



Case Report

Calf Hypertrophy and Proximal Weakness in a 6-year-old Boy Mimicking Myopathy: A Case Report

Bithi Debnath¹, Narayan Chandra Saha²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Paediatric Neurology, National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh;

²Professor, and Head, Department of Pediatric Neurology, National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

We present a boy of 6 years old with proximal weakness and calf hypertrophy. Although clinically we thought of the case as muscular dystrophy, later through electrodiagnostic testing we found demyelinating sensory-motor polyneuropathy which was consistent with Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT1A) disease. Genetic analysis revealed duplication at 17p11.2 containing the PMP22 gene. We reviewed the literature about the association between CMT1A and calf hypertrophy. There are few reports in certain families of CMT1A where calf hypertrophy is a prominent feature rather than atrophy. The pathophysiological mechanism of hypertrophy is still unknown. Some authors mentioned that it is due to hypertrophy of type 1 muscle fiber. This study adds that hereditary neuropathy should be considered in a differential diagnosis of calf hypertrophy and limb weakness with or without atrophy. The utility of nerve conduction study and electromyography should be emphasized for these patients to reach a diagnosis. [*Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research*, January 2025;12(1):44-47]

Keywords: Calf hypertrophy; proximal weakness; CMT1A; PMP22; polyneuropathy

Correspondence: Dr. Bithi Debnath, Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatric Neurology, National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital, Sher-e-Bangla Nagar, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh; Cell no.: +8801711236107; Email: bithidebnath@gmail.com;

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6875-3901>

©Authors 2025. CC-BY-NC

Introduction

Hereditary neuropathies are a heterogeneous group of disorders of the peripheral nervous system both clinically and genetically. Charcot-Marie-Tooth (CMT) disease, also known as hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy (HMSN), is the most common cause of hereditary polyneuropathy, with a prevalence of approximately 1 in 2500 people¹. Among all patients with CMT, CMT1 accounts for 60.0% cases². CMT1A, an autosomal dominant demyelinating sensorimotor polyneuropathy, is the most prevalent among all CMT1 cases (70.0% to 80.0%), which results from a 1.5-Mb duplication at

17p11.2 involving the peripheral myelin protein 22 (PMP22) gene³.

Similar to other forms of hereditary neuropathies, CMT1A is characterized by progressive weakness, muscle atrophy, and sensory deficit affecting the distal lower limbs more than the upper extremities. Pes cavus, hammertoes, muscle cramps, and postural tremors are often additional features⁴. Although calf muscle atrophy is a typical feature of CMT, some authors have reported calf muscle hypertrophy in some families with CMT⁵. Here we describe a 6-year-old boy with CMT1A who presented with calf hypertrophy and proximal weakness.

Case Presentation

A boy of 6 years old, 1st issue of a non-consanguineous parents, presented with complaints of developmental delay and progressive walking difficulty. The parents noticed that since his developmental age, he had delayed motor milestones and started walking by 28 months of age. He faced difficulties in sitting from a lying position and standing from a sitting position for the last 1 year. He also had difficulties in climbing stairs. He developed recurrent falls and walked on his toes for the last 6 months. He had no sensory complaints. His other domains of development (cognition, speech, socialization, and communication) were age-appropriate. He was delivered at term by caesarean section with average birth weight. His antenatal, perinatal, and postnatal periods were uneventful. His younger brother is normal to date. He had no family history of a similar illness. The child was well, alert, and cooperative. He had normal physical development: 22 kgs in weight and 112 cm in height. His head was normal in shape, and his circumference was 51.5 cm.



Figure I: Hypertrophy of Calf Muscle

All of his vitals were within normal limits. On systemic examination, he had calf hypertrophy which was symmetrical in both legs (Figure I), and a positive Gower's sign. There was a slight tightening of the Achilles tendon without any foot deformity or muscle wasting. He had weakness in

all four limbs but proximal weakness (3/5) was more than the distal weakness (4/5). All the reflexes were diminished with bilateral flexor plantar response. The child was not very cooperative for sensory examination. He had a waddling gait and walked on his toes. Other systemic examinations found no abnormality. A clinical diagnosis of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) was considered. However, Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) type-3 could also cause a similar phenotype. His creatine phosphokinase (CPK) level was 72U/L which was within the normal limit. Nerve conduction study (NCS) and electromyography (EMG) revealed demyelinating sensory-motor polyneuropathy, which was suggestive of CMT1. Then we considered genetic analysis, which documented duplication of the entire 17p11.2 segment associated with classical CMT1A. As no definite treatment was available, supportive care like exercise training and orthopaedic shoes were given.

Discussion

The cardinal clinical features of the reported case were calf hypertrophy, limb weakness predominantly proximal, and hyporeflexia. All these clinical signs suggested a myopathic process and we clinically thought of the case as DMD. But there was a poor clinical correlation with the investigation findings. Calf hypertrophy has also been found in juvenile proximal SMA type 3, central core and centronuclear myopathy, benign X-linked muscular dystrophy of Becker type, autosomal recessive limb-girdle muscular dystrophy, acid maltase deficiency, and polymyositis⁶.

