INTERNAL HEAT GENERATION EFFECT ON RADIATION HEAT TRANSFER MHD DISSIPATING FLOW OF A MICROPOLAR FLUID WITH VARIABLE WALL HEAT FLUX
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Abstract:
An investigation is made to analyze the effects of heat generation/absorption and viscous dissipation on an unsteady MHD mixed convection flow of a viscous incompressible fluid past a vertical porous plate, in the presence of variable wall heat flux. Hence the governing boundary layer equations of the flow field are converted to into a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations by perturbation technique and then solved employing Runge-Kutta method with shooting technique. The effects of the various parameters on the translational and angular (micro-rotation) velocity, and temperature as well as the skin friction coefficient and couple stress coefficient at the surface are computed and discussed in detail with various values of the fluid properties. The numerical results of the local skin-friction coefficient and wall couple stress are given in a tabular form and discussed. Presence of micro particles in the fluid induces more heat into the fluid, thus raising the fluid temperature with the heat generation and also the viscous dissipation. The present results are compared with the existing literature and have a good agreement.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>specific heat at constant pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u^<em>,v^</em>$</td>
<td>velocity components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u, v$</td>
<td>dimensionless velocity components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>the temperature of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_\infty$</td>
<td>Ambient temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_*$</td>
<td>the permeability of the porous medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_r$</td>
<td>the radiative heat flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^*$</td>
<td>the pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_0$</td>
<td>scale of free steam velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B, n, A$</td>
<td>real positive constants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_0$</td>
<td>scale of suction velocity (&gt;0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gr$</td>
<td>thermal Grashof number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R, Ec$</td>
<td>radiation parameter, Eckert number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>magnetic field parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q$</td>
<td>the heat generation parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j^*$</td>
<td>micro-inertia density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_e$</td>
<td>the mean absorption coefficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greek symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>coefficient of thermal expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>stream function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta$</td>
<td>dimensionless temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>small parameter such that $\varepsilon B \leq 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>magnetic induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>electric conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_f$</td>
<td>thermal expansion coefficients of the fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>fluid thermal diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_r$</td>
<td>fluid kinematic rotational viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>the acceleration due to gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_s$</td>
<td>Stefan-Boltzmann constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega^*$</td>
<td>the component of the angular velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>the spin-gradient viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Lambda$</td>
<td>coefficient of gyro-viscosity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

Micropolar fluids are fluids with microstructure belonging to the class of fluids with non-symmetric stress tensor called as polar fluids which includes the special case, the classical fluids Navier-Stokes fluid model usually referred as ordinary fluids. There are a large number of fluids existing in nature that contain suspension of small particles. The properties of such type of fluids are different from those of Newtonian fluid due to fluid particle interaction and rotation. The minuscule effects arising from the local structure and micro-motions of the fluid elements have been taken into account in the theory of micropolar fluid, which was first introduced and formulated by Eringen (1996, 1972). His theory of micropolar fluids has opened up new areas in research in the physics of fluid flow. According to him, a simple micro fluid is a fluent medium whose properties and behaviour are affected by the local motions of the material particles contains in each of its volume elements, such a fluid possesses local inertia. Physically, they represent the fluids consisting of randomly oriented particles suspended in a viscous medium. Synovial fluid is a good example of micropolar fluids. The theory is expected to provide a mathematical model, which can be used to describe the behavior of non-Newtonian fluids such as polymeric fluids, liquid crystals, paints, animal blood, colloidal fluids, Ferro-liquids, etc., for which the classical Navier-Stokes theory is inadequate. Eringen (1996) proposed the theory of micropolar fluids which show microrotation effects as well as micro-inertia. Later on, theory of thermo-micropolar fluids was developed by Eringen (1972) by taking thermal effects into account. A comprehensive review of micropolar fluids theory was presented by Aritman et al. (1974). Jena and Mathur (1981) studied the laminar free convection in the boundary layer flow of the thermo-micropolar fluids past a non-isothermal vertical plate. Sharma and Gapta (1995) considered thermal convection in micropolar fluids in porous medium.

