

Available Online

JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

J. Sci. Res. 5 (2), 283-294 (2013)

www.banglajol.info/index.php/JSR

# Private Edge Domination Number of a Graph

Robinson C. S<sup>1</sup> and Kavitha S<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Scott Christian College, Nager Coil-629001, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Arunachala College of Engineering for Women, Nagercoil, India

Received 3 October 2012, accepted in final revised form 8 April 2013

#### Abstract

A set  $S \subseteq E$  is said to be a private edge dominating set, if it is an edge dominating set, for every  $e \in S$  has at least one external private neighbor in  $E \setminus S$ . Let  $\gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  and  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  denote the minimum and maximum cardinalities, respectively, of a private edge dominating sets in a graph G. In this paper we characterize connected graph for which  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq q/2$  and the graph for some upper bounds. The private edge domination numbers of several classes of graphs are determined.

Keywords: Edge domination; Perfect domination; Private domination; Edge irredundant sets.

© 2013 JSR Publications. ISSN: 2070-0237 (Print); 2070-0245 (Online). All rights reserved. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/jsr.v5i2.12024</u> J. Sci. Res. **5** (2), 283-294 (2013)

#### 1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple connected graph [1] with |V| = p and |E| = q,  $q \ge 2$ . A set  $S \subseteq E$  is an edge dominating set if each edge in E is either in S or is adjacent to an edge in S and is an independent edge dominating set if edges of S are independent. The edge domination number  $\gamma'(G)$  is the minimum cardinality among all minimal edge dominating sets, and  $\Gamma'(G)$  is the maximum cardinality among all minimal edge dominating sets of G [2]. The minimum and the maximum cardinalities taken over all maximal independent edge dominating sets of G is denoted by i'(G) and  $\beta'(G)$ . The open neighborhood of an edge e, denoted N(e), is the set  $\{e' \in E:e \text{ is adjacent to } e'\}$  and the closed neighborhood of e, denoted  $N[e] = \{e\} \cup N(e)$  For  $e \in S \subseteq E$ , we define  $P_n[e, S] = N[e] - N[S - \{e\}]$ . If  $P_n[e, S] \neq \Phi$  then e is said to be an edge irredundant in S. The set  $S \subseteq E$  is said to be an edge irredundant set if  $P_n[e, S] \neq \Phi$  for all  $e \in S$ . The

*Corresponding author:* kavithasadasivan@gmail.com

minimum and maximum cardinalities taken over all maximal edge irredundant sets of edges of G is ir'(G) and IR'(G), respectively [2]. If  $P_n(e, S) = N(e) - N(S - \{e\}) = e'$ , then the edge e' is an external edge private neighbor of e.

An edge subset S in a graph G is said to be perfect edge dominating set in G if each edge of the complementary graph  $E \setminus S$  of S in G is adjacent to exactly one edge in S. The minimum cardinality among the perfect edge dominating sets in a graph G is denoted by  $\gamma'_{p}(G)$ . A set  $S \subseteq E$  is said to be a private edge dominating set, if it is an edge dominating set, every  $e \in S$  has at least one external private neighbor in  $E \setminus S$ . The minimum and maximum cardinalities taken over all private edge dominating sets in a graph G is called a private edge domination numbers  $\gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  and  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ . It can be observed that the minimum cardinality of a private dominating set is always equal to  $\gamma'(G)$ . For a real number x, [x] denotes the largest integer not greater than x and [x] denotes the smallest integer not less than x. An edge dominating set S is a minimal edge dominating set if no proper subset  $S' \subset S$  is an edge dominating set. An edge dominating set. The degree of an edge e = uv is defined to be dg(u)+dg(v)-2.

The notion of private dominating set has been introduced as a concept by Bollobas and Cockayane [3]. Further studied by B.J Prasad and etl [5]. In this paper we carried out private domination number for edge set of a graph. Also we characterize certain properties of private edge domination number, and we obtain certain bounds and connection with other edge domination related parameters.

### 2. Some Basic Results

#### **Theorem (Existence Theorem)**

**2.1:** A graph G, without isolated edges and  $q \ge 2$  has a minimum edge dominating set which is also a private edge dominating set.

