OUTCOME OF LATE ANTERIOR SURGERY AND ARTHRODESIS OF LOWER CERVICAL SPINAL CORD INJURY
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Abstract:
Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and outcome of late anterior surgery and arthrodesis of lower cervical spinal cord injury.

Study design: Prospective Analysis. Setting: Department of Neurosurgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) Dhaka; Bangladesh.

Subjects and Methods: 32 consecutive patients with sub axial cervical spinal cord injury managed surgically from January 2000 to July 2005 by late anterior surgery and autologous bone graft stabilization considered as study unit. The indications of surgery were persistent cervical spinal cord compression and / or instability of cervical spine. Most cases were admitted late and operations were carried out as late 1 week to 27 weeks after injury. All the patients were investigated by radiograph and MRI of cervical spine accordingly. Post operative patient were followed up for twice, just before discharge from hospital and there-after minimum 3 months to 3 years. Quantification of deficit and neurological outcome were rated by American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) classification system.

Results: Males were found predominant with 93.75% over the female 6.25%; with ratio is 15: 1. Minimum age of the patient is 16 years and maximum age is 55 years. Most frequently (37.50%) encountered group were between the age of 31 to 40 years. Mean age is 32.29±10.09 years. Falling due to slip while carrying heavy load on head and / or neck (37.50%) was the most common cause of sub axial cervical spinal injury followed by road traffic accidents (31.25%), which may not be reported elsewhere till to-date. 31.25% suffered a single vertebral level, 62.50% patients suffered two vertebral levels and 6.25% patients suffered three level vertebral levels. The commonest skeletal level was C\(_{5/6}\) (46.87%) followed by C\(_{5}\) (25.00%) but C\(_{6}\) (46.87%) was commonest neurological level followed by C\(_{4}\) (18.75%). 81.25% of patients sustained a neurological injury. Of these, 75.00% had incomplete neurological deficit. After the operative procedure these incomplete neurological deficit patients have shown very attractive neurological recoveries. 6.25% of total population, who had complete neurological deficit, was graded as ASIA grade – A did not show any neurological recovery. In the current series none of the patients had worsening of neurological deficit due surgical intervent. Conclusion: This study offer significant potential for repairing some of the damage caused by cervical spinal cord injury. Further more, though controversy exists as to the ideal approach and timing (early versus late surgery) we have seen that benefits derived from late anterior surgery in our patients.
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Introduction:
Spinal injury has been pronounced by the ancient Egyptians as “an ailment not to be treated” mainly because of the neurological injury associated with it\(^1,2\). Traumatic spinal cord injury (SCI) occurs primarily in young adults with more than half being between 16 to 30 years of age. Male accounts for about 80% of cases\(^3,4\). 30-50 % of these patients have complete injuries with no motor or sensory function below the level of injury and 60% of these spinal injuries involve the cervical spinal
region. The most common affected site was found between the C4 and C6 vertebra, 20% of patients with major a spinal injury have a second spinal injury at another level, which may be noncontiguous. Severity of the spinal cord injury and the final out come depends upon: The mechanism of the injury, the severity of the force applied and duration of the spinal cord compression. Many controversies have yet to be resolved regarding the management of cervical spinal injuries. The goals of treatment are to make re-establishing or maintaining neurological function and establishing spinal stability. Older literature was pessimistic about the role of surgery. Others were unable to document a difference in outcome. Neurological improvement was document in another series with anterior decompression. Recent advances in the safety and efficacy of surgical decompressive techniques to treat the spinal cord injuries offer significant potential for repairing some of the damage caused by spine injuries. For example, Tator et al. have observed 56% in a prospective series of 208 patients with acute SCI underwent at least one spinal operation, and retrospective series of 1385 patients, 75% of patients underwent surgical treatment. However, controversy exists as to the ideal timing (early versus late) and the benefits derived from undergoing acute decompressive surgery after acute SCI. Definitive and unequivocal evidence to support the practice of early or late surgery is still lacking in clinical studies. A review of the current evidence available in the literature suggests that there is no standard of care regarding the role and timing of surgical decompression. There are insufficient data to support overall treatment standards or guidelines for this topic.

Propenents for early surgical decompressive procedures argue that early intervention may halt rapid progression of pathological changes known to occur in the injured spinal cord and thus also prevent neurological deterioration in the patients. On the other hand, authors of several reports in the literature warn against performing early surgery in these critically injured and medically unstable patients. Marshall et al. found that more patients worsened neurologically after early surgery (<5 days). The prognosis of central cord syndrome in cervical trauma related patient is known to be favorable with delayed management as some time-related spontaneous neurological recovery is expected. Furthermore, in the acute stage, the possibility for secondary injury from the manipulation of edematous spinal cord and drug-induced hypotension during surgery should be strongly considered. The authors of several other studies have reported improved neurological outcome in patients who underwent late decompressive surgery days, weeks or months post injury. In patients of acute cervical spinal cord trauma requiring surgery, there are different opinions about the appropriate surgical technique, anterior versus posterior approach. Each has its merits and limitations. The advantages of anterior decompression include the ability to achieve a more through and complete decompression of the cord. The disadvantage of posterior decompression include progressive deformity and instability of the spine and possibly less recovery of neurological function due to less complete and through decompression of the spinal cord.

