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Surgical Outcomes of Haemorrhoidectomy along With Suture Haemorrhoidopexy in Multiple Haemorrhoids
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Abstract

**Background:** Dealing multiple haemorrhoids at a time is challenging for surgeon in the fear of developing anal stenosis postoperatively. **Objectives:** The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the outcome of suture haemorrhoidopexy for secondary position haemorrhoids in addition to haemorrhoidectomy for primary haemorrhoids (multiple). **Methodology:** This prospective interventional study was performed in Dhaka Medical College Hospital and in a private hospital in Gazipur, Bangladesh for over five (05) years from January 2012 to December 2016. Patients who presented with multiple haemorrhoids were included in this study. Open haemorrhoidectomy followed by suture haemorrhoidopexy was done. All patients were followed up after 1, 2, 4, 8 weeks, 6 months and 1 yearly. **Result:** Total 18 patients were operated. Among those immediate complications were encountered in patients as per rectal bleeding (1(5.55%), pain (3(visual pain scale 4)16.66%, mucosal oedema 6(33.33%), no patient developed early postoperative prolapse. No patient developed bowel incontinence. Late complications experienced as prolapse at 1 year follow up which was treated by conservative measures. No patient developed anal stenosis. Mean operating time was 25 minutes and duration of hospital stay was 1 day. **Conclusion:** Open haemorrhoidectomy along with suture haemorrhoidopexy for multiple haemorrhoids is a safe and cost effective alternate surgical procedure instead of PPH stapler. [Journal of Current and Advance Medical Research 2019;6(2):73-76]
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Introduction

Since centuries, a large number of treatment modalities for haemorrhoidal disease are known, but none is the ideal one. The ideal treatment was not possible without understanding the definite pathology and pathological anatomy. Different study was carried out though out the last 35 years to design a more optimum treatment procedure for haemorrhoids. The interventional attitude may involve physical and chemical procedures (Mitchell’s sclerotherapy, atomization, cryosurgery, infrared, laser or radiofrequency coagulation), supradentate devascularisation (Baron’s rubber banding, Pakravan’s en Z-haemorrhoidopexy, Morinaga’s haemorrhoid arterial ligation, De Longo’s stapling haemorrhoidopexy) or haemorrhoidectomies (segmental hemorrhoidec) of Parks, Milligan-Morgan, Fergusson or their variants using the harmonic scalpel or LigaSure or the radical White head Vercescu’s procedure.

As the piles mass protrude out of anal canal the mucosal covering becomes fragile, which bleeds easily. The fixation of these loose prolapsing piles cushions has been understood to be the main principle of newer modalities of treatment of haemorrhoids such as stapler and Doppler Guided Haemorrhoid artery Ligation (DGHL). Longo’s procedure depends on shortening of long prolapsing tissue and fixing of cushions to their original position by auto-suturing above the dentate line. In 1995, Morinaga reported a new technique for the haemorrhoidal artery ligation (HAL), in which specially designed proctoscope attached with a Doppler transducer is used for identification and ligation of haemorrhoidal arteries. Because the arteries carrying the blood inflow are ligated, internal pressure of the plexus haemorrhoidal is decreased.

All the existing procedures have a recurrence rate varying between 18 % and 60.0%. The main etiological factor of development of recurrence of the haemorrhoids is development of the collaterals in all the present procedures in which ligation of vessels is done at one site. In this study we tried to show the effects of sutured haemorrhoidopexy in terms of post-operative events and recurrence rates along with cost effectiveness.

Methodology

Study Settings and Population: This prospective interventional study was performed in Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh and in a private hospital in Gazipur, Bangladesh for over five (05) years from January 2012 to December 2016. Symptomatic patients of haemorrhoids positioning in primary position, as well as secondary positions were included in the study. All these patients were clinically examined and evaluated for surgery. Clinically evident patients with GI malignancy were excluded from the study. The permission from hospital ethical committee was taken and written informed consent was taken from the patients after explaining the surgical procedure and its possible outcomes.

