Whether Obesity Needs to be Addressed in Pre-Pregnancy Advice?
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Summary:
Objective: When obesity need to be addressed, during pre pregnancy obesity is common and it adversely affects the maternal and perinatal outcomes. Maternal obesity has major impact on health service, specially on in relation to the level of care required, the cost and resources implications, complications and risk to the mothers and infant.

Methods and Materials: It was the prospective study 500 pregnant women (250 were obese and 250 were normal), The period of 3 years from January 2004 to December 2008. All the events during antenatal, during delivery and postnatal were recorded, and compare the outcomes.

Result: We found pre-eclampsia (10 for obese and 2 for normal, p = 0.01), Positive OGTT 100 for obese and 8 for normal P = 5.72, PPH 25 for obese and 5 for non obese P = 0.00021, wound infection 5 for obese and one in non obese group p = 0.0003, others like UTI, we got 60 for obese and 15 for non obese P = 1.7401. Puerperial sepsis 3 in obese and 1 for non obese p=0.3131. Pre eclampsia, PPH and wound infection shows significantly high in obese group. Similarly NICU admission, macrosomia and fetal distress were more in obese pregnant than non obese women. So pregnancy among obese women should classified as high risk pregnancy. From the study we concluded that weight reduction before pregnancy need to be discussed as pre-pregnancy counseling.
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Mr. Chu’s team collected data on 13,442 pregnancies study found hospital cost and stay was high and longer in obese women than normal weight women.³

Healthcare professionals in north east of England felt that maternal obesity has a major impact on health care services and resource, on the health of both mother and child and on the psychological well being of the mother.⁴

Rapid increasing in prevalence of obesity all over the world, obesity during pregnancy is a now common high risk obstetrical condition affecting maternal health, fetal health and health care economy.

Several studies all over the world showed the risks of obesity and pregnancy. Possibly we did not have yet any study in our country to see such effect. Therefore the objective of this study was to estimate how obese women differs from non obese women in their prenatal and maternal outcome in Bangladesh.

The hypothesis of the study is to recommend wt. loss before getting pregnant.

Introduction
Obesity is a serious public health problems and its prevalence is increasing globally in all age group.¹

During pregnancy along with increases the obstetrical risk.

International research has highlighted the fact that maternal obesity has implications for both mother and her infant.

Dr. Laura Riley MD, chair of the committee on obstetrician and gynaecologist remarked, “Pregnant obese women are at risk of pre-eclamisias, Gestational diabetes, caesarean delivery and post partum infection. At the same time, the fetus is at increased risk for neural tube defects, birth trauma and late fetal death.” ²

It is well known that several researcher revealed obesity increases the chances of medical complications during pregnancy.
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determined at booking during gestation (10-12 wks) taking height and weight. BMI was initially divided into four categories: BMI <19.9 Kg/m² (underweight); BMI 20–24.9 Kg/m² (Normal weight); BMI 25–29.9 Kg/m² (Overweight); BMI 30–34.9 Kg/m² (Obese). All requested women (n = 500) were enrolled. 250 obese and 250 normal weight were taken as study and control group respectively.

All the events till delivery and puerperium were documented in the ANC (antenatal) cards. The complaints, clinical findings and investigations, results, mode of delivery, any complications, neonatal outcome were recorded. Along with routine investigations OGTT was done 28, 32 and 36 weeks.

Main outcome measures, Antenatal complication like pre-eclampsia, Pregnancy induce hypertension, GDM (Gestational diabetes), UTI, rate of C/S, PPH, Wound Infection and thromboembolic disorder.

For infant, late fetal death, fetal distress, macrosomia, birth trauma, shoulder dystocia and NICU admission. Underweight and obese women having any medical complications were excluded from the study. Written consent from academic authority of hospital and patients were taken.

Statistical analysis was done using microsoft excel software. Chi square test were applied to detect any statistical significance in the difference between the numbers of complications in the two groups of mothers. P value less than 0.05 was considered to be the cut off point of level of statistical significance.

**Table-I**

| Demographic character of women with different BMI |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| BMI             | Number (mean±SD) | Age (mean±SD)   |
| Normal weight   | 250              | 29.3±5.8        |
| Obese           | 250              | 28.9±8.3        |

We found pre eclampsia (10 for obese and 2 for normal pregnant, P = 0.01), Positive OGTT (100 for obese and 8 for non obese, p = 5.77), Post partum haemorrhage (25 cases in obese and 5 cases for non obese, p = 0.0002), wound infection in obese 5 cases and only one in non obese p = 0.0003). Regarding other complication like UTI we got 60 cases for obese and 15 cases for non obese, p = 1.740, puerperal sepsis (3 cases for obese and 1 case for non obese, p = 0.315, Asymptomatic bacteriae 30 cases for obese and 5 cases for non obese p = 1.76. (Figure 1)

**Table-II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perinatal Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrosonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICU admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Distress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value for pre eclampsia, PPH and wound infection shows highly significant.