Bohlman et al. and Zhang et al. had found that anterior decompression and arthrodesis, even when performed late after the injury, could improve neurological function in both the upper and lower extremities in many patients who have incomplete quadriplegia due to fracture or dislocation of the cervical spine. The fact that nowadays so many different conservative and operative procedures are used for the treatment of cervical spine injuries reflects the lack of a clearly superior technique. We recognize that the treatment of cervical spine fractures and dislocations is not yet established and therefore feel that any well-considered procedure deserves consideration. Awareness of these led us to consider our approach to the management of patients with vertebral injury and cervical spinal cord compression; we adopted for these patients late anterior surgery with autologus bone graft stabilization as a single stage procedure, intending that this should both
relieve pressure on the spinal cord, stabilize the spine and pave the way for early mobilization.

**Subjects and Methods:**
A prospective interventional study carried out in the Department of Neurosurgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh from January 2000 to July 2004. Sample size was 32. All patients with sub axial cervical spinal cord injury managed surgically by late anterior surgery and autologus bone graft stabilization were considered as a study unit. The indications of surgery were persistent cervical spinal cord compression and/or instability of cervical spine. Most cases were admitted late and operations were carried out as late 1 (one) week to 27 (twenty-seven) weeks after injury. All the patients were investigated by radiograph and MRI of cervical spine accordingly. Quantification of deficit and neurological outcome were rated by the international standards for neurological and functional classification of spinal cord injury by American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA), endorsed by International Medical Society of Paraplegia (IMSOP). Evidences were Questionnaires, Examination tools and checklist, Radiological images, Operative notes, During the period of preceding surgery the patients were managed conservatively with Cervical Collar, Gardner-Well Tong traction according to need by the clinical condition of the patient. Post operative patient were followed up for twice, just before discharge from hospital and there-after minimum 3 (three) months to 3 (three) years. Studied variables were causes and characteristics of cervical spinal cord injury in our country, neurological recovery, stabilization, prevention of complications and enhancements of rehabilitation. Neurological outcome variable was ASIA impairment scale. Outcome of surgery was analyzed using student’s paired “t” test, and conclusions were drawn based upon the p value at 0.05% level of significance.

**Observation and results:**
Among the total 32 (thirty-two) patients with sub axial cervical spinal injury, 30 (twenty-four) patients were male (93.75%) and 2 (two) patients were female (6.25%). Male and female ratio is 15:1. 81.25% of these patients were under 40 years of age and remaining 18.75% patients were above 40 years of age. But most, i.e. 37.50% patients were between the ages of 31 to 40 years. Mean age was 32.29±10.09 years. 62.50% accidents occurred in rural area and 37.50% accidents occurred in urban area. 31.25% suffered a single vertebral level, 62.50% patients suffered two vertebral levels and 6.25% patients suffered three level vertebral levels. In our series of sub-axial cervical spinal cord injury, the commonest skeletal level was C5/6 (46.87%) followed by C5 (25.00%) but C5 (46.87%) was commonest neurological level followed by C4 (18.75%). In the present prospective study, 81.25% of patients who had sustained cervical vertebral column trauma sustained a neurological injury as result of that trauma. Of these neurological injuries, 75.00% had incomplete neurological deficit. As per increasing degree of neurological impairment, they were graded according to ASIA Impairment scale, as grade – D, grade – C, grade – B. 6.25% had complete neurological deficit, and according to ASIA Impairment scale, they were graded as grade – A. 18.75% were neurologically intact, and according to ASIA Impairment scale, they were graded as grade – E. In the present prospective study of sub axial cervical spinal injury, 12.50% of all incomplete, ASIA grade – B patients had showed recovery by ASIA grade – C, and 4.17% of all incomplete, ASIA grade – B patients had showed recovery by ASIA grade – D. But 8.34% of all incomplete, ASIA grade – B patients did not show any neurological recovery. 45.84 % of all incomplete, ASIA grade – C patients had showed recovery by ASIA grade – D, But 12.50 % of all incomplete, ASIA grade – C patients did not show any neurological recovery. 16.67% of all incomplete, ASIA grade – D patients enjoy complete neurological recovery (ASIA grade – E). 6.25% of total population who had complete neurological deficit, ASIA grade – A did not show any neurological recovery. 18.75% of total population who were neurologically intact, ASIA grade - E and operations have done to ensure cervical stability, and their neurological status.
Outcome of surgery was analyzed using paired student’s “t” test and conclusions drawn based upon the p value at 0.05% level of significance. It had been found that outcome of late anterior surgery and arthrodesis of lower cervical spinal cord injury was significant (P <0.05) statistically.

