Stability Assessment of Cephradine Suspension Formulated in Bangladesh
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Abstract

Cephradine, one of the commonly used and widely prescribed antibiotics in Bangladesh, is usually formulated in the dosage forms of capsule, dry suspension and IV injection. The dry-suspension is instructed to re-disperse in pre-boiled cooled water before use. A reversed phase high performance liquid chromatographic method (HPLC) has been developed for determination of cephradine in pharmaceutical preparation. To study the stability of cephradine suspension formulated by Bangladeshi manufacturers in aqueous medium and buffer of different pHs at room temperature, a simple and rapid chromatographic method was developed using acetonitrile and monobasic sodium phosphate buffer as mobile phase in the ratio of 15:85 (v/v) over C-8 bonded silica at ambient temperature using a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The study revealed that the potency of cephradine suspension was almost stable at room temperature up to 13 days in aqueous medium at pH between 4 and 5.
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1. Introduction

Cephradine (C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{19}N\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}S) is (6\textsubscript{R},7\textsubscript{R})-7-[(R)-2-amino-2-(1,4-cyclohexadien-1-yl)acetamido]-3-methyl-8-oxo-5-thia-1-azabicyclo[4.2.0]oct-2-ene-2-carboxylic acid which is a first generation antibiotic of the semisynthetic cephalosporin series [1-3]. Cephradine is a broad spectrum bactericidal antibiotic active against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. It is also highly active against most strains of penicillinase producing Staphylococci [4-6]. The antibiotic is indicated in the treatment of community-acquired infections such as pharyngitis, otitis media, bronchitis, and skin as well as uncomplicated urinary tract infections [7, 8]. Cephradine is usually prescribed in the treatment of infections caused by sensitive organisms such as upper respiratory tract infections.
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infections e.g. pharyngitis, sinusitis, otitis media, tonsilitis, laryngotracheo-bronchitis; lower respiratory tract infections e.g. acute and chronic bronchitis and bronchopneumonia; urinary tract infections e.g. cystitis, urethritis, pyelonephritis; skin and soft tissue infections e.g. abscess, cellulitis, furunculosis; gastrointestinal tract infections e.g. bacillary dysentery, enteritis, peritonitis as well as bone and joint infection [9]. This antibiotic is also used for prophylaxis in certain surgical procedures to reduce the risk of post-operative infections [10, 11]. It is widely used because of its extensive medical applications.

Cephradine is available in different dosage forms such as capsule, dry suspension and IV injection. According to the previous reports, cephradine itself tends to be quite stable at pH 4 [12, 13], but it is extremely important to know the compatibility of the drug and its excipients in formulation which may impart the stability and effectiveness of the drugs [14]. It is also noted that the excipients may be different from different manufacturers which may affect the stability. This paper describes quantitative assay of cephradine along with assessment of potency of a cephradine suspension formulated in Bangladesh at different days and in buffer of different pHs at room temperature. To study the stability of cephradine, a simple, precise, accurate, reproducible and less time consuming HPLC method was also developed. From our study, we observed that the potency of cephradine is almost stable pH between 4 and 5. To the best of our knowledge, there was no previously published report in the literature about this type of study on cephradine suspension formulated in Bangladesh.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and reagents

Working standard of cephradine obtained from NCPC Beta Co. Ltd., China with a potency of 94.64% was a kind gift of Amico Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Bangladesh. For the estimation of cephradine in suspension, dry syrup samples were purchased from different manufacturers on random basis from retail pharmacies and coded as S-1, S-2 and S-3. HPLC grade sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH₂PO₄), and acetonitrile were procured from local market.

2.2. Apparatus

HPLC system

High Performance Liquid Chromatographic system (Shimadzu-UFLC Prominence), equipped with an auto sampler (Model- SIL 20AC HT) and UV-Visible detector (Model-SPD 20A) was used for the analysis. The data was recorded using LC-solutions software.

Column

Analytical reversed phase C-8 column (Luna C-8(2), 5µ, 150 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, Inc.) was used to analyze the samples.
Preparation of buffer
Monobasic sodium phosphate (NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 97.6 mg) was dissolved in 500 mL of nanopure water and sonicated for 10 minutes. The pH of the buffer was adjusted to 2.6 with phosphoric acid, and then it was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter tips.

