Prevalence of Cross Bite among the Orthodontic Patients at a Dental Unit of Bangladesh

Mohammad Sharif Hasan Chowdhury¹, Naznin Sultana², Mir Abu Naim³, Towhida Nashrin⁴, Lutfun Nahar⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ²Lecturer, Department of Orthodontics, Dental Unit, Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh; ³Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Udayan Dental College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh; ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Orthodontic, Update Dental College, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁵Associate Professor, Department of Orthodontic, University Dental College, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

Abstract

Background: Cross-bite is one of the most prevalent malocclusion. Objective: This study was an attempt to find out the prevalence of crossbite in Bangladeshi population and its variation with age and gender.

Methodology: This cross-sectional study was conducted in the dental unit of Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh from January, 2017 to December, 2018. Patients who were seeking comprehensive orthodontic treatment at the OPD of Rajshahi Medical College Dental Unit, Rajshahi, Bangladesh in between 5 to 35 years of age were diagnosed for cross-bite with diagnostic model. The findings of each cases was recorded in a preformed datasheet and the descriptive analysis was performed.

Result: Out of 300 cases 163(54.3%) cases had cross-bite, 90(30%) cases had anterior cross-bite and 109(36.3%) cases had posterior cross bite. Among posterior crossbite 60(20%) had unilateral and 49(16.3%) had bilateral crossbite.

Conclusion: Posterior crossbite was more prevalent than anterior crossbite. Cases with Class I molar relation showed more crossbite. Crossbite was more prevalent in cases with congenitally missing teeth.
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Introduction

Posterior cross bite is one of the most prevalent malocclusions in the primary and early mixed dentition and is reported to occur in 8% to 22% of the cases.¹⁻³ It is defined as any abnormal buccal-lingual relation between opposing molars, premolars, or both in centric occlusion. The most common form is a unilateral presentation with a functional shift of the mandible toward the crossbite side, which occurs in 80.0% to 97.0% of cases.¹

Anterior cross bite is defined as a condition where one or more teeth may be malposed abnormally, buccally or lingually with reference to the opposing tooth or tooth⁴. The prevalence of Class III malocclusion in India is reported to be 3.4% bootloader. Despite its low prevalence, it has been reported that approximately one third of orthognathic surgery comprise of this type of malocclusion.⁵

The cross bite usually involves one or two teeth, the facial profile is normal in the centric relation and in the centric occlusion. The antero-posterior skeletal relation is normal the absence of harmony at the level of dental component results from an abnormal maxillary.
The most common form is a unilateral presentation with defined as any abnormal buccal-lingual relation between malocclusions in the primary and early mixed dentition. Anterior crossbite is defined as a condition where one or more anterior teeth are lingually related to the opposing teeth in the absence of harmony at the level of dental arch. The rest of the teeth are usually found in a neutral relation. In a centric relation or in a posture relaxation position the patient presents a normal facial profile. In a centric relation, usually, the teeth are in relation “head to head” and the molars and distanced, but in a neutral relation and During the closing movement, an occlusion interference determines the sliding of the mandibular towards the anterior area.

In a centric relation, the profile is straight or concave. In a centric occlusion, the molar relation is of class III with anterior cross bite. Cephalometrically the reduced or negative value of the ANB angle points out either a relative retracted maxilla or a prognathic mandible. The etiology of posterior cross bite can include any combination of dental, skeletal, and neuro muscular functional components, but the most frequent cause is reduction in width of the maxillary dental arch. Such reduction can be induced by finger sucking or certain swallowing habits or obstruction of the upper airways caused by adenoid tissues or nasal allergies. Other etiologies of cross bites include prolonged retention or premature loss of deciduous teeth, crowding, palatal cleft, genetic control, arch deficiencies, abnormalities in tooth anatomy or eruption sequence, oral digit habits, oral respiration during critical growth periods, and malfunctioning temporomandibular joints. Because spontaneous correction is rare, posterior cross bite is believed to be transferred from primary to permanent dentition, with long term effects on the growth and development of the stomatognathic system.

In a study by dos Santos et al in Brazil it was observed that 28.1% of school children has cross bite. Highest frequency was seen among 12 year old (39.3%), followed by 14 year old (32.0%). Regarding the type of cross bite 45.9% had unilateral cross bite, while 34.4% had anterior crossbite. In another study by Cuc and Cuc it was observed that the frequency of cross bite is the highest between 10 to 13 years old (5.80%), in comparison to the age group of 6 to 9 years old (4.54%) in Brail.

