Acute Toxicity and Hepatotoxicity Evaluation of Methanol Extract of Root Bark of Calotropis gigantea in Rats
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ABSTRACT: Many studies of root extract of Calotropis gigantea have been done to prove its potential as anti-cancer, antimicrobial, etc. agent. C. gigantea plant itself is very easy to grow in tropical countries. However, studies of acute toxicity of C. gigantea root extract has not been performed. The purpose of this research was to know the safety level, the chemical constituents, and the acute toxicity of methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark given orally on Rattus norvegicus in rats. C. gigantea root bark was extracted by using methanol. The methanol extract was suspended in 1% sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and administered orally by gavage (1250, 2500 and 5000 mg/kg) in separate groups. On the day of fifteen, all animals were anesthetized and some selected vital organs were excised, weighed and macroscopically examined. The liver was assessed histopathologically. There were no lethal effects, behavioral changes and no significant change in body and organ weights compared to control after the administration of the extracts. Thus, the value of LD₅₀ for oral administration of methanol extract from root bark of C. gigantea was larger than 5000 mg/kg. Methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark must be considered safe enough as none of the rats were died along the study. But, it can damage the hepatic cell, if given in higher dose.
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INTRODUCTION

Calotropis gigantea is a plant that lives in tropical and subtropical regions and is commonly found in Indonesia, China, Burma, Bangladesh, India, Philippines, Pakistan, Thailand and Sri Lanka.¹² This herb is useful in treating various disorders of the central nervous system, digestive system and respiratory system.³ Some parts of this plant such as leaves, stems, roots and flowers are traditionally used to treat various diseases such as tumors, fever, rheumatism, indigestion, cough, flu, asthma, nausea and diarrhea.⁴ Ethnobotanically, the root of this plant is effective to ease the bile flowing into the intestine, skin healing infections, worms, cough asthma, bronchitis and dyspepsia.¹

C. gigantea contains chemical compounds such as alkaloids, phenols, resins, amine, sitosterol, ganterol, isoganterol, naphthalene, flavonol glycosides, triterpenoids, tannins, sterols, saponins and steroids.⁵ Previous research has reported that the plant's methanol extract and its root bark are active as insecticides against Tribolium castaneum larvae and Aedes aegypti larvae.⁶⁷ Ethanol extract of C. gigantea root can more quickly heal wounds in rats.⁸ The methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark in chloroform has antitumor activity against carcinoma cells in mice. Ethyl acetate extract from roots of C. gigantea collected in Meherchandi, Bangladesh contains terpenoid and steroidal compounds and

Correspondence to: Kartini Hasballah
E-mail: kartinirusly@gmail.com
Phone: +62811688778; Fax: +6265128333

Dhaka Univ. J. Pharm. Sci. 17(2): 243-250, 2018 (December)
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/dujps.v17i2.39182
showed cytotoxic activity against *Artemia salina* with an IC$_{50}$ value of 29.56 µg/ml.$^9$ The pregnanon class of compound, i.e. calotropon has inhibitory force against myelogenous leukemia cell lines K562 and gastric cancer SGC-7901 with IC$_{50}$ values of 9.7 µg/ml and 6.7 µg/ml.$^{10}$

The flower portion of the *C. gigantea* plant caused no death and changes in behavior patterns in test animals up to a dose of 2000 mg/kg.$^{11}$ However, research on toxicity of extract of *C. gigantea* root has not been done. Therefore, this study was conducted to test the toxicity in white rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) with quantitative data in the form of lethal dose 50 (LD$_{50}$). Data from LD$_{50}$ can be used to identify a compound classified as highly toxic to non-toxic material, but it is also used to estimate dosing rates for other toxicological tests, such as subchronic and chronic toxicity.$^{12}$ The aim of this study was to analyze the acute toxicity of methanol extract of *C. gigantea* root bark on white rats (*Rattus norvegicus*).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The equipments included glassware, analytical balance scales (Sartorius, USA), blender (Miyako, Japan), test plate, filter paper, vacuum rotary evaporator (Heidolph, Germany), disposable syringe 1 ml and 3 ml (Terumo, Japan), sonde, animal scales (Ohaus, USA), minor surgical instruments, rat cage, microtome (Leica, Germany), water bath (Memmert, Germany), drying oven (Memmert, Germany), refrigerators (Sharp, Japan), and microscope (Leica, Germany).

