Bile Duct Injuries Following Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
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Abstract

Background: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) is the gold standard procedure for the gall stone diseases. Objective: This study aimed to assess the outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) by determining the frequency of complications especially of bile duct injuries. Methodology: This retrospective study was conducted in the Department of surgery at Dhaka Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. The case files of all patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) from the year of 2013 to 2015 were retrospectively analyzed. The data were collected according to outcome measures, such as bile duct injury, morbidity, mortality and numbers of patients whose resections had to be converted from laparoscopic to open surgery. Results: During the three years a total number of 336 patients were underwent LC for chronic cholecystitis (CC) of which 22(6.5%) developed complications. Among those who developed complications, two patients had major bile duct injuries (0.4%); other 43(12.8%) patients had planned laparoscopic operations converted to open cholecystectomy intra-operatively. None of the patients in this study died as a result of LC. Conclusion: The two patients who had severe common bile duct injury in this study had major anatomical anomalies that were only recognized during surgery. [Journal of Science Foundation 2017;15(1):14-19]
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Introduction

Bile duct injury is a major complication of LC. Anatomical anomalies, local pathology, and poor surgical techniques are the main factors responsible. Operative bile duct injury is one of the serious complications of hepatobiliary surgery. With the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) there has been an increase in the incidence of such injuries (Huang and Huang 2002; Mahatharadol 2004; Sicklick et al., 2005; Chowaniec et al., 2007).

As surgeons gained more experience and open biliary operations became standardized, the incidence of bile duct injuries reduced to approximately 0.125% (Bhattacharjee 2005; Gharaiheb et al., 2001; Olsen 2000). Open cholecystectomy remained the gold standard for treatment of cholelithiasis until the late 1980s when LC was introduced (Mouret 1991). It gained widespread acceptance and became the new gold standard for the management of gall stone diseases. During the surgical learning curve for this new technique there was
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an initial rise in the reports of bile duct injuries (Huang and Huang 2002) resulting mainly from the surgeons’ inexperience and misinterpretation of anatomy. After 1995, a median incidence rate of 0.3% was documented in data from both retrospective and prospective series (Gharaibeh et al., 2001; Olsen 2000). The single most important factor responsible for bile duct injuries is misinterpretation of the patient's anatomy. This present study was undertaken to assess the outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) by determining the frequency of complications especially of bile duct injuries. This study was designed to help surgeons in their attempts to prevent undesirable outcomes.

**Methodology**

This retrospective study was conducted in the Department of surgery at Dhaka Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. In this study the files of 336 patients who underwent LC in Dhaka Medical College and Hospital over three years between 2013 and 2015 were collected and analyzed. All patients had chronic calculous cholecystitis (CC). In all patients the presence of gall stones had been established by ultrasonography. Complications were divided into major complications like extrahepatic common bile duct (CBD) injury which required hepatojejunostomy and minor complications like wound infection, minor biliary leak, transient jaundice and ileus. In 45 of these patients a decision had been made to convert the operation intra-operatively from laparoscopic to open, for reasons that include previously undetected presence of adhesions and a difficult anatomy. In two of the 45 patients there were major iatrogenic extrahepatic biliary duct injuries which required immediate hepatojejunostomy: One was a 25-year-old male patient and the other was a 30-year-old female. No long-term follow-up was available to assess late complications.

**Results**

The patients’ ages ranged from 20 to 60 years; 84 were males and 252 were females. Of the 336 patients, 252 (75%) were women and 84 (25%) were men (Table 1).

