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Abstract

**Background:** Abnormalities or damage to the fallopian tube interferes with fertility and is responsible for abnormal implantation (eg, ectopic pregnancy). Obstruction of the distal end of the fallopian tubes results in accumulation of the normally secreted tubal fluid, creating distention of the tube with subsequent damage of the epithelial cilia (hydrosalpinx). Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection has a worldwide distribution and is now recognized as the single most common cause of tubal peritoneal damage. The study explores the relationship between serum chlamydia antibody titres (CATs) and detection of tubal damage in infertile women. **Objective:** To Evaluation of tubal and peritoneal factors in chlamydia positive infertile women by laparoscope. **Methodology:** The tubal status and pelvic findings in 138 women underwent laparoscopy for infertility were related to CAT, which was measured using the whole-cell inclusion immunofluorescence test. **RESULTS:** A total of 138 infertile women who underwent laparoscopic investigation for infertility were identified and they were divided in two groups, on the basis of presence is absence Chlamydia positive (n=69) and Chlamydia Negative (n=69). Demographic status were almost similar between two groups, however service holder was found significantly higher in Chlamydia positive group (17 vs. 7). Tubal block was found in 44(63.7%) in Chlamydia positive and 37(53.6%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.01) between two groups. Site of block & hydrosalpinges was almost similar between two groups. POD was completely obliterated in 10(14.5%) in Chlamydia positive and 3(4.3%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.05) between two groups. **Conclusion:** Chlamydia serology is useful mainly as a screening test for the likelihood of tubal damage in infertile women and may facilitate decisions on which women should proceed with further investigations without delay. [J Shaheed Suhrawardy Med Coll 2015;5(2): 54-58]
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**Introduction**

Infertility is the failure to conceive (regardless of cause) after 1 year of unprotected intercourse. Infertility affects approximately 10-15% of reproductive-aged couples. Infertility is caused by male and/or female factors. Male and female factors each account for approximately 35% of cases. Often, there is more than one factor, with male and female factors combined causing 20% of infertility. In the remaining 10% of cases, the etiology is unknown.

The fallopian tubes play an important role in reproduction. After ovulation, the fimbriae pick up the oocyte from the peritoneal fluid that has accumulated in the cul-de-sac. The epithelial cilia transport the oocyte up to the ampulla. The capacitated spermatozoa are transported from the endometrium through the cornual section and advanced through the fallopian tube down into the ampulla, where fertilization occurs. The embryo initiates its early cleaving stages and is propelled upward to arrive at the endometrial cavity at the blastocyst stage (i.e., 96-120 h after ovulation).

**Background:** Abnormalities or damage to the fallopian tube interferes with fertility and is responsible for abnormal implantation (eg, ectopic pregnancy). Obstruction of the distal end of the fallopian tubes results in accumulation of the normally secreted tubal fluid, creating distention of the tube with subsequent damage of the epithelial cilia (hydrosalpinx). Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection has a worldwide distribution and is now recognized as the single most common cause of tubal peritoneal damage. The study explores the relationship between serum chlamydia antibody titres (CATs) and detection of tubal damage in infertile women. **Objective:** To Evaluation of tubal and peritoneal factors in chlamydia positive infertile women by laparoscope. **Methodology:** The tubal status and pelvic findings in 138 women underwent laparoscopy for infertility were related to CAT, which was measured using the whole-cell inclusion immunofluorescence test. **RESULTS:** A total of 138 infertile women who underwent laparoscopic investigation for infertility were identified and they were divided in two groups, on the basis of presence is absence Chlamydia positive (n=69) and Chlamydia Negative (n=69). Demographic status were almost similar between two groups, however service holder was found significantly higher in Chlamydia positive group (17 vs. 7). Tubal block was found in 44(63.7%) in Chlamydia positive and 37(53.6%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.01) between two groups. Site of block & hydrosalpinges was almost similar between two groups. POD was completely obliterated in 10(14.5%) in Chlamydia positive and 3(4.3%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.05) between two groups. **Conclusion:** Chlamydia serology is useful mainly as a screening test for the likelihood of tubal damage in infertile women and may facilitate decisions on which women should proceed with further investigations without delay. [J Shaheed Suhrawardy Med Coll 2015;5(2): 54-58]
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**Introduction**

Infertility is the failure to conceive (regardless of cause) after 1 year of unprotected intercourse. Infertility affects approximately 10-15% of reproductive-aged couples. Infertility is caused by male and/or female factors. Male and female factors each account for approximately 35% of cases. Often, there is more than one factor, with male and female factors combined causing 20% of infertility. In the remaining 10% of cases, the etiology is unknown.