**Proof.** Let *S* be a  $\gamma'(G)$  set for which the number of edges in  $\langle S \rangle$  having an open private edge neighbor is maximum. If an edge  $e' \in S$  does not have an open private edge neighbor, then it must be isolated in  $\langle S \rangle$  Since *G* has no isolated edge, *e'* must be adjacent at least one edge say e'' in  $E \setminus S$ . But in this case  $S \setminus \{e'\} \cup \{e''\}$  is a minimum edge dominating set, in which an edge *e''* has an edge *e'* as an open private edge neighbor, contradiction to the minimality of a number of edges in *S* having an open private edge neighbor. Thus *S* must be a Private edge dominating set.  $\Box$ 

#### **Observations 2.2:**

- 1. For any graph  $G, \gamma'(G) \leq \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ .
- 2. For any complete graph  $K_p$  with  $p \ge 3 \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \left| \frac{P}{2} \right|$ .
- 3. For any path  $P_p$ ,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor$

- 4. For any bipartite graph complete graph  $K_{m,n}$   $m, n \ge 2$ ,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \max\{m, n\}$ .
- 5. For any graph G,  $1 \le \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \le \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} \right\rfloor$ .
- 6. For any graph G,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \Gamma'(G) \leq IR'(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.3:** For any connected graph G,  $|S| = \gamma'(G)$ , then  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \sum_{e \in S} d(e)$ .

**Proof.** Let S be a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set of a graph G. Suppose  $e_1 \in S$  there exist an edge  $e_2 \in E \setminus S$ , satisfying  $N(e_2) \cap S = \{e_1\}$ . Then  $E \setminus S$  is a dominating set of S.

$$\gamma'(G) \le |E \setminus S| \le q - \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \tag{1}$$

Also we have in ref. [4]  $|E(G)| \langle \gamma'(G) \leq \sum_{e \in S} d(e), \gamma'(G) \geq q - \sum_{e \in S} d(e)$ 

Now from (1) 
$$q - \sum_{e \in S} d(e) \le q - \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$$
. Therefore  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \le \sum_{e \in S} d(e)$ 

**Theorem 2.4:** Every minimal private edge dominating set is edge dominating and edge irredundant.

**Proof.** Let *G* be a graph, *S* be a minimal private edge dominating set of *G*, which implies that every element of *S* contains at least one external private edge neighbor. This implies *S* is edge irredundant.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.5. Converse of the Theorem 2.4 is not true.



In Fig.1  $S = \{e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9, e_{10}\}$  is edge irredundant and edge dominating, but not private edge dominating set.

**Theorem 2.6:** Every minimal private edge dominating set is maximal irredundant edge set of G.

**Proof.** Assume that S is a minimal private edge dominating set. To show that S is a maximal edge irredundant set of G. Suppose it is not true, that is if S is not a maximal

irredundant edge set, there must exist an edge  $e_1 \in E \setminus S$  for which  $S \cup \{e_1\}$  is irredundant. This means in particular that  $P_n[e_1, S \cup \{e_1\}] \neq \Phi$ . i.e there exists at least one edge  $e_2$  which is a private edge neighbor of  $e_1$  with respect to  $S \cup \{e_1\}$ . But this means that no edge in S is adjacent to  $e_2$ , that is S is not a dominating set. This contradicts that S is edge dominating set.

Remark 2.7: But the converse of the Theorem 2.6 is not true.



In Fig.2  $S = \{e_2, e_3, e_8, e_9\}$  is a maximal edge irredundant set not minimal private edge dominating set.

**Theorem 2.8:** For every  $\Gamma'_{nvt}(G)$  -set is a minimal edge dominating set.

**Proof.** Let *S* be  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  a set of a graph *G*. Then every edge in *S* has an external private edge neighbor in  $E \setminus S$ . Hence *S* is a minimal edge dominating set of *G*.

Remark 2.9: The converse of the Theorem 2.8 is not true.



In Fig.3  $S = \{e_1, e_4, e_7\}$  is a minimal edge dominating set but not a private edge dominating set.

#### 3. Bounds for Private Edge Domination Number

**Theorem 3.1:** For any tree T,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq q - \Delta'$  Equality holds for wounded spider and star graphs.

