Clinical Presentations of Monoclonal Gammopathy Cases in A Tertiary Care Referral Centre of Bangladesh
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Abstract

Background: Monoclonal gammopathy are haematologic disorder characterized by abnormal production of one or more immunoglobulin clone. Accurate detection and quantitation of monoclonal immunoglobulins is important for diagnosis and management of monoclonal gammopathies. There are very few studies related to monoclonal gammopathy in Bangladesh. This study was aimed to observe the clinical profile and immunoglobulin pattern of monoclonal gammopathy cases in a tertiary care referral center. Objective: This study was aimed to observe the clinical profile and immunoglobulin pattern of monoclonal gammopathy cases in a tertiary care referral center. Methodology: This cross sectional study was conducted in the Department of Haematology, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP), Dhaka Cantonment, Dhaka. Study Period was July 2014 to Dec 2014. Patients with the age between 40 to 70 years of both sexes who were diagnosed as cases of monoclonal gammopathies was selected as study population. All patients were interviewed by using standard questionnaire and general medical condition of the patients was evaluated through history taking, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. Bone marrow microscopic examination, serum protein electrophoresis, immunofixationelectrophoresis (IFE), skeletal survey and relevant biochemical tests including serum creatinine, calcium, albumin and urinary BJPs were performed. Protein electrophoresis of the samples was performed by automated capillary electrophoresis machine. Results: A total of 30 cases were recruited for this study. Out of 30 monoclonal gammopathy cases, majority of cases 17(56.7%) were between 60 to 70 years age group. Mean age was 57.13(±9.66) years. Male were predominant 19(63.0%) and Male female ratio was 1.72:1. Among the patients, low backache and pallor was common in majority (80%) of the cases, while fatigue and fever were present in 73.3% and 70.0% cases respectively. Among the patients, 7(23.3%) were hypertensive, 6(20.0%) were diabetic, 3(10.0%) patients were suffering from CKD with hypertension, 3(10.0%) had bronchial asthma, 1(3.3%) was with hypertension and Diabetes Mellitus. Depending on different clinical findings, among all the 30 cases, 21(70%) cases were diagnosed as multiple myeloma, 5(16.6%) cases were MGUS and 2(6.7%) cases were Smouldering multiple myeloma and kappa light chain multiple myeloma each. Among the multiple myeloma cases, 11(36.6%) cases had IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy and 6(20.0%) cases had IgG Lambda monoclonal gammopathy. Conclusion: Monoclonal gammopathy occurs predominantly in male population at around sixth decade and mostly are presented with fatigue and bone pain. Majority of the patients suffered from multiple myeloma. [Journal of National Institute of Neurosciences Bangladesh, 2020;6(1): 19-23]
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Introduction
The presence of abnormal monoclonal proteins, which is referred to as monoclonal gammopathy, is a frequent, characteristic feature of plasma cell dyscrasias. Monoclonal gammopathy are haematologic disorder characterized by abnormal production of one or more immunoglobulin clone. Accurate detection and quantitation of monoclonal immunoglobulins is important for diagnosis and management of monoclonal gammopathies\(^1\,2\). They range from asymptomatic benign disorder such as monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) to malignant plasma cell and lymphoid disorder, including multiple myeloma and Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia\(^3\).

In particular, monoclonal immunoglobulin can be used for screening, monitoring and monitoring disease progression in MGUS. Multiple myeloma accounts for 1% of malignant disorder, but is the most common malignant plasma cell dyscrasia and ranks second among primary haematological malignancies, with a peak incidence in the 7th decade. The incidence of multiple myeloma (MM) is increasing rapidly in Asian countries\(^4\,5\). Approximately 30.0% of monoclonal gammopathy patients (including patients with light chain myeloma, primary AL amyloidosis, non-secretory myeloma, and light chain deposition disease) produce free light chains (FLC) as the only monoclonal component\(^6\).

The monoclonal protein is usually detected as a discrete band in the \(\gamma\) or \(\beta\) region in serum or urine protein electrophoresis (M spike). The nature of the monoclonal protein is then characterized and confirmed by an immunofixation electrophoresis (IFE). There are very few studies related to monoclonal gammopathy in Bangladesh. Therefore, this study was aimed to observe the clinical profile and immunoglobulin pattern of monoclonal gammopathy cases in a tertiary care referral center.

Methodology
This cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Haematology at Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP), Dhaka Cantonment, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Study Period was July 2014 to Dec 2014. Patients aged between 40 to 70 years old with both sexes who were diagnosed as cases of monoclonal gammopathies were selected as study population. No cases of plasma cell dyscrasia with other malignancy were included in the study. All patients were interviewed by using standard questionnaire which was containing socio-demographic and relevant information. General medical condition of the patients was evaluated through history taking, clinical examination and laboratory investigations. Blood sample and bone marrow aspiration were collected from the patient. Bone marrow microscopic examination, serum protein electrophoresis, immunofixation electrophoresis (IFE), skeletal survey and relevant biochemical tests including serum creatinine, calcium, albumin and urinary Ben-Johns Protein (BJP) were performed. Protein electrophoresis of the specimens was performed by automated capillary electrophoresis machine (Capiflex-2) which was identified the various protein bands and depicted as a graph. The M band was usually found in the gamma globulin region; however, in a few cases it was identified in the beta region also. The machine identified the M protein both qualitatively and quantitatively. Immunofixation electrophoresis (IFE) separated the serum protein by electrophoresis followed by treatment of the protein with specific antiserum against IgG, IgA, IgM, IgD, IgE, kappa and lambda. If the M protein was present, a precipitated band was formed. The gel was washed with saline to extract all unprecipitated protein which was then stained followed by de-colourization and dried.