**Table 1: Sex distribution and conversion rates in 336 planned laparoscopic cholecystectomies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (%)</td>
<td>Converted to open cholecystectomy (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>252 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>84 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>336 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complications occurred in 22 (6.5%) patients; two (9.1%) had major complications and 20 (88.9%) patients had minor complications the latter included twelve minor wound infections (54.5% - all female), biliary leaks in 13.7% (three patients; one male and two females), all of whom were treated conservatively: transient jaundice in (13.7%) (three patients, all females); and ileus in (18.2%) (four patients). All major complications occurred in the age-group of 20–30 years, whereas minor complications occurred mainly in the older patients (>41 years) (Table 2). Minor complications occurred mainly in 16 male patients (72.7%) and only 6 female patients (27.3%). It was not possible to include patients' body mass index, as the data was unavailable. Planned laparoscopic procedures were converted intra-operatively to open procedures in 45 patients (13.4%); two of whom (one male and one female) had open cholecystectomies with CBD repair. There were no mortalities in this study.

**Discussion**

Compared to the open operation, injuries sustained during LC are more often severe like excision of a segment of the CBD and generally extend to higher levels. The majorities (70 to 85%) of these injuries are not recognized during the operation; however, both cases of major complications in our series were recognized during surgery and both were iatrogenic injuries as a result of anatomical anomalies. Combined
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Bile duct and hepatic arterial like right hepatic artery or common hepatic artery injuries carry a particularly bad prognosis, with higher postoperative morbidity and mortality and poorer outcomes after remedial surgery (Russell et al., 1996). Bile duct injuries, substantially increase the economic burden on the patient, hospital, and community. Repair of a bile duct injury costs 4.5 to 26 times the cost of an uncomplicated LC and carries the risk of complications and even death (Russell et al., 1996). Though the initial spike in the incidence of complications settled down as surgeons became more experienced, reports of major bile duct injuries, even in the hands of senior surgeons, continue to surface, suggesting that bile duct injuries following cholecystectomy will remain a significant problem. However, early always recognition during operation or in the early post-operative period improves the outcome and reduces the costs (Asbun et al., 1993).

Table 2: Age distribution vs type of complications among 22 out of 336 laparoscopic cholecystectomies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>M (%)</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>M (%)</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>M (%)</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>M (%)</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>M (%)</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10–20 years</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30 years</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40 years</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50 years</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–60 years</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two cases of major complications in our study were due to anatomical anomalies of the cystic duct only. The first a male patient, underwent a hepatojejunostomy had a long and large-calibre cystic duct which was mistaken for the common hepatic duct (CHD). The second case a female patient had a spiral and very long cystic duct opening into the medial aspect of the CHD. Other common problems responsible for bile duct injuries are anomalies of the right hepatic duct (RHD) like low insertion on to the CHD, right anterior and posterior sections of the right hepatic duct, anomalies of the right hepatic artery and aberrant vessels coursing along the CBD (Russell et al., 1996). All major extrahepatic CBD injuries in this present series were recognized intra-operatively, whereas literature reports indicate that only 29.0% of the injuries are generally recognized intraoperatively (Russell et al., 1996). The injuries of the bile duct may include partial tear, laceration, transection and even excision of a portion of the duct. These injuries are seen irrespective of the type of cholecystectomy and result in biliary stricture, which is undoubtedly the most serious complication following cholecystectomy. The severity of the complication depends on the type of injury, the delay in presentation, and on whether the patient requires a revision of an initial attempt at repair. Injuries identified and repaired at the time of the first operation afford good results (Sicklick et al., 2005). In this study, 38 patients’ (84.4%) operations were converted due to anatomical difficulties encountered intraoperatively, five due to the discovery of adhesions (11.2%) and two patients’ operations were converted due to common bile duct injuries (4.4%). It is clear from the literature that bile duct injuries occur even in the hands of experienced and competent surgeons but, obviously, inexperience increases the risks. A casual attitude towards a “simple” gallbladder (resection) may result in a catastrophe.

