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Abstract

Background: Anterior cervical discectomy with fusion (ACDF) is challenging with respect to both patient selection and choice of surgical procedure. Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical outcome of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with an artificial cage made of polyetheretherketone

Materials & Methods: From January 2012 to January 2017, 80 consecutive patients referred to the Department of spine surgery, Bangabandhu Shekh Mujib Medical University were recruited for the study. Postoperative clinical outcome assessed with Nurick scale for myelopathy, Odom’s criteria for functional outcome and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for both neck and arm pain. Radiological fusion was assessed by X-ray. Operative complications were reported. Results: 36 patients were operated for one level discectomy and fusion with PEEK cages and 44 patients for two levels. There were 48 (60%) males and 32 (40%) females. The age of the patients ranged from 30-72 years, a mean ± SD 45 ± 8.34. At the 2 years clinical follow-up, there were significant post operative improvements of Nurick scale, and VAS comparative to preoperative record. According to Odom’s criteria, 72/80 patients (90%) were graded excellent-good. Conclusion: Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cage is an effective treatment of cervical myelopathy having higher fusion rate and lack of donor site morbidity.
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Introduction

Spinal fusion offers the surgeon an opportunity to remove the pathologic process, eliminate painful motion and obtain decompression of the neural elements. Fusion is at present the gold standard treatment for herniated cervical discs. Currently, over 95% fusion rate occurs after application of anterior cervical implants. The indications for anterior cervical discectomy fusion (ACDF) include radiculopathy, myelopathy, myelo-radiculopathy and traumatic instability involving single or multiple levels. There are various techniques for performing ACDF depending on surgeon preference; including the Cloward technique or discectomy and interposition graft. The interposition graft used may include autologous bone, allograft, and synthetic material or cages. Cervical cages of different materials have been used as titanium, Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) and carbon fiber. Different fusion materials have been used too as iliac crest autograft, allograft, demineralized bone matrix, hydroxyapatite and bone morphogenetic proteins. There are different complications of using graft alone such as graft collapse, extrusion, and pseudarthrosis. In this study, we try to evaluate single or double level ACDF with PEEK cages without plate. We have seen sagittal alignment, cervical lordosis, graft subidence, fusion rate and adjacent level ossification.
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Materials and Methods

From January 2012 to January 2017, 80 consecutive patients referred to the Department of spine surgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University were recruited for the study. The duration of preoperative symptoms ranged from 2 months to one year on conservative management including medical treatment and physiotherapy. The parameters registered the day before surgery included age, sex, symptom duration before surgery (months), previous history of cervical disc disease, previous neck trauma, working status, radicular and neck pain, myelopathy and paresis. The pain category was scored using a VAS. Nurick scale was used for myelopathy, pre-operative and post-operative Odom's criteria for functional outcome.

Patient with cervical recurrent disc, trauma, neoplasia, and infection excluded from the study. Diagnostic work-up: Cervical MRI, cervical X-ray, and some cases needed CT cervical spine with sagittal reconstruction. The patient followed-up clinically and radiologically at 6, 12, and 24 months. Surgery-related complications were reported.

Nurick Scale: A six grade system (0-5) based on the 'difficulty in walking'.

Grade Description:
1) Signs or symptoms of root involvement but without evidence of spinal cord disease. 2) Signs of spinal cord disease but no difficulty in walking. 3) Slight difficulty in walking which does not prevent full-time employment. 4) Difficulty in walking which prevented full time employment or the ability to do all housework, but which was not so severe as to require someone else's help to walk. 5) Able to walk only with someone else's help or with the aid of a frame. 6) Chair bound or bedridden.

Odom's criteria for Outcome evaluation
Excellent: All pre-operative symptoms relieved, abnormal findings improved. Good: Minimal persistence of pre-operative symptoms, abnormal findings unchanged or improved. Fair: Definite relief of some pre-operative symptoms, other symptoms unchanged or slightly improved. Poor: Symptoms and signs unchanged or worse.

Results
The study included 80 patients. Thirty six patients were operated for one level discectomy and fusion with PEEK cages and 44 patients for two levels. There were 48 (60%) males and 32 (40%) females. The age of the patients ranged from 30-72 years, a mean ± SD 45 ± 8.34. There were 20 males and 32 (40%) females. The age of the patients ranged in infection excluded from the study. Diagnostic work-up: Cervical MRI, cervical X-ray, and some cases needed CT cervical spine with sagittal reconstruction. The patient followed-up clinically and radiologically at 6, 12, and 24 months. Surgery-related complications were reported.