When CPK level turned out normal, we went for NCS and needle EMG as it is a useful tool to differentiate myopathy from neuropathy and neuronopathy. Surprisingly it revealed demyelinating sensory-motor polyneuropathy with relative symmetry and absence of conduction block which was suggestive of CMT1. CMT1 is characterized by Motor nerve conduction velocities (MNCVs) below 38 m/s at upper limbs, compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitude usually within normal range but may be reduced due to secondary axonal loss. In CMT1A, MNCVs are usually uniformly slow in all nerves, with a mean range of 17–21 m/s. Very slow MNCVs (< 10 m/s) are suggestive of early-onset CM1B, CMT1E, or demyelinating recessive phenotypes (CMT4). Reduced CMAP amplitude and normal or mildly slowed MNCVs (mostly above 45 m/s at upper

limbs) were found with CMT2⁷. In our case, the mean MNCV in the upper limb was 28 m/s and the lower limb was 21 m/s which were suggestive of CMT1A. Sensory nerve conductions showed absent sensory nerve action potentials in the ulnar, median, and sural nerve. Needle EMG of tibialis anterior muscle showed mild spontaneous activity with reduced recruitment and polyphasic long-duration motor unit potentials. Examination of gastrocnemii did not reveal spontaneous motor unit activity or repetitive discharges. One study stated that MNCV is uniformly below 38 m/s in the median or ulnar nerves in PMP22dup and above 40 m/s in MFN2 mutation, whereas GJB1 and MPZ mutations result in more variable electroneurographic phenotypes⁸. These findings are consistent with our study.

Although the cardinal feature of CMT is distal muscle weakness and atrophy⁴, there are few reports of calf muscle hypertrophy in some families. In a study, Uncini et al. have described a cohort of 6 cases (age, 25-79 years) belonging to three generations with CMT1A where all had calf hypertrophy. Five of that cohort also had pes cavus, leg weakness, and muscle atrophy. The calf enlargement was true muscle hypertrophy as per the histopathological report⁹. Mukherjee et al. have reported a boy of 4 years with CMT1 who presented with muscle hypertrophy and progressive weakness. Analysis of PMP22 found a heterozygous deletion in exons 1-5, which confirmed the diagnosis of CMT1¹⁰. Sakashita et al¹¹ described three relatives with CMT1 where calf muscle enlargement was the most prominent feature. None of those patients had atrophy of leg muscles. In another study, six members originating from two families with CMT were noted to have enlarged calf muscles¹². Thomas et al¹³ described a 55-year-old man with CMT1A who presented with incapacitating muscle cramps and calf muscle hypertrophy having 17p11.2 duplication.

During the last two decades, a molecular genetic diagnosis has become available for the majority (50–80%) of CMT1 patients, especially for those with AD disease^{3,14}. Three genetic defects (PMP22 duplication, GJB1, or MPZ subtle mutation) were responsible for approximately 90% of detectable mutations in patients with demyelinating CMT1⁸. Genetic analysis of our case found duplication in chromosome 17p11.2 encompassing the PMP22 gene, causing CMT1A, which is the most common hereditary neuropathy¹⁵.

In CMT1, muscle atrophy may not be evident in the early stage of the disease in patients with

considerable subcutaneous fat⁴. Our reported case had calf hypertrophy without any evidence of muscle atrophy. The pathophysiological mechanisms responsible for muscle enlargement in neurogenic disorders are still unclear⁹. However, few studies mentioned that true calf hypertrophy was mainly due to hypertrophy of type 1 fibers in contrast to fat accumulation in the dystrophic process⁹⁻¹². Some authors have proposed that the muscle enlargement in CMT may be a compensatory product of daily physical activity by way of a work-induced type 2 fiber hypertrophy and stretch-induced type 1 fiber hypertrophy. Indeed, muscle biopsy has demonstrated types 1 and 2 fiber hypertrophy in some patients^{9,11,12}. Hyperexcitability of peripheral nerves may contribute to hypertrophy, which can be observed as continuous muscle unit activity on electromyography⁵. This mechanism is, however, unlikely in our patients as gastrocnemius muscles did not show any continuous motor unit activity on EMG.

Some studies highlighted that calf hypertrophy might be genetically determined^{11,16}. Both affected members showed a duplication of the entire segment 17p11.2, which has been thought to be responsible through segmental trisomy and a gene dosage effect of the CMT1A phenotype¹⁷. However, the exact genetic mechanism and characterization of new genes may explain why some patients of CMT present with muscle atrophy while others present with calf hypertrophy.

