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Outcome of Nasolabial Flap in Buccal Mucosal Reconstruction in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma


Abstract

Background: Surgical defects of oral cavity and orofacial region are challenging because of the aesthetic and functional demands and dynamic nature of the area. A multitude of reconstructive options are available and with the advent of musculocutaneous flaps and free microvascular tissue transfer, orofacial reconstruction has entered an era of sophistication whereby repair of defects of all types and sizes has become possible.

Objectives: This study was conducted to evaluate the functional outcome, surface texture and complications of nasolabial flap for reconstruction in the buccal mucosal defects.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional observational study was conducted 20 patients with clinical histological diagnosed squamous cell carcinoma (SCC; T1-T3) involving buccal mucosa attending in the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department of Dhaka Dental College and Hospital during this study period were included purposively in the study. All patients were treated by excision of the lesion and subsequent reconstruction of the defect by nasolabial flap. If indicated then patients received adjuvant radiotherapy. They were examined preoperatively, immediate postoperatively, on discharge and 3 months after operation. Data were analyzed by SPSS statistics (version 20) software. To find out the significance of the result one way ANOVA was conducted and the Post Hoc Test was done by LSD.

Results: Among 20 patients 15% (3) patients developed infection, 15% (3) patients developed dehiscence. Trismus occurred in 3% (1) patient. In assessment of interincisal opening of the patients, 90% (18) presented with adequate interincisal opening but it has been reduced in 10% (2) patients after radiotherapy. In 90% (18) patients surface texture of the flap were smooth and in 10% (2) were partially smooth.

Conclusion: The nasolabial flap has proved to be a useful and reliable option for reconstruction of defects of the buccal mucosa in order to allow wound closure without tension and maintain oral function.
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Introduction

Oral malignancies are one of the most prevalent malignancies in the world, leading to one of the 10 most common causes of death. Oral malignancies represent approximately 13% of all malignancies, thereby, translating into 30,000 new cases every year. The majority 84-97% of oral malignancies are SCCs. The countries of South Asian region including India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Bhutan, Nepal, Iran and Maldives are particularly affected with oral cancer ranking either first or second with regard to different types of cancer prevalence in these countries. According to Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, cancer is the sixth leading cause of death in Bangladesh. There are 13 to 15 lakh cancer patients in Bangladesh, with about 2 lakh patients newly diagnosed with cancer each year. Oral cancer is the 2nd most common cancer in male and 3rd position in female in Bangladesh. According to a recent WHO study, there are 49000 oral cancer cases in Bangladesh. In a study, Molla and Shaheed showed prevalence of oral cancer in Bangladesh accounts for 20% of all malignancies. Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OSCC) accounts for 90% of oral cancer in Bangladesh. Oral cancer is an age related disease and 60% of the patients are over the age of 40 years. Cancer of mouth is considerably more common in man than woman. The distribution of oral cancer according to site has a different pattern in South East Asia for that in the western part of the world. The major primary lesions are located at the mandibular gingiva (40%) and buccal mucosa (33.6%).

The mainstays of treatment in these cases are surgical excision of the primary lesion and cervical lymph node with the addition of radiation and chemotherapy as an adjutant.
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Surgical excision of the lesion does not only result in trismus, wound dehiscence, infection but also aesthetic mutilation because of the exposed character of the head and neck region.7 Surgical defects of oral cavity and orofacial region are challenging because of the aesthetic and functional demands and dynamic nature of the area. A multitude of reconstructive options are available and with the advent of musculocutaneous flaps and free microvascular tissue transfer, orofacial reconstruction has entered an era of sophistication whereby repair of defects of all types and sizes has become possible. However, these techniques are not suitable for every patient, as at times, either the defect is too small or the patient's age and medical status do not permit a prolonged general anesthesia and lengthy surgical procedure. The nasolabial flap represents the available local tissue that often avoids these problems for repair of 2-<5cm extra or intra-oral defects.8 The first reported use of nasolabial flap as fasciocutaneous flap dates back to 600BC, as described by Pers and then for many centuries it has been used for nasal and lateral lip reconstruction.9 There was the first to use a transbuccal transfer of this flap for closure of palatal fistula and intraoral defects while Esser did a cutaneous nasolabial flap transfer in two stages to increase its reliability.10 Wallace and Rose later introduced various modifications of the basic flap for single-stage transfer. Further flap modifications were introduced to obtain better cosmetic results and to cover relatively larger defects.10-12 Superiorly based nasolabial flaps can be used for reconstruction of nasal defects, lower eyelid, and the cheek, whereas the inferiorly based flaps are considered useful in reconstruction of defects of the lip, oral commissure, and the anterior oral cavity.7 The nasolabial flap is a local arterialized flap with an axial blood supply provided either by the facial artery (inferiorly based flap), or by the superficial temporal artery through its transverse facial branch, and the infraorbital artery (superiorly based flap).11-13 It is a reliable, versatile, and easy to raise flap for a variety of small to medium sized defects in the orofacial region. The first nasolabial flap for intraoral reconstruction was reported toward the end of the 19th century.14,15 Currently the proven reliability of the nasolabial flap, with its predictable functional and acceptable aesthetic results, makes it the ideal local flap for reconstruction of oral defects that are too large for primary closure and too small for conventional musculo-cutaneous and micro vascular free flaps.1 Other major advantages of this flap are its versatility, easy to raise flap, a quick single stage procedure; and, its viability is not affected by facial artery ligation when synchronous neck dissection is performed in SCC patients.16,17 There are numerous options available for reconstruction of the oral cavity defects, depending upon the site, size and other requirements of the defect. For reconstruction of smaller defects of the oral cavity options range from primary closure to secondary healing from mucosalisation, or covering the defect site with split thickness skin grafts. Most of these modalities may result in functional complications in terms of, trismus, wound dehiscence, infection. The versatility and the usefulness of nasolabial flap is now well recognized in orofacial reconstruction and intraoral use of the nasolabial flap is a simple, fast and reliable procedure and minimizes the morbidity related to scar formation, trismus, wound dehiscence, infection to a great extent.18-20 This study would reveal it may be harvested in a safe, single stage procedure and does not require elaborate technique and aftercare. This study was conducted to evaluate the functional outcome, surface texture and complications of nasolabial flap for reconstruction in the buccal mucosal defects.

