesis, University of Nijmegen, 1991.
- 4. Nicoll EA. The unsolved fracture. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1963; 45: 239-41.
- 5. Rockwood CA, Green DP, Bucholz RW, Heckman JD. Fractures in Adults. Philadelphia: Lippincott;
- 6. Moore AT, Bohlman HR. Metal Hip Joint. A Case Report. J Bone Joint Surg. 1943; 25: 688-92.
- 7. Lunceford Jr EM. Use of Moore self-locking Vitallium prosthesis in acute fractures of the femoral neck. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1965; 47: 832-41.
- 8. Hinchey JJ, Day PL. Primary prosthetic replacement in fresh femoral neck fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1960; 42: 633-40.

 Cornell CN, Levine D, O'Doherty J, Lyden J. Unipolar versus bipolar hemiarthroplasty for the treatment of femoral neck fractures in the elderly. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1998; 348: 67-71.

- Sabnis BM, Brenkel IJ. Unipolar versus bipolar uncemented hemiarthroplasty for elderly patients with displaced intracapsular femoral neck fractures. J Orthop Surg. 2011; 19(1): 8-12.
- Yamagata M, Chao EY, Ilstrup DM, Melton III LJ, Coventry MB, Stauffer RN. Fixed head and bipolar head endoprosthesis-a retrospective clinical and roentgenographic study. J Arthroplasty 1987; 2: 327-41.
- 12. Lestrange NR. Bipolar arthroplasty for 496 hip fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res.1990; **251**: 7-19.
- 13. D'Arcy J, Devas M. Treatment of fracture of the femoral neck by replacement with Thompson prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1976; **58**: 279-86.
- Christopher C, Ninh AS. Hip dislocation after modular unipolar hemiarthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2009; 24: 768-75.
- Chan RNW, Hoskinson J. Thompson prosthesis for fractured neck of femur: a comparision of surgical approaches. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1975; 57: 437-43.
- 16. Sikorski JM, Barrington R. Internal fixation versus

- hemiarthroplasty for the displaced subcapital fracture of the femur: a prospective study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1981; **63**: 357-61.
- 17. Blewitt N, Mortimore S. Outcome of dislocation after hemiarthroplasty for fracture neck of femur. Injury 1992; **23**:320-2.
- Bochner RM, Pellicci PM, Lyden JP. Bipolar hemiarthroplasty for fracture of the femoral neck. Clinical review with special emphasis on prosthetic motion. J Bone Joint Surgery Am 1988; 70: 1001-10.
- 19. Attarian DE. Bipolar arthroplasty for recurrent total hip instability. J Southern Orthop Assoc. 1999; **8**(4): 249-53.
- Whittaker RP, Abeshaus MM, Scholl HW, Chung SMK. Fifteen year experience with metallic endoprosthetic replacement of the femoral head for femoral neck fractures. J Trauma 1972; 12: 799-806.
- 21. Devas M, Hinves B. Prevention of acetabular erosion after hemiarthroplasty for fractured neck of femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1983; **65**: 548-51.
- Skála-Rosenbaum J, Bartonícek J, Bartoska R. Acetabular erosion after hip hemiarthroplasty. Clinical and biomechanical study. Rozhl Chir. 2009; 88(10): 596-60