Obstetrical Outcome of Grand Multipara

J KHATUN

Summary:

Intruduction: Pregnancy in grand multipara has been considered as high risk because there are higher chance of complication during pregnancy, labour and puerperium.

Objective: To evaluate various maternal and fetal complication associated with a grand multipara during pregnancy, delivery and puerperium.

Methods: This prospective study was carried out from 1st January 2008 to 31st December 2008 in Obstetrics & Gynecology Department of Sylhet MAG Osmani Medical College & Hospital, Sylhet. 300 grand multipara pregnant patients were selected those who got admitted in Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, SOMCH during that period.

Introduction:

Parity refers to the number of previous pregnancies of more than 28 weeks and, grand multipara is the condition of giving birth following 5 or more previous pregnancies. 1 Grand multiparity is still high in Bangladesh among women of low socio - economic class and in those getting married at a young age. Other factors contributing to its prevalence are illiteracy and religious beliefs. The definition of grand multipara varies from study to study¹⁻⁵. Toohey, et al⁵ have used the definition of parity greater or equal to 5. The International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics in 1993 defined grand multipara as delivery of 5th or more infants. The incidence of grand multipara is very low in economically developed countries. It occurs in some population or community mainly in those where contraception is not accepted because of specific religious or cultural beliefs.⁶ Grandmultipara is associated with a long list of complication, which include, preterm labour, anemia, pendulous abdomen, malpresentation, pre-eclampsia, placentaprevia and abuptio placenta. Labour among grand multiparous patients is not without complications and is regarded

Address of Correspondence: Dr. Jamila Khatun, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College, Sylhet.

Received: 24 March 2014 Accepted: 26 May 2016

Result: It was found that incidence of grand multipara was 6.60%. Majority of the patient were between 31–35 years old (43%). 66% patients never had anternal checkup, Caesarean section was high about 47%. Complications during labour were also high. It was about 51.67%. Maternal morbidity was about 16%. Perinatal mortality was about 11%.

Conclusion: This study showed that grand multipara is a major risk for obstetrical outcome and needs strick supervision and good antenatal, intranatal and postnatal care.

Key words: Grand multipara, Fetal outcome, Maternal outcome, Risk fector.

(J Bangladesh Coll Phys Surg 2016; 34: 184-187)

as a high risk labour because of complications, like uterine atony,postpartum hemorrhage, obstructed labour,ruptured uterus and higher incidence of operative delivery. Increase rate of operative delivery due to abnormal position and big baby and maternal exhaustion The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the maternal and fetal outcome of grand multipara patient.

Materials and methods:

This study was a hospital based Observational cross sectional study, carried out in IPD (inpatient department) of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Sylhet M.A.G Osmani Medical College and Hospital (SOMCH), Sylhet in between 1st January 2008 to 31st December 2008. Total 300 grand multipara pregnant patients were selected those who got admitted in department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, SOMCH Data was collected by using a preformed questionnaire and check list. Cases were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Relevant infromations (according to questionnaire) were taken from patients. Data was processed manually and analyzed with the help of SPSS (Statistical package for social sciences) Version 16.0.

Results:

In SOMCH total 300 grand multipara patients out of 4539 obstretic patient were admitted from January 2008 to December 2008 giving the incidence of 6.6% and following results were found.

Table-l

Age distribution				
SL No	Age in years	No. of patient n-300	percentage	
1	16-20	0	0%	
2	21-25	0	0%	
3	26-30	96	32%	
4	31-35	129	43%	
5	36-40	60	20%	
6	41->	15	5%	
	Total	300	100%	

Table 1: Highest number of (43%) grand multipara belonged to 31-35 years.

Table-II

Antenatal Check up				
SL No	ANC	No, of patient n-300	percentage	
1	Regular	45	15%	
2	Irregular	57	19%	
3	No	198	66%	
	Total	300	100%	

Table II: Majority of the patient (66%) had no ANC.

Table- III

Presence of risk factors during admission of patient			
SL No	Risk factor	No. of patient	Percentage
1	Anaemia	280	90%
2	Pre Eclampsia	55	17.33%
3	Eclampsia	4	1.33%
4	Malpresentation	34	11.33%
5	Oligohydramnios	2	0.66%
6	Polyhydramnios	7	2.33%
7	APH	10	3.33%
8	DM	5	1.66%

Table III: Major number of grandmultipara patient suffered from anaemia (90%), PE (17.33%) Malpresentation(11.33) APH(3.33%).