The reagents used such as methanol, ethanol, ammonia, iron trichloride, chloroform, hydrochloric acid, sodium carboxy methyl cellulose, diethyl ether were obtained from CV Rudang Jaya, Medan, Indonesia. Xylol, hematoxylin, eosin were obtained from PT Menara Agung, Medan, Indonesia. Magnesium powder obtained from CV Global Scientific, Bandung, Indonesia. Mayer, Dragendorff, Wagner reagents, and Liebermann-Burchard reagents were obtained from Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh. Formalin, and paraffin wax were supplied from PT Fajar Mas Murni, Medan, Indonesia. Pellet were supplied from PT Citra Ina Feed Mill, Jakarta, Indonesia.

**Sample and test animal.** The root bark of *C. gigantea* was obtained from Alue Naga village, Kecamatan Syiah Kuala, Banda Aceh and was authenticated in Herbarium Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh (No. 944/UN11.1.28/DT/2017). The test animals used in this study were three-month-old male *Rattus norvegicus* weighing 150-200 g obtained from Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh. The ethical clearance has been obtained from Faculty of Medicine, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh for animal experimentation (Approval No. 37/KE/FK/2017; dated 12 September 2017).

**METHODS**

**Extraction process.** The fresh root barks of *C. gigantea* (3 kg) were dried shading at room temperature to remove the water content. Root bark that has been dried, were blended to obtain fine powder. Then, the powder was macerated with methanol. The maceration process was done gradually over 3 × 24 hours. The extract obtained was filtered using a filter paper. The filtrate was collected and evaporated with a vacuum rotary evaporator to obtain the concentrated methanol extract and tested for the content of the secondary metabolites.

**Test animal preparation.** Male *Rattus norvegicus* was acclimatized for one week in order to adapt to the experimental enclosure environment. At this stage, the observation were carried out of the general state of the tested animals.

**Preclinical test procedures.** The design of this study was post-test only with control group design. Male Wistar rats (n = 24) divided randomly into 3 groups as treated groups and 1 group as control group.
Methanol extract of *C. gigantea* root bark was suspended in 1% CMC and administered a single dose (1250, 2500 and 5000 mg/kg) in male rats (*R. norvegicus*) in each of 2, 3 and 4 groups, while group 1 (control) received 1% CMC (*n* = 6) only. Before administering the extract, the rats were fasted overnight (drinking was given *ad libitum*). Feedback was taken 6 hours after administration of the test drug. The general behavior of rats was continuously monitored for 1 hour after administration of the extract, then periodically for the first 24 hours (with special attention given during the first 4 hours) and then daily for 14 days. After giving the dose of the test extract, observations were made on the development of body weight and toxic symptoms of the test animals and the number of deaths were calculated. During the experiment, observation of toxic symptoms was conducted in the skin, fur, eyes, mucous membranes and also respiratory, circulatory, autonomic, central nervous system, and for other behavioral changes. Special attention was given to observe tremors, convulsions, hypersalivation, diarrhea, lethargy, sleep and coma. On the fifteenth day, all animals were anesthetized with diethyl ether and selected vital organs such as brain, heart, lungs, kidneys, livers, spleen, testis, pancreas and gut were subsequently separated, weighed and examined macroscopically. Data on the number of deaths of first day test animals were used to calculate Lethal Dose 50 (*LD*$_{50}$) according to Weil, C.S.

Special liver organ was inserted into formalin for subsequent tissue fixed in glass preparations. Each preparation was observed using a microscope with 400 times magnification in five field of view and calculated the number of normal cells, the number of parenchymatically degenerated cells, hydropic degeneration and necrosis. The number of each cell was searched for the average to multiply by the score of Manja Roenigk. The results of the score summed up and obtained score damage to Manja Roenigk for one rat.

**Data analysis.** Data on the number of tested animal mortality were used to calculate *LD*$_{50}$ values using Weil, C.S method which was then quantitatively used to evaluate the intensity (potential) of acute toxicity according to Loomis criteria. The formula used to calculate *LD*$_{50}$ is:

\[
\text{Log } \text{LD}_{50} = \text{Log } D + d (f + 1)
\]

Information: $D$ = The smallest dose given, $d$ = logarithm of multiple doses, $f$ = factor (Weil table)

Observation data were be tested on its normality first by using Saphiro-Wilk test and homogeneity using Levene test. Where the data used was basic data that has not been processed into a frequency table. Data is interval or ratio scale and comes from random samples. The data was sorted and then divided into two groups to produce Saphiro-Wilk. The Levene test is inferential statistics that are used to assess variance equations for variables calculated for two or more groups. When the data is normally distributed and homogeneous, then it is continued with ANOVA test to determine whether there is any significant change between treatment groups. Furthermore, a Post Hoc Tukey test was conducted to determine which groups had the most significant differences.

