Effect of Use of Duckweed Powder as a Fish Feed on Polyculture of Carps
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Abstract: An experiment on the use of duckweed (Lemna minor) powder as an ingredient of feed on production of rui (Labeo rohita), mrigal (Cirrhinus cirrhosus) and silver carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix) in polyculture, was conducted in six ponds for a period of three months. The experiment was carried out under two treatments, each with three replications. Mean survival rates under treatment-I and treatment-II were 95.55% and 88.88% respectively. The specific growth rate (SGR, % per day) of the fish found under treatment-I and treatment-II were 0.28% and 0.25% respectively. The calculated net fish production of the ponds under treatment-I was 1.416 ton/ha/yr and that of the ponds under treatment-II (control) was 0.846 ton/ha/yr. The net fish production of T-I was significantly (p<5%) higher than that of T-II.
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Introduction

Duckweeds, small floating aquatic plants belonging to the family Lemnaceae are widely available in Bangladesh. This family consists of 4 genera viz., Lemna, Spirodea, Wolffia, Wolffiella of which about 40 species have so far been identified. Lemna minor, L. minima, L. gibba and L. perpusilla are some important species of the genera Lemna. Duckweed has been shown to be readily consumed by a variety of herbivorous fish such as grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella), tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and rajpunti (Puntius gonionotus). It may be fed either in the form of fresh or dried powder as in combination of other feed components such as wheat bran, rice bran etc. Duckweed protein has higher concentration of the essential amino acid, Lysine and methionine, than most plant proteins and more closely resembles animal protein in that respect (Journey et al., 1991). Considering all these factors, duckweed powder has been selected as an ingredient of feed for the present experiment of polyculture of mrigal, rui and silver carp. Few studies on the production and use of duckweed as feed for fishes in mono and polyculture but a very few research works have been done on duckweed powder-based aquaculture in Bangladesh. There are some important research works, on effects of fish population density on growth and production of fishes in Bangladesh. This family consists of 4 genera viz., Lemna minor, L. minima, L. gibba and L. perpusilla. It may be fed either in the form of fresh or dried powder as in combination of other feed components such as wheat bran, rice bran etc. Duckweed protein has higher concentration of the essential amino acid, Lysine and methionine, than most plant proteins and more closely resembles animal protein in that respect (Journey et al., 1991). Considering all these factors, duckweed powder has been selected as an ingredient of feed for the present experiment of polyculture of mrigal, rui and silver carp. Few studies on the production and use of duckweed as feed for fishes in mono and polyculture but a very few research works have been done on duckweed powder-based aquaculture in Bangladesh. There are some important research works, on effects of fish population density on growth and production of fishes were done by Alim et al. (2005); Hepher et al. (1989); Lakshmanan et al. (1968); Orpwood et al. (2004); Coman et al. (2007); Lorenzen (2001); Saillant et al. (2003) in overall cases of fisheries development. Considering the great potentialities of the use of duckweed powder in aquaculture the present research work was to evaluate the use of duckweed powder as an ingredient of low cost supplementary feed on the survival and growth of Mrigal, Rui and Silver carp in polyculture; to compare the growth and production of Mrigal, Rui and Silver carp in polyculture; and to compare cost and benefit of Mrigal, Rui and Silver carp productions between two different treatments.

Materials and Methods

The ponds under the study

The ponds were rectangular in size and similar in area (about 40 m²), depth and basin bottom soil type and contour. The experiment was conducted in a series of six earthen ponds each having an average depth of 0.74 m.

Experimental design

The experiment was performed in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in which two treatments (T-I and T-II) each with three replications were used (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pond no.</th>
<th>Replication</th>
<th>Stocking of fingerlings</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T-I (Feeding with duckweed powder and rice bran in ratio of 3:1)</td>
<td>P₁, P₂ and P₃</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 in each pond of 1 decimal</td>
<td>Polyculture of C. mrigala, L. rohita and H. molitrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-II (without feed)</td>
<td>P₃, P₄ and P₆</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 in each pond of 1 decimal</td>
<td>Polyculture of C. mrigala, L. rohita and H. molitrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Pond preparation**
Seven days after liming urea and triple super phosphate (TSP) were applied at the rate of 100 g/decimal and 100 g/decimal respectively as initial doses.

