

Short Communication

Evaluation of Watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) Juice Preserved with Chemical Preservatives at Refrigeration Temperature

M. K. Alam^{1*}, M. M. Hoque², S. Morshed³, F. Akter⁴, and K. N. Sharmin⁵

¹Department of Food Processing and Engineering, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulsi, Chittagong, Bangladesh

²Department of Food Engineering and Tea Technology, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh

³Department of Applied Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulsi, Chittagong, Bangladesh

⁴Department. of Physical & Mathematical Sciences, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulshi, Chittagong-4202, Bangladesh

⁵Department of Applied Food Science and Nutrition, Chittagong Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Khulsi, Chittagong, Bangladesh

Received 16 October 2012, accepted in final revised form 19 April 2013

Abstract

This study was done to analyze the effect of chemical preservatives on watermelon juice. Ten different samples of pasteurized watermelon juices with different chemical preservatives, termed as T₁, T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈, T₉, T₁₀, were made which were stored at 4 - 15°C for three months. T₁ and T₂ were rejected soon due to spoilage. pH decreased from 5.094 to 4.017 and minimum pH content was reduced in T₁₀ (7.87%), while maximum in T₁ (57.55%). The total soluble solids (TSS) increased from 17.460% to 18.980% with maximum in T₁ (51.67%) and minimum in T₇ (4.88%). Reducing sugar was increased from 15.650 to 17.500% with maximum in T₁₀ (18.22%) and minimum in T₂ (5.90%). Minimum microbial load was observed in T₁₀ (0.20cfu/ml) and maximum in T₁ (>24 cfu/ml) in case of coliforms; minimum in T₁₀ (78×10⁵ cfu/ml) and maximum in T₁ (258×10⁵ cfu/ml) in case of total viable bacteria and same results (minimum in T₁₀ and maximum in T₁) were shown in case of fungal count. *E.coli* was found in T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₄ and some bacteria was found in SS agar (especially Salmonella) in T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₅. Among all the treated juice samples T₁₀ was most effective in maintaining the sensory and nutritional quality during storage.

Keywords: Watermelon juice; Pasteurization; Sugar; Sodium benzoate; Potassium sorbate.

© 2013 JSR Publications. ISSN: 2070-0237 (Print); 2070-0245 (Online). All rights reserved.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v5i2.12181>

J. Sci. Res. 5 (2), 407-414 (2013)

1. Introduction

Watermelon originated in Africa and has been in cultivation for more than 4, 000 years in the drier parts of the continent and throughout India and parts of Asia [1]. It is used as a

* Corresponding author: kausar71_sust@yahoo.com

dessert fruit and a thirst quencher and in the very dry parts of Africa, it is relished by both man and his animals as a source of water. Watermelon was widely distributed throughout the remainder of the world by African slaves and European colonists. It was carried to Brazil, the West Indies, Eastern North America, islands of the Pacific, New Zealand, and Australia. It has been cultivated in the Middle East for thousands of years. The plants have weak stems and climb by tendrils, which hang from tree as tall as 20 to 60 feet, the watermelon fruit ripens on the ground. There is no way of determining with certainty when watermelons are ripe, and harvesting is based on the experience of the growers. Some believe that when the fruit is thumbed and gives out a dull sound, it is mature. Other criteria are the colour of that part of the fruit that touches the ground, which takes a yellow tinge as maturity approaches. High quality watermelon should have a sugar content (measured as soluble solid) of 10% or more in the flesh near the center of the melon [2]. Seeded watermelons have dark brown or black oval seeds, whereas seedless varieties may contain no seeds at all or only very small and thin, jelly-like white seeds. The colour of the flesh varies from yellow, orange, pink, or red in most commercial varieties [3]. The fruits are very juicy, with a moisture content of over 90%. Moreover, watermelon's high water content hydrates your body as against the caffeinated energy drinks that tend to dehydrate your body. Watermelon is rich in vitamin C, vitamin A, vitamin B, amino acid and also carotenoid lycopene. The red flesh of watermelon contains some vitamin A [4]. Watermelon is rich in vitamin B that is primarily responsible for the production of energy in your body. Hence, consuming watermelon can boost your energy levels. Watermelon can be viewed as a more nutritious alternative to having energy drinks or supplements prior to exercise.