Regarding perinatal complication NICU admission was higher for babies of obese than non obese (20 vs 2), Also Macrosonia more in obese (10 vs 1 p = 0.006), Fetal distress more in obese group than non obese (10 vs 2 p = 0.01). Table 2
We do not have any patient with thromboembolic disorder or maternal and late fetal death. No congenital anomalies were found in either group. One cubitus valgus was found in normal pregnant women. Caesarean section rate was higher in obese than non obese (88 vs 198 and interestingly most of the C/S were higher in 1st stage than 2nd stage of labour in both group (Figure 2)

Freider also said “This is all just example of the massive problem that obesity cost on society, both to individual health as well as the economic cost to our institution.”

Regarding intrapartum complication we showed that rate of C/S both 1st stage and 2nd stage of labour in both group were less with non obese pregnant women. Similar finding were noticed by several studies. Despite this significant clinical problems, not much studies have explored the underlying mechanism of pathogenesis for association between obesity and raised caesarian section rate.

Most clinician suspect that the rise in caesarean section rate associated with obesity is due to obstructed labour caused by increased deposition of soft tissue in the maternal pelvis and large babies. But recently a very promising study of J Zhang et al from Liverpool women’s hospital and university concluded that myometrium of obese women contracted with less force and frequency and had less Ca²⁺ flux than that of normal.

Most of C/S occurs due to delay in 1st stage. This conclusion is very much consistent with the findings of Cran SS et al, Loverro G et al and we’ll’s JL et al’s study. Maternal obesity and hypercholestromia are associated with poor uterine contractility. Which contradict the finding of young TK et al study which showed that C/S is more in 2nd stage of labour mostly because of increased soft tissue mass.

PPH was more in obese group in our study. This study is same with the result of Pitchard et al and Keland K. Again PPH could be result of high cholesterol levels affect the effectiveness of uterine contraction.

Puerperal sepsis, wound infection and urinary tract infection were higher in our study group. Similarly the study of Lashan et al and Umme R et al. They also showed length of hospital stay and level of care required were more in obese pregnant women.

All the study of kumari AS et al, Rosenberg TT and Cedergreen showed that perinatal morbidities such as fetal distress, macrosomia, Birth trauma and feeding difficulties are more in obese pregnant than normal weight women.

Also we had more rate of macrosomia, fetal distress and NICU admission more in study group.
Pamela Salsbery had study of 3000 child which suggested a mother’s weight within a month or two before she became pregnant had the greatest impact on child’s weight.  

‘They recommended prevention of childhood obesity needs to begin before a women ever gets pregnant.’

In health Day News (3rd Feb, 2005) The panel of experts commented that overweight and obese women suffer, more pregnancy complication and their babies are more likely to have medical problem at birth, panelist also found admission to NICU higher incidence of neural tube defect in obese group.

From our study we did not find any congenital anomalies with obesity rather one case of club food in control group.

Probably this was an incidental finding.

Our study and all the mentioned studies revealed that obese women who gets pregnancy is at risk of having higher antenatal, intranatal, postnatal complication. We do not look any relation of postdated and preterm labour but very recently information available, higher maternal BMI in the 1st trimester and through out pregnancy are associated with increase of postdated pregnancy and increase likelihood of complication. 

The study of Lateran suggested that whose body mass index is 30 or above have approximately double the risk of having an affected child.

We have some study limitation, the 1st of all the sample size is quite small. If it was huge number the power of study would be good.

We did not evaluate the health cost and psychological impact of obesity and pregnancy. In contrast Susan Y, Chu et al in New England Journal of Medicine showed that a maternal BMI higher than normal is associated with greater use of health care service which has substantial economic implication.

Now the question is how to combat this situation? Does the obese women need weight lost surgery? No, weight surgery is not recommended as it may lead to some nutritional deficiency. Rather all obese women should make weight reduction effort well before she attempt to conceive.

Conclusion:
The maternal obesity has major adverse effects on pregnancy outcome, economic pressure and parent psychology. Pregnancy among obese women must be classified as high risk pregnancy.

Therefore weight reduction should be one of the important issue need to be discussed as pre-pregnancy advice given the significant morbidities assessment with maternal and perinatal outcome we suggest to extend this study in national level.
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