The study of flow and heat transfer for an electrically conducting fluid past a porous plate has attracted the interest of many investigators in view of its applications in many engineering problems such as oil exploration, geothermal energy extractions and the boundary layer control in aerodynamics (Soundalgekar, 1973, Kim, 2000, and Kim, 2001a). Specifically, Soundalgekar (1973) obtained approximate solutions for the two dimensional flow of an incompressible, viscous fluid flow past an infinite porous vertical plate with constant suction velocity normal to the plate. He found that the difference between the temperature of the plate and the free stream is significant to cause the free convection currents.

The study of MHD phenomena is characterized by a mutual interaction between the fluid velocity field (hydrodynamic boundary layer) and the electromagnetic field. In recent years, the subject of MHD has attracted the attention of many authors, due to many applications to problems of engineering and industrial nature such as MHD power generators and accelerators, geothermal energy extractions and the boundary layer control in aerodynamics (Soundalgekar, 1973, Kim, 2000, and Kim, 2001a). Specifically, Soundalgekar (1973) obtained approximate solutions for the two dimensional flow of polar fluids past a semi-infinite vertical-moving porous plate in a porous medium. El-Hakiem et al. (1999) studied the effect of viscous and Joule heating on MHD-free convection flow with variable plate temperature in a micropolar fluid using the Keller-box implicit scheme. Rahman and Sattar (2006) analyzed magnetohydrodynamic convective flow of a micropolar fluid past a continuously moving vertical porous plate in the presence of heat generation/absorption. Similarity transformations of heat and mass transfer effects on steady MHD free convection dissipative fluid flow past an inclined porous surface with chemical reaction was investigated by Reddy et al. (2014). Sultana et al. (2011) studied the micropolar fluid behavior on MHD heat transfer flow through a porous medium with induced magnetic field by finite difference method. Patowary (2012) investigated the effect of variable viscosity and thermal conductivity of micropolar fluid in a porous channel in presence of magnetic field. Sreenivasulu et al. (2014) analyzed the variable suction effect on Magnetohydrodynamic convective and radiating flow past a vertical porous moving surface.

On the other hand, heat transfer by simultaneous free or mixed convection and thermal radiation in the case of a micropolar fluid has not received as much attention. This is unfortunate because thermal radiation plays an important role on determining the overall surface heat transfer in situations where convective heat transfer coefficients are small. Such situations are common in space technology (Soundalgekar,1977). Rapits (1998) studied numerically the case of a steady two-dimensional flow of a micropolar fluid past a continuously moving plate with a constant velocity in the presence of thermal radiation. Gorla and Tomabene (1988) investigated the effects of thermal radiation on mixed convection flow over a vertical plate with non-uniform heat flux boundary conditions. Mustafa et al. (2012) analyzed the MHD stagnation point flow of a micropolar fluid towards a moving surface with radiation. Rahman and Sultana (2008) studied the radiative heat transfer flow of micropolar fluid with variable heat flux in a porous medium. Poornima et al. (2014), studied the slip flow of Casson rheological fluid under variable thermal conductivity with radiation effects, Reddy (2016), presented the MHD...
boundary layer slip flow of a Casson fluid over an exponentially stretching surface in the presence of thermal radiation and chemical reaction.

As the flow is under high gravitation or the field of the flow may be extreme size, in such case, it is necessary to study the effect of viscous dissipation. Viscous dissipation is a term which is always positive and represents a source of heat due to friction between the fluid particles. But viscous dissipation in the natural convection flow is important, when the flow field is of extreme size or in high gravitational field. Gebhart and Mollendorf (1969) considered the effects of viscous dissipation for external natural convection flow over a surface. Soundalgekar (1972) analyzed viscous dissipative heat on the two-dimensional unsteady free convective flow past an infinite vertical porous plate. Israel-Cooker et al. (2003) investigated the influence of viscous dissipation and radiation on unsteady MHD free convection flow past an infinite heated vertical plate in a porous medium with time dependent suction. Duwairi (2005) has presented the effects of Joule heating and viscous dissipation on the forced convection flow in the presence of thermal radiation. Ibrahim et al. (2008) studied the Influence of viscous dissipation and radiation on unsteady MHD mixed convection flow of micropolar fluids. Ishak et al. (2006) presented the Flow of a micropolar fluid on a continuous moving surface in the presence of viscous dissipation.

