Outcome of Surgical Site Infection in General Surgical Practice in a District Hospital
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Abstract:
Background: Surgical site infection (SSI) can affect the surgical procedures in the peripheral hospital adversely and adequate data can help in the management of this unwanted complication.

Aim & Objective: The study was conducted with the aim to identify the outcome of surgical site infection (SSI) in a secondary level district hospital.

Materials & Methods: A total of 192 patients, underwent general surgical procedures in the 100-bedded district hospital, Shariatpur, Bangladesh from January to December, 2016. All were observed for development of SSI for a period of 30 days after their surgical procedure. SSI cases were identified according to National Healthcare Safety Network (CDC/NHSN) guideline and Southampton wound scoring system. Univariate and multivariate analysis was done to identify significant risk factors for development of SSI.

Results: Overall incidence of SSI was 20.31%. Significant risk factors for development of SSI were age, BMI, ASA grade, wound classification, diabetes, type of surgery, duration of surgery and perioperative transfusion (p<0.05). Among them, BMI (OR=1.434), diabetes (OR=8.126), type of surgery (routine/emergency) (OR=6.097), duration of surgery (OR=1.023) and perioperative transfusion (OR=2.130) were determined as independent risk factors on logistic regression.

Conclusion: SSI has a relatively high incidence in rural surgical practice. Identification and control of predictable risk factors would help to reduce the incidence of SSI.
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Introduction:
Surgical site infection (SSI) is defined as infection occurring within 30 days (or within one year if implant is in place) after the operation at site of surgery or at any part of the body opened or manipulated during the procedure.\textsuperscript{1} It is classified into three categories; 1. Superficial incisional, 2. Deep incisional, and 3. Organ/space SSI (Table 1). Despite advances in infection control practices, SSI remains a substantial burden over our healthcare system. In the USA, SSI is ranked third among all reported cases of inpatient nosocomial infections.\textsuperscript{2} SSI increases patients’ morbidity, hospital stay and mortality, and ultimately can have serious economic consequences. It is the commonest healthcare associated infection (HAI) in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) like Bangladesh and second most in Europe and USA.\textsuperscript{3} Incidence varies from region to region. In LMICs, the pooled incidence of SSI was 11.8 per 100
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facilities, one post-operative ward with four beds and trained staff to provide routine and 24-hour emergency service. There was no laparoscopy facility. For this study, ideal sample size was calculated using Cochran formula (95% CI, 5% margin of error and standard incidence rate 15%). Calculated sample size ($n = \frac{Z^2 \hat{p} \hat{q}}{E^2}$) closely matched actual sample size which was 192. Both in-patient and day case surgeries were included. We excluded gynecological and orthopedic surgeries (done in the same operating room on different weekdays). Procedures done in the OPD operating room or admitted SSI cases operated in other hospitals were excluded. Patients who had open wounds at the end of surgery as well as infected burn wounds were also excluded. Prophylactic antibiotics were administered in all cases except those done under local anesthesia, and was administered during induction. All patients received postoperative systemic and/or oral antibiotics. First check dressing was done in third to fifth postoperative day (POD) and subsequent dressings and stitch removal were done according to wound status. Wounds were classified according to the Southampton Wound Scoring System.\textsuperscript{11} All patients were followed up for at least 30 days after surgery, either in the ward, surgical OPD or over telephone interview.

\textbf{Data collection and statistical analysis:} Demographic characteristics, clinical and pathological data, operative variables and postoperative outcome variables were collected in a preformed data collection sheet. All of the data were checked and analyzed with SPSS v20. Discrete variables were described as frequency and percentage, and continuous variables were described as mean and standard deviation. First, descriptive statistics, including count and percentage, were used to describe the

\textit{Classification of Surgical Site Infection (SSI) (Summarized from “CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care-associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting”;} pg 313-14\textsuperscript{10}

\textbf{Superficial incisional SSI}
Infection occurs within 30 days after the operative procedure and involves only skin and subcutaneous tissue of the incision and patient has at least 1 of the following:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item purulent drainage
  \item organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue
  \item superficial incision deliberately opened by surgeon (culture positive or not cultured) and at least 1 of the following: pain or tenderness, localized swelling, redness, or heat (culture-negative finding does not meet this criterion)
  \item diagnosis by the surgeon or attending physician
\end{enumerate}

\textbf{Deep incisional SSI}
Infection occurs within 30 days after the operative procedure if no implant is left in place or within 1 year if implant is in place and the infection appears to be related to the operative procedure and involves deep soft tissues (eg, fascial and muscle layers) of the incision and patient has at least 1 of the following:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item purulent drainage from the deep incision but not from the organ/space component of the surgical site
  \item a deep incision spontaneously dehisces or is deliberately opened by a surgeon (culture-positive or not cultured) and the patient has at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms: fever (>38°C), or localized pain or tenderness (culture-negative finding does not meet this criterion)
  \item an abscess or other evidence of infection found on direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathologic or radiologic examination
  \item diagnosis by the surgeon or attending physician.
\end{enumerate}