**Proof.** Let e' be any edge having maximum degree  $\Delta'$  in a tree T. Let S be maximum private edge dominating set of G. Suppose  $e' \in S$ . If all the edges adjacent to e' are in  $E \setminus S$  then we are through. Otherwise if some of its neighbor is in S the corresponding to each one of them there will be at least one edge in  $E \setminus S$  and hence the theorem follows. Hence in these case  $|E \setminus S| \ge \Delta'$  Further suppose e' not in S, in this case for each  $e'' \in N(e') \cup S$  then there exits an external private neighbor in  $E \setminus S$ . Hence  $|E \setminus S| \ge \Delta'$ . It is easy to see that the equality holds for wounded spider and star graphs.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let *S* be a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set of a graph *G*, for any edge  $e \in S$ ,

 $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq q - \deg(e)$ 

**Proof.** Let S be  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  -set of G. Let the degree of e be  $k_1$ . Assume that e is adjacent to k edges in S, then the edge e is adjacent to  $k_1 - k$  edges in  $E \setminus S$ .

If k > 0, then each neighbor of e in S must have an external private neighbor in  $E \setminus S$ , and these edges must be distinct and so  $|E \setminus S| \ge (k_1 - k) + k = d(e)$ . Therefore  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \le q - \deg(e)$ 

If k = 0, then  $|E \setminus S| \ge k_1 = d(e)$ . Hence  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \le q - \deg(e)$ 

Remark 3.3: It is easy to see that equality holds for wounded spider and star graphs.

**Theorem 3.4:** For a graph G, an edge dominating set S and its complement  $E \setminus S$  are private edge dominating set in G if and only if  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Clearly |E| is even *S* is a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set such that  $|S| = \frac{q}{2}$ . This implies that  $|E \setminus S| = \frac{q}{2}$ , for every  $e \in S$  there exists a unique edge  $e' \in E \setminus S$  adjacent to *e* and hence  $|E \setminus S|$  also a private edge dominating set of *G*. Conversely suppose *S* and  $E \setminus S$  are private edge dominating set of *G*, then  $|S| \leq \frac{q}{2}$  and  $|E \setminus S| \leq \frac{q}{2}$ . If  $|S| < \frac{q}{2}$  then  $|E \setminus S| > \frac{q}{2}$ , which implies that  $E \setminus S$  is not a private edge dominating set, a contradiction. Hence  $|S| = \frac{q}{2}$ .

**Remark 3.5:** If G has odd number of edges, then both edge dominating sets and its complement cannot be private edge dominating set of G.

**Theorem 3.6:** Let *G* be a graph, suppose a minimal edge dominating set *S* of a graph *G* is a perfect edge dominating set, then it is also a private edge dominating set of *G*. **Proof**. Let *S* be a minimal edge dominating set of *G*, which is also a perfect edge dominating set of *G*. If an edge  $e \in S$  is adjacent to an edge  $e_1 \in E \setminus S$ , then it will be an external private neighbor of *e* otherwise *e* will be adjacent to at least one edge other than  $e_1 \in E \setminus S$ , which is a contradiction to the definition of perfect edge dominating set. Also if  $e \in S$  is adjacent to no edge in  $E \setminus S$ , then  $E \setminus \{e\}$  is an edge dominating set of G, which is a contradiction to the minimality.

**Remark 3.7:** Converse of the Theorem 3.6 is not true.



Fig. 4

In Fig. 4,  $S = \{e_1, e_3, e_{11}, e_{14}\}$  is a minimal edge dominating set and private edge dominating set but not perfect.

**Theorem 3.8:** Let *S* be a perfect edge dominating set of a graph *G*, *S* is a private edge dominating set of *G* if and only if  $E \setminus S$  is edge dominating set of *G*.

**Proof.** Let *S* be a perfect edge dominating set of a graph *G*. Assume that *S* is a private edge dominating set of *G*, *S* is a minimal edge dominating set of *G*. Therefore  $E \setminus S$  is edge dominating set of *G*. Conversely suppose that  $E \setminus S$  is an edge dominating set of *G*, since *S* is a perfect edge dominating set of *G*, so every edge in  $E \setminus S$  is adjacent to unique element in *S*, and every edge in *S* is adjacent to at least one edge in  $E \setminus S$ . Hence every edge in  $E \setminus S$  is a private edge neighbor of a edge in *S*. So *S* is a private edge dominating set of *G*.

Remark 3.9: If we delete perfect, Theorem 3.8 does not need to be true.

**Theorem 3.10:** For any connected graph G,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \Gamma'(G)$ , where  $\Gamma'(G)$  is the upper domination number.[2].

**Theorem 3.11:** For any connected graph G,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) + \gamma'(G) \leq q$ .