Results
A total number of 30 cases were recruited for this study. Among 30 monoclonal gammopathy cases, 19 (63.0%) were male and 11 (37.0%) were female. Majority of the patients belonged to the age group of 60 to 70 years. The haemoglobin concentration was < 9 gm/dl in majority of the cases (80%). It was revealed in blood film that 50% patients were suffering from anaemia of chronic disorder. In bone marrow microscopy examination, majority (73.3%) of the patients were found suggestive of multiple myeloma. Serum protein electrophoresis test revealed that majority (80%) of the patients were having monoclonal band (M band) and 20% had normal findings. In IFE, 80% of the samples were positive for IgG monoclonal protein while IgA, IgM and light chain kappa monoclonal protein were 6.7% for each group (Table 1).

The serum protein electrophoresis according to different immunoglobulin pattern was recorded. In IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy monoclonal protein (M band) 93.3% cases and the normal finding 6.7% cases. In IgG lambda monoclonal gammopathy monoclonal protein (M band) was in 66.7% cases and normal finding was in 33.3% cases. IgM Kappa monoclonal gammopathy Monoclonal protein (M band) 100.0%. In IgA Kappa monoclonal gammopathy
monoclonal protein (M band) was found in 100% cases. In light change kappa monoclonal gammopathy normal finding was in 100.0% cases (Table 2).

Table 1: Demographic Data and Laboratory Findings of Monoclonal Gammopathy Cases (n=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 40 to 49 Years</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 50 to 59 Years</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 60 to 70 Years</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (Range)</td>
<td>57.13±9.66(40-70 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Male</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Female</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hb concentration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Below 9 gm/dL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Between 9 gm/dL to lower normal range</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Within Normal reference</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peripheral Blood Film</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Anaemia of chronic disorder</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Microcytic hypochromic with high ESR</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Neutrophil leucocytosis with high ESR</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• None specific findings</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Leuco-erythro-blatic blood picture</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone Marrow Study</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Suggestive of Multiple myeloma</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(bone marrow plasma cell &gt;20%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Plasma Cell dyscrasia</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(bone marrow plasma cell &lt;20%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Secondary Reactive Marrow</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum protein electrophoresis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monoclonal band (M band)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Normal findings</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunofixation electrophoresis (IFE)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IgG Kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IgG Lambda monoclonal protein</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IgA Kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>06.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• IgM Kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>06.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Light change kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>06.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical characteristics of monoclonal gammopathy patients were summarized. Among all patients, low backache and pallor were common in majority (80%) cases while fatigue and fever were present in 73.3% cases and 70.0% cases respectively. Among all patients, 7(23.3%) cases were hypertensive, 6(20.0%) cases were diabetic, 3(10.0%) cases were suffering from chronic kidney disease (CKD) with hypertension, 3(10.0%) cases had bronchial asthma, 1(3.3%) case was with hypertension and Diabetes Mellitus in each (Table 3).

Table 3: Clinical characteristics and Co-morbidities Associated with Monoclonal Gammopathy Patients (n=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine tenderness</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odema</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone pain</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Co-morbidities associated with monoclonal gammopathy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD with HTN</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial asthma</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN with DM</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>03.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbidity absent</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HTN=Hypertension; CKD=Chronic Kidney Disease; DM=Diabetes Mellitus

In IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy patients, renal insufficiency was present in 33.3% cases, hypercaemia in 40% cases, urinary BJP was detected in 26.7% cases (Table-4). In IgG lambda monoclonal gammopathy, renal insufficiency was present in 77.7% cases, hypercalcaemia in 44.4% cases and urinary BJP was present in 44.4% cases.

Table 2: Monoclonal component absent in serum protein electrophoresis but present in serum immunofixation electrophoresis (n=30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum protein electrophoresis</th>
<th>IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy (n=15)</th>
<th>IgG Lambda monoclonal gammopathy (n=9)</th>
<th>IgM Kappa monoclonal gammopathy (n=2)</th>
<th>IgA Kappa monoclonal gammopathy (n=2)</th>
<th>Light chain kappa monoclonal gammopathy (n=2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal protein (M band)</td>
<td>Normal finding</td>
<td>Normal finding</td>
<td>Light chain kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>Light chain kappa monoclonal protein</td>
<td>Light chain kappa monoclonal gammopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01(6.7)</td>
<td>03(33.3)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The medical condition of the patients was evaluated through socio-demographic and relevant information. General were included in the study. All patients were interviewed sexes who were diagnosed as cases of monoclonal Bangladesh. Study Period was July 2014 to Dec 2014. This cross sectional study was conducted in the quantitation of monoclonal immunoglobulins is immunoglobulin clone. Accurate detection and characteristic feature of plasma cell dyscrasias.

