

On a Pair of (σ, τ) -derivations of Semiprime Γ -rings

K. K. Dey* and A. C. Paul

Department of Mathematics, Rajshahi University, Rajshahi-6205, Bangladesh

Received 19 May 2011, accepted in final revised form 1 July 2011

Abstract

Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying an assumption and let σ, τ be centralizing epimorphisms on M . Let f and g be (σ, τ) -derivations on M such that $f(x)\alpha x + x\alpha g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then we prove that $f(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and f, g map M into its center.

Keywords. Epimorphism; Commuting; Map; Centralizing map; α -derivation; (α, β) -derivation; Prime Γ -ring; Semiprime Γ -ring.

© 2011 JSR Publications. ISSN: 2070-0237 (Print); 2070-0245 (Online). All rights reserved.
doi:10.3329/jsr.v3i3.7659 J. Sci. Res. 3 (3), 515-524 (2011)

1. Introduction

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. M is called a Γ -ring if for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $x\beta y \in M$,
- (ii) $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y,$
 $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$
- (iii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z).$

For any $x, y \in M$, the notation $[x, y]_\alpha$ and $(x, y)_\alpha$ will denote $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ and $x\alpha y + y\alpha x$ respectively. We know that $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y + x[\beta, \alpha]_z y$ and $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z + y[\beta, \alpha]_{x, z}$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. We shall take an assumption (*) $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Using this assumption the identities $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y$ and $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z$, for all $x, y, z \in M$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ are used extensively in our results. An additive mapping d from M into itself is called a derivation if $d(x\alpha y) = x\alpha d(y) + d(x)\alpha y$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. A mapping f from M into itself is commuting if $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$, and centralizing if $[f(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. We call a mapping $f: M \rightarrow M$ central if $f(x) \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in M$. Recall that if f is an additive commuting mapping from M into itself, then a linearization of $[f(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ yields $[f(x), y]_\alpha = [x, f(y)]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

* Corresponding author: kkdmath@yahoo.com

Let σ, τ be mappings of M into itself. An additive mapping d of M into itself is called a (σ, τ) -derivation if $d(x\alpha y) = \sigma(x)\alpha d(y) + d(x)\alpha\tau(y)$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. If $\tau = 1$, where 1 is the identity mapping of M , then d is called a σ -derivation or a $(\sigma, 1)$ -derivation or a skew-derivation. Of course, a $(1, 1)$ -derivation or a 1-derivation is a derivation.

In classical ring theories, Chaudhry and Thaheem [1] worked on (α, β) -derivations in semiprime rings. Quite a few Mathematicians studied (α, β) or (σ, τ) -derivations in prime and semiprime rings and they obtained some fruitful results in these fields.

In this paper we work on semiprime Γ -rings with a pair of (σ, τ) -derivations. Some characterizations are obtained relating to (σ, τ) -derivations.

2. The Results

First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let T be an endomorphism of the prime Γ -ring M , and let I be a nonzero left ideal of M . Then

- (i) if $T(r) = r$ for all $r \in I$, T is the identity map on M ,
- (ii) if T is one-to-one on I , it is one-to-one on M .

Proof

- (i) For arbitrary $x \in M$ and $r \in I, xar = T(xar) = T(x)\alpha T(r) = T(x)\alpha r, \alpha \in \Gamma$, hence $(x - T(x))\alpha r = 0$. Thus we have $(x - T(x))\alpha y\beta r = 0, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and therefore by the primeness of M we get, $x = T(x)$ for all $x \in M$.
- (ii) Observe that $\ker(T)\Gamma I \subseteq \ker(T) \cap I = \{0\}$, and since $I \neq \{0\}, \ker(T) = \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $I \neq \{0\}$ be a left ideal of the semiprime Γ -ring M satisfying the condition (*). If T is an endomorphism of M which is centralizing on I , then T is commuting on I .

