Giant Ovarian Tumor Presenting as a Huge Abdomino-Pelvic Mass in a Teenage Girl: A Case Report
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Abstract:
Ovarian cysts are common causes of lower abdominal pain and abdominal distention in females. While most of them are benign and rarely grow immensely to achieve a huge size, they may be neoplastic in origin, reaching enormous dimensions with minimum or without raising any symptoms. Here, we present an interesting case of a 19-year-old female who presented with huge abdominal swelling and pain in whole abdomen from whom a 9.1 kg borderline mucinous ovarian cyst, occupying the whole abdominal cavity was removed.
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Introduction:
Giant or huge ovarian cyst are benign tumors of ovaries which are more than 10 to 15 cm in diameter and are very rare in younger age.1, 2 They are also very rare, about less than 1% in pregnant woman.3 Due to advance investigation techniques, now a days, they are usually diagnosed early and treated early.2 Very rarely they attain huge size causing abdominal symptoms and signs.4 These patients usually present with abdominal pain, discomfort, distention and pressure symptoms.5 6 Sometimes, they are misdiagnosed as other cysts, like pancreatic cyst7, hydatid cyst or mesenteric cyst. Most of the huge ovarian cysts are benign but few are malignant and some of them are intermediate type as well. They are diagnosed very easily by ultrasonography but some time they need more advance imaging like computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for their diagnosis.8 Serology including Echinococcus titer, CA-125, CA-19-9 or other tumor markers may need for further confirmation. Huge ovarian tumors are conventionally treated by elective laparotomies but now a days laparoscopic treatment are becoming gold standard for them.9,11

Case Report:
A 19-year-old unmarried female was referred to our Surgical Outpatient Department from the Gynecology and Obstetrics Outpatient Department for opinion. She had a history of gradually increasing abdominal swelling since 6 months. As the size of the swelling increased, the patient developed vague abdominal pain of dull aching character, more so in the left lower abdomen. There was neither a history of contact with sheep or dogs nor was there a family history of similar complaints or living in the rural area. She attained menarche at twelve years of age with a regular 5/28-day menstrual cycle associated with dysmenorrhea during bleeding. The review of systems was unremarkable especially for such symptoms as weight loss, vaginal discharge, urinary symptoms, bowel symptoms or dyspnea etc.

On general physical examination, she was healthy and vitally stable with no acute distress with a body weight of 45 Kg. She was mildly anemic with no other positive findings. On examination of the abdomen, she had a markedly distended abdomen revealing a huge spherical mass arising from the pelvis up to the epigastrium. This was a non-tender, cystic mass having smooth surface with slight mobility in the transverse direction.
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Baseline investigations were unremarkable except a hemoglobin of 10.8 gm/dl. Markers for ovarian tumors were done; CA-125, CEA, CA-19-9; all were within normal range. Ultrasound was suggestive of a huge anechoic mass covering the whole abdomen with internal echoes, septations and multiple daughter cysts. Uterus was normal in size measuring 7.8 cm x 2.7 cm x 3.0 cm and seen separate from the mass. The ovaries were not visualized. Minimal amount of free fluid in the pelvis was seen. Abdominal organs were compressed by the mass with a moderate right-sided hydronephrosis. Differential diagnoses suggested by the ultrasound included hydatid cyst and ovarian cystadenoma/cystadenocarcinoma. CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis showed a large well defined cystic lesion in the abdomen extending well into the pelvis and up into the epigastrium having internal well-defined cystic areas of varying attenuation predominantly along its left lateral margin (Figures 1,2&3). The mass was reported to be compressing the aorta at its bifurcation and the right ureter causing moderate hydronephroureter. The left ovary was not separately delineated from the mass. Minimal amount of free fluid was present in the pelvis. Findings could be suggestive of complex ovarian cyst however the differential diagnosis includedhydatid cyst. The patient tolerated the procedure well and had an uneventful postoperative period, being discharged on the 5th postoperative day.