Chromatographic conditions
All analyses were done at ambient temperature (25 ± 2 °C) under isocratic conditions. The mobile phase contained acetonitrile and monobasic sodium phosphate buffer in the ratio of 15:85 (v/v). Flow rate was kept at 1.0 mL/min. The injection volume was 20 µL for standard and samples. Before analysis, every standard and sample were filtered through 0.45 µm filter tips. The mobile phase (acetonitrile and buffer) was also filtered, sonicated and degassed before use. The column eluate was monitored at 255 nm.

Preparation of standard solutions
Solution of the standard drug was prepared by dissolving 5.28 mg of microcrystallines cephradine (equivalent to 5.0 mg cephradine) in a 10 mL volumetric flask using 5 mL of buffer. Then the volume was made up to the mark with the same buffer. The final concentration was obtained 0.5 mg/mL. Appropriate volume from this solution was further diluted to get standards of varying concentrations (10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500 µg/mL).

Preparation of test sample
A suitable amount of dry syrup used for preparing suspension equivalent to 100 mg/mL of cephradine was prepared by adding boiled and cooled drinking water as per instructions of the manufacturer. The mixture was shaken continuously until the powder was dissolved properly. The mixture was shaken well before each use. This suspension was marked as test sample and kept at normal temperature (25 ± 2 °C).

2.3. Method of validation [1, 15-17]

Accuracy
To evaluate the accuracy of the proposed method, successive analysis (n = 3) for three different concentrations (500 µg/mL, 250 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL) of standard cephradine solution were carried out using the proposed method. The accuracy was confirmed by calculating the percent recovery (R%) from the mass added and mass found.

Precision
The precision was checked by intra- and inter-day repeatability of responses after replicate injections of two standard solutions (500 µg/mL and 600 µg/mL). The precision was expressed as RSD % amongst responses using the formula [RSD (%) = (Standard deviation/Mean) x 100 %].
Calibration curves
Four different concentration levels (5.0, 10.0, 25.0 and 50.0 µg/mL) were prepared from standard solution by diluting with the mobile phase. Then 20 µL from each solution was injected into the HPLC using auto-sampler and the analyses were monitored at 255 nm. The peak areas were plotted against concentrations.

Linearity
The linearity of the proposed method was evaluated by using calibration curves to calculate coefficient of correlation and intercept values.

2.4. Assay

Assay of suspension at same pH on different days
From each of the test suspensions, required volume of cephradine suspension was taken in a 10 mL volumetric flask after properly shaking, 5 mL of monobasic sodium phosphate buffer at pH 2.6 was added and sonicated to dissolve. The volume was made up to the mark by adding buffer and mixed well to get a solution of cephradine concentration of 500 µg/mL. Then it was filtered through 0.45 µm syringe filter tip and analyzed by injecting 20 µL of each sample by HPLC. The experiment was done twice on a day and repeated on 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, and 15th days. The average drug content of the suspensions was determined using the calibration curve.

Assay of suspension at various pHs on different days
Eight flasks containing about 75~100 mL NaH₂PO₄ buffer were taken and pH of the buffers were adjusted to 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 with phosphoric acid and NaOH. Eight 10 mL-volumetric flasks were taken and marked according to the pH of the flasks. Aliquots of 250 µL cephradine suspension was taken in each volumetric flask and mixed with about 5 mL of respective buffers. Then the volume was adjusted up to the mark by adding the same buffer to get various pHs in different flasks at 0.5 mg/mL. All the buffers containing cephradine were kept at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C). Then the samples were analyzed with HPLC on 1, 4, 6, 8, 11 and 15th days after filtering through 0.45 µm syringe filter tips.

3. Results and Discussion

A reversed phase HPLC method has been developed and validated for determination of cephradine in suspension made from dry syrups using the mobile phase containing acetonitrile and monobasic sodium phosphate buffer in the ratio of 15:85 (v/v) at ambient temperature at flow rate of 1.0 mL/min with UV detection at 255 nm. The injection volume was kept at 20 µL for standard and all samples. The retention time of cephradine was found to be 5.50 ± 0.1 min (Fig. 1). The method was validated to ensure selectivity, accuracy and precision and linearity.
Accuracy studies of the drug were carried out at three concentration levels of standard and three replicate measurements were recorded at each concentration level. The results were recorded as percentage of mean recovery with standard deviation (SD) and was found to be within the limits (Table 1).