Yet no significant studies have been done on the prevalence of cross bite in Bangladeshi population. This study is an attempt to find out the prevalence of cross bite in Bangladeshi population and its variation in age and gender. This study would be helpful in the diagnosis and correction of cross bite in Bangladeshi population.

**Methodology**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the dental unit of Rajshahi Medical College, Rajshahi, Bangladesh from January, 2017 to December, 2018. Patients between the age of 5 years and 35 years who were seeking orthodontic treatment were included in this study. Patients with incomplete clinical record and unwilling to participate in the study were excluded. Pre-developed data sheet was used to record the findings. All the data were collected by same person. Descriptive statistics was performed for the study variables. The prevalence of cross bite among male and female was determined. Prevalence of cross bite among people with Cleft lip and palate, adenoid, mouth breathing, thumb sucking, different molar relation, congenitally missing teeth, anomaly in shape size, narrow upper arch, occlusal prematurity, delayed eruption of teeth, traumatic tooth loss and premature loss of teeth were calculated. SPSS version 17.0 for Windows was used for data analysis.

**Results**

The sample consisted of 300 cases attending Outpatient department of Orthodontics in Rajshahi Medical College Dental Unit, among which 99 cases were male and 201 cases were female. The age range was from 7 to 36 years with mean age of 18.33 years. Out of 300 cases 163(54.3%) cases had cross bite; 90(30%) cases had anterior cross bite and 109(36.3%) cases had posterior cross bite. Among posterior cross bite 60(20%) cases had unilateral and 49(16.3%) cases had bilateral cross bite. Out of 54 anterior cross bite 21 was seen in male and 33 in female. 35% of cross bite in male was anterior cross bite and 32 percent of cross bite in female was anterior cross bite (Figure I & II).

**Figure I: Percentage of Male and Female with Crossbite**
The most common form is a unilateral presentation with opposing molars, premolars, or both in centric occlusion. It is defined as any abnormal buccal-lingual relation and is reported to occur in 8% to 22% of the cases. It results from an abnormal maxillary centric occlusion. The antero-posterior skeletal relation is reported to be 3.4% and is known to occur in 3.4% of the cases. Despite its low prevalence, it has been observed to result from an abnormal maxillary centric occlusion. The antero-posterior skeletal relation is reported to be 3.4%. Despite its low prevalence, it has been observed to result from an abnormal maxillary centric occlusion. The antero-posterior skeletal relation is reported to be 3.4% and is known to occur in 3.4% of the cases1-3.

The prevalence of Class III malocclusion in India is 45.9%, with 60% of the cross bite cases being unilateral and 49% being bilateral. Cross bite could be a contributing factor for cross bite (Table 2).

Out of total cases we had 2 cases with missing molar and 1 among them had cross bite, 1 case of one side class II and other side Class III molar relation and 1 case of one side class I and other side Class III both had cross bite present. Out of 11 class II sub div cases 7 had cross bite. Among others Class I cases showed most number of cases with cross bite. As Class I cases were more in the study the most frequency of cross bite was noted in Class I cases. Asymmetric molar relation could be a contributing factor for cross bite (Table 2).

There were 8 cases of cleft lip and palate and all of them had cross bite. It could be concluded that cleft lip and palate was a major contributor to cross bite. Other factors like thumb sucking, family history, mouth breathing, retained deciduous tooth, congenitally missing teeth, size and shape anomaly in teeth, narrow arch, occlusal prematurity and delayed eruption can have significant influence in the development of cross bite according to the findings of this study (Table 3).

