Background: Clavicles fracture accounts for 2.6%–5% of adult fractures. Fractures in the middle-third represent 69%–82% of all clavicle fractures. This prospective comparative study was done to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment options of conservative and operative method by plate for displaced mid-shaft of clavicle fractures in adult.

Materials and methods: 76 patients between 18 to 70 years of age with a displaced midshaft fracture of clavicle were enrolled in this study. They were randomized in two groups to be treated with either triangular sling or operative fixation by anatomical plate. We analyzed the outcome of both groups by standard clinical and radiological follow-up at least one year with DASH score.

Results: Mean age in conservative group was 52.2±13.2 years and 32.1±9.3 years in operative group. There was male predominance in both groups 71.7% (54). Mean time to radiographic union was 19.9±3.2 weeks in conservative group and 14.9±2.7 weeks in operative group. The total complications were 26.3% where 2.6% (01) nonunion in the operative group compared with 13.2% (05) in the conservative group. At one year of follow up, operative group were more likely to be satisfied with clinical and radiological outcome than conservative group by DASH score.

Conclusions: Operative fixation of displaced mid shaft fracture of clavicle in adult showed improved functional outcome, faster return to daily activities and lower rate of complications compared with non-operative treatment.
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Introduction
The clavicle is one of the most commonly fractured bones in children and adults specially those who participate in activities or sports where high speed falls or a direct blow to the shoulder are frequent. Fractures of the clavicle are common, accounting for 2.6% to 4% of adult fractures and 35% of injuries to the shoulder girdle1-3. Displacement occurs in about 73% of all mid shaft clavicle fractures4. Among them 70% of the patients are male and the first and largest peak incidence is in males less than thirty years of age5.

Most shaft fractures are displaced, whereas the majority of lateral-end fractures are undisplaced. Despite the proximity of the brachial plexus and subclavian vessels, neurovascular injury is surprisingly rare, given the number of severely displaced clavicular shaft fractures seen in practice6,7. The most common site of fracture is the middle third of the clavicle, representing approximately 80 % of all clavicle fractures8.

In 1960, Neer reported an astonishingly low rate of nonunion in conservatively treated middle-third clavicular fractures9. Recent studies, have emphasized the risk of nonunion or symptomatic malunion following conservative treatment. In 1997, Hill et al. reported a 15% nonunion rate in conservatively treated clavicular fractures and a relationship between shortening and the risk of nonunion10. In 2004, Nowak et al reported a 07% nonunion rate in conservatively treated clavicular fractures after six months as well as a risk of sequelae at the nine to ten-year follow-up. They also defined predictable risk factors, including lack of osseous contact at the fracture site, a transverse fracture and increased age that may cause complications in fracture-healing and overall recovery and considered indications for operative treatment11.
The indications for surgery include open fracture, floating shoulder, patients with poly trauma, neurological deficit and the need for earlier functional mobilization in the patients. The available methods of conservative treatment are triangular sling, Figure of eight bandage while for operative treatment, the available of fixation with wire, Pins (Rush pins, TENS, Knowles pin, Rockwood pins) locking plate with screws and external fixators. This prospective experimental study was designed to compare outcome and complications of conservative and operative treatment using anatomically precontoured locking plating in displaced mid shaft clavicular fractures.

**Materials and methods**

This prospective experimental study was conducted at Department of Orthopedic Surgery in Chittogram Medical College Hospital from June 2015 to December 2018. Ethical clearance was taken from the institutional committee. Purposive type of sampling technique was applied. Minimum follow up period was 01 (One) year with DASH score.

**Inclusion criteria**

- Age of 18 to 70 years
- Displaced fracture of the middle third of the clavicle
- Bilateral fracture of clavicle
- Fracture occurred less than two weeks.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Open fracture
- Pathological fracture
- Patients associated with head injury GCS less than 12 and poly trauma patient
- Pathological fracture other than osteoporosis
- Ipsilateral upper limb fractures and/or dislocation (Except of the hand and fingers)

**Procedure**

All patients are reviewed before surgery. Proper counseling was done about conservative and operative treatment and allowed the patients to choose the treatment option. According to their choice they are and divided into Group A for conservative and Group B for operative Fixation. Conservative cases are managed with immediate triangular sling and discharged after proper assessment and preservation of radiological document and advised for follow up at schedule time.

