Epidural administration of ketamine or fentanyl with bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia –
A comparative study
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Abstract

The purpose of the study was to compare the analgesic effectiveness of epidural administration of ketamine mixed bupivacaine with fentanyl mixed bupivacaine in the management of postoperative pain. This prospective study was carried out in CMH, Bogra in one calendar year from July 2004 to June 2005. For postoperative pain management 100 patients of both sex, age ranging between 20 to 50 years, ASA physical status I and II scheduled for lower abdominal, pelvic and inguinal surgery were included in the study. All patients were divided into two groups. Epidural catheter was inserted in each patient through space between L3 to L2. Surgery was done under epidural anaesthesia in both groups. In group A (n=50) surgery was done with 0.5% bupivacaine and fentanyl (bupivacaine 1.5 ml/segment + fentanyl 2µg/ml). In group B (n=50) surgery was done with 0.5% bupivacaine and ketamine (bupivacaine 1.5ml/segment + ketamine 0.3mg/kg body weight). Epidural analgesia was continued in postoperative ward with 6 ml 0.25% bupivacaine + fentanyl 2µg/ml in group A and with 6 ml 0.25% bupivacaine + ketamine 0.3mg/kg bodyweight in group B, 4 hourly for 24 hours. The efficacy of analgesia was assessed by using Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and Verbal Rating Scale (VRS). Mean VAS and mean VRS were less than 3 in both groups, which proved adequate postoperative analgesia. Differences of mean VAS and mean VRS between two groups were statistically not significant. Haemodynamic parameters, respiration and oxygenation were within normal range in both groups. Postoperative complications, like inadequate analgesia, post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV), headache and vertigo were less in both groups. It was observed that epidural administration of both bupivacaine mixed with ketamine and bupivacaine mixed with fentanyl found safe, effective and tolerable for postoperative pain management.
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Introduction

Postoperative pain management is an essential part of modern anaesthesia and surgery. Anaesthesiologists generally best manage it, because they offer regional anaesthetic techniques as well as pharmacologic expertise in analgesics. Usually postoperative pain has been treated with intramuscular pethidine as and when basis or 8 hours interval. But study showed that traditional postoperative pain management does not provide adequate postoperative analgesia1. Recently there is an increased interest in regional techniques, studies suggest that regional anaesthesia and analgesia especially epidural block can provide better postoperative analgesia and block neuroendocrine response to surgery; the sensory level of block should be above L1 to have a significant effect on cortisol response2. Epidural anaesthesia and analgesia can be achieved through any intravertebral space from C3-4 to sacral hiatus3. An epidural catheter is used for top ups or continuous infusion of local anaesthetics during postoperative period. Local anaesthetics, short or long acting, remain the foundation of regional anaesthesia. However, local anaesthetics have central nervous and cardiac toxicity in overdose and have other disadvantages.
such as motor blockade, tachyphylaxis or hypotension when used in clinical concentrations. The strategy was to add other substances to local anaesthetics. Apart from epinephrine, opioids were the first drugs to be associated with the local anaesthetics. The efficacy of this mixture has been extensively demonstrated and animal studies showed synergism between these two classes of analgesics. Opioid may be mixed with the local anaesthetic solution to intensify the block or to manage postoperative pain. Opioid receptors are present in level I and II of substantia gelatinosa of the dorsal horn. The clinical behavior of the opioid can be predicted by its lipid solubility. Highly lipid soluble opioids like fentanyl, passes through membranes and binds quickly to the receptors. Fentanyl has a rapid onset, short duration and unlimited spread. However, risk of opioid-induced respiratory depression, so the search for alternative analgesic drugs continues.

Ketamine has been used as an anesthetic analgesic agent for more than 30 years. Ketamine has been reported to produce not only general but also local anesthesia. It also interacts with N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, opioid receptors, monoaminergic receptors, muscarinic receptors, and voltage gated calcium channels. Ketamine has been studied in the epidural route alone or combination with local anaesthetics alternative to local anaesthetic mixed with opioid. The combination of epidural ketamine and bupivacaine increased the level of sensory block, improved analgesia and reduced analgesic consumption compared with bupivacaine alone. This prospective study was designed to compare the analgesic effectiveness of epidural administration of fentanyl mixed bupivacaine to ketamine mixed bupivacaine in the management of postoperative pain after lower abdominal, pelvic and inguinal operations.