The sugar content of the watermelon varied greatly and was different in different parts of the fruit. The average sugar content of the center part was 8.86%, and had the highest sugar content compared to other parts of the fruit. The sugar content of the stem part, omphalic part, sunlight-side part and ground-side part were 7.48%, 7.44%, 7.20%, and 6.99%, respectively. The sugar content of the ground-side part was significantly lower than the sunlight-side part. The sugar content of the stem end part and groundside part was significantly higher than the sunlight side part and ground side part[5]. This fruit is also free from cholesterol that elevates heart related problems hence preventing heart attacks.

Watermelon juice, as a beverage, is found almost exclusively as an over-the-counter drink made by hand from the pink flesh of the watermelon fruit. While, in some cultures such as those of Mexico and India, such watermelon drinks are popular, in the United States and elsewhere, watermelon juice drinks are rare, with commercially available packaged watermelon juice drinks virtually unknown.

Watermelon juice is commonly consumed in Mexico and can be found in many American bars as a mixer for alcoholic beverages. Due to its low acidity and growing conditions, watermelon is regarded as a potentially hazardous food [6]. According to the CDC [7], watermelon caused a Salmonella outbreak in 2002 and 2006, a Norovirus outbreak in 2005 and 2006, and a Campylobacter outbreak in 2006. Because of these pathogens, watermelon juice must be pasteurized prior to consumption. In the fruit juice industry, juice is typically pasteurized by high temperature short time (HTST)

pasteurization. This process uses plate heat exchangers to heat the sample quickly at least 78°C. Generally there is less information of watermelon juice during storage time. So the study during storage is important for harvesting and post harvest technology to improve quality and processing characteristics. Hence the present study is selected to determine the chemical properties (p^H, TSS, reducing sugar) and to analyze the microbiological characteristics during storage time.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation and treatment of juice

Fresh mature watermelon were purchased from local market in Sylhet with uniform in color and size and stored at 25⁰ C. The fruits were thoroughly washed with distilled water to remove dirt, dust, pesticide residues and then rinds were washed with pure ethanol to remove micro flora on the surface of the fruit prior to juice extraction. All glassware and knives were autoclaved at 121°C for 45 min and all other equipment was sanitized with hypochlorite prior to usage. The watermelons were cut into quarters and the flesh was scooped out and cut into small cubes. The cubes were placed in a laboratory scale juice processor. The extracted juice was then centrifuged and filtered. The filtered juice was placed in autoclaved screw-top glass bottles. The filtered watermelon juice, in screw-top glass bottles, was pasteurized in a covered water bath with high temperature short time (72°C for 15s) (Precision Water bath 180 Series, Chicago, IL). The treatments were made as pasteurized watermelon juice {Treatment one, T₁ (control)}, pasteurized watermelon juice +20% sucrose (T₂), pasteurized watermelon juice +0.1% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) (T₃), pasteurized watermelon juice +20% sucrose +0.1% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) (T₄), pasteurized watermelon juice +0.1% potassium sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₅), pasteurized watermelon juice +20% sucrose+0.1% potassium sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₆), pasteurized watermelon juice +0.05% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) +0.05% Potassium Sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₇), pasteurized watermelon juice +20% sucrose +0.05% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) +0.05% potassium sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₈), pasteurized watermelon juice +0.1% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) +0.1% potassium sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₉), pasteurized watermelon juice +20% sucrose +0.1% sodium benzoate (C₆H₅COONa) +0.1% potassium sorbate (CH₃-CH=CH-CH=CH=COOK) (T₁₀) and stored at refrigeration temperature (4-15°C) for a period of three months.

2.2. Chemical analysis

Inolab digital ph meter was used for pH determination. The Nelson-Somogyi method was used for the quantitative determination of reducing sugars. The reducing sugars when heated with alkaline copper tartrate reduce the copper from the cupric to cuprous state and thus cuprous oxide is formed. When cuprous oxide is treated with arsenomolybdic acid,

the reduction molybdic acid to molybdenum blue takes place. The blue color developed is compared with asset of standards in a colorimeter at 620nm. The total soluble solids (TSS) were determined by using oven drying method [8].