Materials and Methods
A cross-sectional observational study was conducted at Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Dhaka Dental College and Hospital, Mirpur-14, Dhaka from August 2016 to July 2017. Twenty patients with clinical histological diagnosed squamous cell carcinoma (SCC; Ti-T2 ) involving buccal mucosa attending in the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery department of Dhaka Dental College and Hospital during this study period were included purposively in the study. The selected patients were informed of the surgery and method of closure of the surgical wound, its advantage and complication. Those who had fulfilled and gave consent for the study and agree to return for follow up are to be enrolled for the study finally. This study was designed to assess the functional, aesthetic outcome and postoperative complications of nasolabial flap used for the reconstruction of buccal mucosal defects. Throughout the study, the patients were evaluated preoperatively, intraoperatively and postoperatively for various parameters. The protocol was approved by the "Research Review Committee" of the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery of Dhaka Dental College and Hospital. Finally, ethical clearance was taken from the "Ethical Committee" of Dhaka Dental College. Patients included in the study, were explained about the procedure and outcome of the research in details and written consent was taken. A standardized structured data collection sheet was used to collect necessary information of the subject group. Data sheet included all of the variables eg. Age, Sex, Size of the defect (Defect dimension), Size of the flap (Flap dimension), Trismus, Wound Dehiscence, Flap necrosis, Infection, Surface texture regarding to the study. All patients were treated by excision of the lesion and subsequent reconstruction of the defect by nasolabial flap. If indicated then patients received adjuvant radiotherapy. They were examined preoperatively, immediate postoperatively, on discharge and 3 months after operation.

Surgical Technique
The flaps are elevated directly under vision; the plane is deep to the subcutaneous tissue and superficial to the underlying muscles. During dissection, the facial artery, submental artery, and external jugular vein are ligated if the neck dissection is combined with the resection of a primary tumor in a clinically node-positive neck. The tip of the flap was extended to a point approximately 15 mm distal to the medial canthus, while the width depended upon the width of the defect. If the facial
artery was preserved, a width to length ratio of 1:3 was maintained. In cases where the facial artery was ligated, a ratio of 1:2 was maintained. After the flap was raised and rotated, it was insetted using 4/0 Prolene sutures. For reconstruction of the buccal mucosa where no incision was made on the lips, the flap was insetted using a buccal tunnel. After 3 weeks, the flap was divided and the tunnel was closed.

**Data analysis**

Data were screened and cleaned for any discrepancy. After cleaning the data were recorded on a predesigned data collection sheet and analyzed by SPSS statistics (version 20) software. To find out the significance of the result one way ANOVA was conducted and the Post Hoc Test was done by LSD.

**Results**

Patients who underwent surgery age ranging from 35 to 68 years with a mean of 52.85±8.54 years (figure-1). Figure-2 shows among the 20 patients 60% (12) were male and 40% (8) were female. The minimum size of defect was 2x2 cm² and maximum size of defect was 4x4.5 cm². The minimum flap size used was 3x4 cm² and maximum size of the flap used was 5x5 cm² (Table I). Figure 3: shows 02 (10%) patients had pT2N0 disease; 06 (30%) patients had pT2N1 disease; 12 (60%) patient had pT3N1 disease. Among 20 patients 15% (3) patients developed infection, 15% (3) patients developed dehiscence. Trismus occurred in 5% (1) patient (Table II). In assessment of preoperative and postoperative (On discharge and after 3 months) interincisal opening of the patients, 90% (18) presented with adequate interincisal opening but it has been reduced in 10% (2) patients after radiotherapy (Table III). Figure- 4 demonstrates, in 90% (18) patients surface texture of the flap were smooth and in 10% (2) were partially smooth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Site of lesion</th>
<th>Defect Dimension (cm²)</th>
<th>Size of the flap(cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>2x2</td>
<td>3x4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>3.5x4</td>
<td>4x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>4x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>3.5x4</td>
<td>4x6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>2.5x4</td>
<td>3x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4.5x4</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>3x3.5</td>
<td>4x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>2x2</td>
<td>3x4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa ofLt. side</td>
<td>4 x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>3x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5 x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>3x3.5</td>
<td>3x4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4.5x4</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Upper buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>3x3.5</td>
<td>3x4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Lt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5x5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lower buccal mucosa of Rt. side</td>
<td>4x4.5</td>
<td>5X5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infection Dehiscence Trismus Flap loss

| Immediate postoperatively | 3 | 15 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| After 3 months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interincisal opening</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>On-Discharge</th>
<th>Post-operative</th>
<th>After 3 Months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-20mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40mm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lt.-Left, Rt.-Right

