Abstract:

Introduction: Acinetobacter spp, emerged as important pathogen exhibiting increasing antimicrobial resistance. Worldwide, multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter is being established as a significant cause of health-care-associated infections along with their resistant trait.

Methods: This retrospective, cross-sectional study was done in critical care centers (CCC) of Combined Military Hospital (CMH) from May to September 2016. Total 69 patients with infection by Acinetobacter were included in this study. Center for Disease Control (CDC) criteria for selection of nosocomial infection were used. Specimen were inoculated in blood and MacConkey agar, incubated 24 hours at 37°C in aerobic condition and yield in plates were examined. Antibiotic resistance of isolated organisms were observed in Mueller Hinton agar sensitivity media by using Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method.

Results: Among the total 69 (males 27, females 42,) and age range 2-75 years, Acinetobacter infected patients, 31 were from medical, 23 from surgical and 15 were from high dependency unit (HDU). Specimens were tracheal aspirate (24, 34.80%), urine (18, 26.90%), wound swab (12, 17.40%), pus (6, 8.70%), high vaginal swab (6, 8.70%) and bronchoalveolar lavage (3, 4.35%). Empiric antibiotics were given in all, one course of antibiotic was used in one, in others two or more courses were given. In the study, total 17 antibiotics were used for identifying resistance pattern of Acinetobacter isolates. Ceftazidime (91.30%), ceftriaxone (91.30%), amikacin (52.20%), gentamicin (33.33%), cotrimoxazole (50%) and ciprofloxacin (65.22%) were mostly resistant. Colistin appeared as most effective antibiotic with only 7.70% resistance rate.

Conclusion: Acinetobacter, isolated in this study were resistant to most antibiotics. Colistin was the most sensitive one. Multi drug resistance (DR), extended DR and pan DR Acinetobacter organisms are reported worldwide, work on clinical presentation, trends of drug use in particular set up is important to combat the situation of ‘Going out of drug state’.
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Introduction:

*Acinetobacter* is an aerobic, catalase-positive, oxidase-negative, gram-negative coccobacillus ubiquitous in nature. Twenty three named and 11 unnamed species of the genus *Acinetobacter* are associated with a specific ecologic niche that shape their genomic contents.1-2 In late 1980s, *A. baumannii* emerged as important pathogen exhibiting increasing antimicrobial resistance.3-4 Rapid spread of multidrug resistant *A. baumannii* was associated with recently acquired ability to incorporate resistance determinants.5-7 Multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii* was identified as important pathogen after traumatic injuries sustained in soldiers during recent
Middle Eastern conflicts, both environmental contamination during the injury and acquisition during medical care has been proposed as potential sources.\textsuperscript{8-10} Worldwide establishment of multidrug-resistant \textit{A. boumannii} as a significant cause of health-care-associated infections (HAI) coupled with lack of potent antimicrobial agents in phase 2/3 of development, constitutes important public health emergency that need be addressed.\textsuperscript{11} HAI represent the greatest public health impact of \textit{Acinetobacter}, given the rapid spread of antibiotic resistant strains, continuing acquisition of additional resistance mechanisms. A limited number of widespread clonal lineages of \textit{A. boumannii} are responsible for hospital outbreaks worldwide.\textsuperscript{12} \textit{A. boumannii} has increased frequency as the cause of HAI pneumonia over the past two decades, to cause between 3% to 7% of cases.\textsuperscript{13,14} Among patients requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 5 days, \textit{Acinetobacter} was the most frequent pathogen in one series, accounting for 26% of pneumonia.\textsuperscript{15} \textit{Acinetobacter} causes 1% to 2% blood stream infections associated with intravascular catheters, surgical site infections and urinary tract infections.\textsuperscript{16} Less frequent HAI included meningitis after neurosurgery and wound infections in burn patients.\textsuperscript{17-19}

A paucity of available drugs to treat admitted patients in the set up of Critical Care Center (CCC) was the inducing impulse to undertake the study to find out the prevalence of \textit{Acinetobacter} and their antibiotic resistance pattern.

\textbf{Methods and materials:}

This retrospective study was done at Combined Military Hospital (CMH), Dhaka from May to November 2016. During this period, a total of 69 different pathological samples (out of total 570 samples) from 69 patients admitted in CCC revealed nosocomial infection\textsuperscript{20} with \textit{Acinetobacter}.

Laboratory identification: The collected pathological specimens were transported to microbiology department of Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) under aseptic condition and cultured in blood agar, MacConkey agar and chocolate agar (selected samples) in aerobic conditions. Sub-culture in selective media were done in mixed-growth cases. Organisms were identified using conventional techniques: Gram stain, catalase test, oxidase test, motility test by hanging drop preparation and other tests as required.

Antimicrobial sensitivity testing: Antibiotic resistance/sensitivity of the isolated organism were done in Mueller Hinton agar sensitivity test media by the Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method.\textsuperscript{21} Discs used against the organisms in the study were azithromycin, amoxicillin, amikacin, amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, colistin, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactum, tetracycline, linezolid, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, nitrofurantoin and nalidixic acid. Results of the culture sensitivity were recorded according to The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) standards.\textsuperscript{22}

Ethical issue: Due approval was obtained from competent authority [CMH Dhaka, AFIP, Directorate General Medical Services (DGMS)] for the study.

Statistical analysis: Analysis of the results was done by statistical analysis using microsoft excel data analysis package and results were presented in tables and figure.