**Proof.** Let *S* be a minimal private edge dominating set with maximum cardinality. Then  $E \setminus S$  is an edge dominating set. Hence  $|E \setminus S| \ge \gamma'$ , then  $q - |S| \ge \gamma'$ 

**Theorem 3.12:** For any connected graph G,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ , then  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G)$ 

**Proof.** Assume that  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \frac{q}{2}$  also  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \frac{q}{2}$ . But by the observation  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \Gamma'(G)$ ,  $\frac{q}{2} \leq \Gamma'(G)$ . This implies that  $\frac{q}{2} \leq \Gamma'(G) \leq \frac{q}{2}$ . Therefore  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \frac{q}{2} = \Gamma'(G)$ 

Remark 3.13: The converse of the Theorem 3.12 needs not be true.



In Fig. 5  $S = \{e_1, e_3, e_9\}, \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G)$  which is not equal to  $\frac{q}{2}$ .

**Theorem 3.14:** For every connected graph G, if the edge perfect domination number is  $\frac{q}{2}$  then  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G)$ .

**Proof.** Let G be a connected graph, let S be a perfect edge dominating set, such that  $|S| = \frac{q}{2}$  By the definition of perfect dominating set  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$  which implies that S is an private edge dominating set with  $|S| = \frac{q}{2}$ . Always  $\frac{q}{2} \leq |S| \leq \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \Gamma'(G) \leq \frac{q}{2}$ ,  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G)$ .

**Remark 3.15:** The converse of the Theorem 3.14 is not true. In a triangle graph  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = 1$ , but  $\gamma'_{P}(G)$  is not equal to  $\frac{q}{2}$ . **Theorem 3.16:** For any connected graph G, S be a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set,  $\gamma'(G) + \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = q$  if and only if  $E \setminus S$  is a minimum edge dominating set.

**Proof.** The result is obviously true.

**Theorem 3.17:** For any connected graph G, let S be a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set. If  $E \setminus S$  is a minimum edge dominating set, then  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \mathrm{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ **Proof.** Let  $|S| = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ , also  $q - \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \gamma'(G)$  then  $q = \gamma'(G) + \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ . We show that  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ . Suppose  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) < \frac{q}{2}$  which implies that  $\gamma'(G) > \frac{q}{2}$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \mathrm{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ 

**Remark 3.18:** The converse of the Theorem 3.17 is not true.



In Fig. 6  $S = \{e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$  satisfying  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \operatorname{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$  but  $E \setminus S$  is not a minimum Edge dominating set.

**Theorem 3.19:** For any connected graph G, S be a  $\gamma'_{P}(G)$  set, then  $E \setminus S$  is a minimum edge dominating set if and only if  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \operatorname{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ .

**Proof.** Let *G* be any connected graph, *S* be a  $\gamma'_{P}(G)$  -set which implies that  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$ . Assume that  $E \setminus S$  is a minimum edge dominating set, since *S* be a  $\gamma'_{P}(G)$  set which is dominating and  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$  which implies *S* is a minimum edge dominating set, also  $P_n(e', S) = 1$  for all  $e' \in S$ . Therefore every edge in  $E \setminus S$  is adjacent to exactly one edge in *S* and every edge in *S* is adjacent to at least one edge in  $E \setminus S$ . So that  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$ . Hence  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \frac{q}{2} = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ . Conversely assume that  $\gamma'_{P}(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \mathrm{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$  so that

every edge in S is adjacent with exactly one edge in  $E \setminus S$ . This implies  $E \setminus S$  is a minimum edge dominating set.

**Theorem 3.20:** For any  $C_{4n}$ ,  $\beta'(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \text{IR}'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ 

**Theorem 3.21:** For any connected Tree,  $\Delta \leq 3$  and if,  $\beta'(G) = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ , then  $\gamma'_{P}(G) \leq \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ 

**Proof.** Let S be a  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  set. (Choose S in such a way that  $\langle S \rangle$  has minimum number of edges). Let F be the set which contains external private neighbor of S.Let X denote the edges which are neither in S nor in  $F \cdot but$  in  $E \setminus S$ .

So that 
$$|E| = |S| + |F| + |X|$$
 (1)

Case (i)  $|X| = \Phi$ .

Therefore |E| = |S| + |F| which implies every edge in *S* is adjacent to at least one external private neighbor,  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$ 

Suppose  $|N(e) \cap S| \neq 1$ , then *e* is not a private neighbor of any element in *S*. This implies  $e \in X$ ,  $|X| \neq \Phi$ ,  $|N(e) \cap S| = 1$ , for all  $e \in E \setminus S$ . So that *S* is also a perfect edge dominating set,  $\gamma'_{P}(G) \leq |S| = \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ .

### Case (ii) $|X| \neq \Phi$

Let  $e' \in E \setminus S$  which implies  $e' \in E \setminus S$ . Therefore  $|N(e') \cap S| \neq 1$ , implies  $|N(e') \cap S| \leq 2$ , since e' is adjacent to at least two elements in S, also  $\Delta \leq 3$  implies  $|N(e') \cap S| = 2$ . Let  $e_1, e_2 \in S$  which are adjacent with e'. By the definition of  $S, e_1$  has one external private neighbor and  $e_2$  have exactly one external private neighbor, so that e' is adjacent with four edges ,two of them belongs to S and two of them belongs to  $E \setminus S$ . Also  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  have at least one external private neighbor. So that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are not adjacent with any element in S, also  $\Delta \leq 3$ . Suppose if they are belongs to S, then  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  does not have any external private neighbor, also  $\beta'(G) > \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$  which gives a contradiction. In S, we eliminate  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  and add e', we get a perfect graph which is |S'| < |S|. Also all elements in S' with the condition that  $|N(e) \cap S'|=1$  for all  $e \in E \setminus S'$ .

Hence  $\gamma'_{P}(G) \leq \Gamma'_{pvt}(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.22:** In a (p,q) connected graph  $p \ge 3$ ,  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \le p-2$ .

**Proof.** Let |S| = k be a minimal edge private dominating set with maximum cardinality. Suppose k > p - 2 notice that no edge in *S* can have both of its end points adjacent to a line is *S*, for such edge cannot have proper neighbor. Hence the edges in *S* form a sub graph of *G*, which is a union of star graphs.

Suppose S contains k' edges which are independent in S. Since each edge which is not independent is S has at least one proper neighbor. The total number of points in a graph is at least 2k' + (k - k') + 2 if k' < k, 2k + 2 if k' = k. Therefore

$$p > \begin{cases} 2k' + k + 2 & if \quad k' < k \\ 3k + 2 & if \quad k' = k \end{cases}$$

So that  $p \ge k+2$  as  $p \ge 2$ . Thus we have a contradiction in both cases. **Theorem 3.23:** For any connected graph *G* with *m* vertices  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G \circ G') \le \text{mn} - 2$ , where  $G' = C_n$  or  $P_n$ . **Proof.** Let *G* be a graph with *m* vertices and *G'* be also a graph with *n* vertices. Then G o G' has p = mn + n vertices and  $q \le \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + mn$ 

Suppose  $S = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, ..., e_k\}$  be a maximal edge private dominating set with maximum cardinality.

Suppose k > mn - 2, notice that no edge in *S* can have both of its end points adjacent to an edge in *S*, for such line cannot have a proper neighbor. Hence the line in *S* form a sub graph of *G* which is a union of star graphs. Thus the number of vertices in the graph  $G \circ G'$  is at least 2k + 2, always  $k \ge m$ .

 $p \ge k + k + 2$ , implies  $p \ge m + k + 2$ , implies  $p \ge mn - 2 + m + 2$ ,  $p \ge mn + m$ . which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.24:** For any connected graph G with m vertices, then  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G \circ K_2) = m$ . **Proof.** Let G be a graph with m vertices, and G be also a graph with n vertices. Then G o G' has p = 3m vertices and  $q \le \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + 3m$ . Suppose  $S = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, ..., e_k\}$  be a maximal edge private dominating set with maximum cardinality. Suppose k > m. The number of edges in a given graph is at least 3k + 2.

$$q \ge 3k + 2 > 2k + 2, q > 2k + 2, q > 2(k + 1), q > 2m$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + 3m > 2m$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m(m-1)}{2} > -m$$
  

$$\Rightarrow m < -\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow 1 < \frac{1-m}{2}, (m \ge 2), \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

**Observation 3.25:** Let 1, 2, 3 and 1, 2, 3, ... *n* be the vertices of  $P_3$  and  $P_n$  respectively. Choose  $n \ge 3$ , then the edges of  $P_3 \times P_n$  denoted by  $R_{i,j}$  and  $C_{i,m}$ , where i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3...n - 1, l = 1, 2, 3...n, m = 1, 2.

For  $P_3 \times P_n$  graph, consider 3 rows and *n* columns .Let as consider the column edges by  $c_{l,m}$  and the row edges by  $R_{l,i}$ .