Proof

Linearizing the condition that $[x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z$ for all $x \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma$, we obtain

$$[x, T(y)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{1}$$

Replacing y by $x\beta x$ in (1) we then get

$$\begin{aligned} & [x, T(x\beta x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta x + [x, T(x)]\beta T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta x + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha\beta T(x) \\ &= x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= 2x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \in Z \text{ for all } x \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and since the first summand commutes with x , we have

$2[T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha, x]_\alpha = 0$, from which it follows that

$$2[T(x), x]_\alpha \beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2T(x)\beta[[x, T(x)]_\alpha, x]_\alpha$$

$= 2[x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Since the center of a semiprime Γ -ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we conclude that

$$2[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma, \tag{2}$$

and hence

$$2([x, T(y)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{3}$$

Now, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta y + y\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(y)]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta y, T(x)]_\alpha + [y\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(y)]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta[y, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta y + y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + [y, T(x)]_\alpha \beta x + x\beta[x, T(y)]_\alpha + [x, T(y)]_\alpha \beta x \\ &= x\beta[y, T(x)]_\alpha + y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[y, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(y)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(y)]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta[y, T(x)]_\alpha + 2y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[y, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(y)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(y)]_\alpha \\ &= 2x\beta([y, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(y)]_\alpha) + 2y\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2) and (3), we get the identity

$$[x\beta y + y\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(y)]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{4}$$

For $x \in I$, take $y = T(x)\delta x\beta x$ in (4), thereby obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta T(x)\delta x\beta x + T(x)\delta x\beta x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x)\delta x\beta x)]_\alpha \\ &= x\beta T(x)\beta[x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta T(x), T(x)]_\alpha \beta x\beta x + T(x)\delta x\beta[x\beta x \\ &= T(x)]_\alpha + [T(x)\delta x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta x\beta x + T(T(x))\beta[x\beta x, T(x)\beta T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x)\beta T(x) \\ &= x\beta T(x)\beta[x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta T(x), T(x)]_\alpha \beta x\beta x + T(x)\delta x\beta[x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [T(x)\delta x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta x\beta x \\ &\quad + T(T(x))\beta[x\beta x, T(x)\beta T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x)\beta T(x) \\ &= 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha = x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta x \\ &= x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 2x\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Replacing $y = T(x)$ in above relation, we get for all $x \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$[x\beta T(x) + T(x)\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(x)\beta T(x) + [x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x)\beta T(x) = 0 \tag{6}$$

Replacing y by $T(x)$ in (4), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta T(x) + T(x)\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha = x\beta[T(x), T(x)]_\alpha + [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(x) + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \\ & \quad + [T(x), T(x)]_\alpha \beta x \\ &= [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(x) + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha + T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= 2T(x)\beta[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

So we get from (6) for all $x \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$[x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x)\beta T(x) = 0 \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, taking $y = T(x)\delta x$ in (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & [x\beta T(x)\delta x + T(x)\delta x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x)\delta x)]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta T(x)\delta x + T(x)\delta x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x))\delta T(x)]_\alpha \\ &= [x\beta T(x)\delta x + T(x)\delta x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x)\delta x)]_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & [(x, T(x)]_\alpha + 2T(x)\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(T(x)) + [x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta T(x) + [x\beta x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0 \\ \text{Or, } & [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha + [x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in I, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From (8) it follows that $w = [x\beta x, T(T(x))]_\alpha \beta T(x)$ is central, and from (7) that $w\gamma w = 0$. It is now apparent from (8) that $[x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha \gamma [x, T(x)]_\alpha \beta [x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0$, and the absence of nonzero central nilpotent elements implies that $[x, T(x)]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.3

Let M be a semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the condition (*). Let $a\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$, for $a, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $a \in Z(M)$.

Proof

Since $a\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$, for $a, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then replace y by a , we get $a\beta[x, a]_\alpha = 0$, for $a, x \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Thus we get $a\beta x a a = a\beta a a x$, for all $a, x \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } & [a, x]_\alpha \beta [a, y]_\alpha = (a a x - x a a) \beta (a a y - y a a) \\ &= a a x \beta a a y - a a x \beta y a a - x a a \beta a a y + x a a \beta y a a \\ &= a a (x \beta a) a y - a a (x \beta y) a a - x a a \beta a a y + x a a \beta (y a a) \\ &= a a a \beta x a y - a a a a x \beta y - x a a \beta a a y + x a a \beta a a y \\ &= a a a \beta x a y - a a a a x \beta y = a a a \beta x a y - a a a \beta x a y = 0, \quad \text{for all } a, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[a, x]_\alpha \beta [a, y]_\alpha = 0$, for all $a, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Replace y by $y\delta x$, we get,

$$[a, x]_\alpha \beta [a, y\delta x]_\alpha = [a, x]_\alpha \beta y \delta [a, x]_\alpha + [a, x]_\alpha \beta [a, y]_\alpha \delta x = [a, x]_\alpha \beta y \delta [a, x]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } a, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma. \text{ By the semiprimeness of } M \text{ we get, } [a, x]_\alpha = 0, \text{ for all } a, x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Hence $a \in Z(M)$, for all $a \in M$.