Conclusion

It is well known that phenotypic expression varies in CMT1 families even in the members of the same generation. Our patient adds a phenotypic variation associated with CMT1A. CMT should be considered in the list of differential diagnoses of patients with calf hypertrophy and proximal weakness even in the absence of expected clinical features of hereditary neuropathy. NCS and EMG play a vital role not only in the diagnosis of CMT1 but also in deciding which genetic defect to be analyzed first.

Acknowledgements

None

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

Financial Disclosure and Funding Sources

This study has been performed without any funding from outside else.

Contributions to authors: BD and Saha were involved in study compiling, and manuscript writing. All were involved in case diagnosis and management. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data Availability

Any inquiries regarding supporting data availability of this study should be directed to the corresponding author and are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Written informed consent was obtained from the legal guardian of the patient.

Copyright: © Debnath and Saha. 2025. Published by *Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research*. This is an open-access article and is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0). This article is published under the Creative Commons CC BY-NC License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). This license permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes. To view a copy of this license, please See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Cite this article as: Debnath B, Saha NC. Calf Hypertrophy and Proximal Weakness in a 6-year-old Boy Mimicking Myopathy: A Case Report. *J Curr Adv Med Res* 2025; 12(1):44-47

ORCID

Bithi Debnath: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6875-3901>
Narayan Chandra Saha: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3779-2788>

Article Info

Received: 7 March 2024

Accepted: 3 April 2024

Published: 1 January 2025

References

1. Skre H. Genetic and clinical aspects of Charcot-Marie-Tooth's disease. *Clinical genetics* 1974; 6(2):98-118
2. Ionasescu VV, Ionasescu R, Searby C. Screening of dominantly inherited Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathies. *Muscle & Nerve: Official Journal of the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine* 1993; 16(11):1232-8
3. Saporta AS, Sottile SL, Miller LJ, Feely SM, Siskind CE, Shy ME. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease subtypes and genetic testing strategies. *Annals of Neurology* 2011; 69(1):22-33
4. Dyck PJ. Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies. *Peripheral neuropathy* 1993; 1094-136.
5. Vasilescu C, Alexianu M, Dan A. Neuronal type of Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease with a syndrome of continuous motor unit activity. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 1984;63(1):11-25
6. Reimers CD, Schlotter B, Eicke BM, Witt TN. Calf enlargement in neuromuscular diseases: a quantitative ultrasound study in 350 patients and review of the literature. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 1996;143(1-2):46-56
7. Saporta MA, Shy ME. Inherited Peripheral Neuropathies. *Neurol Clin* 2013;31(2):597-619
8. Rudnik-Schöneborn S, Auer-Grumbach M, Senderek J. Hereditary neuropathies: update 2017. *Neuropediatrics* 2017; 48(04): 282-93.
9. Uncini A, Di Muzio A, Chiavaroli F, Gambi D, Sabatelli M, Archidiacono N, Antonacci R, Marzella R, Rocchi M. Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy with calf hypertrophy is associated with 17p 11.2 duplication. *Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology Society* 1994; 35(5):552-8
10. Mukherjee A, Dhawan SR, Saini L. Muscle Hypertrophy in a Child With Progressive Weakness—Thinking Beyond Muscular Dystrophy. *Journal of Clinical Neuromuscular Disease* 2019; 20(3):140-1
11. Sakashita Y, Sakato S, Komai K, Takamori M. Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy with calf muscle enlargement. *Journal of the neurological sciences* 1992; 113(1):118-22
12. De Visser M, Hoogendijk JE, de Visser BW, Verbeeten Jr BJ. Calf enlargement in hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy. *Muscle & Nerve: Official Journal of the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine* 1990;13(1):40-6
13. Thomas PK, Marques Jr W, Davis MB, Sweeney MG, King RH, Bradley JL, Muddle JR, Tyson J, Malcolm S, Harding AE. The phenotypic manifestations of chromosome 17p11. 2 duplication. *Brain: a journal of neurology* 1997; 120(3):465-78
14. England JD, Gronseth GS, Franklin G, Carter GT, Kinsella LJ, Cohen JA, Asbury AK, Szigeti K, Lupski JR, Latov N, Lewis RA. Evaluation of distal symmetric polyneuropathy: the role of laboratory and genetic testing (an evidence-based review). *Muscle & Nerve*. 2009;39(1):116-25.
15. Lupski JR, Chance PF. Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies involving altered dosage or mutation of PMP22: the CMT1A duplication and HNPP deletion. In *Peripheral neuropathy*. WB Saunders. 2005; 1659-1680.
16. Steiner I, Gotkine M, Steiner-Birmanns B, Biran I, Silverstein S, Abeliovich D, Argov Z, Wirguin I. Increased severity over generations of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1A. *Journal of Neurology* 2008; 255(6):813-9
17. Chance PF, Bird TD, Matsunami N, Lensch MW, Brothman AR, Feldman GM. Trisomy 17p associated with Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type 1A phenotype: Evidence for gene dosage as a mechanism in CMT1A. *Neurology* 1992; 42(12):2295-2299