**Theorem 3.26:** For any  $P_3 \times P_n$  graph,  $n \ge 3$ , then

$$\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) \leq \begin{cases} 5 \frac{n}{3} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \mod(3) \\ 5 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \mod(3) \\ 5 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 3 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \mod(3) \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let us consider the set  $S = \{C_{3l-2,1}, C_{3l-2,2}, C_{3l,1}, C_{3l,2}, R_{2,3l-2}, l = 1, 2, 3, ..., \frac{n}{3}$ (*l* should be an integer)}

**Case (i)**  $n \equiv 0 \mod(3)$ 

We consider  $S_1 = S$ , and we notice that  $P_n(e, S) \neq \Phi$ . That is every edge in *S* have at least one external private neighbor. Which is also one maximal. Since if we add one edge from  $E \setminus S$ , then some of its elements in  $S_1$  do not have external private neighbor. So that is  $S_1$  maximal.

To show that  $S_1$  is maximal. Notice that every edge in  $S_1$  have almost 2 external private neighbor. And  $S_1 = 5\frac{n}{3}$ . The given graph  $n \equiv 0 \mod(3)$ , here  $\frac{n}{3}$  edges has exactly two external private neighbor and the remaining  $4\frac{n}{3}$  edges has exactly one external private neighbor. If we delete one edge from these  $\frac{n}{3}$  edges and add at least two edges from  $E \setminus S_1$ , then the resultant graph has cardinality  $S_1 + 1$  but at least four edges of this set does not have any external private neighbor. Therefore  $S_1$  is maximum. Hence  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = 5\frac{n}{3}$  if  $n \equiv 0 \mod(3)$ .

## **Case (ii)** $n \equiv 1 \mod(3)$

We consider  $S_2 = s \cup \{R_{2,n-1}\}$ . Clearly  $S_2$  is maximal, and notice that every edge in  $S_2$  has almost two external private neighbor. The given graph is  $n \equiv 1 \mod(3)$  and  $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1$  edges have exactly two external private neighbor and  $4 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$  edges have exactly one external private neighbor. If we delete at least one edge from  $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$  edges and add at least two edges from  $E \setminus S_2$  then the resultant graph contains at least four edges does not have any external private neighbor. Therefore  $S_2$  is maximum. Hence

$$\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = 5 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1 \quad if \ n \equiv 1 \mod(3).$$

## **Case (iii)** $n \equiv 2 \mod(3)$

Choose  $S_3 = s \cup \{R_{2,n-1}, C_{n-1,1}, C_{n-1,2}\}$ . This is clearly maximal. Also notice that every edge in  $S_3$  has almost two external private neighbor. The given graph is  $n \equiv 2 \mod(3) \operatorname{and} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 1$  edges have exactly two external private neighbor and  $4 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 2$  edges have exactly one external private neighbor. If we delete one edge from  $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$  set of edges , and add at least two edges from  $E \setminus S_3$ , the resulting graph contains at least four graph does not contains any external private neighbor. There fore  $S_3$  is maximum. Hence

$$\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = 5\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 3 \quad if \ n \equiv 2 \mod(3).$$

**Theorem 3.29:** For any complete graph  $K_{2,n}$ ,  $n \ge 2$  then  $\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$ .

**Proof.** The vertex of V is partitioned into two sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ Let  $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $V_2 = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_n\}$ . since G is complete bipartite graph  $v_1$  is adjacent to every vertices in  $V_2$  and  $v_2$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $V_2$ . The given graph contains 2nedges, choose  $F = \{u_1 \ v_1, u_2 \ v_1, \dots, u_n v_1\}$ ,  $|F| = \frac{q}{2}$ . By one maximality every edge in F is adjacent to exactly one edge in  $E \setminus F$ . Therefore every edge in F have exactly one external private neighbor.

Hence 
$$\Gamma'_{pvt}(G) = \Gamma'(G) = \frac{q}{2}$$
.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the referee for useful suggestions.

#### References

- 1. F. Harary, Graph Theory (Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1969).
- 2. T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998).
- 3. B. Bollobas and E. J. Cockayne, J. Graph Theory **3** (3), 241 (1979). http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgt.3190030306
- 4. S. Arumugam and S. Velammal, Taiwanese J. Math. 2 (2), 173 (1998).
- B. J. Prasad, T. T. Chelvam, and S. R. Chellathurai, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Crypt. 10 (5) 661 (2007).