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Abstract
Laparoscopic appendicectomy is not yet considered the "gold standard" in the treatment of acute appendicitis because of its higher operative time, intra-abdominal abscess risk, and costs compared to open appendicectomy. On the other hand laparoscopic appendicectomy is associated with fewer post operative complications, shorter hospital stay, and nearly similar operative time, intra-abdominal abscess rate, and total costs, compared with open appendicectomy. With increase in the experience of the surgeon in laparoscopic skills pit falls will be much lower. Therefore, laparoscopic appendectomy can be recommended as preferred approach in acute appendicitis.
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Introduction
Appendicectomy is the most common emergency abdominal surgery. Since its introduction into clinical practice by Semm in 1983, laparoscopic appendicectomy (LA) proved to be a feasible and safe procedure and has gained worldwide acceptance1. The clinical advantages of LA, such as reduced hospital stay, lower incidence of wound infection, faster return to normal activities, shorter postoperative ileus, less postoperative pain and better cosmetic results have been demonstrated over the years by several studies2•3. However, the application of LA as “gold standard” in the treatment of acute appendicitis is still debated because of longer operative time, higher risk for postoperative intra-abdominal abscesses, and higher costs4. Despite the obvious advantages LA still remains a matter of debate because of concerns about possible longer operative time, higher rate of postoperative intra-abdominal abscesses, and higher costs compared to open appendicectomy (OA). For these reasons the open approach appears to be still widely used in clinical practice.
Studies found that, the mean operative time was similar for the two different procedures, with a difference of less than 5 min in favor of OA group that was not found to be statistically significant. This finding is related to the experience of the surgeon who performs the laparoscopic procedure, especially in the case of complicated appendicitis, in which the laparoscopic dissection can be technically more complex and therefore time-consuming. Training in laparoscopic techniques will lead to a significant reduction in difference of operative time compared to open procedures. Studies confirmed a significant lower incidence of postoperative complications in patients treated by laparoscopic approach. Infection of the surgical wound worsens the quality of life in the early postoperative period and prolongs the recovery time. The reduction of wound infection rate is a significant advantage of LA. The extraction of specimen with a bag and through a trocar port rather than directly through the surgical wound as in open procedures, can explain this reduction in incidence.

The occurrence of an intra-abdominal abscess after appendectomy represents a potentially life-threatening event. It has been suggested that this complication may be related mainly to an improper laparoscopic technique, such as an aggressive handling of infected appendix or an excessive use of irrigation fluids, which could lead to significant contamination of the peritoneal cavity. Recovery of the bowel function is faster in the LA group. Factors such as reduced manipulation of the ileum and the cecum in the hands of a skilled surgeon, as well as a minor abdominal trauma and less pain due to the smaller extension of the incision of the trocars, and an early postoperative mobilization of the patient may explain the cause.

The length of the hospital stay is shorter in the LA group than in the OA group so patients can return earlier to work and to normal daily activities. The reduction in length of hospital stay seen in the LA group has a direct impact on costs. Although the cost of the laparoscopic approach can be higher than cost of open approach, the difference in total costs between the two procedures is decreased by the shorter length of stay experienced by patients who underwent LA. The cases of conversion were associated with a higher postoperative morbidity and higher costs. The costs of laparoscopy remain still higher because of the increased operative time and the higher cost of laparoscopic instruments.

Conclusions
Laparoscopic appendectomy is associated with fewer postoperative complications, mainly due to a lower incidence of wound infections, with a rate of intra-abdominal abscess similar to open appendectomy. The operative time of laparoscopic treatment is comparable with that of open treatment, but length of hospital stay is shorter after laparoscopy. These findings have a direct impact on total costs. Therefore, laparoscopic appendectomy can be recommended as preferred approach for treatment of acute appendicitis.
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