For operative group general anesthesia given and then patients positioned on operation table as beach chair position with sand bag between shoulder blades. Fracture reduced and fixed with anatomical plate and screws. Triangular sling applied after dressing over affected extremity. Patient discharged from hospital on 2nd post operative day with triangular sling. Stitches removed after 12 days and physiotherapy started.

**Post Operative Management**

All patients taught exercises for shoulder movement after pain subsides. Weight bearing exercises deterred until 6 weeks follow up. Then after 6 weeks, gradual ROM (Range of Motion) exercises were encouraged. A routine check X-ray was done and patient condition assessed using DASH score recorded for future reference at 06 weeks, 12 weeks, 06 months and finally at 01 years.

**Results**

In this study over 3 years of duration we tried to find out the difference between conservative and operative treatment for midshaft fracture of clavicle in adult. In conservative group, mean age was 52.2±13.2 years and 32.1±9.3 years in operative group where we found operative treatment were more preferred by young patients which was significant statistically (p = 0.025). Regarding gender in both group, male were predominant where 65.8% (25) and 76.3% (29) represented conservative and operative group respectively. For side determination both groups showed no significant difference which was shown in table I. There was significant difference (p=0.00012) in both groups regarding mean union time in weeks where operative group showed less time 19.9±3.2 weeks.

Regarding complications, 28(73.3%) in each group faced no complications. In conservative group, 05(13.2%) cases were end up with non-union and 01(2.6%) case in operative group where 04 cases treated operatively with bone graft and 02 case refused for operation. 04(10.5%) cases experienced shoulder stiffness in conservative group and 03(7.9%) cases in operative group which were treated by physiotherapy and end up with full function of shoulder 03 months later. 01(2.7%) patient had tenting of skin and advised for operative treatment but refused as his shoulder movements were full. In operative group, we faced 02(5.3%) cases with postoperative infection which was treated according to culture sensitivity.
and regular dressing and subsequently healed up. Again in operative group, 03(7.9%) cases presented in follow up with implant failure and refracture due to separate RTA and were treated by reoperation with bone graft. 01(2.7%) case presented with ugly keloid scar and properly counseled. Finally DASH score were assessed at every follow up visit where operative group showed significantly higher statistically score. At 06 weeks of follow up, operative group showed 30.3±3.7 than 45.4±4.6 in conservative group (p= 0.045). Subsequently in 12 weeks and 06 months follow up period, operatively group showed statistically significant result where p value were 0.029 and 0.044 respectively. Final follow up at 01 year later, operative group showed 15.3±2.9 than 28.2±4.7 in conservative group (p= 0.041) which showed statistically significant difference between two groups.

**Table 1** : Demographic and clinical outcome (n=76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Conservative (n=38)</th>
<th>Operative (n=38)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (Years±SD)</td>
<td>52.2 ±13.2</td>
<td>32.1 ±19.3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>65.8% (25)</td>
<td>76.3% (29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34.2% (13)</td>
<td>23.7% (9)</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>68.4%(26)</td>
<td>25.0%(19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>31.6%(12)</td>
<td>47.4%(19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>0.0%(0)</td>
<td>2.7%(1)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>50.0%(19)</td>
<td>31.6%(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>36.8%(14)</td>
<td>47.4%(18)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>13.2%(5)</td>
<td>21.1%(8)</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>57.9%(22)</td>
<td>55.3%(21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>42.1%(16)</td>
<td>44.7%(17)</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union of fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>86.8%(33)</td>
<td>97.4%(37)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>13.2%(5)</td>
<td>2.6%(1)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mean weeks±SD)</td>
<td>19.9±3.2</td>
<td>14.9±2.7</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No complications</td>
<td>73.7%(28)</td>
<td>73.7%(28)</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non union</td>
<td>26.3%(9)</td>
<td>26.3%(9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder stiffness</td>
<td>10.5%(04)</td>
<td>7.9%(03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenting of skin</td>
<td>2.6%(01)</td>
<td>0.0%(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>0.0%(0)</td>
<td>5.3%(02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implant failure with refracture</td>
<td>0.0%(0)</td>
<td>7.9%(03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugly scar</td>
<td>0.0%(0)</td>
<td>2.6%(01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Traditionally, clavicle fractures have been treated non-operatively. In the 1960s, Neer and Rowe reported better results on non-operative treatment of clavicle fractures\textsuperscript{14-16}. Although conservative method is mostly practicing method for clavicle in our country but more recent studies have shown that the non-union rate for displaced midshaft fractures of the clavicle are ranging from 10% to 15%. So in recent years, surgeons are preferred for operative treatment for displaced midshaft clavicle fracture in adult\textsuperscript{17-20}. For operative fixation, many methods were present. Biomechanically plate fixation is better than intramedullary nail because of better resists the bending and torsional forces that happened during overhead activity. So plate fixation is gold standard method for which we choose the procedure for this study.