The fallopian tubes play an important role in reproduction. After ovulation, the fimbriae pick up the oocyte from the peritoneal fluid that has accumulated in the cul-de-sac. The epithelial cilia transport the oocyte up to the ampulla. The capacitated spermatozoa are transported from the endometrium through the cornual section and advanced through the fallopian tube down into the ampulla, where fertilization occurs. The embryo initiates its early cleaving stages and is propelled upward to arrive at the endometrial cavity at the blastocyst stage (i.e., 96-120 h after ovulation).
cavity at the blastocyst stage (i.e., 96-120 h after ovulation). Abnormalities or damage to the fallopian tube interferes with fertility and is responsible for abnormal implantation (eg, ectopic pregnancy). Obstruction of the distal end of the fallopian tubes results in accumulation of the normally secreted tubal fluid, creating distention of the tube with subsequent damage of the epithelial cilia (hydrosalpinx). Other tubal factors associated with infertility are either congenital or acquired. Congenital absence of the fallopian tubes can be due to spontaneous torsion in utero followed by necrosis and reabsorption. Elective tubal ligation and salpingectomy are acquired causes.

Chlamydia trachomatis is a gram-negative bacterium that infects the columnar epithelium of the cervix, urethra, and rectum, as well as nongenital sites. The bacterium is the cause of the most frequently reported sexually transmitted disease in the United States. Genitourinary infection affects primarily young adults and persons with multiple sex partners. Women carry a disproportionate burden: CDC statistics show that the overall rate of infection was almost three times higher among women than men.

Genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection has a worldwide distribution and is now recognized as the single most common cause of tubal peritopeal damage. Infection with C. trachomatis results in the formation of antibodies detectable in serum. In contrast to laparoscopy or HSG, detecting evidence of past chlamydial infection using serology is non-invasive, simple and quick to perform. As such, chlamydia serology may be used as a screening test for tubal damage in infertile women.

This study was done to determine the tubal factors by laparoscopy in chlamydia positive in infertile women.

**Objective:** To Evaluation of tubal and peritoneal factors in chlamydia positive infertile women by laparoscope.

**Materials and Methods**
This cross sectional study was carried out in the Department of Infertility Centre, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, from July 2009 to June 2011. Prior to the commencement of this study, the research protocol was approved by the Local ethical committee. The objectives of the study along with its procedure, alternative diagnostic methods, risks and benefits of this study were explained to the patients in easily understandable local language and then informed consent was taken from each patient. It was assured that all information and records would be kept confidential and the procedure would be helpful for both the physician and the patients in making rational approach of the patient management. Clinically suspected 138 cases of infertile couple from OPD or inpatient department purposively selected referred above department.

In this cross-sectional study, all women included had a diagnostic laparoscopy for assessment of tubal patency, fibrosis, distortion, or the presence of endometriosis or pelvic adhesions. Women who had a distinct cause of infertility such as ovulatory dysfunction with no index of pelvic disease would not have had a routine laparoscopy and some others conceived before laparoscopy was necessary or arranged. Although different clinicians carried out the laparoscopies over this period of time, each clinician employed the same technique because they were supervised initially by one of two consultants prior to being allowed to assess the pelvis independently. All the clinicians were accredited specialists or senior trainees. Findings were recorded in a standardized way.

Women with tubal damage (or pelvic adhesions not due to endometriosis) served as the ‘cases’ to be identified by the test (chlamydia serology) and women without damage served as ‘controls’ regardless of their other infertility diagnoses.