Surgical Procedure: The bowel was prepared by oral liquids for 24 h; 500 ml of Osmosol was given orally 12 hourly before the operation. Fleet enema was introduced at 10 PM, the day before the surgery and at 7.00 AM on the day of surgery. Under the saddle block/spinal anaesthesia, patient was positioned in lithotomy with steep head low position, which helped in reducing piles mass. Cases and lax mucosal and submucosal tissues were replaced upwards to their original position. The anal canal was lubricated generously with lots of jelly and massaged. A proctoscope was used to compress and push the piles masses upwards, eventually lax mucosal and submucosal layers, containing vessels, were repositioned in anal canal. A self-retaining anal speculum was used. Traditional open haemorrhoidectomy done for primary haemorrhoids. Secondary haemorrhoids were treated by haemorrhoidopexy. 3/0atraumatic polyglactin suture were used in a 30 mm ½ circle needle. At the base of the pedicle a stitch was passed through along with muscle layer. 2-3 subsequent bite were taken only in mucosa and submucosa, depending on the size of secondary haemorrhoid, then tied. Precaution was taken so that deeper structures don’t get injured.

Results

The series included total of 18 patients comprising of 10 males patients and 8 females patients, the average age was 47.5 years; ranged between 22 and 76 years.

Table 1: Post-operative Complications (1st week)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal oedema</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolapse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all the cases, frequent episodes of bleeding per rectum were noted. In some patients itching around
the anus was noted while some were suffering from discharge per rectum and spoiling under wears (Table 1).

Figure 1: Presentation of Patients

Sigmoidoscopy wasn’t performed in all cases, but if there was a clinical suspicion of GI malignancy. Postoperatively, all the patients were discharged after 24 h, except for 2 cases. Postoperative minor bleeding was noted in 3 cases that required no treatment. The haemorrhoid masses were reduced 90.0% immediately postoperatively on the table, and further reduced in 3 to 7 days (Figure 3, 4 and 5).

Table 2: Post-operative complications (1st week)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal oedema</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolapse</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anal stenosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The suture haemorrhoidopexy for haemorrhoids had minor oozing from some stitches in 11 % of cases during operation, which was controlled by compression. The small area of the mucosal tear noted in the early 3 cases required no treatment. Mucosal oedema present in 6(33.33%) cases, required no special treatment.

Table 3: Per Operative Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor oozing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal tear</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of pile mass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time</td>
<td>15 to 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The patients were called for follow-up after 1, 3 and 6 months and later on yearly communicated over telephone for 2 years. At 1 year follow up in, haemorrhoid of grade I without bleeding were noted in 1 patient. No stenosis was observed in the anal canal on per rectal and proctoscopy examination. No incidence of impairment of continence was noted. Postoperative pain was assessed by pain analogue score during hospital stay and all of them showed score ranging from 3 to 6 in pain analogue score. Total hospital cost in private hospital was 25000 BDT while the cost would be 50,000 BDT in stapled haemorrhoidopexy for same type of patient in same hospital setting. Mean operative time was 15 to 20 minutes.

Discussion

The Milligan- Morgan (MM) open haemorrhoidectomy is the most widely practiced surgical technique used for the management of haemorrhoids and is considered the current "gold Standard"9. Due to the high recurrence rate and potential complications, the use of stapler is decreasing day by day. Recurrence is a major issue associated with stapled haemorrhoidopexy17. Cost of the stapler is also an issue of worth consideration. The systematic review by Laughlan et al18 and the meta-analysis by Giordano et al19 both showed that there is an increased risk of recurrence with Stapled haemorrhoidopexy compared with the Morgan-Milligan procedure, with odds ratios (ORs) ranging from 4.6 to 5.5 and incidence varying between 0 and 50% . The prolapse recurrence of 36%. The recurrence rate also depended on the degree of haemorrhoidal prolapse before the operation. This raises the question of how patients were selected and whether different techniques should be selected for some groups of patients.

The suture haemorrhoidopexy offers several short-term advantages, such as reduced blood loss and postoperative pain with a faster recovery time and shortened hospital stay. It also improves quality of life. The considerable increased risk of recurring haemorrhoidal prolapse should be weighed against these potential benefits and the patient should be involved in choosing the best treatment option18,19.

Conclusion

Haemorrhoids is one of the most annoying diseases for the patients. But still an effective surgical procedure is an order of the day in the treatment of haemorrhoid because of post-operative adverse sequelae and cost of treatment. Suture haemorrhoidopexy can be a suitable alternative in the treatment of haemorrhoid, to be precise, with haemorrhoid in secondary positions especially in
developing countries like Bangladesh. In countries like Bangladesh where per capita income is low for most of the people, open haemorrhoidectomy along with suture haemorrhoidopexy for secondary position haemorrhoids is a safe and effective alternate surgical procedure instead of PPH stapler.
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