Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research
January 2025, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 48-51
http://www.banglajol.info/index.php/JCAMR
ISSN (Print) 2313-447X
ISSN (Online) 2413-323X
NLM Catalog ID 101673828
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3329/jcamr.v12i1.91759

Perspective

Role of Infection Prevention and Control in Reducing Healthcare-Associated Infections: A Contemporary Perspective

Premananda Das

Professor and Head, Department of Microbiology, Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College, Sylhet, Bangladesh

Abstract

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) continue to threaten patient safety, prolong hospital stays, increase mortality, and drive healthcare costs worldwide. Infection prevention and control (IPC) is the primary strategy to prevent HAIs and limit the spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). This perspective synthesizes current evidence and practice priorities for strengthening IPC across health systems. Core IPC measures—hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, aseptic technique, personal protective equipment, surveillance, and isolation—reduce infections most effectively when implemented as bundled interventions and supported by behavior-change strategies. Successful programs depend on institutional leadership, multidisciplinary IPC teams, clear policies, reliable supplies and infrastructure, and routine surveillance with feedback. In low- and middle-income settings, pragmatic adaptations (alcohol-based hand rub, simplified surveillance, task-shifting) offer high-impact, cost-effective gains. Integration of IPC with antimicrobial stewardship and broader patient-safety initiatives amplifies benefits by lowering infection incidence and reducing selection pressure for resistant organisms. Emerging technologies (rapid diagnostics, automated monitoring, enhanced decontamination) hold promise but require evaluation for cost-effectiveness and alignment with human factors. Sustainable IPC demands predictable financing, policy support, and equity-focused implementation to protect vulnerable populations and healthcare workers alike. Framing IPC as a core health-system investment will advance patient safety, curb AMR, and improve preparedness for outbreaks. Continued evaluation of scalable interventions and adaptation to local contexts is essential to achieve sustained reductions in HAIs. *[Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research, January 2025;12(1):48-51]*

Keywords: Infection prevention and control (IPC); Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs); Antimicrobial resistance (AMR); Surveillance and surveillance feedback; Hand hygiene and bundled interventions

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Premananda Das, Professor and Head, Department of Microbiology, Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College, Sylhet, Bangladesh; **Email**: drprem44montu96@gmail.com; **Cell no**.: +880 1711-905015; **ORCID:** https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8136-294X

Introduction

Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) remain a major global public health challenge, undermining patient safety, increasing morbidity and mortality, prolonging hospital stays, and driving up healthcare costs. Despite decades of progress, HAIs persist across settings — from high-resource tertiary

hospitals to low-resource primary care clinics — and are exacerbated during outbreaks and by antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Infection prevention and control (IPC) is the foundational strategy to prevent the acquisition and spread of infections in healthcare settings. This perspective synthesizes current evidence and practice implications, highlights priorities for implementation, and outlines opportunities to strengthen IPC as a central pillar for HAI reduction.

Evidence Base for IPC Effectiveness

A robust body of evidence demonstrates that IPC interventions reduce HAIs. Core measures — hand hygiene, environmental cleaning, aseptic technique, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), surveillance, and isolation/cohorting — have been associated with reductions in central line-associated bloodstream infections, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, ventilator-associated events, and transmission of multidrug-resistant organisms. Bundled interventions, where multiple evidence-based practices are implemented together, show particularly strong and reproducible effects because they address multiple transmission pathways and encourage consistent practice. For example, central-line bundles reduced bloodstream infections by substantial margins in diverse settings when adherence was high. Furthermore, IPC programs that combine technical measures with behavior-change strategies, leadership support, and continuous monitoring achieve sustained results.

Core Components of Effective Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) Programs

An effective Infection Prevention and Control (IPC) program extends beyond the implementation of individual preventive practices. It is a comprehensive, systems-based approach that integrates leadership, clinical governance, infrastructure, surveillance, education, and continuous quality improvement to reduce healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), limit the spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), and enhance patient and healthcare worker safety.

Governance and Leadership: Strong institutional leadership is the cornerstone of a successful IPC program. Hospital administrators and policymakers must demonstrate commitment by establishing clear governance structures, defining roles and responsibilities, and ensuring sustainable financial support. Dedicated budgets are required for IPC personnel, training, laboratory capacity, personal protective equipment (PPE), and essential infection control supplies. Leadership should foster a culture of safety by integrating IPC into organizational priorities, monitoring performance through measurable indicators, and promoting accountability at every level of healthcare delivery.