The study of heat generation or absorption in moving fluids is important in problems dealing with chemical reactions and those concerned with dissociating fluids. Possible heat generation effects may alter the temperature distribution consequently, the particle deposition rate in nuclear reactors, electronic chips and semiconductor wafers. Simple mathematical models are available as its exact modeling is not possible, where it exhibits its average behaviour. The volumetric heat generation rate \( Q \) [W/m\(^3\)] assumes the following by form Foraboschi and Federico (1964):

\[
Q = \begin{cases} 
Q_0(T - T_∞) & T \geq T_∞, \text{where } Q_0 \text{ is the heat source/sink constant.} \\
0 & T < T_∞ 
\end{cases}
\]

The above relation is valid for the state of some exothermic processes having \( T_∞ \) as the ambient temperature. Many researchers worked on the effect of it. Khedr et al. (2009) studied the effects of suction/injection and heat generation on MHD flow of a micropolar fluid past a stretched permeable surface. Mohamed et al. (2011) analyzed the Heat and mass transfer analysis on the flow of non-Newtonian micropolar fluid with uniform suction/blowing, heat generation, chemical reaction and thermophoresis effects. Abdel-Rahman et al. (2011) investigated the Heat transfer over an unsteady moving surface with heat generation and thermal radiation in micropolar fluid in the presence of suction/injection. Reddy (2012) presented the magnetohydrodynamics and radiation effects on unsteady convection flow of micropolar fluid past a vertical porous plate with variable wall heat flux by employing traditional analytical perturbation method. Okeedoye, (2014) studied the unsteady MHD mixed convection flow past an oscillating plate with heat source/sink. Sreenivasulu et al. (2017) analyzed the variable thermal conductivity influence on Hydromagnetic flow past a stretching cylinder in a thermally stratified medium with heat source/sink.

In this paper an attempt is made to study the effects of thermal radiation and viscous dissipation effects on unsteady MHD mixed convection flow of micropolar fluid past a vertical porous plate with variable wall heat

2. Mathematical Analysis

Consider an unsteady, two dimensional mixed convection boundary layer flow of a viscous incompressible electrically conducting and radiating micropolar fluid past an infinite vertical porous plate with variable wall heat flux and heat generation/absorption. The \( x^* \)-axis is taken along the vertical porous plate in an upward direction and \( y^* \)-axis is taken normal to the plate. The transversely applied magnetic field and magnetic Reynolds number are very small and hence the induced magnetic field is negligible. Viscous and Darcy resistance terms are taken into account as there is constant permeability porous medium. It is also assumed that the free stream to consist of a mean velocity and temperature over which are superimposed an exponentially varying with time. Under the usual Boussinesq’s approximation, the equation of continuity, linear momentum, micro-rotation, and energy can be written as:

\[
\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} + v^* \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial x^*} + (\nu + \nu_s) \frac{\partial^2 u^*}{\partial y^2} + g \beta_x (T - T_∞) - \frac{\nu}{K^*} u^* - \frac{\sigma B^2}{\rho} u^* + 2v \frac{\partial^2 \omega^*}{\partial y^2} \tag{2}
\]

\( \text{Internal heat generation effect on radiation heat transfer MHD dissipating flow of a micropolar fluid with variable wall heat flux} \)
\[ \rho j^* \left( \frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial t^*} + \nu^* \frac{\partial \omega^*}{\partial y^*} \right) = \gamma \frac{\partial^2 \omega^*}{\partial y^*} \]  

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t^*} + \nu^* \frac{\partial T}{\partial y^*} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^*} - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \frac{\partial q_y}{\partial y^*} + \frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{\rho C_p} (T - T_\infty) \]  

The appropriate boundary conditions for the velocity, microrotation, and temperature fields are

\[ u^* = 0, \quad \omega^* = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*}, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial y^*} = -\frac{q_y}{k} \left(1 + \varepsilon Be^{\varepsilon t^*}\right) \] \text{at } y^* = 0

\[ u^* \rightarrow U^* \rightarrow U_0 (1 + \varepsilon e^{\varepsilon t^*}) \] \text{as } y^* \rightarrow \infty

The radiative heat flux \( q_y \) is described by Rosseland approximation, such that

\[ q_y = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y^*} \]  

