Isolation and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Escherichia coli Causing Urinary Tract Infection in Enam Medical College Hospital

Mejbah Uddin Ahmed¹, Md. Khairuzzaman², Afroza Begum³, Iftikhar Ahmed⁴

Abstract

Background: Since antibiotic resistance of uropathogens has gradually been rising, knowledge of antimicrobial resistance pattern of Escherichia coli (Esch. coli), the predominant pathogen of urinary tract infection (UTI), is important in selecting empirical antimicrobial therapy. **Objectives:** To find out the common organisms causing UTI with their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in Enam Medical College Hospital. **Materials and Methods:** This study was carried out in the department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College Hospital, Savar, Dhaka between January 2010 to June 2011 to see the antimicrobial resistance pattern of Esch. coli in urine sample. A total of 512 urine samples were studied from clinically suspected UTI cases irrespective of age and sex. Esch. coli were isolated and antibiogram of the isolates were done. **Results:** The results of antibiogram revealed the resistance pattern of the isolated Esch. coli to ampicillin (93.94%), cefradine (70.71%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (48.49%), azithromycin (41.42%), ciprofloxacin (39.40%), ceftazidime (35.56%), nitrofurantoin (29.30%), ceftriaxone (10.11%), gentamicin (7.08%) and imipenem (3.04%). **Conclusion:** It can be inferred that our findings will render useful information to clinicians in determining the appropriately directed antimicrobial regimen when given empirically.

Keywords: Urinary tract infection, Esch. coli, Antibiotic resistance

J Enam Med Col 2011; 1(2): 60-62

Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the major illness which accounts for 7 million patient visits per year with total costs exceeding one billion dollars. ^{1,2} It is the most common bacterial infection particularly in women with an increasing resistance to antimicrobial drugs. ^{3,4} In children, it is also the second most common bacterial infection next to the respiratory tract infection. ⁵

The risk factors of UTI include both host and bacterial factors. Host factors are age, impaired

voiding, poor personal hygiene, debility, sexual activity and use of spermicidal agents.^{6,7} Women with diabetes have approximately twice higher risk of cystitis than nondiabetic women.⁸ Uropathogenic strains of *Esch. coli* have an adherence factor called P fimbriae, or pili. These P fimbriae mediate the attachment of *Esch. coli* to uroepithelial cells. Thus, patients with intestinal carriage of *Esch. coli* that contains P fimbriae are at greater risk of developing UTI than the general population.⁹

Correspondence Mejbah Uddin Ahmed, Email: mejbahua@gmail.com

^{1.} Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College, Savar, Dhaka

^{2.} Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College, Savar, Dhaka

^{3.} Assistant Professor, Department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College, Savar, Dhaka

^{4.} Professor, Department of Microbiology, Enam Medical College, Savar, Dhaka

J Enam Med Col Vol 1 No 2 July 2011

Among the microbes causing UTI, *Esch. coli* alone are responsible for 75% to 90% community acquired infections. Gram negative rods especially Proteus, Klebsiella, and occasionally Enterobacter account for a smaller portion of uncomplicated infections. *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* is recognized as causing approximately 5-15% of symptomatic lower UTI in young sexually active females. ¹⁰

The pathogens causing UTI are almost always predictable with Esch. coli being the leading aetiologic agent among both the outpatients and inpatients. 6 As antimicrobial susceptibility test report is usually found after 48 hours, the clinicians have to start an antimicrobial drug before getting the report. In these cases, the choice of antibiotic is influenced by recent available data about the susceptibility pattern of the causative agent. 11 The susceptibility pattern of different organisms to various antibiotics varies from time to time, institution to institution and there is a need to generate data for every institution that will guide the clinicians to select appropriate antimicrobial drugs. 12 Since antibiotic resistance among uropathogens have gradually been rising, it has been important to have institutional data about the pattern of antimicrobial susceptibility to choose the correct treatment regimen. 10

The present study was carried out to isolate *Esch. coli* in urine samples from indoor and outdoor patients presenting with UTI in Enam Medical College Hospital. The susceptibility pattern of the isolates to antimicrobial drugs was determined to generate information to guide treatment of UTI patients.

Materials and Methods

This cross sectional study was carried out at Enam Medical College Hospital (EMCH), Savar, Dhaka during the period of January 2010 to June 2011. A total of 512 clean-catch midstream urine specimens were collected from clinically suspected UTI cases from inpatients and outpatients of EMCH irrespective of age and sex during the study period.

A loopful 0.01 mL of urine was inoculated by calibrated wire loop on cystine lactose electrolyte-deficient (CLED) agar and MacConkey's agar media and incubated aerobically at 37°C for overnight. All the plates were inspected for growth and the isolates were identified by observing colony morphology, Gram-stain characteristics and relevant biochemical

tests.¹² Colony count >10⁵ colony forming unit (CFU)/mL were considered as significant bacteriuria and further analyzed for antibiogram. All the isolates were tested for susceptibility to ampicillin, cefradine, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, nitrofurantoin, ceftriaxone, gentamicin and imipenem by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion technique on Mueller-Hinton agar media.^{13,14}

Results

In this study, *Esch. coli* were isolated in 99 cases during the period of January 2010 to June 2011. Age and sex distribution of the patients having growth of *Esch. coli* is shown in Table I. Highest number, 30 was in 19-45 age group in female.