Acute inflammation around Calot's triangle makes the tissue friable and difficult to grasp. Dissection in such conditions leads to excessive blood being present. This, together with the distorted anatomy, increases the risk of bile duct injuries during LC (Asbun et al., 1993). On the other hand, extensive fibrosis around Calot’s triangle in cases of chronically inflamed and fibrosed gallbladders may make them extremely difficult to dissect. The cystic duct and biliary tree system may be injured if the surgeon moves from the gallbladder down into the region of the bile duct to try and separate it and in doing so causes a diathermy injury to the bile duct, which can result in a leak. Occasionally, the CBD is dissected out and divided in the belief it is a cystic duct. In such cases, partial cholecystectomy is justified, as otherwise there remains a high risk of bile duct injuries. The probability of complications in the 1254 patients who underwent LC was significantly higher in those patients diagnosed with complicated gallstone disease (Veen et al., 2008). Overzealous use of
electrocautery near Calot's triangle and extensive dissection around the CBD may damage its axial blood flow, leading to ischemic damage to the duct and late stricture formation (Davidoff et al., 1993). Excessive traction leading to tenting of the CBD is another factor predisposing to clipping and ligation of the bile duct, especially when performing an open cholecystectomy. An unnecessary attempt to demonstrate the junction of the cystic duct and the CBD can be potentially dangerous. Obesity and excessive fat in the porta hepatic area also poses technical difficulties and can predispose to bile duct injuries (Russell et al., 1996). Some authors have described the mechanism of "classic" laparoscopic injury in the presence of "normal" anatomy of the biliary tree; this pattern, occurs when the gallbladder is retracted superiorly. Surgeons believe they can see where the cystic duct is and dissect directly on to it, rather than dissecting on to the gallbladder. It is possible to follow what is believed to be the cystic duct down and then the CBD can be dissected out, clipped and then divided as the cystic duct (Davidoff et al., 1993). Dissection proceeds upwards along the medial aspect of the CBD and the CHD until damage to the right hepatic artery results. Other authors (Hunter et al., 1991; Asbun et al., 1993) have described a variation of this sequence of events, where faulty anterior and medial traction on the Hartmann pouch fails to open up Calot's triangle causing the cystic duct and the CHD to be mistaken.

The CHD common hepatic duct junction is pulled up into the cystic duct and then clipped and divided. This can result in distal obstruction of the CBD and a fistula through the open cystic duct remnant. Clearly if the surgeon is aware of the existence of a short cystic duct then particular care needs to be taken when clipping it. The factor responsible for the occurrence of such complications as in this study is the difficulty interpreting the two dimensional images seen in laparoscopic surgery. This is more likely to occur when no OC is performed. This mistaken interpretation and identification can be so compelling that the surgeon does not recognize an error has been made. A review of 74 patients referred with bile duct injuries sustained during LC done at the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, suggested that these injuries are frequently severe and are related to cautery and high clip ligation, and the level of injury was almost evenly divided between Bismuth type 3, 4, and 5 vs Bismuth type 1 and 2 (Wudel et al., 2001; Bismuth 1984). Among the minor complications in our study, wound infection was the most common, followed by ileus, transient jaundice, and minor biliary leak. Minor biliary leaks were relatively unusual in this study three of 22, two were due to the presence of an accessory duct and we were able to treat these cases conservatively; one case was due to the clips applied to the cystic duct slipping and this case had to be managed by percutaneous drainage and ERCP.