It should be noted that by Rosseland approximation, we limit our analysis to optically thick fluids. If the temperature differences within in the flow are sufficiently small, then Equation (4) can be linearized by expanding \( T^* \) into the Taylor series about \( T_\infty \) and neglecting higher order terms to take the form

\[ T^* = 4T_0^* - 3T_\infty^* \]  

In view of Equations (6) and (7), equation (4) becomes

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t^*} + \nu^* \frac{\partial T}{\partial y^*} = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{16\sigma T_0^4 V_y^2}{3k^2} \right) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^*} + \frac{\mu}{\rho C_p} \left( \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial y^*} \right)^2 + \frac{Q_0}{\rho C_p} (T - T_\infty) \]  

From Equation (1), it is clear that the suction velocity at the plate is either a constant or a function of time. Hence the suction velocity normal to the plate is assumed in the form

\[ \nu^* = -V_0^* (1 + \varepsilon Ae^{\varepsilon t^*})\]  

The negative sign indicates that the suction is towards the plate. Outside the boundary layer, Equation (2) gives

\[ -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dp^*}{dx^*} = \frac{dU^*_o}{dt^*} + \frac{\nu^*}{K^*} U^*_o + \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho} U^*_o \]  

Introducing the following non-dimensional quantities

\[ u = \frac{u^*}{U_0^*}, \quad \nu = \frac{V_y^*}{V}, \quad t = \frac{V_y^* t^*}{\nu}, \quad U_o^* = \frac{U_0^*}{U}, \quad \theta = \frac{(T - T_\infty)kV_0^*}{(T_w - T_\infty)q_y}, \quad \omega = \frac{\nu}{U_0^*} \omega^*, \quad n = \frac{\nu n^*}{V_0^*}, \quad K = \frac{K^* V_0^2}{\nu^2}, \quad Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, \quad M = \frac{\sigma B_0^2 V}{\rho^2 \nu^2}, \quad R = \frac{4\sigma T_0^4 V_y^2}{k^2}, \quad Gr = \frac{g\nu^2 (T_w - T_\infty)}{U_0^* V_0^2}, \quad Ec = \frac{U_0^* V_0^2}{q_y C_p (T_w - T_\infty)}, \quad \gamma = \left(\frac{\mu}{\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} j^* = \frac{\mu j^*}{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right), \quad Q = \frac{k Q_0 V}{\rho C_p q_y} \]  

Equations (2), (3) and (8) take the following dimensionless form

\[ \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t^*} = (1 + \varepsilon Ae^{\varepsilon t^*}) \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y^*} = \frac{dU_o^*}{dt^*} + (1 + \beta) \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^*} + Gr\theta + N (U_\infty - u) + 2 \beta \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y^*} \]  

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t^*} = (1 + \varepsilon Ae^{\varepsilon t^*}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^*} = \frac{dU_o^*}{dt^*} + (1 + \beta) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^*} + Gr\theta + N (U_\infty - u) + 2 \beta \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y^*} \]  

The corresponding boundary conditions are

\[ u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y^*} = -(1 + \varepsilon Be^{\varepsilon t^*}), \quad \omega = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^*} \] \text{at } y = 0

\[ u \rightarrow U_\infty, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0, \quad \omega \rightarrow 0 \] \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty
3. Solution of the Problem

Equations (12) - (14) are coupled, non-linear partial differential equations and these cannot be solved in closed-form. However, these equations can be reduced to a set of ordinary differential equations, which can be solved analytically. This can be done by representing the velocity, micro-rotation and temperature of the fluid in the neighborhood of the porous plate as

\[ u = u_0(y) + \varepsilon e^{\eta y} u_1(y) + O(\varepsilon^2) + \ldots \]

\[ \omega = \omega_0(y) + \varepsilon e^{\eta y} \omega_1(y) + O(\varepsilon^2) + \ldots \]

\[ \theta = \theta_0(y) + \varepsilon e^{\eta y} \theta_1(y) + O(\varepsilon^2) + \ldots \]  

Substituting Equation (16) in Equations (12) - (14) and equating the harmonic and non-harmonic terms, and neglecting the higher order terms of \( O(\varepsilon^2) \), we obtain