**Stocking of fish**
Fingerlings of *L. rohita*, *C. mrigala* and *H. molitrix* were stocked in the ponds at the density of 60 fish per decimal after 7 days of fertilization. The initial average length of *L. rohita*, *C. mrigala* and *H. molitrix* were 11.96 cm, 9.33 cm and 9.13 cm respectively. The initial average weight of *L. rohita*, *C. mrigala* and *H. molitrix* were 22.67 g, 8.33 g and 6.83 g respectively.

**Supply of feed**
At first sun dried duckweed was made powder. The weight of duckweed powder and rice bran was taken and packaged in polythene bags at the ratio of 3:1 and then supplied to the ponds of treatment-I (pond nos. *P*₁, *P*₂ and *P*₃) at the rate of 4% of the total body weight of the fishes everyday.

**Harvesting of fish**
At the end of the experiment the water of the ponds were pumped out and all the fishes were harvested. Then the final growth gained by the fishes was recorded by measuring the length (cm) and weight (g) of the recorded fishes by using a measuring scale and a balance respectively.

**Estimation of survival rate, growth and production of fish**
(i) The survival rate was estimated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Survival rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{No. of harvested fishes}}{\text{Initial no. of fishes}} \times 100
\]

(ii) Specific growth rate (SGR % per day) was estimated by the following formula:

\[
\text{SGR (\% per day) = } \frac{\log W_2 - \log W_1}{T_2 - T_1} \times 100 \quad \text{(after Brown, 1957)}
\]

Where

\( W_1 = \) Initial live body weight (g) at time \( T_1 \) (day)

\( W_2 = \) Final live body weight (g) at time \( T_2 \) (day)

(iii) Calculated Gross Production (ton/ha/yr)

\[
= \frac{\text{Gross weight (kg) of fish per decimal per month} \times 250 \times 12}{1000}
\]

(iv) Calculated Net Production (ton/ha/yr)

\[
= \frac{\text{Net weight (kg) of fish per decimal per month} \times 250 \times 12}{1000}
\]

**Statistical analysis**
T-test of net fish productions of the ponds under treatment-I and treatment-II was done by a computer using SPSS (Statistical Packages for Social Science) package programme.

**Results and Discussion**

**Survival rate, growth and production of fish**
The survival rate (%) of fishes was slightly different in different treatments. The survival rate in treatment-I was 95.55% and in treatment II was 88.88%. The specific growth rates (SGR% per day) of fishes in different treatments were different. The production of fishes was different in different treatments. The gross and net productions of fish of the ponds under treatment-I and treatment-II have been presented in the Figs. 1 and 2.

**Cost-return relationship**
Cost and return were determined on the basis of production. Only the cash costs consisted of those costs which had to pay out of a related project fund to acquire the relevant inputs have been considered by excluding those costs like land use and interest on operating the capital. Net returns were calculated on the basis of the current market price of selling the total amount of fishes harvested from the ponds of both treatments (Fig. 3).
Fig. 1 and 2. Gross and net productions of the fishes under treatments I and II

Discussion

In the present experiment, the survival rates were different in different experimental ponds as well as the mean survival rates were higher 95.55% and 88.88% for treatment I and treatment II, respectively which result are agreed to the findings of Haque (2005). They recorded that the survival rates of 89.50% and 90% under treatment I and treatment II respectively in the monoculture of Thai sharpunti Puntius gonionotus. Kohinoor et al. (1993) obtained a survival rate of 86 to 94% in the monoculture of Thai sharpunti. The specific growth rates of rui, mrigal and silver carp (SGR per day) under treatment I and treatment II varied from 0.28 to 0.25%. Similarly, Hossain et al. (1997) reported that the rate of 5% of total body weight daily whereas Khatun (2004) fed fresh duckweed at the rate of 40% of the total body weight. Net production of fish of treatment I increased than that of treatment II and it was 167.38% in comparison to treatment II where net production was taken for 100%. Kabir (2003) found 7.77 ton/ha/yr gross production in duckweed-based polyculture system. Cost-benefit or percent benefit on investment under treatment I and treatment II were 51% and 26% respectively.
Conclusion

According to the findings of the present experiment, it may be concluded that due to the availability and cost-effectiveness of duckweed powder it has significant effect as feed ingredient considering the economic aspect for polyculture. By adopting this method, production cost of fish culture can be reduced considerably. So, duckweed powder-based polyculture technology may be recommended for the resource-poor rural fish farmers of the country because this technology can be an economically highly viable and sustainable technology for improving their livelihood.
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