The Role of Mirena (Intra-uterine progestogens), Other than Contraceptive benefits: Current Concepts and Practices
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Summary:
Mirena is a long acting intrauterine hormone-releasing (LNG-IUS) contraceptive system with a flexible plastic T-shaped frame bearing a levonorgestrel(LNG)-containing cylinder which releases small doses levonorgestrel into the uterine cavity after insertion with maximum effect on the endometrium and minimum progestogenic side-effect. LNG causes thinning of the endometrium, atrophy of the endometrial glands and decidualisation of the endometrial stroma. The most common side-effect of LNG-IUS is unscheduled vaginal bleeding in the first 3 months, so proper counseling is needed. In some cases functional ovarian cysts may occur which are relatively small, symptomless and resolved spontaneously within a short time.

Introduction:
Mirena is a long acting intrauterine hormone-releasing contraceptive system. It comprises a small flexible plastic T-shaped frame (length: 3 mm) bearing a levonorgestrel(LNG)-containing cylinder. After insertion into the uterus, levonorgestrel-Intrauterine system (LNG IUS) released from the cylinder in small doses (initial release rate, 20 mg/day) into the uterine cavity.

First of all, the concept of intra-uterine administration of progesterone for contraception was introduced in the US in the 1970s. Then, the levonorgestrel-releasing intra-uterine system was devised in Finland gaining a license there for contraception in 1990 and is currently marketed in most European countries, in the UK, since May 19951 and in the US since 2000. It is now widely used for its excellent contraceptive benefits. Then the non-contraceptive health benefits of these systems secondary to the effect of the local action of the progestogen on the endometrium have been observed and researched which has supported the granting of a license for the use of the levonorgestrel-releasing system for the non-contraceptive indication of menorrhagia, specially idiopathic menorrhagia2 and the treatment of other endometrial pathology.

Here, we explore the evidence obtained from the use of these intra-uterine hormone delivery systems to provide a review of their current and proposed wider clinical applications, advantages and disadvantages of such devices.

Types of Intrauterine Progesterone
There are four types of Intra-uterine systems: Progestasert, Mirena, Mirena ML and Fibroplant. The latter two are still undergoing clinical trials3. The Mirena Intrauterine System (LNG-IUS) has a T-shaped frame (based on the Nova T IUCD) 32 mm by 32mm made of polyethylene surrounded by an elastomer sleeve in its vertical part. This sleeve is a 1:1 mixture of 52 mg of levonorgestrel and 7 mg of 3-ethyl estradiol (EE3).
polymethylsiloxane. The membrane (also made of polymethylsiloxane) allows a controlled release of 20 mcg of levonorgestrel daily at a constant rate over 5 years. The rate slowly decreases to 15 mcg a day after 5 years and then to 12 mcg at 7 years. Both the serum and intra-uterine levels remain constant over the lifetime of the device in one individual. The serum levels vary from 0.3 to 0.6mmol/l.

**Effects on Endometrium.**
The high levonorgestrel (LNG) concentration in the endometrium down regulate endometrial oestrogen and progesterone receptors, making the endometrium insensitive to circulating $E_2$ (thereby suppressing endometrial growth). After only a couple of months of Mirena use, the glands of endometrium atrophy, the stroma becomes swollen and decidual, the mucosa thins and the epithelium becomes inactive. Vascular changes are thickening of arterial walls, suppression of spiral arterioles and capillary thrombosis. The endometrial changes are uniform within 3 cycles after insertion of the system and no further histological changes take over the long term. Biochemical modulators shows a reduction of cell proliferation and an increase in programmed cell death. These result in a reduction in the endometrial thickness. These changes are reversible and after long-term use; normal menstruation is restored 1 month after the removal of the system.

The main principle of non-contraceptive health benefits of the LNG-IUS is based on this endometrial suppression and these include beneficial effects on menorrhagia, as the progestogenic component of combined HRT, in the treatment of hyperplastic and endometrotic endometrium and fibroids and their symptoms. Other health benefits include a reduction in pelvic inflammatory disease and ectopic pregnancy and a possible application in the treatment of premenstrual syndromes.