Zero order terms of \( \varepsilon \)

\[ (1 + \beta)u_0^* + u_0' + N(1 - u_0) + Gr\theta_0 + 2\beta\omega_0' = 0 \]

\[ \omega_0^* + \eta\omega_0' = 0 \]

\[ \left(1 + \frac{4R}{3}\right)\theta_0^* + Pr\theta_0' + Ec Pr u_0'^2 + QPr\theta_0 = 0 \]

The corresponding boundary conditions are

\[ u_0 = 0, \quad \omega_0 = -\frac{1}{2}u_0', \quad \theta_0' = -1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \]

\[ u_0 = 1, \quad \omega_0 \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty \]  

First order terms of \( \varepsilon \)

\[ (1 + \beta)u_1^* + u_1' + (N + n)(1 - u_0) + A u_0' + Gr\theta_1 + 2\beta\omega_0' = 0 \]

\[ \omega_1^* + \eta\omega_1' - n\eta\omega_1 + A\eta\omega_0' = 0 \]

\[ \left(1 + \frac{4R}{3}\right)\theta_1^* + Pr\theta_1' + APr\theta_0' + (Q - n)\theta_1 + 2Ecu_0'u_1' = 0 \]

The corresponding boundary conditions are

\[ u_1 = 0, \quad \omega_1 = -\frac{1}{2}u_1', \quad \theta_1' = -B \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \]

\[ u_1 = 1, \quad \omega_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty \]  

But \( \theta'(0) = -1 \), whenever heat flux is included, so \( B \) must be 0.1as \( \theta' = \theta_0 + \omega_0' \).

The governing boundary layer equations (17) - (19) and (21) - (23) subject to the boundary conditions (20) and (24) are solved numerically using Runge-Kutta method with shooting technique.

From the technological point of view, the skin-friction, couple stresses are important physical quantities for this type of boundary layer flow.

The dimensionless form of the skin-friction coefficient, couple stress component and Nusselt number near the plate can be defined as,

\[ C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_0^2 V_0} = u'(0) \text{where} \quad \tau_w = \mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0}, \quad C_\alpha = \frac{M_w}{\mu j U_0} = \omega'(0) \text{where} \quad M_w = \gamma \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0} \]
4. Results and Discussion

A representative set of numerical results is shown graphically in Figs. 1 - 16, to illustrate the influence of physical parameters on the velocity, microrotation and temperature. In order to validate the present numerical results obtained by using the shooting technique, the present results are compared with that of Reddy (2012), for appropriate reduced cases, and found that there is an excellent agreement (see Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of $C_f$ and $C_m$ for different values of $Gr$, $M$, $Pr$ and $R$, when $Ec=Q=0$.

|------|-----|------|-----|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 2.0  | 2.0 | 0.71 | 2.0 | 6.95674 &nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;&n

Figs. 1 and 2 exhibit the effect of magnetic field on translational and angular velocity. The effect of magnetic field is opposite to that of material property of the fluid with an exception i.e. transition layers almost coincide for two flows. To be clear, as the magnetic field generates a force of electromagnetic origin, this is a resistive force, so that the translational velocity decreases. From Fig. 2, it is also evident that an increase in magnetic field strength enhances the angular velocity at all points. The resistive force has contributed to enhance the velocity which may be attributed to the interplay of the effects of buoyancy force, heat source and viscous dissipation.

![Fig. 1: Velocity profiles for different values of $M$](image1)

![Fig. 2: Angular velocity profiles for different values of $M$](image2)

For different values of the thermal Grashof number $Gr$, the translation velocity and micro-rotation are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. It is observed that an increase in $Gr$ leads to a rise in the velocity profiles as the Grashof number is the ratio of buoyancy to viscous force, but decreases due to micro-rotation. In addition, the curves show that the peak value of velocity increases rapidly near the plate and then decays to the free stream velocity.
Fig. 3: Velocity profiles for different values of $Gr$

Fig. 4: Angular velocity profiles for different values of $Gr$

Figs. 5 and 6 portray the effect of porosity parameter $K$ on the translational and angular velocity, respectively. It is obvious that the effect of increasing values of $K$ results in increasing velocity distribution across the boundary layer (Fig.5). The micro-rotation decreases as the permeability parameter increases (Fig.6). It is seen that the angular velocity profiles rises near the porous plate and get saturated or converges to the point zero.