Observational data of histopathologic images of animal liver were tested for normality first using Saphiro-Wilk test and homogeneity using Levene test. Normally distributed and homogeneous data were then continued with ANOVA test to determine whether or not there was a significant difference between treatment groups. Next is the Post Hoc Duncan test to determine which groups have the most significant differences.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Extraction and phytochemical test.** The root bark of *C. gigantea* were dried and powdered to expand the surface to facilitate the extraction process whereby the solvent can penetrate the cell wall of the plant and accelerate the extraction process of the compound. The process of extraction was done by using maceration method where the sample was soaked with methanol. The extract was obtained as brown with 47.5 g of mass (yield:1.58% w/w).

Phytochemical analysis was performed on methanol extract of *C. gigantea* root bark. The
The purpose of phytochemical analysis was to know the class of secondary metabolite compounds contained in the sample. The methanol extract contained steroid, terpenoid, saponin and coumarin. The existence of steroids and terpenoids in the sample were marked by the color change to green and red after being reacted using the Libermann-Burchard reagent. Saponin positive test results were characterized by the formation of foam in the sample. The positive result of coumarin was characterized by fluorescence on filter paper saturated with NaOH. The results of the phytochemical analysis were consistent that the C. gigantea root bark contains secondary metabolites like saponins, terpenoids and steroids.

Acute toxicity test of methanol extracts of C. gigantea root bark. In acute toxicity study, C. gigantea root bark extract at a dose of 5000 mg/kg caused no mortality. Generally, the reduction in body weight and internal organ weights is a simple and sensitive index of toxicity after exposure to toxic substance.

The deadly effect was not observed after administration of a single dose of C. gigantea root bark bw extract (1250, 2500 and 5000 mg/kg) in all treatment and control groups (without the administration of the extract). Acute toxicity test results also can be seen in figure 1 and table 1. No significant changes in body weight of treated rats were compared with controls (p > 0.05).

In the current study, methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark (1250, 2500, and 5000 mg/kg) per oral did not significantly affect body weight and organs when compared to the control group. Thus, it was stated that the extract did not inhibit the growth of rats.

Microscopic results of histopathology. The histopathologic degree criteria for liver cells was scored by Manja Roenigk. The method of scoring by Manja Roenigk was to read every preparation of liver tissue in five different views and the center of the preparation with 400x magnification. Then each discussion counted 20 hepatocytes and multiplied by the score of each cell. The score of each cell as been shown in table 2.

![Figure 1. Effect of methanol extract of C.gigantea root bark on rats body weight.](image-url)
Table 1. Effect of administration of methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark on rats organ weight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Methanol extract of C. gigantea root bark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1250 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney (Right)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney (Left)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.24</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis (Right)</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis (Left)</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaster</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.39</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant change in the weight of vital organs of treated male rats compared with controls (p>0.05).

Classification of cell damage was divided into parenchymatous degeneration, hydropic degeneration and necrosis. Parenchymatous degeneration is the mildest degeneration, due to the swelling and turbidity of the cytoplasm due to the emergence of granules in the cytoplasm due to protein precipitate.