Materials and Methods
We performed a prospective study on one hundred patients of both sex, age ranging between 20-50 years, ASA physical status I and II scheduled for routine lower abdominal, pelvic and inguinal operations, who gave written informed consent to the protocol. The study was performed at Combined Military Hospital, Bogra in one calendar year from July 2004 to June 2005 and BSMMU. Patients with known bleeding disorders, sepsis near injection site, previous extensive back surgery were excluded from the study. During preanaesthetic assessment, total procedure was explained to each patient and every patient was familiarized with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). Each patient was preloaded with 500ml Hartmann’s solution before performing block. Taking all asept precautions an 18(G) catheter was introduced through 18(G) epidural needle between L2, L3 space.

Patients were divided into two groups. Group A contained 50 patients received a bolus dose of 0.5% bupivacaine 1.5ml/segment with fentanyl 2µg/ml through epidural catheter. Group B contained 50 patients received a bolus dose of 0.5% bupivacaine 1.5ml/segment with ketamine 0.3mg/kg body weight through epidural catheter. Level of sensory block was tested by pinprick. Surgery was allowed after 20 minutes of initial dose. Heart rate, blood pressure, ECG and SpO2 were monitored continuously. After completion of operation, all patients were taken to postoperative ward and nursed for 24 hours. In group A, postoperative analgesia was maintained with 6ml of 0.25% bupivacaine with fentanyl 2µg/ml, injected through epidural catheter 4 hourly for 24 hours. In group B, postoperative analgesia was maintained with 6ml of 0.25% bupivacaine with ketamine 0.3mg/kg body weight injected through epidural catheter 4 hourly for 24 hours. Postoperative analgesia was assessed in both groups subjectively by VAS and postoperative nurses assessed pain by mean of Verbal Rating Scale (VRS). Observations were made at 4 hours interval for 24 hours. A rescue dose of intramuscular injection of pethidine 1 mg/kg bodyweight was given if any patient complained inadequate analgesia. Patient’s heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate and SpO2 were observed accordingly. Postoperative complications, like inadequate analgesia, post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV), headache and vertigo were also recorded. All results were expressed in percentage or mean±SEM as applicable. Statistical analysis were carried out using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) for Windows version 10.0. Results were considered statistically significant if P value less than 0.05.

Results
Patient’s demographics were similar and comparable in both groups and differences were statistically not significant (Table-I). Duration of
surgical procedure was similar in both groups and differences were statistically not significant (Table-I). No patient was withdrawn from the study. The types of operation performed were shown in Table-II. Operating conditions were pronounced satisfactory by the surgeon concerned in all the cases. In the postoperative period, mean VAS was $2.21 \pm 0.13$ minutes in group A and $2.31 \pm 0.15$ minutes in group B. Mean VAS was more in group B than in group A but difference between two group was statistically not significant. (Table-III). Mean VRS was $2.68 \pm 0.11$ minutes in group A and $2.87 \pm 0.16$ minutes in group B. Mean VRS was more in group B than in group A, but difference between two group was statistically not significant (Table-III). There were no instances of hypotension, bradycardia, and respiratory depression to both groups during and after administration of epidural drugs during surgery and in postoperative period. Two patients in group A and four patients in group B complained about inadequate analgesia in first 6 hours after operation and managed with single rescue dose of intramuscular pethidine $1\text{ mg/kg bodyweight}$. However, the difference of inadequate analgesia between two groups was statistically not significant. Incidence of postoperative complications like PONV, headache, vertigo were recorded and shown in (Table-IV). Incidence of PONV is more in group B than group A and difference was statistically significant ($p<0.01$). Incidence of headache and vertigo were similar and less in both groups and differences were statistically not significant. Two patients in group B complained mild transient burning sensation in back during epidural injection of ketamine mixed bupivacaine.

### Table-I

**Characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group A (n=50)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Student’s ‘t’ test (unpaired)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>$43.98 \pm 3.11$</td>
<td>$40.78 \pm 2.87$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>22/28</td>
<td>24/26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (kg)</td>
<td>$52.17 \pm 1.68$</td>
<td>$53.25 \pm 1.76$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>$157.48 \pm 1.32$</td>
<td>$156.36 \pm 1.26$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Surgery (min)</td>
<td>$72.34 \pm 4.37$</td>
<td>$74.85 \pm 5.25$</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Mean $\pm$ SEM
NS - No significant differences between two groups.