Table 2: Prevalence of Cross Bite in Different Molar Relation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different Molar Relation</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Cross bite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II sub div</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II and Class III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Molars</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I and Class III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Prevalence of Cross Bite in Different Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different Conditions</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Cross bite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cleft lip and palate</td>
<td>8(2.7%)</td>
<td>8(4.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>10(3.3%)</td>
<td>5(3.06%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth breathing</td>
<td>2(0.7%)</td>
<td>2(1.22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thumb sucking</td>
<td>4(1.3%)</td>
<td>3(1.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retained deciduous</td>
<td>13(4.3%)</td>
<td>8(4.90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing teeth</td>
<td>39(13.0%)</td>
<td>21(12.88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape size anomaly</td>
<td>6(2.0%)</td>
<td>4(2.45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow arch</td>
<td>15(5.0%)</td>
<td>10(6.13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal prematurity</td>
<td>12(4.0%)</td>
<td>9(5.52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed eruption</td>
<td>8(2.7%)</td>
<td>7(4.29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature loss</td>
<td>6(2.0%)</td>
<td>5(3.06%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>123(41%)</td>
<td>82(50.30%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Posterior crossbite occurs in 8.0% to 22.0% of orthodontic cases and anterior crossbite has been seen in Class III cases, which accounts for 3.4% of orthodontic cases. The etiology of posterior crossbite can include any combination of dental, skeletal, and neuromuscular functional components, but the most frequent cause is reduction in width of the maxillary dental arch. This study consisted of 300 cases attending the outpatient department of Orthodontic in Rajshahi.
Medical College Dental Unit, out of which 201 were female and 99 were male. This clearly indicates the orthodontic awareness and concern in females than males. This is similar to the findings from other studies.\textsuperscript{17,18}

Out of 300 cases 163 (54.3\%) cases had cross bite, most of the studies have shown it to be between 8 and 22 percent\textsuperscript{1-3}. The higher percentage of cross bite in this study might be because it was conducted among patients seeking orthodontic treatment and not the normal population and single tooth cross bite was also included in this study.

Crossbite, anterior crossbite, posterior crossbite, unilateral posterior crossbite and bilateral crossbite all were non-significant among male and female. This concludes that the distribution of crossbite among male and female is similar. This is similar to the result of study among school children in Brazil, among 13 to 17 age group\textsuperscript{19}.\textsuperscript{31}

Out of total cases we had 2 cases with missing molar and 1 among them had cross bite, 1 case of one side class II and other side class III molar relation and 1 case of one side class I and other side class III both had cross bite present. Out of 11 class II sub div cases 7 had cross bite. Among others class I cases showed most number of cases with cross bite. As class I cases were more in the study the most frequency of cross bite was noted in class I cases. Asymmetric molar relation could be a contributing factor for cross bite.

There were 8 cases of cleft lip and palate and all of them had cross bite, it can be concluded that cleft lip and palate was a major contributor to cross bite. Other factors like thumb sucking, family history, mouth breathing, retained deciduous tooth, congenitally missing teeth, size and shape anomaly in teeth, narrow arch, occlusal prematurity and delayed eruption can have significant influence in the development of cross bite according to the findings of this study. This is in accordance to the findings of previous studies\textsuperscript{7-11}.

Out of 54 anterior cross bite 21 was seen in male and 33 in female. 35\% of cross bite in male was anterior cross bite and 32 percent of cross bite in female was anterior cross bite. 33.12 percent of total cross bite was anterior cross bite. 47 percent of female had posterior cross bite and 40 percent of male had posterior cross bite only. 22 percent of cases had both anterior and posterior cross bite. This concludes that females had more cross bite than males. This is in accordance with the study by Naeem et al\textsuperscript{18} in Pakistani population.

Crossbite, anterior crossbite, posterior crossbite, unilateral posterior crossbite and bilateral crossbite all were non-significant among male and female. This concludes that the distribution of crossbite among male and female is similar. This is similar to the result of study among school children in Brazil, among 13 to 17 age group\textsuperscript{19}.

There were 8 cases of cleft lip and palate and all of them had cross bite, It can be concluded that cleft lip and palate was a major contributor to cross bite. Other factors like thumb sucking, family history, mouth breathing, retained deciduous tooth, congenitally missing teeth, size and shape anomaly in teeth, narrow arch, occlusal prematurity and delayed eruption can have significant influence in the development of cross bite according to the findings of this study. This is in accordance to the findings of previous studies\textsuperscript{7-11}.

Posterior cross bite was more prevalent than anterior cross bite. Cases with Class I molar relation showed more cross bite. Cross bite was more prevalent in cases with congenitally missing teeth.

Conclusion
This study concludes those females are more concern and aware of orthodontic treatment and thus the most frequency of cross bite was also seen in females. Patients with cleft lip and palate had 100.0\% cross bite. There is a need to conduct this study in normal population to find out the exact prevalence of cross bite in Bangladesh. A separate study is needed to find out the prevalence of cross bite in cleft lip and palate patients with significant number of cleft lip and palate cases.
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