**Table I: Sites of lesion, defect dimension and Size of the flap (n=20)**

**Figure 1: Age of patients**

**Figure 2: Age of patients**

**Figure 3: pTNM staging of patients**

**Table II: Postoperative complications**

**Table III: Interincisal opening**
Discussion

After ablative surgical treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of the buccal mucosa when it is sufficiently deep and wide need to be reconstructed to prevent complication and functional impairment. Resection should include an adequate depth to prevent local recurrence. Reconstructive options for small buccal tissue defect now include the radial forearm free flap,17,20 buccal fat pad,21 temporalis muscle flap,22 alloderm and tissue-engineered mucosa grafts. The nasolabial island flap based superiorly or inferorily on the subcutaneous blood supply, from the transverse, facial and angular vessels, can be used for buccal mucosa defects reconstruction. Some authors, believe that when using nasolabial flaps to cover lateral oral cavity defects, it is preferable to use a single stage technique.23-25 Hymes and Boyd26 suggest that the reason this flap has proven itself so reliable is 2-fold. Firstly, there is an abundant dermosubdermal plexus supplying the whole area. Secondly, this vascular plexus is not haphazard but may exhibit a degree of axiality ensuring good perfusion to the most distal parts of the flap. The vessels that contribute most to the subcutaneous arterial network include the facial and the transverse facial arteries.27 Probably, anastomotic contribution from the arterial network include the facial and the transverse facial arteries. Among them 60% were male and 40% were female. This indicates that when using nasolabial flaps to cover lateral oral cavity defects, it is preferable to use a single stage technique.23-25

Cosmetically donor site scar was assessed as patients' satisfaction whether excellent, good, fair or bad. Minty Five plural patients satisfied at the end of the study. Study results correspond to those described by Mebed, et al.25 Among 20 patients, 15% (3) patients developed infection, 15% (3) patients developed dehiscence and trismus developed in 5% (1) patient but these wounds settled well with conservative management without adding significant morbidity for the patients. Varghese et al.17 reported of a flap loss rate of 5.5% (partial loss) and 6.3% (complete loss) respectively in their series of 238 patients. In this group also one patient had partial flap loss out of ten patients that constitutes 10% partial flap loss. Garatea et al.31 stated the necessity for facial artery preservation in neck dissection if nasolabial flaps are to be used and Mutimer and Poole28 suggested that it may be safer to avoid using nasolabial flaps if a neck dissection is required. The nasolabial flap is a versatile, reliable local flap for reconstruction of medium size oral cavity defects with good cosmetic outcomes and negligible donor site morbidity. The study was conducted among the patients of Dhaka Dental College Hospital with study subjects 20 and short term follow-up. Probably larger prospective comparative studies with conventional reconstructive techniques in future will prove the versatility of the flap further. The nasolabial flap has proved to be a useful and reliable option for reconstruction of 2-<5cm defects of the buccal mucosa in order to allow wound closure without tension and maintain oral function.

This actually corresponds to the assumption that not only the facial artery, but probably a rich subdermal-plexus also supplies the flap.26 Cosmetically donor site scar was assessed as patients' satisfaction whether excellent, good, fair or bad. Sajid et al.32 in their study All 14 patients looked fair (six patients) to-good (eight patients) at the end of the study. Lazaridis et al.8 describe a study in which 9 patients undergo single-stage surgical interventions for the reconstruction of intraoral defects with nasolabial flaps, four of them involving a superior pedicle. In addition to a good aesthetic outcome, the incidence of postsurgical trismus is reduced thanks to the proximity of the donor region during the reconstruction, enabling a primary closure with little tension. Intraoral reconstruction using nasolabial flaps is a simple and fast procedure and can be recommended, particularly for the patients with medical co-morbidities who are not good candidates for time-consuming operations including microsurgical reconstructions.

Figure 4: Surface Texture of the flap
Conclusions
The nasolabial flap has proved to be a useful and reliable option for reconstruction of 2-<5cm defects of the buccal mucosa in order to allow wound closure without tension and maintain oral function. Intraoral reconstruction using nasolabial flaps is a simple and fast procedure and can be recommended, particularly for the patients with medical co-morbidities who are not good candidates for time-consuming operations including microsurgical reconstructions. The study was conducted among the patients of Dhaka Dental College Hospital with study subjects 20 and short term follow-up. Probably larger prospective comparative studies with conventional reconstructive techniques in future will prove the versatility of the flap further.
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