### Table-II

**Types of operation performed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of operations</th>
<th>Group A (n=50)</th>
<th>Group B (n=50)</th>
<th>Total (n=100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal hysterectomy</td>
<td>23(46%)</td>
<td>20(40%)</td>
<td>43(43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inguinal herniorraphy</td>
<td>18(36%)</td>
<td>22(44%)</td>
<td>40(40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine myomectomy</td>
<td>3(6%)</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
<td>7(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulpino- oophorectory</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>6(6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendicectomy</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>4(4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-III

**Comparison of mean VAS and mean VRS between two groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>VRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A (n=50)</td>
<td>$2.21 \pm 0.13$</td>
<td>$2.68 \pm 0.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B (n=50)</td>
<td>$2.31 \pm 0.15$</td>
<td>$2.87 \pm 0.16$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result Student’s ‘t’ test (unpaired)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are Mean $\pm$ SEM
NS – No significant differences between two groups
Discussion
Surgery represents a form of legally allowable premeditated injury inflicted on the body of the patient, hence it is reasonable to expect and experience pain after surgery. In spite of recent advances in medical science, in surveys, nearly one third of patients unfortunately still complained inadequate relief of postoperative pain13. Postoperative analgesia is usually achieved by time-honoured method of intramuscular injection of pethidine, which is inadequate. In the last few years regional analgesia especially epidural block with catheter technique provide an excellent means of postoperative analgesia. To avoid the complications, risk of local anesthetics, anaesthesiologists seek to reduce the dose of local anesthetics, while maintaining the analgesic efficacy, and different adjuvants were tried. The efficacy of mixture of local anesthetics and opioid has been extensively demonstrated. Animal studies showed synergism between these two classes of analgesics 4 and opioids act mainly on presynaptic receptors and reduce neurotransmitter release14. Since the first publication on the epidural administration of ketamine in human in 1982, various studies on pharmacology, toxicology and clinical use of ketamine by the epidural and intrathecal routes have been published. Ketamine is a phencyclidine derivative, has a chemical structure similar to that of lignocaine and procaine6. Ketamine antagonizes NMDA receptors and prevents wind up and long term potentiation14. There is considerable evidence that ketamine inhibits spinal transmission of nociceptive stimuli15,16.

In this present study, we confirm the previous reports that ketamine exerts significant effects on postoperative pain mechanism. In this study, we tried to compare the analgesic effectiveness of epidural administration of ketamine mixed bupivacaine with fentanyl mixed bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia. We used 0.25% bupivacaine 6ml with fentanyl 2 µg/ml in group A and 0.25% bupivacaine 6ml with ketamine 0.3 mg/kg bodyweight in group B, 4 hourly through epidural catheter for 24 hours in the postoperative period. The pain intensity was assessed accordingly by using VAS and VRS. The mean VAS and mean VRS was less than 3 in both groups which indicate adequate postoperative analgesia was maintained in both group. However, mean VAS and mean VRS were less in group A than group B, but the differences were statistically not significant.

This analgesic effectiveness of ketamine mixed bupivacaine may correlate the study undergone for postoperative pain management for upper abdominal surgery with epidural ketamine combined with bupivacaine12. Ketamine antagonizes NMDA receptors in substantia gelatinosa in the spinal cord17,18. It also interacts with spinal opioid receptors but seems to play a minor role. The affinity of ketamine for opioid receptors may be 10,000 fold weaker than morphine19. In vitro and animal studies also suggested ketamine involve the descending inhibitory monoamimergic pain pathways, through inhibition of reuptake of neurotransmitter9. With epidural block postoperative analgesia is confined to area of operation, thus minimizing the vasomotor paralysis and haemodynamic stability of postoperative patients are well maintained20. In this study patient’s haemodynamic parameters were within normal range. There were no reports of hypotension and bradycardia in both groups. Respiratory rate and SpO2 were also acceptable in both groups.

Incidence of postoperative complications like PONV, headache and vertigo were observed in both groups.

### Table – IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Inadequate analgesia</th>
<th>PONV</th>
<th>Headache</th>
<th>Vertigo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
<td>8(16%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Chi-square test</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>P&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS - No significant differences between groups.
P < 0.01 – Statistically significant.
Incidences were less and almost similar in both groups, though PONV was more in group B than group A and difference was statistically significant. Two patients complained mild transient burning sensation in back during epidural administration of ketamine added bupivacaine in group B. This may correlate with study undergone epidural ketamine for postoperative analgesia for gallbladder operation. This mild burning sensation was may be due to ketamine itself and well tolerated by the patients.

**Conclusion**

Epidural administration of bupivacaine mixed with ketamine produced satisfactory level of postoperative analgesia. The quality of analgesia did not significantly differ from that of fentanyl added bupivacaine. Both the techniques were found safe, effective and acceptable.
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