Women were also categorized according to three main findings at laparoscopy: (i) tubal damage; (ii) endometriosis; or (iii) normal pelvis. Tubal damage was diagnosed by the finding of tubal occlusion, and/or distortion of the fimbriae, and/or restrictive tubal ovarian adhesions, in the absence of visible endometriosis.

Severe tubal damage was classified using the 'Hull and Rutherford' classification for tubal disease. This classification referred to women with bilateral tubal damage with extensive tubal fibrosis, and/or tubal distension >1.5 cm, and/or an abnormal tubal mucosal appearance and/or bipolar occlusion, and/or extensive dense pelvic adhesions.

**Laboratory Procedures:**
A clotted blood sample was obtained from the patient prior to the laparoscopy and sent to the Public Health Laboratory Service in Bristol for assay. Both clinical and laboratory personnel were blind as to the pelvic status of the woman at that time. Serum samples were assayed for chlamydia IgG antibody employing the single-antigen inclusion test using indirect immunofluorescence, as previously described by Richmond and Cauli, otherwise known as the whole-cell inclusion immunofluorescence (WIF) assay. This was applied in practice and modified using C.trachomatis L2 serotype as antigen to infect McCoy cell monolayers and anti-human IgM-IgA-IgG-fluoresce in conjugate. Dilutions of sera were expressed as antibody titres from 1:64 to >1:4096, or negative (<1:64).

**Statistical analysis:**
Statistical analyses was carried out by using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). A descriptive analysis was performed for all data. The mean values was calculated for continuous variables. The quantitative and qualitative observations were indicated by frequencies and percentages. Chi-Square test was used to analyze the categorical variables was shown with cross tabulation and unpaired t—test was used to analyze the continuous variable was expressed as mean (±SD). A P-value will considered to be statistically non significant if >0.05 and statistically significant if ≤ 0.05.
Results:

A total of 138 infertile women who underwent laparoscopic investigation for infertility were identified. Complete data including chlamydia serology were available for all cases and subsequent analysis is based on these. The patients were divided in two groups, which were Chlamydia positive (n=69) and Chlamydia Negative (n=69).

The mean age was found 28.6±4.7 years varied from 21 – 41 years in Chlamydia positive and 27.2±4.1 years varied from 20 – 40 years in Chlamydia negative. Husband occupational status, educational status, wife educational status, religion and socioeconomic status were almost similar between two groups. On the other hand service holder was found significantly higher in Chlamydia positive group (17 vs. 7). The mean duration of marriage was 7.9±3.8 years varied from 2-20 years in Chlamydia positive and 7.2±4.0 years varied from 2-20 years in Chlamydia negative. The mean duration of marriage and duration of subfertility were almost similar between two groups.

Mild dysmenomhoea was found 27(87.0%) in Chlamydia positive and 24(85.7%) in Chlamydia negative. Moderate dysmenomhoea was 1(3.2%) in Chlamydia positive but not found in Chlamydia negative patients. Severe dysmenomhoea was 3(9.7%) in Chlamydia positive and 4(14.3%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05) between two groups.

Tubal block was found in 44(63.7%) in Chlamydia positive and 37(53.6%) in Chlamydia negative. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.01) between two groups. Site of block & hydrosalpinges was almost similar between two groups. Partially POD was found 11(15.9%) in Chlamydia positive and 6(8.7%) in Chlamydia negative. Completely POD was found 10(14.5%) in Chlamydia negative and 3(4.3%) in Chlamydia positive. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.05) between two groups.

Discussion

This study used laparoscopy on all patients confirms that past infection with C.trachomatis is associated with a significantly increased risk of women suffering tubal infertility, as shown by others 12,15-20. Negative chlamydia serology (<1:64) does not, however, preclude the diagnosis of tubal damage. Conversely, high titres do not necessarily indicate the presence of tubal damage, as shown by the high titres observed in some women with a normal pelvis in the present study. Laparoscopy is the accepted gold standard for the diagnosis of tubal damage20. The high prevalence of tubal damage observed may reflect the prolonged duration of infertility (3.8 years) of the women studied. The present study, showed moist of the patients had more than 5 years Nonetheless, a significant proportion of patients who underwent laparoscopy had no pelvic damage and were infertile due to other causes such as sperm or ovariatory dysfunction and unexplained infertility. Tube may be blocked other than Chlamydia microorganism. The relatively high soro-prevalence of positive CAT and the relatively low proportion of women who give a history of previous PID attest to chlamydial infection being mainly asymptomatic 21.6.