\textbf{Organ/Space SSI}
Infection occurs within 30 days after the operative procedure if no implant is left in place or within 1 year if implant is in place involving any part of the body, excluding the skin incision, fascia, or muscle layers, that is opened or manipulated during the operative procedure and patient has at least 1 of the following:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item purulent drainage from a drain that is placed through a stab wound into the organ/space
  \item organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue in the organ/space
  \item an abscess or other evidence of infection involving the organ/space that is found on direct examination, during reoperation, or by histopathologic or radiologic examination
  \item diagnosis by the surgeon or attending physician.
\end{enumerate}

CDC = Center for Disease Control; NHSN = National Healthcare safety Network
demographic characteristics of the subjects. We used the Pearson and Wilcoxon univariable tests to guide the multivariable models for discrete and continuous variables, respectively. We used multivariable logistic regression models to identify preoperative and operative variables independently associated with SSI. The P-value for significance was set at 0.05 and the results are presented with an odds ratio (OR) and a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results:
A total of 192 patients, 99 male (51.6%) and 93 female (48.4%), who underwent various general surgical procedures during January to December, 2016, were registered for this study. Among them 39 patients developed SSI (labelled as SSI+ group) giving an overall incidence rate of 20.31%. The rest had no wound complication and were labelled as SSI- group. The 39 SSI+ cases comprised of 30 superficial incisional infections, seven deep incisional infections and two organ/space infections. Mean age of all patients was 34.62 ± 9.32 years (Range 12-60). Mean overall BMI was 23.47 ± 1.97 (Range 17.0-28.0). Both mean age and BMI was significantly higher in SSI+ group than in SSI- group. (Table 2). Incidence of SSI among patients aged more than 40 years was 40.5% compared to 14.7% in the younger group (p<0.001). Out of the 192 procedures, 79 (41.1%) were day case surgeries. Local, regional and general anesthesia were used in 77 (40.1%), 70 (36.45%) and 45 (23.44%) cases respectively. All were open procedures as laparoscopy facility was not available. Number of procedures and SSI among each type of procedure is shown in Fig. 1.

The association between potential risk factors and SSI was performed using the chi-square test for discrete variables and independent sample t-test for continuous variables (Table I). Risk factors significantly associated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>SSI+ group</th>
<th>SSI- group</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD</td>
<td>40.77 ± 8.04</td>
<td>33.05 ± 8.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (99)</td>
<td>22 (22.2%)</td>
<td>77 (77.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (93)</td>
<td>17 (18.3%)</td>
<td>76 (81.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean ± SD</td>
<td>24.64 ± 1.67</td>
<td>23.18 ± 1.94</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I (145)</td>
<td>20 (13.8%)</td>
<td>125 (86.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II (35)</td>
<td>15 (42.9%)</td>
<td>20 (57.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III (12)</td>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
<td>8 (66.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean (183)</td>
<td>36 (19.7%)</td>
<td>147 (80.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean-contaminated (7)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>6 (85.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated/Dirty (2)</td>
<td>2 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (44)</td>
<td>24 (54.5%)</td>
<td>20 (45.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (148)</td>
<td>15 (10.1%)</td>
<td>133 (89.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (58)</td>
<td>10 (17.2%)</td>
<td>48 (82.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (134)</td>
<td>29 (21.6%)</td>
<td>105 (78.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine (163)</td>
<td>29 (17.8%)</td>
<td>134 (82.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency (29)</td>
<td>10 (34.5%)</td>
<td>19 (65.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, mean ± SD</td>
<td>71.15 ± 33.51</td>
<td>44.48 ± 17.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (157)</td>
<td>18 (11.5%)</td>
<td>139 (88.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 unit (23)</td>
<td>13 (56.5%)</td>
<td>10 (43.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 units (10)</td>
<td>6 (60.0%)</td>
<td>4 (40.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 units (2)</td>
<td>2 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with SSI in this study were age, BMI, ASA grade, wound classification, diabetes, type of surgery, duration of surgery and perioperative transfusion (p<0.05). In contrast, risk factors found not to be significantly associated with SSI were sex and smoking.

A logistic regression was performed to analyze the independent effects of age, BMI, ASA category, wound category, duration of surgery, perioperative transfusion, diabetes and type of surgery (Table 3). The logistic regression model was statistically significant (c² = 81.409, p<0.001). The model explained 54.4% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in SSI and correctly classified 84.9% of cases. Increasing BMI and longer duration of surgery were positive predictors of SSI, as well as perioperative transfusion. Diabetics were 11.014% more likely to develop SSI than non-diabetics. SSI was significantly higher after emergency than after routine surgery (OR=8.873, 95% CI=1.966-40.041).