According to the literature, the leak may be minor (Morgenstern et al., 1993) arising from a small, accessory bile duct (BaliJa et al., 2003) and clinically insignificant. Such cases should be treated with percutaneous drainage. Injuries to the accessory bile duct are the most common cause of postoperative complications (BaliJa et al., 2003). On the other hand, a major leak (Sicklick et al., 2005), due to injury to a main duct or a retained stone in the CBD (Lien et al., 2004), may result in biliary fistula, peritonitis, or biloma. Biliary fistula following LC is a common outcome in many studies; however, we had only one case in our series. Mostly, this complication results from improper application of clips or the clips slipping (Lien et al., 2004). Use of diathermy to divide the cystic duct may cause charring of the tissue and failure of the clip to hold. ERCP helps in diagnosis and removes any doubts regarding possible major ductal injuries. The condition resolves spontaneously (Lien et al., 2004) provided there is no distal obstruction; the process may be hastened by the placement of a stent endoscopically. In bile duct excision, a portion of the bile duct is lost and simple repair, as may be done in transection and laceration, is not possible (Schmidt et al., 2005). This is the reason why both the cases with major extrahepatic biliary duct injuries in this study underwent hepatoejunostomy. The chances of late stricture (Schmidt et al., 2005) are greater in bile duct transection than in bile duct laceration, as the axial vascular supply of the CBD is damaged in transection. Biliary reconstruction in the presence of peritonitis, combined vascular and bile duct injuries or injuries at or above the level of the biliary bifurcation were significant independent predictors of poor outcome (Schmidt et al., 2005). In this study, all patients had excellent recovery and were discharged in a good condition within 10 days of surgery; however, long-term follow-up was not available. Strictures may develop early (within days or weeks) or may take years to develop and vary in both diameter and length (Strasberg et al., 1995). Early strictures may develop due to intra-operative procedures such as clamping, ligation or clipping of the duct or thermal injury. Local infection may also result in both early and delayed stricture formation. Thermal injury and occult malignancy are important causes of delayed stricture formation. A thorough knowledge of the anatomy of the region, including possible anomalies, is important in preventing iatrogenic bile duct injuries. Both open cholecystectomy and LC are based on similar operative principles. Proper exposure and
visualization, careful dissection, adequate haemostasis, careful placement of ligatures and clips, and division of structures only after proper identification are essential for safe cholecystectomy. Fundus first cholecystectomy is well recognized as a safe technique during open cholecystectomy as well as during LC, because it minimizes the risk of injuries to the biliary structures at the Calot's triangle (Tuveri et al., 2005). Further specialized training to heighten awareness of the possible problems relating to the anatomy of the Calot's triangle is essential, not only for trainees but also for consultants engaged in this field. It is known that errors of judgment can be made relating to the few points within LC where complication-causing errors can occur, for example mistaking the CBD for the cystic duct or dissecting too close to the CHD (Way et al., 2003). An increased awareness of the possibility of such injuries could lead to a reduction in their occurrence and, further, the early recognition of such an injury with the advantages of more training would also lead to fewer injuries going unrecognized. Since injuries occurring at LC are frequently more severe and extend to a higher level than those that occur during open cholecystectomy. Strasberg E3 to E5 injuries occur in 31.0% of LC versus 12% of open cholecystectomy, prevention should always be the aim (Slater et al., 2002). Other authors have stressed the many steps that can be taken to prevent iatrogenic bile duct injuries (Russell et al., 1996). For example, maximum cephalic fundal traction should be applied for better visualization of Calot's triangle; lateral and inferior traction on the Hartmann pouch can open up the angle between the cystic duct and the CHD and avoid problems of mistaken identification of relevant anatomy; Calot's triangle must be freed of fatty and areola tissue; dissection should start near the neck of the gallbladder the cystic lymph node is an important landmark and then proceed from the lateral to the medial direction, keeping close to the gallbladder; excessive and unnecessary dissection or use of electrocautery near the CBD must be avoided; cautery should be used at very low power setting in Calot's triangle (Strasberg 2002) because electrocautery on tissues close to metal clips concentrates thermal energy and desiccates the tissue, making the clips less secure and thus predisposing to bleeding and biliary fistula formation. Any bleeding should be controlled only after accurate identification of its source and the neighbouring structures.

Conclusion

Bile duct injuries are a rare complication of both open cholecystectomy and LC. It can have devastating effects, turning the individual into a “biliary cripple”. They mainly result from anatomical anomalies and errors of human judgment and are thus preventable to some extent. The costs are reduced and outcome improved if these injuries are diagnosed early (during operation or the early postoperative period). Adding the experience gained from open cholecystectomy on the one hand and the advantages of LC, in terms of visualization and magnification on the other, will help in reducing the incidence of such complications.
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