Fig. 5: Velocity profiles for different values of $K$

Fig. 6: Angular velocity profiles for different values of $K$

Fig. 7: Velocity profiles for different values of $\beta$

Fig. 8: Angular velocity profiles for different values of $\beta$

Fig. 8 presents the angular velocity variation in response to an increasing non-Newtonian property of the fluid present in the under study. It is seen that micro-rotation remains negative near the boundary layer at one point where profiles intersect at $y = 6$. This indicates that these layers present transition state after which the opposite
effect i.e. $|\omega|$ increases with an increasing $\beta$ till the free stream state is attained ($y > 8.0$). It is clear that the translation velocity increases near the plate reaches a maximum at $y = 2$ and from there the profiles decreases merges with the free stream velocity. From Fig.9, it is seen that the effect of increasing values Pr on decreasing the translational velocity at the wall and then approach to the free stream boundary layer conditions.

The smaller values of $Pr$ are equivalent to increasing the thermal conductivities, and therefore heat is able to diffuse away from the heated surface more rapidly than for higher values of $Pr$. Hence, the boundary layer is thicker rising the fluid temperature (Fig.10) and rate of heat transfer is reduced, as gradients have been reduced. It is clear from Figs.11-12 that an increase in the radiation parameter results in increasing both the velocity and temperature within the boundary layer.

For different values of Eckert number on the translation velocity and temperature are depicted in Figs.13-14. $Ec$ embodies the conservation of kinetic energy into internal energy by work done against the viscous fluid stress. The positive Eckert number implies cooling of the sheet i.e., loss of heat from the sheet to the fluid. It is found that the translation velocity and temperature as well as thermal boundary layer thickness increase slightly with an increase in $Ec$.

Figs. 15 and 16 show the translation velocity and temperature for different values of heat generation/absorption parameter $Q$. Positive values of $Q$ represent the heat generation to the fluid and the negative values of $Q$ represent the heat absorption from the fluid. From Fig. 15, it is observed that when the heat is generated ($Q > 0$) the buoyancy force increases, which induce the flow rate to increase giving, rise to the increase in the translation velocity. Again when the heat absorption ($Q < 0$) intensifies the translation velocity is found to decrease due to the decrease in the buoyancy force. From Fig. 16, it is observed that the temperature increases as $Q$ increases.
Numerical values for functions proportional to shear stress and wall couple stress are presented in Table 2. It is noted that both the skin-friction coefficient and the wall couple stress coefficient decreases with an increase in $Pr$ or $M$. Also, it is observed that both the skin friction and wall couple stress coefficient are increases with an increase in $Gr$ or $R$ or $Q$ and $Ec$.

Table 2: The values of $C_f$ and $C_m$ for different values of $Gr$, $M$, $Pr$, $R$, $Q$, and $Ec$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Gr$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$Pr$</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$Ec$</th>
<th>$C_f$</th>
<th>$C_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.49588</td>
<td>1.15936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.23624</td>
<td>1.86599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.68210</td>
<td>3.52796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.27547</td>
<td>1.10273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.21725</td>
<td>1.08765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.59359</td>
<td>0.66883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.03541</td>
<td>0.52488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.02602</td>
<td>1.29606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.31058</td>
<td>1.39556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.87953</td>
<td>1.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.44608</td>
<td>0.90959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.51326</td>
<td>1.18188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.54989</td>
<td>1.20629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 13: Velocity profiles for different values of $Ec$

Fig. 14: Temperature profiles for different values of $Ec$

Fig. 15: Velocity profiles for different values of $Q$

Fig. 16: Temperature profiles for different values of $Q$
5. Conclusions

An analysis is made to study the effects of an unsteady MHD flow of a micropolar fluid past a vertical porous plate in the presence of a thermal radiation and viscous dissipation with variable heat flux and heat generation/absorption. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- Fluid temperature increases as the heat is induced in the fluid or heat gets absorbed from the fluid to the surface.
- Increase in the temperature of the fluid as the viscosity dissipation parameter increases.
- The translational velocity across the boundary layer decreases with increasing values of $M$ and $Pr$, while it increases with increasing values of $Gr, K, \beta, R, Ec$ and $Q$.
- The magnitude of micro-rotation decreases with the increasing values of $Gr$ near the wall and $K$, while it increases with the increasing values of $M$ and $\beta$.
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