The average length of postoperative hospital stay among the admitted patients (n=113) was 7.06 ± 3.57 days. This was much higher in SSI+ patients (10.69 ± 3.06) than in SSI- patients (5.81 ± 2.81) (p<0.001). Secondary wound closure was needed in 27 patients (27/39, 69.23%). Among the 39 SSI patients,

### Table-II

**Analysis of risk factors for SSI using a multivariate logistic regression model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Variables in the Equation</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>Sig. (p)</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.049</td>
<td>0.972-1.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>6.337</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>1.101-2.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>3.769</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>1.000-1.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periop. Transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>3.617</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>2.316</td>
<td>0.975-5.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.399</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>12.245</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>11.014</td>
<td>2.873-42.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.183</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>8.062</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>8.873</td>
<td>1.966-40.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA category</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.142</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>2.386</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.319</td>
<td>0.075-1.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound category</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.851</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>2.609</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.017-1.484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig.-1:** Types of surgical procedures performed and incidence of SSI in each type (n=192)
bacteriological culture was done in only 31. Commonly cultured organisms include *Staphylococcus aureus* (41.9%), Coagulase negative *Staphyloccci* (16.13%) and *E. coli* (16.13%).

**Discussion:**
Surgical site infection, whether superficial involving skin and subcutaneous tissue, or deep involving deeper tissue and/or organ spaces can be a real nuisance and reflects poorly on the surgical team. Considerable effort is given on keeping the rate of SSI as low as possible. Even then it has become an integral part of patient management in a surgical ward. The overall incidence of SSI in this study was 20.31%. Most statistics available in the literature are from the developed countries and much lower than this. The European center for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) reported incidence of 0.5-9% in different procedures. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) Global Guidelines for the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection, incidence rate of SSI varied remarkable upon countries_regions, surgical procedures performed and also study design.(3) The summarized incidence rates included 0.9% in the USA (2014), 0.75-9.5% in European Union (2010-11), 0.4-8.3% in England (2008-13), 2.8% in Australia (2002-13), and 15-17.8% (colorectal surgeries) in Japan (2008-10). Ling et al found that the pooled incidence of SSI in Southeast Asia was 7.8% (6.3-9.3%). A large single center study in Brazil reported 3.4% SSI incidence over a 4-year period. The authors themselves suspected lack of post discharge surveillance leading to underreporting of SSI behind this relatively low incidence rate. In India, Tiwari et al found 12.06% SSI rate in their study conducted in a rural hospital in Maharashtra, while two separate studies in Mumbai reported 9.6% and 11% incidence rate respectively. Nur-e-Elahi M et al found 20.16% SSI incidence rate in surgery department of BSMMU, which is almost similar to this study. In 2018, a comparative study in two tertiary care hospitals in Dhaka reported rates of only 3.0-8.92%. Another study conducted in both general surgery and orthopedic ward in a tertiary care center in Barisal found overall prevalence rate of 14.3%. This study found that advanced age increases the risk of postoperative wound infection. Study done by Patel et al in Gujarat in 2012 showed incidence as high as 36.4% in age group >55 years. Higher BMI and more diabetics in patients >40 years may be the two compounding factors.

Diabetes mellitus is recognized worldwide as a risk factor for development and progression of SSI. Similarly it was an independent risk factor in our study (Table 2). Patients with diabetes are more susceptible due to impaired neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytosis. The Gujarat study found 36.4% SSI rate among diabetics compared to 13.5% among non-diabetics. Monjur et al recorded 28 times more chance of SSI in diabetics than in nondiabetics. In a randomized control trial on adults with complicated appendicitis, diabetes was one of four predictors significantly associated with SSI (OR=2.6, 95% CI=6.4-11.2). Another systemic review and meta-analysis conducted by Martin E et al on 94 PubMed indexed articles found diabetes as an independent risk factor for SSI for various types of surgeries (OR=1.53). In this cohort, the association of diabetes with SSI was much stronger (OR=11.014, 95% CI=2.873-42.222). This may have been compounded by the fact that a substantial number of diabetics had poor glycemic control in the perioperative period.

Higher BMI was another significant independent risk factor in this study. Masoomi H et al studied 7257 patients who underwent breast reconstruction surgeries during 2011-2015 and found that BMI>35 was a significant risk factor for development of wound complication (OR=2.38). Another research conducted on patients undergoing abdominal surgeries found higher BMI to be significantly associated with postoperative wound infections (OR=1.2, 95% CI=0.68-2.1).

ASA grade is an important predictor for development of SSI. The present study cohort had most patients from grade I and II (93.75%) and none above grade III, as patients with higher ASA grade, requiring major routine surgeries, were referred to tertiary centers for safe anesthetic and postoperative care. As a result, although ASA grade is a recognized risk factor, it was found not to be significant in the regression model.

Carvalho et al found that contaminated and dirty wounds were significantly associated (OR 2.7 and 2.0 respectively) with SSI. Nolan MB et al observed that current smoking increases the risk of SSI and smoking on the day of surgery is an independent risk factor. It has also been found that preoperative abstinence from smoking does not
always reduce the risk of SSI. But our study found no statistical evidence to suggest as such.

**Conclusion:**
This study pointed out risk factors for SSI and its outcome in general surgery patients in a district hospital. The risk factors found significant were consistent with NNIS surveillance guidelines. More comprehensive long term studies with microbiological study should be carried out in outer district hospitals to formulate a standardized protocol for prevention, early identification and better management of SSI.
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