2.3. *Microbial analysis*

Total coliform count was performed by using most probable number technique (MPN). Total viable count by using nutrient agar media [9], total fungal count by using rose bengal agar [10], *E.coli* confirmation test by using eosin methylene blue agar, and *Salmonella* confirmation test by using SS agar were done after preparing and treating the juice.

2.4. *Statistical analysis*

The data obtained was subjected to statistical analysis using RCBD (Randomized Complete Block Design) and the means were compared by using LSD (Least Significance Difference) test [11]. For all the analyses, the alpha error was set at 0.05%.

3. **Results and Discussion**

pH of samples (T_1 to T_{10}) ranged from 4.90 to 5.30, which gradually decreased to 3.761 to 4.866 during three months of storage. The mean values decreased from 5.094 to 4.017. Maximum mean values were recorded in sample T_{10} (4.866) followed by T_8 (4.837), while minimum mean values were observed in sample T_1 (3.761) followed by T_2 (4.214). During storage maximum decrease was observed in sample T_1 (57.55%) followed by T_2 (28.57%), while minimum decrease was observed in T_{10} (7.87%) followed by T_8 (9.84%) (Table 1). Similar results were recorded in ref. [12] who reported that acidity in fruit juices increases during processing and storage.

Table 1. Effect of treatments and storage on pH of watermelon juice.

Treatment	Intervals (days)							Mean	% of decrease
	1 st	15 th	30 th	45 th	60 th	75 th	90 th		
T_1	5.30	4.75	4.28	3.75	3.25	2.75	2.25	3.761±1.09 ^a	57.55
T_2	4.90	4.80	4.50	4.20	3.90	3.70	3.50	4.214±0.54 ^{ab}	28.57
T_3	5.10	4.90	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.10	3.90	4.500±0.43 ^b	23.52
T_4	5.09	4.89	4.79	4.59	4.39	4.19	4.09	4.576±0.37 ^{ab}	19.65
T_5	5.10	4.90	4.70	4.70	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.629±0.32 ^b	17.65
T_6	5.09	4.89	4.79	4.79	4.59	4.49	4.39	4.719±0.24 ^b	13.75
T_7	5.10	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.08	4.669±0.34 ^b	20.00
T_8	5.08	4.98	4.88	4.88	4.78	4.68	4.58	4.837±0.17 ^b	9.84

T ₉	5.10	4.90	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.50	4.771±0.19 ^b	11.76
T ₁₀	5.08	4.98	4.88	4.88	4.78	4.78	4.68	4.866±0.13 ^b	7.87
Mean	5.094	4.889	4.712	4.579	4.379	4.209	4.017		
	±0.09 ^d	±0.07 ^{cd}	±0.19 ^{bcd}	±0.36 ^{abcd}	±0.48 ^{abc}	±0.61 ^{ab}	±0.71 ^a		

Note: Values followed by different letters are significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from each other.

The analysis of my data showed that different treatments and storage intervals had a significant effect on TSS of watermelon juice. TSS of samples (T₁ to T₁₀) ranged from 6.00 to 28.80, which were gradually increased to 7.000 to 29.914 during three months of storage. The mean values increased from 17.460 to 18.980. Maximum mean values were recorded in sample T₆ (29.914) followed by T₄ (28.314), while minimum mean values were observed in sample T₁ (7.000) followed by T₃ (8.229). During storage maximum increase was observed in sample T₁ (51.67%) followed by T₂ (8.67%), T₈ (8.15%), while minimum increase was observed in T₇ (4.88%) followed by T₉ (5.68%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of treatments and storage on TSS (%) of watermelon juice.