**Effects on ovarian function.**
Over 85% of women have ovulatory cycles using the LNG-IUS,4 and thereafter most cycles are ovulatory. For complete suppression of ovulation, a daily intrauterine release of more than 50mcg of LNG is required.

**Benefits**

*Role in the management of menorrhagia.*
Menorrhagia is experienced by up to 30% women of reproductive age, it accounts for 60% of general practice consultations for menstrual dysfunction, 12% of gynecology referrals and is the commonest cause of iron-deficiency anaemia affecting 20-25% of healthy fertile women in the UK.

One in 20 women aged 30-49 years consult their general practitioner each year with menorrhagia. Of women referred secondary care, 60% are likely to have a hysterectomy within 5 years of referral as shown by Coulter et al. and in most of these women have a normal uterus removed.

In 1993-1994, 73,517 hysterectomies were carried out in England, there was a decline in 1997-1998 when 63,345 operations were carried out. Endometrial ablations had risen markedly from 9945 to 36,440 in the same period.

To date, the management of menorrhagia has relied on pharmacological or surgical therapy. Current pharmaceutical options include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), antifibrinolytics, danazol, Progestogens and combined oral contraceptives. The surgical treatments include hysterectomy and endometrial ablation or resection.

**A. Medical therapies for Menorrhagia**
The LNG-IUS is more effective than oral treatment in the management of menorrhagia. Milsom et al. studied that Mirena is superior to tranexamic acid and flurbiprofen in reducing blood loss (see Figure-1) on menorrhagia with a lesser side-effects.

Several studies by various types of drugs showed the reduction of menstrual blood loss by mefenamic acid 25%, Combined oral contraceptive pill 40%, tranexamic acid 50%, GnRh analogues 75% and danazol 80%.

**Fig.-1:** Reduction in menstrual blood loss as a percentage of mean of two control cycles for Mirena*, Tranexamic acid (TA) and flurbiprofen (FLURB); *p<0.05 (between TA and FLURB); **P<0.001 (between Mirena* and TA/FLURB).
The study of 20 women with menorrhagia by Andersson and Rubo\textsuperscript{11} used the LNG-IUS and demonstrated a significant reduction in menstrual loss of 85\% at 3 months\textsuperscript{*} and 97\% at 12 months of LNG-IUS usage with significant increase in mean serum ferritin by 47\% in the first year of use.(See Table-I, Fig-2 & Fig-3).

Irvine et al.\textsuperscript{7} showed that Mirena reduced MBL by 94\% after 3 months of treatment (see Table-I), compared with 87\% with oral norethisterone (15 mg daily for 21 days in each cycle). More recently, Reid and Virtanen-Kari\textsuperscript{12} showed that reduction of MBL after 6 months with Mirena was 96\% compared with mefenamic acid was only 17\%.

Tang GE,\textsuperscript{13} et al. involved 10 Chinese women with anaemia and who had objectively measured blood loss of > 80ml, used the LNG IUS and demonstrated a reduction of MBL 54\% at one month, 87\% at 3 month and 95\% at 6 month of treatment and an increase in mean haemoglobin by 19.2\% at 6 months compared with pre-treatment cycles. In addition, Xiao et al\textsuperscript{14} showed that Mirena significantly reduced MBL and increased hemoglobin and ferritin levels over 3 years’ follow up.

### Table-I

Summary of comparative and non-comparative studies evaluating the effectiveness of Mirena* in the treatment of menorrhagia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Duration (months)</th>
<th>Mean menstrual blood loss (ml)</th>
<th>Reduction in menstrual blood loss (%)</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scholten\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>12-Jul</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersson &amp; Rybo\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milsaon et al.\textsuperscript{9}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang &amp; Lo\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao et al.\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid &amp; Virtanen-Kari\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irvine et al.\textsuperscript{7}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Median values.
* p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.005; ****p<0.001.
Stewart et al. and Scholten has also showed the same result in their study: MBL reduced by 86% (see Table I) with increase of Hb% and serum ferritin level (see Figure-2 & Figure-3). The results of the meta-analysis showed the use of the LNG IUS could significantly reduce menstrual blood loss (range, 74-97%) in women with confirmed menorrhagia. However, to establish the effectiveness and cost effectiveness relative to other treatments and effect on surgical waiting lists, larger, more powerful, randomised, controlled trails with longer follow-up are required.

The Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) guideline on the management of menorrhagia in primary care does not identify the LNG-IUS as a treatment option. However, the RCOG guideline on the management of menorrhagia in secondary care suggests the LNG-IUS may be used to treat menorrhagia after an assessment of the uterine cavity and endometrial biopsy where appropriate. FFPRHC Guidance (April 2004) in The LNG-IUS in contraception and reproductive health stated “The LNG-IUS is effective option to treat menorrhagia (Grade A).”

**Fig.-2:** Reduction in menstrual blood loss (MBL) in women with menorrhagia after 3, 6 and 12 months of Mirena* use; *p<0.001 vs baseline.

**Fig.-3:** Mean concentrations (+SD) of a) hemoglobin and b) serum ferritin in women with menorrhagia before Mirena* insertion and after 3, 6 and 12 months of use (hemoglobin) and 6 and 12 months of use (ferritin); **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.
B. Surgical management for menorrhagia

A Cochrane review\(^\text{17}\), which included five studies, compared to the LNG-IUS with surgery (hysterectomy, endometrial resection and ablation) and concluded that conservative surgery appeared to be significantly more effective in controlling bleeding at 12 months [odds ratio (OR) 3.99; 95% CI 1.53–10.38] with beneficial effect in improving quality of life as conservative surgery, in the long term. Reports suggest that the treatment was so effective that 64–82% of women need not to do hysterectomy and around 14% of women continue existing medical therapies.

Nagrani and Bowen-Simpkins\(^\text{18}\) showed recently in one study of 4-5-year long-term follow-up of the patients 62 continuation rate of 50% after a mean 54 months follow-up and only 26.4% eventually had surgical treatment and an overall 67.4% avoided surgery.

When MBL is measured using a pictorial assessment chart (PBAC) by Higham et al.\(^\text{19}\) treatment success, defined as a PBAC score of d” 75 at 12 months, has been shown in similar comparisons. In the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) assessment of the subjective symptoms, sleeping problems were slightly increased in the TCRE group, general feeling of genital health was increased and menstrual pain decreased over time in both the groups.

In a randomized trial by Hurskainen et al.\(^\text{20}\) on quality of life and cost effectiveness of the LNG-IUS (n=119) versus hysterectomy(n=117), for treatment of menorrhagia total cost were 3 folds lower with Mirena than hysterectomy.\(^\text{31}\) Health-related quality of life(HRQoL) and indices of psychosocial well-being improved significantly in both group. Overall Mirena provides effective option for the treatment of menorrhagia with avoidance of the risk associated with a surgical procedure, and without permanent loss of fertility.

The RCOG guideline on management in secondary care\(^\text{16}\) outlines “A progestogen releasing IUD is an effective treatment for reducing heavy menstrual blood loss and should be considered as an alternative to surgical treatment (A).”

FFPRHC Guidance\(^\text{1}\) (April 2004) in the LNG-IUS in contraception and reproductive health stated:

1. “Surgery (hysterectomy, endometrial resection or ablation) is more effective than the LNG-IUS in treating menorrhagia at 1 year (Grade A).”

2. "The LNG-IUS is as effective as conservative surgery (resection and ablation) in the management of menorrhagia after the first year (Grade A).”

3. "Patient satisfaction and quality of life appear similar following LNG-IUS or surgical treatment of menorrhagia (Grade A).”

So the LNG-IUS provides an effective, efficient, well-tolerated, cost-effective alternative to other medical and surgical management of menorrhagia.