Table 1. Accuracy of the developed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injected cephradine (µg)</th>
<th>Recovered cephradine (µg)</th>
<th>Recovered cephradine (%)</th>
<th>Mean recovery (%)</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 (n=3)</td>
<td>501.77</td>
<td>100.35</td>
<td>100.26</td>
<td>± 0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>498.90</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>503.27</td>
<td>100.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 (n=3)</td>
<td>251.85</td>
<td>100.74</td>
<td>100.87</td>
<td>± 0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252.40</td>
<td>100.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252.23</td>
<td>100.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (n=3)</td>
<td>99.62</td>
<td>99.62</td>
<td>99.28</td>
<td>± 0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Precision was checked at two concentration levels, using five replicate measurements at each concentration level on the same day and different days, and it was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). The results are summarized in Table 2. The calculated relative standard deviations were obtained as 1.35 and 1.12 which were less than the maximum allowed limit [15, 16, 18, 19]. The results of accuracy and precisions studies indicated good sensitivity of the proposed method.
Table 2. The precision of the developed method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injected cephradine (µg)</th>
<th>Mean recovered ± SD (n = 5)</th>
<th>RSD %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>504.29 ± 6.83</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>603.14 ± 6.75</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When peak area \( (y) \) was plotted against concentration \( (c) \), a good correlation coefficient was obtained in concentration range of 5.0, 10.0, 25.0 and 50.0 µg/mL. For the equation of calibration curve correlation co-efficient \( (r^2) \) was obtained as 0.999 which indicated excellent linearity of the newly developed method (Fig. 2).

This method was applied to study of the stability of cephradine suspensions formulated by Bangladeshi manufacturers in aqueous medium and in buffer of different pHs at room temperature.

Cephradine for oral suspension is a dry mixture of cephradine and one or more suitable buffers, colors, diluents, and flavors. It contains not less than 90 % and not more than 125.0 % of the labeled amount of cephradine, calculated as the sum of cephradine and cephalexin \( \text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{17}\text{N}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{S} \) [1]. According to the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP), cephalexin present in cephradine should not be more than 5.0 % and the potency of cephradine preparations was calculated as the sum of cephradine and cephalexin. But in our study we ignored the potency of cephalexin.

The pH of the suspension after adding the normal drinking water was found as 4.7 which was supported by the monograph [1]. The pH was almost stable at 4.7 ± 0.1 up to the 14th day and the slight variation did not affect the potency of cephradine. It was found that the potency of the suspension at room temperature gradually decreased in the range of
104.1 to 93.3% from 1 to 11th days (Fig. 3), which was within the limits of USP. But on the 14th day, the cephradine content in the suspension was below the lower limit of the USP and the potency was found as 89.6%. The decrease in potency at room temperature on 14th day did not hamper the use of the suspension, because it can be used up to 7th day by the patient if kept it at room temperature and up to 14th day if kept in refrigerator as directed in the label of the manufacturers. This potency parameter showed that the cephradine formulated in Bangladesh is adequate.

To study the stability of the cephradine suspension in the buffer of different pHs, we used monobasic sodium phosphate buffer of the pH as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 adjusted by phosphoric acid or sodium hydroxide. The experiment revealed that the average potency of cephradine suspension was quite stable and maximum at pH 4 and pH 5 throughout the study period and was found as 109.5 and 106.4 on day 1; 109.5 and 106.3 on day 4; 106.3 and 103.2 on day 6; 102.1 and 101.0 on day 8; 100.5 and 99.5 on day 11; and 94.5 and 93.1 on day 15, respectively (Fig. 4). Cephradine was quite unstable and rapidly degraded in alkaline conditions and potency also declined at strongly acidic conditions. These results were almost similar with the previously reported data [12] with some exception described in the assay of cephradine itself without any excipient as well as did not report the stability at pH 5.

![Fig. 3. Stability of cephradine suspension on different days](image)

![Fig. 4. Stability of cephradine suspension at different pH.](image)
4. Conclusion

Since the excipients in the formulation may have an important effect on stability and effectiveness of the drugs at room temperature, we studied the stability of the suspension formulated in Bangladesh in aqueous medium and in buffer of different pHs at room temperature. From our study we can conclude that the potency of cephradine formulation is quite stable between pH 4 and 5. It was also found that the potency of the suspension at room temperature gradually decreased. For quantitative determination of cephradine in aqueous suspension or buffer we also developed a reversed phase HPLC method which was found to be simple, precise, accurate, reproducible and less time consuming.
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