The sensitivity of chlamydia serology in detecting

<p>| Table III: Distribution of the study patients by site of block &amp; hydrosalpinges (n=138) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Site of block                          | Chlamydia Positive | Chlamydia Negative | p value |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n (n=69)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>n (n=69)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fimbrial</td>
<td>27 39.1</td>
<td>27 39.1</td>
<td>0.171NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornual</td>
<td>7 10.1</td>
<td>7 10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block with adhesion</td>
<td>19 27.5</td>
<td>19 27.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroalpinges</td>
<td>25 36.2</td>
<td>25 36.2</td>
<td>0.321NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>16 23.2</td>
<td>16 23.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>9 13.0</td>
<td>9 13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded</td>
<td>20 29.0</td>
<td>20 29.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns=not significant, P value reached from chi square test

| Table II: Distribution of the study patients by tubal block (n=138) |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Tubal block                           | Chlamydia Positive | Chlamydia Negative |
| n (n=69) | % | n (n=69) | % |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Tubal block                            | 44 63.7         | 37 53.6         |
| Bilateral                              | 21 30.4         | 11 15.9         | 0.011 |
| Unilateral                             | 23 33.3         | 26 37.7         |
| Patent tube                            | 25 36.2         | 32 46.4         |

ns= significant, P value reached from chi square test

Figure 1: Bar diagram showing obliteration of POD of the patients

Table I: Distribution of the study patients by type of infertility (n=138)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of infertility</th>
<th>Chlamydia Positive</th>
<th>Chlamydia Negative</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (n=69)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n (n=69)</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 72.5</td>
<td>51 73.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>19 27.5</td>
<td>18 26.1</td>
<td>0.847NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns=not significant, P value reached from chi square test
tuboperitoneal damage has been demonstrated by others22-24, including a meta-analysis17. However, these studies included women with endometriosis considered as positive cases. Anestad et al. found that pelvic adhesions (not due to endometriosis) were the most frequent sequelae associated with a high CAT. The findings of the study suggested that adhesions were the most likely consequence of chlamydial infection, with occlusion being a manifestation of more severe infection associated with higher titres, consistent with our findings.25 chlamydia and gonorrhoea are both common causes of PID and often co-exist. It is therefore plausible that in the women who had a history of acute PID and had negative chlamydia and gonorrhoea, this was caused by gonorrhoea or other organisms27.

A meta-analysis showed that the performance of chlamydia antibody testing depended on the assay used, and found the WIF test with the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and micro-immunofluorescence (MIF) test to be superior to the immunoperoxidase assay17. However, the studies examined were not strictly comparable because some were based on tubal damage diagnosed by HSG alone, and non-uniform cut-off levels were used. The immunofluorescence test employed in the present study is highly sensitive, as shown by a blinded comparative study of other serological tests for C. trachomatis antibody14. Consequently, women with positive serology but with a normal pelvis may have had non-genital chlamydia infection. In these cases, cross-reactive responses to past infection with other species of chlamydia such as Chlamydia pneumoniae or Chlamydia psittaci 17.21 is a possibility, but difficult to account for. Time-related antibody titre decline is a possible reason for false negatives (i.e. negative serology but positive laparoscopy), but this issue may be controversial.

Because there are justified constraints to the indiscriminate use of laparoscopy and HSG, there is a need to minimize the number of patients subjected to these diagnostic investigations who do not have disease (false positives). If laparoscopy is readily available and the primary aim of screening is to avoid delay in referral for IVF or tubal surgery in those with significant tubal damage, false negatives have to be minimized. As such, a low cut-off may be the preferred option in view of its higher sensitivity. To achieve the objective of identifying a subgroup of infertile women for further investigation, a cut-off level is required. However, a universal single cut-off which splits women into two groups is likely to be controversial.