Progestogenic component of HRT & Effects on Lipid metabolism

Hormone replacement therapy (HRT), oestrogen (ERT), is an acceptable option for women who require relief of vasomotor symptoms. Exposure to unopposed oestrogens increases the risk of endometrial hyperplasia and malignancy. Progestogens reduce this risk. Randomized trials suggest that the LNG-IUS is effective in providing endometrial protection from the stimulatory effects of oestrogen, oral\(^\text{21}\) or transdermal. Cohort studies provide evidence of endometrial protection with the LNG-IUS and percutaneous oestradiol\(^\text{22}\) gel use. The majority of postmenopausal women (98.2%) using an LNG-IUS as the progestogenic component of HRT were amenorrhoic after 12 months of use.\(^\text{21}\)

Mirena causes favourable effects on ERT on the plasma lipid and lipoprotein profiles. A recent study by Raudaskoski et al.\(^\text{23}\) using 2 mg oestradiol valerate and the Mirena intra-uterine system showed HDL-cholesterol remaining at baseline level after 12 months of treatment. The LDL-cholesterol levels were reduced by all the LNG-IUS.\(^\text{23}\) These changes might be favorable in cardioprotection.

LNG IUS and endometrosis

A prospective, non-comparative study showed that of women with the LNG-IUS reported 80% reduction in primary dysmenorrhoea and MBL.\(^\text{24}\) According to the visual chart devised by Higham et al.\(^\text{19}\), women with endometriosis have a higher baseline mean menstrual score than normal. A pilot study\(^\text{25}\) demonstrated a greatly reduced visual analogue scale for menstrual pain which was associated with a 76% mean reduction in PBLA chart score.

Fibroids

The intra-uterine Levonorgestrel systems provide an improvement in fibroid-related menorrhagia with a
reduction in dysmenorrhoea. Five observational studies were identified that investigated the effect of LNG-IUS on uterine fibroids which showed a reduction in MBL and fibroid volume with LNG-IUS use.

FFPRHC Guidance (April 2004) in The LNG-IUS in contraception and reproductive health stated “The LNG-IUS is effective in the management of menorrhagia, even in the presence of fibroids (Grade C).” “It is not generally recommended that the LNG-IUS be used if fibroids are distorting the uterine cavity (Grade C).” WHOMEC recommends that if the uterine cavity is distorted with fibroids, the risks of LNG-IUS use outweigh the benefits (WHO 4) because this may not be compatible with insertion.

Endometrial hyperplasia & Treatment of early endometrial cancer

The LNG-IUS is effective in the treatment of endometrial hyperplasia due to the antiproliferative and suppressive effects on the endometrium. The largest case report found that all 12 women with simple hyperplasia or atypical hyperplasia had normal endometrium 12 months after LNG-IUS insertion. Montz et al. showed that intra-uterine progesterone appears to eradicate some cases of presumed stage I grade 1 endometrial cancer in women with a high risk of peri-operative morbidity.

Pelvic inflammatory disease

A large randomised study in 5 European countries concluded that women using the LNG IUS had a significantly lower rate of PID than IUCD users. There is also a protective effect in the long term, preventing sexually transmitted infection developing into PID with no protection against sexually transmitted infection.

Prevention of ectopic pregnancy

The LNG-IUS have a very low failure rates in prevention of pregnancy which makes the ectopic pregnancy rate very low. WHOMEC recommends that women with a previous ectopic pregnancy may use the LNG-IUS (WHO Category 1: unrestricted use).

Risks

Ovarian cyst formation

The incidence of functional ovarian cysts was higher in the LNG-IUS group compared to IUCD users. The majority of cysts (94%) were asymptomatic, relatively small and resolved spontaneously. Occurrence was not related to bleeding pattern, age or FSH levels.

Progestogenic side effects & Unscheduled vaginal bleeding

Some women do complain of hormonal side effects like oedema, weight gain, headache, breast tenderness, acne and hirsutism and decrease in LDL level. The multicentre contraceptive study in Europe noticed no difference in the weight gain between LNG IUS users and copper IUD users. Irregular vaginal bleeding and spotting in the first few months after insertion is a great problem with LNG-IUS. This usually settles within 3-6 months, in which time the full endometrial transformation occurs. 35% of premenopausal women develop amenorrhoea at the end of the first year of use, and normal menstruation will return once the device is removed.

Conclusions:

The LNG IUS shows a wider spectrum of benefit other than contraception. Careful pre-insertion counseling; and insertion by a trained fitter can minimize the side effects and bothersome symptoms.

The Mirena is a useful tool in the treatment of menorrhagia and progestogenic component of the hormone replacement armory mainly due to the local effect of Levonorgestrel in the endometrium which may lead to the development of the treatment of other endometrial diseases.
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