Treatment	Intervals (days)							Means	% of increase
	1 st	15 th	30 th	45 th	60 th	75 th	90 th		
T ₁	6.00	6.10	6.20	6.40	7.20	8.00	9.10	7.000±1.17 ^a	51.67
T ₂	25.40	25.80	25.80	26.20	26.60	27.00	27.60	26.257±0.63 ^c	8.67
T ₃	8.00	8.10	8.10	8.20	8.30	8.40	8.50	8.229±0.18 ^b	6.25
T ₄	27.20	27.60	28.00	28.40	28.60	29.00	29.40	28.314±0.77 ^d	8.09
T ₅	8.00	8.10	8.20	8.30	8.40	8.50	8.50	8.286±0.19 ^b	6.25
T ₆	28.80	29.20	29.60	29.80	30.20	30.80	31.00	29.914±0.81 ^e	7.64
T ₇	8.20	8.20	8.30	8.20	8.40	8.50	8.60	8.343±0.16 ^b	4.88
T ₈	27.00	27.20	27.80	28.00	28.40	28.80	29.20	28.057±0.80 ^d	8.15
T ₉	8.80	8.90	9.10	9.10	9.20	9.30	9.30	9.100±0.19 ^b	5.68
T ₁₀	27.20	27.60	28.00	28.20	28.40	28.80	29.20	28.200±0.68 ^d	7.35
Mean	17.460	17.68	17.91	18.080	18.370	18.710	18.980		
	±10.24 ^a	±10.38 ^a	±10.53 ^a	±10.64 ^a	±10.66 ^a	±10.76 ^a	±10.77 ^a		

Note: Values followed by different letters are significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from each other.

Sugars are the most important constituent of fruit product and are essential factor for the flavor of the food product and also act as a natural food preservative. The treatments

and storage intervals had a significant effect on reducing sucrose of the juice. The mean values increased from 15.650 to 17.500. Maximum mean values were recorded in sample T₁₀ (28.186) followed by T₆ (27.571), while minimum mean values were observed in sample T₁ (5.714) followed by T₃ (5.871). During storage maximum increase was observed in sample T₁₀ (18.22%) followed by T₈ (14.12%), while minimum increase was observed in T₂ (5.90%) followed by T₅ (6.78%) (Table 3). These results are in agreement with [13] who showed an increase in glucose and fructose contents in strawberry fruits.

Table 3. Effect of treatments and storage on reducing sugar (%) of watermelon juice.

Treatment	Intervals (days)							Mean	% of increase
	1 st	15 th	30 th	45 th	60 th	75 th	90 th		
T ₁	5.60	5.70	5.80	5.90	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.714±0.35 ^a	7.14
T ₂	25.40	25.80	26.20	26.60	26.60	26.80	26.90	26.329±0.55 ^b	5.90
T ₃	5.60	5.70	5.80	5.90	6.00	6.00	6.10	5.871±0.18 ^a	8.93
T ₄	25.20	25.70	26.20	26.70	27.10	27.60	28.00	26.643±1.01 ^{bc}	11.11
T ₅	5.90	5.80	5.90	6.00	6.10	6.20	6.30	6.029±0.17 ^a	6.78
T ₆	25.70	26.30	26.90	27.50	28.70	28.70	29.20	27.571±1.34 ^{bc}	13.62
T ₇	5.90	6.00	6.10	6.10	6.20	6.30	6.40	6.143±0.17 ^a	8.47
T ₈	25.50	26.10	26.70	27.30	27.90	28.50	29.10	27.300±1.20 ^{bc}	14.12
T ₉	5.90	6.00	6.10	6.20	6.30	6.40	6.50	6.200±0.22 ^a	10.17
T ₁₀	25.80	26.60	27.40	28.20	29.00	29.80	30.50	28.186±1.70 ^c	18.22
Mean	15.65 ±10.40 ^a	15.97 ±10.68 ^a	16.31 ±10.94 ^a	16.64 ±11.20 ^a	16.89 ±11.59 ^a	17.23 ±11.67 ^a	17.50 ±11.88 ^a		

Note: Values followed by different letters are significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from each other.

Lactose medium was used to count the total *coliform* and the maximum number was found in treatment T₁ and the minimum in treatment T₁₀ (Table 4). Total viable bacteria was identified by using nutrient agar media and the maximum population was observed in treatment T₁ (258×10^5), then to T₂ (220×10^5) and the minimum in treatment T₁₀ (78×10^5) (Table 4). In a total fungal count, maximum number of colonies was recorded in T₁ and T₂, while minimum growth of microorganism was observed in T₁₀ (Table 4). Eosin Methylene blue agar (EMB) was used to determine the presence of *E.coli* in each treatment of watermelon juice and the population was found in treatment T₁, T₂, T₃, and T₄ (Table 5). SS agar was used to observe the presence of *Salmonella* in each treatment of watermelon juice and these are only found in treatment T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₅ (Table 5).