### Introduction

Bangladesh. Therefore, this study was aimed to observe protein is then characterized and confirmed by an automated capillary electrophoresis machine. The majority (80%) of the patients were having monoclonal cell and lymphoid disorder, including multiple myeloma. Myeloma (MM) is increasing rapidly in Asian countries. Monoclonal gammopathy cases and their immunoglobulin clone. The presence of tumours of Haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. 4th ed. Lyon, France: International Agency for research on Cancer, 2008.

### Discussion

In this study was taken to study the clinical profile of monoclonal gammopathy cases and their immunoglobulin pattern intertiarycarereferral centre. It was observed that monoclonal gammapathies predominantly occur in old age (mean age 57.13 ± 9.66 years) and a male dominant disease (Male: Female 1.72:1). Shaheen et al. study also reported that, mean age of occurrence of monoclonal gammopathies is 58 years with a range of 23 to 86 years and male female ratio was 1.35:1. In addition, other studies from Asian countries also supports our findings. Anaemia observed among the monoclonal gammapathy patients in present study was also reported before by Talerman et al. study, where they observed 74% of cases were having anaemia while Shaheen et al. found haemoglobin below normal in 90% cases. The reason for anaemia can be either as a result of renal impairment or can be due to bone marrow failure because of marrow infiltration by myeloma cells. In present study, monoclonal M-band was present in 80% cases while Yasseen et al. found M-band in 93.75% cases.

Though in a previous study 56% common clinical presentation was bone related, we observed most common symptoms in our study were bone pain in 80.0% cases supported by similar study report by Kyle et al. In present study, fatigue was found in 73.3% cases, which was similar to study performed by Shaheen et al. In present study, pallor was present in 80% cases though 56% and 65% was detected in other studies. Pallor indicate anaemia. In present study, the percentage of pallor (80%) was similar to the percentage of patients who were found to have hemoglobin level less than normal reference indicating anaemia. Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus was around 20% of co-morbidities associated with monoclonal gammapathy observed in current study which similar to the study performed by Fousadet al. In present study, out of 30 monoclonal gammapathy cases, M band identified in 80% cases by conventional serum protein electrophoresis whereas by the IFE method found the presence of M band in 100% cases. Tate et al. also observed M band in 74.3%-87.0% cases by serum protein electrophoresis, however through IFE the detection increased to 97.4%. This occurs due to sensitivity and specificity of the IFE method. It is known that majority of those missed M-proteins are in MGUS group which fall in the low risk of progression to Multiple myeloma. The presence of specific Immunoglobulin in M Band categories by immunofixation method. Majority (50%) of the cases under this study were IgG Kappa and 30% were IgG Lambda monoclonal protein, comprising total 80% of

### Table 4: Distribution of Biochemical Change in Different Immunoglobulin Pattern (monoclonal gammapathy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical Change</th>
<th>Serum immunoglobulin pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal insufficiency (S. creatinine &gt;2.0 mg/dL)</td>
<td>IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy (n=15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(33.3%)</td>
<td>7(77.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercalcaemia (11.0 mg/dL)</td>
<td>6(40.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bence-Jones protein (BJP) present</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Immunoglobulin pattern in different monoclonal gammapathies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern of monoclonal pattern (monoclonal gammapathy)</th>
<th>Multiple myeloma</th>
<th>Smouldering multiple myeloma</th>
<th>MGUS</th>
<th>Kappalight chain multiple myeloma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgG Kappa monoclonal gammopathy</td>
<td>11 (36.6%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG Lambda monoclonal gammopathy</td>
<td>6 (20.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (10.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM Kappa monoclonal gammopathy</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA Kappa monoclonal gammopathy</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light chain kappa</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=30)</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>5 (16.6)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the cases. Predominance of IgG monoclonal gammopathies such as 71.47%, 51.40% and 57% of the total cases was observed in different studies\textsuperscript{27,18,19} followed by IgA monoclonal gammopathies.

Several biochemical tests were performed in current study to see the level of serum creatinine, albumin and calcium and urinary Bence Jones protein. In 53.3% cases of monoclonal gammopathies, serum creatinine was detected >2 mg/dl indicating renal insufficiency which support the previous study findings\textsuperscript{10,13}. Lee et al\textsuperscript{20} detected lambda chain myeloma as the highest risk (100.0%) of developing renal insufficiency. In present study though the sample size was too small, we also detected 100.0% renal insufficiency in the same group. This study shows urine for Bence Jones protein was present more than fifty percent of study population which was similar to study performed by Youinou et al\textsuperscript{18}. Hypoaalbuminaemia (<3.5 mg/dl) found in 70% of the monoclonal gammopathies patients observed in present study shows similarity of study done by Shaheen et al\textsuperscript{7}.

**Conclusion**

This study shows monoclonal gammopathy occurs predominantly in male population at around sixth decade of life where fatigue and bone pain were most common symptoms and majority had spine tenderness on examination. Laboratory findings indicates that a large number of patients have been suffering from multiple myeloma.
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