Lemma 2.4 Let σ, τ be epimorphisms of a semiprime Γ -ring M satisfying the assumption (*) and such that τ is centralizing. If d is a commuting (σ, τ) -derivation of M , then $[x, y]_\alpha \beta d(u) = 0 = d(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, in particular, d maps M into its center.

Proof

Since τ is a centralizing epimorphism, by Lemma 2.2 τ is commuting. Then we have $[\tau(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ and $[d(x), x]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

Thus $[\tau(x), y]_\alpha = [x, \tau(y)]_\alpha$. Also, $[d(x), y]_\alpha = [x, d(y)]_\alpha$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$.

We consider

$$[d(y\beta x), x]_\alpha = [y\beta x, d(x)]_\alpha = y\beta[x, d(x)]_\alpha + [y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta x = [y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta x \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [d(y\beta x), x]_\alpha &= [\sigma(y)\beta d(x) + d(y)\beta \tau(x), x]_\alpha \\ &= \sigma(y)\beta[d(x), x]_\alpha + [\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x) + d(y)\beta[\tau(x), x]_\alpha + [d(y), x]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) \\ &= [\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x) + [d(y), x]_\alpha\beta \tau(x), \text{ for } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (9) and (10), we get $[y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta x = [\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x) + [d(y), x]_\alpha\beta \tau(x)$

Thus $[y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta x - [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) = [\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x)$, for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

$$[y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta x - [y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) = [\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

$$[y, d(x)]_\alpha\beta(x - \tau(x)) = [y, \sigma(x)]_\alpha\beta d(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{11}$$

We further consider

$$[x, \tau(y\beta x)]_\alpha = [x, \tau(y)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x), \tag{12}$$

Again,

$$[x, \tau(y\beta x)]_\alpha = [\tau(x), y\beta x]_\alpha = [x, \tau(y)]_\alpha\beta x + \tau(y)\beta[x, \tau(x)]_\alpha = [x, \tau(y)]_\alpha\beta x \tag{13}$$

From (12) and (13), we get $[x, \tau(y)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) = [x, \tau(y)]_\alpha\beta x$. Since τ is onto, we get

$$[x, y]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) = [x, y]_\alpha\beta x \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{14}$$

Replacing y by $d(y)$ in (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) &= [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta x \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta x - [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta \tau(x) &= 0 \\ [x, d(y)]_\alpha\beta(x - \tau(x)) &= [d(x), y]_\alpha\beta(x - \tau(x)) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Using (15), from (11) we get $[\sigma(y), x]_\alpha\beta d(x) = 0$. Since σ is onto, we get

$$[y, x]_\alpha\beta d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{16}$$

Replacing y by $y\delta z$ in (16), we get $y\delta[z, x]_\alpha\beta d(x) + [y, x]_\alpha\delta z\beta d(x) = 0$, which along with (16) yields

$$[y, x]_\alpha\delta z\beta d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{17}$$

Linearizing (16) (in x), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [y, x + u]_\alpha\beta d(x + u) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \\ [y, x]_\alpha\beta d(x) + [y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u) + [y, u]_\alpha\beta d(x) + [y, u]_\alpha\beta d(u) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \\ [y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u) &= [u, y]_\alpha\beta d(x) \text{ for all } x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Replacing z by $d(u)\lambda z\delta[u, y]_\alpha$ in (17) and using (18), we have

$$0 = [y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u)\lambda z\delta[u, y]_\alpha\beta d(x) = [y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u)\lambda z\delta[y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u).$$

The semiprimeness of M implies

$$[y, x]_\alpha\beta d(u) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{19}$$

Substituting $y\delta z$ for y in (19), we have $[y, x]_a\delta z\beta d(u) = 0$, and so

$d(u)\beta[y, x]_a\delta z\beta d(u)\beta[y, x]_a = 0$. Since M is semiprime, we get $d(u)\beta[y, x]_a = 0$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Thus $[x, y]_a\beta d(u) = 0 = d(u)\beta[x, y]_a$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and further $d(u) \in Z(M)$.