### Table-I

**Age distribution of the population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 – 40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 – 50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-II

**Causes of cervical spinal injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes of cervical spinal injury</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall due to slip while carrying heavy load on head</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic accident</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall of heavy load on head</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall from height</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diving in shallow water</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-III

**Vertebral levels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vertebral level</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4-5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion:

In the current prospective series, age ranged from 16 to 55 years with mean age of 32.29±10.09 years which is similar to recent published series. The males were found predominant with 93.75% over the female 6.25%; ratio is 15:1. Men accounts for about 80% of cases in western series. Gupta et al. observed a male and female ratio is 17: 1 in Indian people. Our male and female ratio is definitely higher than developed country. But this is not surprising in a country like Bangladesh where the majorities of women remain in home as housewives and are not subject to more violent form of accident to which the men are exposed. This supports the tenets of Kuhn who concluded that the sex ratio...
reflects the socio-economic and cultural status of a society. Falling due to slip while carrying heavy load on head and / or neck (37.50%) was the most common cause of sub axial cervical spinal injury followed by road traffic accidents (31.25%) in this series, which may not be reported till to-date elsewhere. This pattern of injury in our country is contrast to more developed countries, where the road traffic accidents were the main cause of cervical spinal injury\textsuperscript{4,35} followed by fall\textsuperscript{15,33,36}. In this series, the commonest skeletal levels were C\textsubscript{5}/\textsubscript{6} (46.87%), followed by C\textsubscript{5} (25.00%). But the commonest neurological levels were C\textsubscript{5} (46.87%), followed by C\textsubscript{4} (18.75%). Bohlman\textsuperscript{37} described 300 acute cervical spinal fractures, 74% of which occurred between C\textsubscript{4} and T\textsubscript{1} levels. Sonntag et al.\textsuperscript{38} found that 72% of 1280 acute cervical spinal fracture subluxation injuries involved cervical segments C\textsubscript{4}-T\textsubscript{1}. Approximately 15% of patients who sustained vertebral column trauma sustain a neurological injury as a result of that trauma\textsuperscript{39,40}. It is found that 40% to 60% of all cervical spine injuries result in neurological compromise, with a range between 2% to 100% depending on the type and level of cervical spine injury\textsuperscript{37,39,41-46}. There are many methods available for surgical decompression and stabilizations for unstable sub axial cervical spine with obvious merits to both anterior and posterior approach. So, the question is what kind of surgery should we like to perform? When any decompression of neural element is planned, the pathology should be attacked directly\textsuperscript{47}. However, the severity of the primary spinal cord injury continues to be the prime determinant of neurological outcome in most cases. In presence of large anteriorly located space occupying lesion, the recommendation of Tator et al. was to perform an anterior decompression\textsuperscript{14}. The benefits of emergency decompression in cervical trauma are controversial. Our opinion is that decompressive surgery in a patient, who is neurologically intact, should be carried out under optimal circumstances to preserve cord function. Our protocol, the treatment of choice is conservative management with skeletal traction and then evaluation of the patient’s neurological status is done. At that time one would be faced two considerations: Firstly is surgical decompression at the compressed level required and could it possibly maximize the neurological improvement? And secondly, how could further spinal cord injury be prevented? Even though many may not agree with the benefits of delayed surgical decompression, we have seen further progressive improvement of neurological deficits in many of our patients and these are the major novelty of our current series.

A more controversial situation is a patient with a partial spinal cord injury and ongoing compression. Based upon path physiology of secondary injury, the presence of cord compression may impede regional blood flow at the site of injury and promote secondary injury. Therefore, decompression may optimize the regional milieu, within which the spinal cord can recover\textsuperscript{15} and potentially counteract some of the factors involved in accelerating secondary spinal cord injury. Unfortunately, no prospective data exist\textsuperscript{13}.

With these considerations we believe that decompression surgery is to be truly necessary. There are two ways of surgical decompression, anterior and posterior; and in our current series surgery via ventral approach is done since space occupation in these patients was caused mainly by the ventral structures. Others also observed in cervical spinal injured patients with persistent neurological compression who are candidates for surgical decompression, the majority will have the neurocompressive mass lesion located ventral to the neural elements\textsuperscript{36,41}. Under these circumstances, “the appropriate procedure is an anterior approach”. After achieving an adequate neural decompression, an appropriate cervical fusion is carried out using a tri-cortical iliac auto graft with or without a plate to reconstruct the spine. In the current series incomplete neurological deficit patients have shown very attractive neurological recoveries, none of the patients had worsening of neurological deficit due surgical intervention. We do not have any comparative study in our country in this regard and we convinced that our results are encouraging.
Conclusion:
Results of this study offer significant potential for repairing some of the damages caused by cervical spinal cord injury. Recent advances in the safety and efficacy of surgical decompressive techniques in this arena are highly encouraging. The issue of the ideal timing (early versus late surgery) only is resolved by studying a large number of patients in a prospective randomized controlled study.
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