Table IAge and sex distribution of the *Esch. coli* isolated cases

Age group	Male	Female	Total
0-5	7	8	15
6-8	5	10	15
19-45	9	30	39
≥46	13	17	30
Total	34(34.34%)	65(65.66%)	99

Antibiogram of the *Esch. coli* is shown in Table II. We found that *Esch. coli* showed highest sensitivity (96.96%) to imipenem and lowest to ampicillin (6.06%). There was an important finding that *Esch. coli* was found to show sensitivity in 51.51% cases for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

Table II: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of 99 isolated *Esch. coli*

Sensitive	Resistant
(%)	(%)
6.06	93.94
29.29	70.71
51.51	48.49
58.58	41.42
60.60	39.40
64.64	35.36
70.70	29.30
89.89	10.11
92.92	7.08
96.96	3.04
	(%) 6.06 29.29 51.51 58.58 60.60 64.64 70.70 89.89 92.92

J Enam Med Col Vol 1 No 2 July 2011

Discussion

In this study, UTI was found more in females than males. In case of females it is 66% and in case of males it is 34%. Maximum numbers of patients were found in age group 19-45 years in females. The findings of predominance of infection in females are in agreement with the report of Parvin *et al*. They found 71.1% cases in females and 28.9% in males. In another study conducted in Kenya 64% cases of *Esch. coli* were in females compared to 36% in males. The high prevalence of infection in females is usually related to anatomical and pathogenic factors, eg, the short length of the urethra and hence lesser distance for bacteria to ascend up the tract, hormonal changes and urethral trauma during sexual activity.

In this study, highest level of (93.954%) resistance was found against ampicillin. The best activity against *Esch. coli* (>90% susceptible) was attained with ceftriaxone, imipenem and gentamicin. Ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, cefradine and azithromycin were found effective in 60-70 % cases. It can be noted here that in 51.51% cases, *Esch. Coli* were found sensitive to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Our data are almost consistent with the studies reported by other researchers. ^{16,17} But another study done at Mymensingh, Bangladesh found the isolates sensitive to ampicillin 21.2%, ceftriaxone 45.4%, ceftazidime 39.3%, imipenem 100%, gentamicin 57.6 %, ciprofloxacin 39.3%, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 18.2% and nitrofurantoin 60.6%. ¹⁰ This is not consistent with our study.

Majority of the *Esch. coli* isolated in our study were found resistant to most of the commonly used antibiotics. It is worth-mentioning that there is an urgent need to generate more data at institutional level about bacterial resistance to drugs while monitoring the rapid emergence and propagation of multidrug resistant bacteria.

References

- 1. Bacheller CD, Bernstein JM. Urinary tract infections. Med Clin North Am 1997; 81(3): 719-730.
- Hooton TM, Scholes D, Hughes JP, Winter C, Roberts PL, Stapleton AE et al. A prospective study of risk factors for symptomatic urinary tract infection in young women. N Engl J Med 1996; 335: 468-474.
- James AK, Laurie JK, Clyde T, Mark EJ, Daniel FS. Trends in antimicrobial resistance among urinary tract infection isolates of *Escherichia coli* from female outpatients in the United States. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2002; 46(8): 2540-2545.
- 4. Foxman B. Recurring urinary tract infection: incidence and risk factors. Am J Public Health 1990; 80(3): 331-333.
- 5. Bagga A. Urinary tract infections: evaluation and treatment. Indian Journal of Pediatrics 2001; 68(1): 40-50.

6. Dulawa J. Urinary tract infection-2003. Ann Acad Med Bialostoc 2004; 49: 182–184.

- Stephan DF. Acute uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. N Engl J Med 2003; 349: 259-266.
- 8. Boyko EJ, Fihn SD, Scholes D, Chen CL, Normand EH, Yarbro P. Diabetes and the risk of acute urinary tract infection among postmenopausal women. Diabetes Care 2002; 25: 1778-1783.
- 9. Wullt B. The role of P fimbriae for *Escherichia coli* establishment and mucosal inflammation in the human urinary tract. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2003; 21(6): 605-621.
- Parvin US, Hossain MA, Musa AK, Mahamud C, Islam MT, Haque N et al. Pattern of aerobic bacteria with antimicrobial susceptibility causing community acquired urinary tract infection. Mymensingh Medical Journal 2009; 18(2): 148-53.
- 11. Semra K, Suheyla S, Cenk S, Horu G, Mehmet G, Beril O. Increasing antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolates from community acquired UTI during 1998-2003 in Manisa, Turkey. Japan Journal of Infectious Disease 2005; 58: 159-161.
- Archibald L, Phillips L, Monnet D, McGowan JE, Tenover F, Gaynes R. Antimicrobial resistance in isolates from inpatients and outpatients in the United States: increasing importance of the intensive care unit. Clinical Infectious Diseases 1997; 24: 211-215.
- 13. Cheesbrough M. Summary of the clinical and laboratory features of microorganism. In: District laboratory practice in tropical countries (Part 2). UK: Cambridge University Press, 2000: 105-194.
- Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized simple disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 1966; 45: 493-496.
- 15. Kebira AN, Ochola P, Khamadi SA. Isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infections. Journal of Applied Biosciences 2009; 22: 1320-1325.
- Mazed MA, Hussain A, Akter N, Dewanje AK.
 Pattern of bacteria causing urinary tract
 infections and their antibiotic susceptibility
 profile at Chittagong medical college hospital.
 Bangladesh Journal of Medical Microbiology
 2008; 2(2): 17-21.
- 17. Yvonne V, Hand WL. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infection isolates from female patients on the US (Texas)-Mexico Border. The Journal of Applied Research 2004; 4(2): 321-326.