Evaluation of the distribution of age and sex revealed operative interventions were more preferred in young and male predominance. Mean age in operative group was 32.1±9.3 years than conservative group 52.2±13.2 years (\(p= 0.025\)) which was significant as the young generation is more active and concerned about their outcome following fracture, older people preferred more for conservative management. In this study, the youngest patients were 18 years and oldest patient was 70 years. The average age was 42.2 years for both groups. In Bostman et al study, patients average age was 33.4 years and the youngest patient age was 19 years and oldest patient age was 62 years\textsuperscript{21}.

If considering gender, male were more predominant in both groups 71.7% (54) as they were earning members of family. In Bostman et al series also, commonly, males were the most affected patients 73.8% (76) compared to females patients 26.2% (27)\textsuperscript{21}.

Regarding mechanism of injury, total 40.8 % (31) on both groups were due to fall either directly or indirectly on outstretched hand. Incidences of outstretched hand occur as a simple fall in aged patients and sports in young patients. For RTA, 36.8% (14) patients were in conservative group than 47.4% (18) in operative group and for assault 13.2% (05) patients were in conservative group than 21.1% (08) in operative group. So, young patients were more victims of RTA and assault than aged patients in fall. In Bostman et al study, the mechanism of injury was due to fall from the two
wheeler in 38 patients (36.8%) slipping and fall in 24 patients (23.30%) motor vehicle accident in 19 patients (18.45%) and sports injury in 22 patients (21.36%).

Lazarus stated that radiological union occurred approximately between 6 and 12 weeks\textsuperscript{22}. In this study, total 19.9±3.2 weeks required in conservative group and 14.9±2.7 weeks in operated group (p=0.00012).

When we considered complications, 7.9% (03) patients had implant failure with refracture, 7.9% (03) hadshoulder stiffness, 5.3% (02) had postoperative infection and 2.6% (01) had ugly operative scar in operative group (p=0.133). In conservative group, 13.2% (05) had non-union, 10.5% (04) had shoulder stiffness and 2.6% (01) had tenting of skin which was accepted by the old patient. The total complication rate of Bostman et al study was 23% where it was 26.3% in our study. In Bostman et al study, 02 patients treated with semi-tubular plate had implant breakage at 2nd and 7th postoperative weeks respectively\textsuperscript{21}. Both cases were treated by replating using dynamic compression plate with bone grafting.

Finally in this study, DASH score were statistically significant for operative group in all follow up (p= 0.041).

**Limitation**
The main weakness of the study were,
- Small sample size
- Shorter duration of follow up
- Uses of other implant for operative treatment

**Conclusion**
The study showed that early primary plate fixation of displaced midshaft clavicle fractures in adult has better results than conservative treatment which has less time of union, earlier returned to normal functions and decreased rates of nonunion.

**Recommendations**
Further study with larger sample size, long follow up period and using intra medullary versus extra medullary fixation for displaced midshaft clavicular fracture in adult is required.
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