Now we prove our main result.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (*) and σ, τ be centralizing epimorphisms of M . Let f, g be (σ, τ) -derivations of M such that

$$f(x)ax + xag(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma. \tag{20}$$

Then $g(u)\beta[x, y]_a = f(u)\beta[x, y]_a = 0$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and f, g map M into its center.

Proof

Since σ, τ are centralizing epimorphisms, they are commuting by Lemma 2.2 and hence $\sigma - 1$ is a commuting σ -derivation and $\tau - 1$ is a commuting τ -derivation. Thus by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) - u \in Z(M), \sigma(u)\beta[x, y]_a &= u\beta[x, y]_a \text{ and} \\ [x, y]_a\beta\sigma(u) &= [x, y]_a\beta u \text{ for all } x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$\tau(u) - u \in Z(M), \tau(u)\beta[x, y]_a = u\beta[x, y]_a \text{ and } [x, y]_a\beta\tau(u) = [x, y]_a\beta u \tag{22}$$

Linearizing (20), we get

$$f(x)ay + f(y)ax + xag(y) + yag(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \tag{23}$$

Replacing y by $y\beta x$ in (23) and using (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(x)\alpha y\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta f(x)\alpha x + f(y)\beta \tau(x)\alpha x + x\alpha\sigma(y)\beta g(x) + xag(y)\beta \tau(x) + y\beta xag(x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha y\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta f(x)\alpha x + f(y)\beta (\tau(x) - x)\alpha x + f(y)\beta x\alpha x + x\alpha(\sigma(y) - y)\beta g(x) \\ &\quad + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + xag(y)\beta \tau(x) + y\alpha x\beta g(x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha y\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta f(x)\alpha x + (\tau(x) - x)\beta f(y)\alpha x + f(y)\beta x\alpha x + (\sigma(y) - y)\alpha x\beta g(x) \\ &\quad + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + xag(y)\beta \tau(x) + y\alpha x\beta g(x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha y\beta x + \sigma(y)\beta (f(x)\alpha x + xag(x)) + (\tau(x) - x)\alpha f(y)\alpha x + f(y)\beta x\alpha x - y\alpha x\beta g(x) \\ &\quad + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + xag(y)\alpha (\tau(x) - x) + xag(y)\beta x + y\alpha x\beta g(x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha y\beta x + f(y)\alpha x\beta x + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + xag(y)\beta x + (\tau(x) - x)\beta (f(y)\alpha x + xag(y)) \\ &= (f(x)\alpha y + f(y)\alpha x + xag(y))\beta x + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + (\tau(x) - x)\beta (f(y)\alpha x + xag(y)). \end{aligned}$$

That is for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$(f(x)\alpha y + f(y)\alpha x + xag(y))\beta x + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + (\tau(x) - x)\beta (f(y)\alpha x + xag(y)) = 0 \tag{24}$$

By (23) and (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -y\alpha g(x)\beta x + x\alpha y\beta g(x) + (\tau(x) - x)\beta (f(y)\alpha x + xag(y)) \\ &= -[y\beta g(x), x]_a + (\tau(x) - x)\beta (f(y)\alpha x + xag(y)). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$-[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha + (\tau(x) - x)\beta(f(y)\alpha x + x\alpha g(y)) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{25}$$

Let $z \in M$. Then by (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[-y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, z]_\alpha + [(\tau(x) - x)\beta(f(y)\alpha x + x\alpha g(y)), z]_\alpha \\ &= -[[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, z]_\alpha + (\tau(x) - x)\beta[f(y)\alpha x + x\alpha g(y), z]_\alpha + [\tau(x) - x, z]_\alpha \beta(f(y)\alpha x + x\alpha g(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Using (22), we get

$$[[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, z]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{26}$$

From (26) we get $[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha \in Z(M)$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and, in particular,

$$[[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{27}$$

Replacing y by $z\alpha y$ in (27) we get for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} &[[z\alpha y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, x]_\alpha \\ &= [z\alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, x]_\alpha + [z, x]_\alpha \alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha \\ &= [z, x]_\alpha \alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha + z\alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha + [z, x]_\alpha \alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha \\ &= 2[z, x]_\alpha \alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha + z\alpha[[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha, x]_\alpha = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Replacing z by $y\beta g(x)$ in (28) and using (27), we get $2[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha \alpha[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha = 0$. Since M is 2-torsion free and, being semiprime, has no nonzero central nilpotents, we have,

$$[y\beta g(x), x]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{29}$$

Replacing y by $z\alpha y$ in (29), we get

$$[z, x]_\alpha \alpha y\beta g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{30}$$