It is tempting to suggest that early detection of a disease is an end in itself. However, the spectrum of disease varies according to the severity and extent of lesion9. The present study is clear in demonstrating that severe damage is more likely in women with higher titres. This implies that increasing antibody titres are quantitatively related to both the presence of tubal damage and the severity of tubal damage. Thus identification of trivial disease such as minor filmy adhesions or indeed untreatable conditions such as bilateral distended hydrosalpinges are important in terms of prognosis for fertility for different reasons9. Consequently, identifying women who are at sufficiently high risk of having severe tubal damage impairing fertility may be more important than identifying women with minimal tubal damage.

Conclusion

Striking a balance, in a target population between, on the one hand, the severity of the disorder affecting fertility and the prevalence of disease, and, on the other, the availability, costs, hazards and acceptability of invasive diagnosis is a practical necessity. This study shows that using chlamydia serology for screening provides a useful guide to the risk of tubal damage causing infertility but also exposes certain limitations of this method of screening. However, the choice of cut-off level used for screening would depend on the prevalence of the disease in the target population to which it is applied and whether one wants to identify most cases of women with tubal damage or mainly those with severe damage.

References
Infertility is caused by male and/or female factors. Male and female factors are associated with infertility. Male factors include abnormalities of the testes, epididymis, vas deferens, or seminal fluid. Female factors include abnormalities of the ovary, fallopian tubes, uterus, cervix, or vagina. The fallopian tubes play an important role in reproduction. They transport the oocyte from the ovary to the uterus. The spermatozoa are transported from the epididymis to the uterus through the fallopian tubes.

Chlamydia trachomatis is a gram-negative bacterium that causes sexually transmitted diseases. Chlamydia is one of the most frequently reported sexually transmitted diseases in the world. It is estimated that more than 100 million people are infected with Chlamydia annually. Chlamydia infection is often asymptomatic, which makes it difficult to diagnose. However, Chlamydia infection can cause pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and other complications, such as infertility.

Studies on women with pelvic pain, with or without chlamydial infection, have shown that the prevalence of disease varies. In a study by Thomas et al. (2000), the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis in women with pelvic pain was high. However, in a study by Clausen et al. (1998), the prevalence of Chlamydia pneumoniae or Chlamydia psittaci was lower. These findings suggest that the prevalence of disease varies depending on the population studied.

Studies on women with pelvic adhesions and infertility have shown that Chlamydia is associated with a high risk of having severe tubal damage impairing fertility. In a study by Ahn et al. (1998), the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women with ectopic pregnancy was high. The authors concluded that Chlamydia infection is a risk factor for ectopic pregnancy.

In this cross-sectional study, all women included had a diagnostic laparoscopy for assessment of tubal patency, infertility such as ovulatory dysfunction with no index of pelvic disease, or pelvic adhesions. Women who had a distinct cause of infertility were excluded. The objectives of the study were to determine the prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in infertile women and to assess the potential use of Chlamydia serology as a screening test for Chlamydia pneumoniae or Chlamydia psittaci.

The patients were divided in two groups, which were Chlamydia positive and Chlamydia negative. The site of block and hydrosalpinges was almost similar between two groups. The mean duration of subfertility were almost similar between two groups. On the other hand, the mean age of husbands was shown with cross tabulation and unpaired t-test was performed between two groups. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.01) between Chlamydia positive and Chlamydia negative. The prevalence of tubal distention, tubal occlusion, and/or distortion of the fimbriae was significantly higher in Chlamydia positive cases than in Chlamydia negative cases. This was caused by gonorrhoea or other sexually transmitted disease. However, a universal single cut-off level is required. However, a universal single cut-off level is required. This is because there are justified constraints to the indiscriminate use of laparoscopy and HSG, there is a possibility, but difficult to account for. Time-related findings at laparoscopy: (i) tubal damage; (ii) endometriosis; (iii) adhesions.

In conclusion, Chlamydia trachomatis is a risk factor for ectopic pregnancy. Chlamydia serology may be used as a screening test for Chlamydia pneumoniae or Chlamydia psittaci.
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