Table 4. Total coliform count (MPN) and total viable count of watermelon juice sample.

Treatment or sample	Coliform cfu /ml*	Total viable bacteria cfu /ml	Fungus cfu/ml**
T ₁	>24	258×10 ⁵	12
T ₂	11	220×10 ⁵	10
T ₃	4.60	206×10 ⁵	6
T ₄	1.50	190×10 ⁵	5
T ₅	2.40	210×10 ⁵	4
T ₆	1.50	192×10 ⁵	4
T ₇	2.10	140×10 ⁵	5
T ₈	0.35	120×10 ⁵	4
T ₉	0.28	90×10 ⁵	5
T ₁₀	0.20	78×10 ⁵	Fungus cfu/ml

* Since calculated value (8.997) is greater than tabulated value (1.833) so, null hypothesis may be rejected and they are significant.

**Since calculated value (6.33) is greater than tabulated value (1.833) so, null hypothesis may be rejected and they are significant.

Table 5. Result of *E.coli* and *Salmonella* confirmation tests.

Treatment or sample	<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Salmonella</i>
T ₁	Present	Present
T ₂	Present	Present
T ₃	Present	Present
T ₄	Present	Absent
T ₅	Absent	Present
T ₆	Absent	Absent
T ₇	Absent	Absent
T ₈	Absent	Absent
T ₉	Absent	Absent
T ₁₀	Absent	Absent

4. Conclusion

Finally from the study it can be concluded that pasteurized juice with 20% sucrose, 0.05 or 0.1% sodium benzoate and 0.05 or 0.1% potassium sorbate were considered most acceptable by taking into account some chemical properties (pH, TSS, and reducing sugar

content) and by consideration of the microbial load on comparison with other samples with three months storage.

References

1. L. S. Copley and W. M. Steele, *An Introduction to the Botany of Tropical Crops* (Longman Group Ltd., London, 1976).
2. C. H. William, The University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences Cooperative Extension Service (1999). <http://pubs.caes.uga.edu/caespubs/pubcd/B996-w.html>
3. J. W. Rushing, In: *Color Atlas of Postharvest: Quality of Fruit and Vegetable* (Blackwell Publishing, UK, 2004).
4. Anon, *Watermelon*, Booklet of Federal Agriculture Marketing Authority (FAMA), Utusan Printcorp Sdn Bhd (2008).
5. Gansu Academy of Agricultural Science, Lanzhou, Gansu province, P. R, 7310070, and Lanzhou, Gansu province, Northwest Sci-Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry, Yangling Shaanxi P. R. China, 712100.
6. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Evaluation and definition of potentially hazardous foods (2001). <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/ift4-3.html>
7. Center for Disease Control (CDC), *Outbreak Surveillance Data* (2006). http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneoutbreaks/documents/2006_line_list/2006_line_list.pdf
8. AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990) *Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 15th edition, Arlington, VA.
9. J. H. Hanks and D. F. James, The enumeration of of the bacteria by microscopic method. *J. Bact*, **39**, 297 (1940). PMID:16560293 PMCID:374573
10. R. M. Atlas, *Hand book of microbiological media*, 2nd edition. **18**, 596 (Taylor & Francis, 1997)
11. R. G. D. Steel, J. H. Torrie (1980). *Principle and procedures of statistics*, 2nd edition (McGraw Hill Book Co. NY, U.S.A, 1980) pp. 195-238.
12. M. Ali, M.Sc. Thesis, Agricultural University, Faisalabad, Pakistan (1965).
13. A. Ruiz-Nieto, A. J. M. Lopez, M. R. Lopez, M. J. Lopez, J. J. Medina, H. A. T. Scheer, F. Lieten, J. Dijkstra (1997). *Proceedings of the third international strawberry symposium, Veldhoven, Netherlands*, 29, Vol. 2. *Acta Hor*, **439**, 663 (1997).