\textbf{Results:}

Total 69 samples grew \textit{Acinetobacter} from 69 patients with female predominance (42, 61%). Age of the patients were between 2 to 75 years. Most (31, 47%) \textit{Acinetobacter spp}. were from medical cases admitted in CCC with fever of unknown origin (FUO) (25, 36.23%) and septicemia (6, 8.70%), followed by surgical and high dependency unit (HDU) (Table I). Tracheal aspirate (24, 34.80%) and urine (18, 26.90%) were the two most common specimens that grew \textit{Acinetobacter}. Others are shown in Table II.

\begin{table}[h!]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Department} & \textbf{Syndrome} & \textbf{Number of patients} \\
\hline
Medical & FUO with shock & 25 \\
 & Septicemia & 6 \\
Surgical & Trauma & 9 \\
 & Organectomy & 14 \\
HDU & Device catheter & 9 \\
 & Ventilator & 6 \\
\hline
Total & & 69 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Distribution of \textit{Acinetobacter} cases (n=69)}
\end{table}
Table-II

Distribution of specimens that grew *Acinetobacter* (*n*=69)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tracheal aspirate</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound swab</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vaginal swab</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoalveolar lavage</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All CCC admitted patients were given antibiotics, in one case one course of antibiotic was used, in other cases two or more were used. In decreasing order they were cephalaxin (29, 19.73%), ceftazidime (27, 17.76%), meropenem (21, 13.81%), ceftriaxone (18, 11.84%), azithromycin (15, 9.86%), amikacin (12, 7.90%), gentamicin (12, 7.90%), cefepime (9, 5.92%), erythromycin (6, 3.94%) and colistin (3, 1.97%) (Table III).

Table-III

Antibiotics prescribed before receiving culture reports (*n*=152)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of drug</th>
<th>Number of patients treated</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefalexin</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antibiotic sensitivity and resistance of isolated *Acinetobacter* spp., (first 23 cases) is shown in Figure 1. *Acinetobacter* isolated from representative sample in the study are shown along the X axis and number of sensitive or resistance in particular isolate along the Y axis. Series 1 and series 2 represents sensitive and resistance line chart of the study. The lower small square point of series 1, represent the first isolate at point 1, where it showed only one drug was sensitive and other 8 drugs were resistant.

Discussion:

*Acinetobacter* was treated as a commensal or colonizer two decades back. With the emergence of drug resistance in the isolated organisms, it is the most isolated nosocomial pathogen with alarming pathogenicity and multi-drug resistant trends. The organism is known as an important and common pathogen causing nosocomial pneumonia and other systemic infections among patients admitted in critical care units (CCUs) worldwide. Also there are evidence of skin, urinary tract and soft tissue infections by the organism in this study and study by others. Blood stream infections and secondary meningitis are also reported. The prevalence is raised in past decades that could be related to rising proportion of susceptible population for advancement in care of critically ill and high dependent patients. The outcomes in patients with *Acinetobacter* infections appeared poorer if caused by isolates with resistance to multidrug antimicrobial trait. Surgery, catheterization, mechanical ventilation and antibiotic therapy for aged patients and respiratory distress syndrome, mechanical ventilation and prematurity for pediatric patients were the main factors for *Acinetobacter* infection in one study. We found fever with shock and septicemia as main medical causes, trauma and organectomy as main surgical event, while indwelling catheter and patients of coma in ventilator eventually lead to drug resistant nosocomial
Acinetobacter spp. infection. In the study total fifteen types of antibiotics were used to see the resistance pattern of Acinetobacter isolates and ceftazidime (91.30%), ceftriaxone (91.30%), amikacin (52.20%), gentamicin (33.33%), cotrimoxazole (50%), and ciprofloxacin (65.22%) were the resistant drugs. In a study at a tertiary care hospital in Iran in 2011, researchers found, ceftazidime (96%), cefixime (95%), ceftriaxone (93%), amikacin (58%), gentamicin (58%), cotrimoxazole (85%) and ciprofloxacin (85%) were highly resistant.29 Extended-spectrum cephalosporins in Acinetobacter causes their resistance to 3rd and 4th generation of cephalosporins. In the study vancomycin, levofloxacin were more effective (both only 33.33% resistant), and colistin was most effective antibiotic. Current Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints for Colistin are 2 µg/mL susceptible and 4 µg/mL resistant.30

In the study colistin emerged as the most effective antibiotic against hospital acquired multidrug resistant Acinetobacter infections (only 7.70% resistance rate) as comparison of the study in which all their isolated species of Acinetobacter were sensitive.31

Study limitation: The study was performed in a tertiary level hospital in Dhaka city with a relatively small sample and short span of time.

Conclusion: Identification and susceptibility study of 69 isolates of Acinetobacter from CCC and HAI environment was done in the study, which showed marked resistance to used antibiotics. Work on clinical presentation, trends of drug use in particular set up is of paramount value to overcome the scenario of ‘Going out of drug state’.

Acknowledgement: I express deep respect and regards to AFIP, DGMS, and CMH Dhaka authorities for the all out cooperation they rendered for the conduct of the study. I wish to thank my colleagues, technologists and my family members for their cooperation and assistance for the study.

Conflict of Interest: None

References:
12. CatiCillóniz Cristina DomíneñoAntoni Torres. Multidrug-Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Emerging Pathogen among Older Adults in Community Hospitals and Nursing Homes, Clinical Infectious Diseases 2010;50(12):1611–1616.