Multidisciplinary IPC Teams: Effective IPC relies on collaborative, multidisciplinary teams comprising infection prevention specialists, clinical microbiologists, infectious disease physicians, nurses, pharmacists, epidemiologists, environmental health personnel, and hospital administrators. These teams develop and implement IPC policies, conduct surveillance, investigate outbreaks, provide staff education, and coordinate quality improvement initiatives. Clinical champions within different departments facilitate local implementation and encourage adherence to IPC practices among frontline healthcare workers.

Standardized Policies and Evidence-Based Guidelines: Comprehensive IPC programs are supported by standardized protocols that are adapted to local epidemiological conditions and resource availability. These include evidence-based recommendations for hand hygiene, use of PPE, aseptic techniques, environmental cleaning and disinfection, sterilization of medical equipment, safe injection practices, isolation precautions, device insertion and maintenance bundles, healthcare waste management, and antimicrobial stewardship. Standardization minimizes practice variation and ensures consistent implementation of proven preventive measures across healthcare facilities.

Surveillance and Data-Driven Decision Making: Continuous surveillance of HAIs, multidrug-resistant organisms, and antimicrobial consumption is fundamental for identifying trends, detecting outbreaks, and evaluating the effectiveness of IPC interventions. Reliable microbiology laboratory support, standardized case definitions, and electronic reporting systems strengthen surveillance quality. Regular analysis and transparent feedback of surveillance data to healthcare workers promote accountability, enable benchmarking, and support targeted interventions where infection risks are highest.

Education and Behavior Change: Sustained improvements in IPC require ongoing education that extends beyond knowledge dissemination to behavior modification. Regular competency-based training, simulation exercises, orientation programs

for new staff, and periodic refresher courses reinforce best practices. Behavioral interventions—including audit and feedback, visual reminders, peer role modelling, leadership engagement, and positive reinforcement—help improve compliance with hand hygiene, PPE use, and other critical IPC measures while fostering a culture of patient safety.

Access to Essential Supplies and Infrastructure: IPC cannot be implemented effectively without adequate infrastructure. Continuous availability of safe water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services, hand hygiene facilities, PPE, sterilization equipment, isolation rooms, ventilation systems, environmental cleaning supplies, and safe waste management systems is indispensable. Resource shortages directly compromise adherence to IPC standards and increase the risk of healthcare-associated transmission.

Preparedness and Outbreak Management: Healthcare facilities must maintain preparedness plans for emerging infectious diseases and healthcare-associated outbreaks. Rapid case detection, laboratory confirmation, patient isolation, contact tracing, risk communication, and coordinated emergency response are critical to preventing widespread transmission. Regular outbreak simulations, emergency stockpiles, and integration of IPC into national emergency preparedness frameworks enhance institutional resilience and ensure timely responses during public health emergencies.

Behavioral and Cultural Determinants

Technical measures succeed only when supported by frontline behavior and organizational culture. Barriers such as workload constraints, inadequate staffing, competing priorities, and risk perception influence adherence. Behavioral strategies that have proven effective include leadership endorsement, local champions, real-time feedback, simplified protocols, and embedding IPC responsibilities into job descriptions. Creating a safety culture where infection prevention is seen as integral to professional identity — not an optional add-on — is crucial. Narrative strategies, such as using patient stories or unit-level infection dashboards, can make the consequences of non-adherence tangible and motivate change.

Addressing Resource-Limited Settings

Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) face unique challenges: limited infrastructure, intermittent water and power, shortages of PPE and sterilization equipment, and constrained laboratory capacity. However, IPC interventions can be adapted and scaled with substantial benefit. Priorities include ensuring reliable hand hygiene (alcohol-based hand rub where water is scarce), basic environmental cleaning, safe injection and waste management practices, and pragmatic surveillance using simplified case definitions. Investment in training of local IPC focal points, task-shifting, and leveraging community health worker networks can extend IPC reach. Importantly, IPC investments in LMICs yield high returns by preventing outbreaks and reducing AMR spread, aligning with global health security goals.

Integration with Antimicrobial Stewardship and Broader Patient-Safety Efforts

IPC and antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) are mutually reinforcing. Effective IPC reduces infection incidence, lowering the need for antimicrobials, while AMS reduces selection pressure for resistant organisms. Integrated programs with joint governance and shared metrics enhance impact. Additionally, IPC should be aligned with other patient-safety initiatives (e.g., surgical safety, device safety) to leverage synergies and avoid duplication. Embedding IPC in accreditation, performance frameworks, and financing mechanisms can institutionalize practices.