Replacing y by $g(x)\beta y\gamma[z, x]_\alpha$ in (30), we get

$$[z, x]_\alpha \alpha g(x)\beta y\gamma[z, x]_\alpha \beta g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Since M is semiprime, we get

$$[z, x]_\alpha \beta g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \tag{31}$$

Using (29) and (31), we get $y\beta[g(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and hence by the semiprimeness of M , we have $[g(x), x]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Thus g is a commuting (σ, τ) -derivation of M . Hence, by Lemma 2.3, $g(x) \in Z(M)$ and $g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Also, $f(x) \in Z(M)$ and $f(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ follows analogously.

Theorem 2.6 Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (*). If f, g are derivations on M such that $f(x)\alpha x + x\alpha g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, then $f(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, in particular, f, g map M into its center.

Proof

Since derivations are $(1, 1)$ -derivations, it follows immediately from Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.7 Let M be a 2-torsion free prime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (*) and σ, τ -centralizing epimorphisms of M . Let f, g be (σ, τ) -derivations of M such that $f(x)\alpha x + x\alpha g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then either M is commutative or $f = g = 0$.

Proof

Since the center of a prime Γ -ring contains no nonzero divisors of zero, this corollary is immediate from Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.8 Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring satisfying the assumption (*) and σ, τ centralizing epimorphisms of M . Let f, g be (σ, τ) -derivations of M such that

$$f(x)\alpha x + x\alpha g(x) \in Z(M) \quad \text{for all } x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \tag{32}$$

Then (i) if $Z(M) = 0$, then $f = g = 0$, and

(ii) if $Z(M) \neq 0$, then $c\delta f(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = c\delta g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ and $c\delta f(x), c\delta g(x) \in Z(M)$ for all $x, y, u \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$ and nonzero $c \in Z(M)$.

Proof

(i) Assume that $Z(M) = 0$. Then, by hypothesis, $f(x)\alpha x + x\alpha g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and hence by Theorem 2.5, $f(x), g(x) \in Z(M)$. Since $Z(M) = 0$, we have

$f(x) = g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Thus $f = g = 0$.

(ii) Let $Z(M) \neq 0$ and c be a nonzero element of $Z(M)$. Since σ, τ are centralizing epimorphisms, therefore, as in Theorem 2.5,

$$\sigma(u) - u \in Z(M), \sigma(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = u\beta[x, y]_\alpha \text{ and } [x, y]_\alpha\beta\sigma(u) = [x, y]_\alpha\beta u \tag{33}$$

And for all $u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,

$$\tau(u) - u \in Z(M), \tau(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = u\beta[x, y]_\alpha \text{ and } [x, y]_\alpha\beta\tau(u) = [x, y]_\alpha\beta u \tag{34}$$

Moreover, since σ and τ are onto, therefore $\sigma(c)$ and $\tau(c) \in Z(M)$.

Linearizing (32), we get

$$f(x)\alpha y + f(y)\alpha x + x\alpha g(y) + y\alpha g(x) \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma \tag{35}$$

Replacing y by c in (35), we get for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$f(x)\alpha c + f(c)\alpha x + x\alpha g(c) + c\alpha g(x) \in Z(M) \tag{36}$$

Replacing y by $c\delta c$ in (35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x)\alpha c\delta c + f(c\delta c)\alpha x + x\alpha g(c\delta c) + c\delta c\alpha g(x) \\ &= c\delta(f(x)\alpha c + c\alpha g(x)) + (\sigma(c) + \tau(c))\delta f(c)\alpha x + x\alpha g(c) \\ &= c\delta f(x)\alpha c + c\alpha g(x) + f(c)\alpha x + x\alpha g(c) + (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta f(c)\alpha x + x\alpha g(c) \end{aligned}$$

$$= c\delta f(x)ac + cag(x) + f(c)ax + xag(c) + (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta f(c)ax + xag(c) + f(x)ac + cag(x) - (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta f(x)ac + cag(x) \in Z(M).$$

That is for all $x, c \in M, \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma$,

$$(\sigma(c) + \tau(c))\delta f(x)ac + cag(x) + f(c)ax + xag(c) - (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta f(x)ac + cag(x) \in Z(M) \tag{37}$$