Deterministic criteria for Outcome evaluation
Excellent: All pre-operative symptoms relieved, abnormal findings improved. Good: Minimal persistence of pre-operative symptoms, abnormal findings unchanged or improved. Fair: Definite relief of some pre-operative symptoms, other symptoms unchanged or slightly improved. Poor: Symptoms and signs unchanged or worse.

Results
The study included 80 patients. Thirty six patients were operated for one level discectomy and fusion with PEEK cages and 44 patients for two levels. There were 48 (60%) males and 32 (40%) females. The age of the patients ranged from 30-72 years, a mean ± SD 45 ± 8.34. There were 20 (25%) smokers. There were 24 patients (30%) with radiculopathy, 20 patients (25%) with myelopathy, and 36 patients (45%) with radiculomyelopathy. Regarding the levels operated, there were 28 C3-4 levels, 36 C4-5 levels, 52 C5-6 levels, and 8 C6-7 levels. The total levels done were 124 levels. Duration of symptoms ranged from 1.5 months to 12 months; mean ± SD was 8 ± 3.23 months. Patients were followed-up for a period of 6 months to 24 months, mean ± SD 10.3 ± 3.4 months. At the 2 years follow-up, we reported a significant post operative improvement of Nurick scale and VAS for arm and neck pain comparative to preoperative record. According to Odom's criteria, 72/80 patients (90%) were graded excellent-good. No patient as graded poor. There were some transient complications- dysphagia is reported in 10 patients (12.5%) and improved within the first 2 weeks, superficial wound infection reported in 4 patients (5%) and transient vocal cord dysfunction in one case.

Cage subsidence of 3mm occurred in 6 cases (7.5%) and 10/124 (8%) segments. The loss of segmental lordosis from immediately after surgery to the last follow-up 3° in 28/124 segments (22.5%) while 77.5% shows no progression of angle after fusion. All cases of subsidence occurred in the lower anterior end plate. Fusion occurred in 72/80 (90%). The average age of the non fusion group of the whole series (8 patients) was older 48.8 ± 4.3. There were 20 smokers at the study, 14 of them were at the fusion group. At the non fusion group, all patients complain of chronic neck pain that mandate analgesic.

Discussion
Fusion is usually carried out with an intervertebral bone graft to restore disc height and to ensure primary stability of the motion segment. Discectomy alone may lead to poor clinical results due to loss of disc height, narrowing of the neural foramen and to malalignment of the cervical spine because of the resulting kyphosis of the motion segment. A fusion cage not only ensure primary stability between the vertebrae but also allow bone ingrowth to achieve secondary stability without losing the structural integrity of the segment. In this study, fusion occurred in 72/80 patients (90%). It has been reported that the cage achieves excellent fusion rates ranging from 93.1-100%.

Cage subsidence of 3mm occurred in 6 cases (7.5%) and 10/124 (8%) segments in this study. Although it doesn't affect fusion, it affects mainly segmental lordosis. Bartels et al. showed the same incidence. Anterior cervical decompression and fusion with autologous bone graft has been the standard treatment for cervical discectomy for more than 50 years. The literature also reports a consistent rate of 1-12% non-fusion for single-level anterior discectomy and autogenous bone fusion, 20-27% for two-level and approximately 30-56% for three-level fusions. That's why plate fixation has been added for adequate fusion. However, Connolly et al. reported that plate fixation does not enhance fusion rate nor does it improve clinical outcome in one and two level ACDF. Using a fusion cage without fixation, Hacker et al. compared to ACDF with bone graft and plate fixation in a multi-center randomized study including both one- and two-level degenerative disc disease with radiculopathy but found no significant difference in clinical outcome between the two groups. For multilevel, fusion rates are superior in the plated group (99%) than nonplated group (93%). In addition fusion is at a faster rate. However, plating has complications. Plate complication rate varies from 2.2-24.0% and includes screw pullout, screw breakage, injury of the laryngeal nerve, injury of esophagus, injury of spinal cord or root, injury of vertebral artery, and wound infection.
Additionally, the operative time is usually longer, and more cost to patient or health authority. This study found, with others that fusion is higher in PEEK group, clinical outcome for radicular pain is significantly better. Due to donor site morbidity, and relatively shorter operation time, and nearly the equivalent clinical results between cage and bone graft, many authors prefer cages for this type of fusion to bone graft. According to the previous data, and based on the current literature, cage fusion (both single-level and two-level) however, probably provide favorable clinical outcomes for brachialgia and no donor site complications but surgeon should follow guideline to avoid subsidence and its sequelae.

**Conclusion**
Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with PEEK cage is considered ideal for treating cervical disc disease with radicular pain and myelopathy in terms of clinical improvement, restoration of cervical lordosis, bone fusion and in long term follow-up. PEEK cage had some favorable clinical outcome and high fusion rate but lack of donor site morbidity.
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