Surveillance, Measurement, and Reporting

Reliable surveillance systems allow detection of trends, benchmarking, and evaluation of interventions. While gold-standard surveillance can be resource-intensive, pragmatic approaches — targeted surveillance of high-risk procedures or devices, point-prevalence surveys, and laboratory-based reporting — provide actionable information. Standardized definitions and denominators enable meaningful comparison. Crucially, data must be timely and fed back to clinicians and managers with clear improvement targets. Electronic health records, where available, can automate surveillance; in resource-limited settings, simple registry-based systems remain valuable.

Technological Innovations and Future Directions

Technological advances offer new tools for IPC: rapid molecular diagnostics shorten time to pathogen identification and resistance profiling; automated hand-hygiene monitoring systems provide objective adherence data; ultraviolet and

hydrogen-peroxide vapor systems enhance environmental decontamination in high-risk areas; telemedicine reduces unnecessary patient transfers and exposure. However, technology must be evaluated for cost-effectiveness and integrated thoughtfully into programs that address human factors and system constraints.

Policy and Financing Considerations

Sustained IPC requires predictable financing and supportive policy. National IPC policies, minimum staffing ratios for infection control practitioners, funding for continuous professional development, and procurement systems for essential supplies create enabling environments. Pandemic experiences demonstrated the high costs of failing to invest in IPC: preventable transmission among patients and healthcare workers undermines system resilience. Donors and policymakers should prioritize IPC as a core health system investment with measurable returns in lives saved and costs averted.

Equity and Ethical Dimensions

IPC practices must be equitable. Marginalized populations often experience higher HAI risk due to barriers in access, crowded facilities, or under-resourced services. IPC programs should prioritize settings with high vulnerability — long-term care, maternity wards, and rural hospitals — and ensure respectful, non-stigmatizing approaches when isolating or cohorting patients. Protecting healthcare workers through adequate PPE, vaccination, and supportive policies is also an ethical imperative and an operational necessity.

Conclusion

HAIs are largely preventable through coordinated, evidence-based IPC programs. The path to sustained HAI reduction requires leadership commitment, integrated IPC and AMS strategies, reliable surveillance, workforce development, and infrastructure investment. Policymakers, healthcare leaders, clinicians, and donors must treat IPC not as a niche infection-control activity but as a fundamental component of safe, resilient health systems.

Acknowledgements
None

Conflict of Interest
The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

Financial Disclosure and Funding Sources
This study has been performed without any funding from outside else.

Contributions to authors
The manuscript has been conceptualization upto writing and finalization.

Data Availability
Any inquiries regarding supporting data availability of this study should be directed to the corresponding author and are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate
The written informed consent was obtained from legal guardian of the patient.

Cite this article as: Das P. Role of Infection Prevention and Control in Reducing Healthcare-Associated Infections: A Contemporary Perspective. J Curr Adv Med Res 2025; 12(1):48-51

ORCID
Premananda Das: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8136-294X

Article Info
Received: 7 March 2024
Accepted: 3 April 2024
Published: 1 January 2025

References

1. Haque M, McKimm J, Sartelli M, Dhingra S, Labricciosa FM, Islam S, et al. Strategies to prevent healthcare-associated infections: a narrative overview. Risk management and healthcare policy. 2020:1765-80.
2. Islam MS, Yusuf MA, Siddiqui UR, Debnath AC, Shil RC. Frequency and distribution of multidrug resistance enterobacteriaceae isolated from hospital and community acquired urinary tract infection patient attended at a tertiary level care hospital in Dhaka city. Bangladesh Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2022;9(2):47-52.
3. Fernando SA, Gray TJ, Gottlieb T. Healthcare-acquired infections: prevention strategies. Internal medicine journal. 2017;47(12):1341-51.
4. Sartelli M, Marini CP, McNelis J, Coccolini F, Rizzo C, Labricciosa FM, Petrone P. Preventing and controlling healthcare-associated infections: the first principle of every antimicrobial stewardship program in hospital settings. Antibiotics. 2024;13(9):896.
5. Septimus E, Weinstein RA, Perl TM, Goldmann DA, Yokoe DS. Approaches for preventing healthcare-associated infections: go long or go wide?. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2014;35(7):797-801