This degeneration is reversible because it occurs only in the mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum.
due to oxidative disorders. The affected cells can not eliminate the water so it is buried in the cell so that the cell swells.\textsuperscript{18} Hydropic degeneration is a more severe degeneration. In this degeneration a vacuole-containing water in the cytoplasm contains no fat or glycogen, so the cytoplasm becomes pale and swollen with fluid build-up. Hydropic degeneration usually occurs due to metabolic disorders such as hypoxia or chemical toxicity. This degeneration is also reversible, but it may not be irreversible if the cause of the cancer persists. The injured cell will have a tear of the plasma membrane and a cell nuclear change that will result in cell death.\textsuperscript{19} Necrosis is a process of cell pathology if it has been injured. Necrosis is characterized by cytoplasmic changes and cell nuclei. The cytoplasmic changes of necrotic cells will show the increase of eosin color due to increased eosin bonds with cytoplasmic proteins.\textsuperscript{20}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Damage Degree</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parenchymatous degeneration</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropic degeneration</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Saphiro-Wilk normality test results showed normal distributed data and Levene Test showed homogeneous data with $p>0.05$ and 95% confidence level. ANOVA test results obtained $p = 0.000$ ($p<0.05$), when indicates that there is a significant difference in histopathologic changes of hepar score. Data analysis with post hoc test showed that groups 2, 3 and 4 had significant differences with group 1 (control), with significance value $p = 0.000$ or $p<0.05$. Post hoc test results shows greater liver damage in group 4.

In the acute toxicity study, administration of methanol extract of \textit{C. gigantea} root bark with a dose of 5000 mg/kg orally showed no signs of mortality and changes in the behavior of rats compared with the control group. Classification of LD$_{50}$ substances with values of 500 to 5000, and between 5000 and 15000 mg/kg each were considered to be slightly toxic and practically non-toxic.\textsuperscript{21} The result of this research stated that the extract of methanol of \textit{C. gigantea} root bark has LD$_{50} >5000$ mg/kg orally and no detectable organ abnormality, so it was proven that the methanol extract of \textit{C. gigantea} root bark was non-toxic.

Toxicity tests were conducted to evaluate the safety of traditional drugs that are often used by the community. LD$_{50}$ from the root bark extract is categorized as a relatively harmless material. No mortality and behavioral changes were found in animals after given the 2000 mg/kg dose of \textit{C. gigantea} flower extract.\textsuperscript{22} Examination of hepatic organ damage done by looking at histopathologic images of rat liver that have been given \textit{C. gigantea} root bark extract and control by using a microscope. Based on the results of research from five field of view, it shows there are histopathologic changes of liver in the form of degeneration and necrosis. The scores of histopathologic changes of hepatic cells increased in accordance with the increased dose of \textit{C. gigantea} root bark extract.

The results of microscopic images showed changes in liver cells characterized by swelling of cells and the presence of empty space on the cytoplasm or the so-called degeneration. Cell degeneration is a condition in which the changes in cells and intercellular substances that result from disruption of metabolic processes in the cytoplasm resulting in morphologic changes of hepatic cells.\textsuperscript{23} Physiologically liver has a very remarkable regeneration capacity because the liver is an organ that can detoxify a chemical that is considered unimportant and harm the human body, but hepar is the organ that most often suffered cell damage. Hepatic cell regeneration occurs on day 14 after discontinuation of exposure.\textsuperscript{24} Liver damage can be caused by drugs or toxins. Drugs topical ingredients, supplements and environmental chemicals that enter the body will experience the process of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion. If a drug is given in large doses it can cause hepatotoxicity or damage to
hepatic tissue due to toxic substances. Hepatotoxic substances known to cause hepatic cell damage will have the same effect on all individuals. Usually liver damage is affected by dosage and drug delivery.\(^{25}\)

In this study microscopic changes occurred because the *C. gigantea* root bark extract contains steroids that are anti-inflammatory, while saponins, terpenoids and coumarin are antioxidants. Excessive use of anti-inflammatory drugs can be toxic, in contrast to hepatoprotective antioxidants in which antioxidants protect the liver from oxidative stress processes.\(^{26}\)

Previous phytochemical investigations described the isolation and structural determination of a flavonoid. The flavonoid inhibitory activity in free radical production will give the hepatoprotective effect. The hepatoprotective effect also can be mediated by the inhibition of enhancement of glycoprotein biosynthesis, stabilization of cell membrane, UDP-sugar derivatives, and inhibition of lipid accumulation by its hypolipidemic property.\(^{27}\)

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of the research, it can be concluded that the extract of *C. gigantea* root bark tested in male rats did not cause death and behavioral changes. Thus, it can be considered safe, but still it is hepatotoxic in higher doses. Extract of *C. gigantea* root bark had LD\(_50\)>5000 mg/kg orally, hence it could be stated as non-lethal. But, histopathologically, it showed some effects on parenchymatous degeneration on dosage of 1250 mg/kg, hydropic degeneration on dosage 2500 mg/kg, and hepatic necrosis on 5000 mg/kg.
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