As $\sigma(c) + \tau(c) \in Z(M)$ and by (36) the first summand in (37) is in $Z(M)$, (37) implies

$$(\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta f(x)ac + cag(x) = (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c\alpha(f(x) + g(x)) \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x \in M, \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma.$$

Thus

$$(\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c\alpha(f(x) + g(x)) \in Z(M) \text{ for all } x \in M, \alpha, \delta \in \Gamma. \tag{38}$$

Since $c, (\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c \in Z(M)$ and f, g are (σ, τ) -derivations, therefore

$((\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c)\alpha f, ((\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c)\alpha g, c\delta f$ and $c\delta g$ are (σ, τ) -derivations. Thus $((\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c)\alpha(f + g)$ is an (σ, τ) -derivation and (38) implies that it is central and hence a commuting (σ, τ) -derivation. Thus by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$((\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c)\alpha(f + g)(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{39}$$

Using (32) and (33), from (31) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (f + g)(u)\beta(\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\delta c\beta[x, y]_\alpha \\ &= (f + g)(u)\beta c\delta(\sigma(c) + \tau(c) - c)\beta[x, y]_\alpha \\ &= ((f + g)(u)\beta c)\delta(\sigma(c)\beta[x, y]_\alpha + \tau(c)\beta[x, y]_\alpha - c\beta[x, y]_\alpha) \\ &= ((f + g)(u)\beta c)\delta(c\beta[x, y]_\alpha + c\beta[x, y]_\alpha - c\beta[x, y]_\alpha) = (f + g)(u)\beta c\delta c\beta[x, y]_\alpha \\ &= c\beta c\delta f + g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha \text{ for all } u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. \text{ That is,} \end{aligned}$$

$$c\delta c\beta f(u) + g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{40}$$

As $c \in Z(M)$ and M is semiprime, it follows from (30) that

$$c\delta f(u) + g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{41}$$

Similarly, we have $[x, y]_\alpha \beta c\delta f(u) + g(u) = 0$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3 we get

$c\delta f(u) + c\delta g(u) \in Z(M)$. Using this and (31), we get

$[(c\delta f(u) + c\delta g(u))\beta u, y]_\alpha = (c\delta f(u) + c\delta g(u))\beta[u, y]_\alpha + [c\delta f(u) + c\delta g(u), y]_\alpha \beta u = 0$. That is,

$$[c\delta f(u)\beta u + c\delta g(u)\beta u, y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{42}$$

Since $c \in Z(M)$ and $f(u)\beta u + u\beta g(u) \in Z(M)$ (by 32)), we get $c\delta f(u)\beta u + c\delta u\beta g(u) \in Z(M)$.

Thus

$$[c\delta f(u)\beta u + c\delta u\beta g(u), y]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } u, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma \tag{43}$$

Subtracting (43) from (42), we get $[c\delta g(u)\beta u - c\delta u\beta g(u), y]_\alpha = 0$. That is, $[c\delta(g(u)\beta u - u\beta g(u)), y]_\alpha = [c\delta(g(\underline{u}), u)]_\beta, y]_\alpha = [[c\delta g(u), u]_\beta, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$, which implies $[c\delta g(u), u]_\beta \in Z(M)$. Thus $c\delta g$ is a centralizing (σ, τ) -derivation. We get that $c\delta g$ is a commuting (σ, τ) -derivation. By Lemma 2.3, we get $c\delta g(\underline{u}) \in Z(M)$ and $c\delta g(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$. Since $c\delta f(u) + c\delta g(u) \in Z(M)$ and $c\delta g(u) \in Z(M)$, therefore $c\delta f(u) \in Z(M)$. Thus $c\delta f$ is central and hence a commuting (σ, τ) -derivation. By Lemma 2.3, we get $c\delta f(u) \in Z(M)$ and $c\delta f(u)\beta[x, y]_\alpha = 0$ for all $u, x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta, \delta \in \Gamma$.

References

1. M. A. Chaudhry and A. B. Thaheem, *Aequations Math.* **69**, 224 (2005).
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00010-004-2763-5>
2. W. E. Barnes, *On the Γ -rings of Nabusawa*, *Pacific J. Math.* **18**, 411 (1966).
3. M. A. Chaudhry and A. B. Thaheem, *Demonstratio Math.* **36**, 283 (2003).
4. T. C. Chen, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* **5**, 109, (1996).
5. N. Nabusawa, *Osaka J. Math.* **1**, 65 (1964).