Figure 1. CT scan abdomen showing huge cyst

Figure 2. CT scan abdomen showing cyst

Figure 3. CT scan showing abdomino-pelvise cyst

Echinococcus serology was advised which came back negative. Due to suspicion of a possibility of hydatid cyst and relationship of the mass with the aortic bifurcation, the patient was admitted under care of Surgical Department and elective surgery was planned.

The patient underwent elective exploratory laparotomy where a large tense, smooth surfaced, shiny ovarian cystic mass was found(Figure 4)
arising from the left ovarian pedicle and extending up to the undersurface of the diaphragm, having flimsy adhesions to surrounding structures. The mass was delivered from the abdominal cavity and after ligation of the left ovarian pedicle, a left salpingo-oophorectomy was performed (Figure 5). The right ovary, fallopian tube and uterus were found to be normal but small number of tiny cysts were present over the surface of right ovary which were left as it is. The rest of the peritoneal cavity was unremarkable. The abdomen was closed without drainage.

Discussion

Within the diverse group of ovarian neoplastic lesions, 15-20% of the ovarian epithelial variety is comprised of the borderline subset, having a reported incidence of 1.5–2.5 per 100,000 people per year. Within this subset of borderline tumors, the mucinous variety represents about 32% of all cases making it a relatively uncommon tumor. The mean age of presentation of the mucinous variety of borderline tumors is 45 years with nulliparity a risk factor at this age. There is also an association with KRAS gene mutation in up to 60% cases.

Being amongst the most difficult of ovarian tumors for the surgical pathologist to interpret, the borderline variety, although said to have uncertain malignant potential, generally have excellent prognosis. These neoplasms may uncommonly be the cause of a large abdominal cystic mass and may occasionally be referred to the General Surgeon for an opinion. The standard work-up in such cases should include imaging with ultrasound/CT scanning.

Because ovarian tumors and hydatid cyst disease have many radiological features similar to each other, further investigations of Echinococcus serology for hydatidosis and tumor markers for ovarian neoplasms are warranted.

The final diagnosis may, nevertheless, be compounded by the fact that Echinococcus serology is false-negative in up to 25% cases of hydatidosis and tumor markers may not be elevated in borderline ovarian tumors as happened in this case.

However, in addition to CA-125, CA 19-9 and CEA levels are also the part of the work up as CA-125 levels have been found to correlate more with advanced stage of borderline tumors and CA 19-9 and CEA levels are more frequently elevated in early stage disease. Germ cell tumor markers like B-HCG and AFP should also have been part of workup as these tumors are more common in adolescents.
Therefore, these cases may be found under care of the General Surgeon as an abdominal mass due to suspicion of alternate diagnosis of hydatid, age of presentation not correlating with ovarian neoplasms, inconclusive imaging findings, and negative tumor markers.

The relationship of the mass with surrounding structures may also require involvement of the General Surgeon and therefore the General Surgeon should have a thorough knowledge of operative management of these neoplasms. Per-operatively, an important point is to thoroughly examine the appendix, the large bowel and peritoneal cavity in general to search for implants indicating advanced disease and to rule out the possibility of secondary borderline mucinous ovarian neoplasm, which may arise from the large bowel or appendix.

Initially laparotomy was the gold standard treatment for the huge ovarian tumors but now with the advancement of minimally invasive technique, laparoscopic treatment has become the gold standard method either single port or multi-port technique. But due to limited resource and in under developed countries laparotomy is still used as gold standard treatment method and histopathology is gold standard mode of diagnosis in all cases.

**Conclusion**

Huge ovarian cysts are very rare in teen age girls and early advance modalities should be used to diagnose it early and early treatment should be given to avoid major intervention. Whatever the clinical or image findings are, the standard for treatment and confirmatory diagnosis is exploratory laparotomy followed by histopathology.
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