Table-IV

Mode of delivery			
SL No	Mode of delivery	No of patient n-300	Percentage
1	Vaginal delivery	147	49%
2	LSCS	141	47%
3	Forceps delivery	12	4%
	Total	300	100%

Table IV: Operative intervention in the form of caesarean section was high (47%).

Table-V

Complications during delivery			
SL No	Complication	no of patient	percentage
1	Prolonged Labour	68	22.67%
2	Obstructed Labour	34	11.33%
3	Retain placenta	30	10.00%
4	PPH	23	7.63%
	Total	155	51.63%
	PPH	23	7.63%

Table V: Major number of the patient suffered from Prolonged Labour (22.67%) and Obstructed Labour (11.33%), PPH (7.63%).

Table-VI

Maternal morbidity following delivery			
Types of morbidity	no of patient	percentage	
Hypertension	17	5.66%	
Wound Infection	15	5.0%	
Psychosis	12	4.0%	
Retention of urine	4	1.33%	
Total	48	15.99%	
	Types of morbidity Hypertension Wound Infection Psychosis Retention of urine	Types of no of patient morbidity Hypertension 17 Wound Infection 15 Psychosis 12 Retention of urine 4	

Table VI: Grandmultipara suffered from different types of morbidity such as Hypertension (5.66%), Wound Infection (5.0%), psychosis (4.0%) Retention of urine (1.33%)

Table-VII

Foetal Status			
SL No	Condition of Foetus	no of patient n-300	Percentage
1	Live birth	267	89%
2	Still birth	35	11%
	Total	300	100%

Table VII: showed that live birth was 89% and still birth was 11%

Discussion:

Grandmaultipara is well known risk factor for the pregnant women with increased risk of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. There is increased incidence of obstetrical and medical complications¹. For cultural and religious reasons grandmaultipara is not uncommon in our country. Lack of family planning results in the ultimate increase in the number of grandmaultipara women. The present study findings were discussed and compared with previously published relevant studies.

The frequency(6.60%) of grand multipara found in this study was comparable with other studies²⁻⁵. This study found a higher number of these women in age group between 31-35 years (43%). This finding was consistent with the study of Saadia et al⁶. However, a higher frequency of grand multiparity in the age group >35 years has been reported by Munium et al² and Karim et al⁷. While Samueloff et al⁸ reported the highest number of women in the age group between 30-35 years. In this study most of the patient (66%) did not receive any antenatal check up. Similar result was also found by Karim et al⁷. This study showed anaemia was 90%. This was because there was not enough interval between pregnancies for the women to replenish the iron stores. This finding was higher than reported by Munium et al², Saadia et al⁶ and Karim et al⁷.

Hypertensive disorder of pregnancy was 5.66%. This was explained by increased age of this group. The same finding were Vehaskari et al⁹, Maymon et al¹⁰ and Al-Sibia et al¹¹. Antepartum haemorrhage was found in 3.33% Azziz FA¹² had reported ante partum haemorrhage significantly increased in grandmultipara. In this current study it was observed that malpresentation was 11.33%.

This findings agree with the findings done by Sibai et al¹¹ while Vehskari et al⁹.

In this study, more number of cases(47%) required caesarean section. In contrast to the study done by Munium et al², who found no significant difference in the prevalence rate of caesarean section or normal delivery. However, in other studies conducted by Evaldson¹³, Ozumba¹⁴ and Irvine¹⁵ increased caesarean section rate was found among grand multipara which correlates with this study. In this present study it was observed that prolonged labour was 22.67%, this finding co-relates with that of other studies. ¹³⁻¹⁵ This study had shown thad increased incidence of obstructed labour (11.33%) in grandmultipara. Which was consistence with other studies. ^{13-15,17} In this study PPH was 7.63%. This agree with the study done in Nigeria. ¹⁶

In this study, live fetal outcome was 89%, and still birth 11%. This still birth in our study is higher compared to study by Saadia, et al⁶. It could be related to the fact that most of patients arrived late having an already intrauterine death or with hypoxic babies.

Conclusion:

Grandmultipara still had high risk pregnancy. In this study grand maultipara was also associated with adverse maternal and fetal outcomes. Most grand maultipara were of older age and poor socio-economic status. So improvement in social class, health education, use of contraception and good antenatal and intrapartum monitoring are needed.

Reference:

- Bai J, Wong FW, Bauman A, Mohsin M. Parity and pregnancy outcomes. Am J Obset Gynecol. 2002; 186: 274-8
- Munium S, Rahbar MH, Rizvi M, Mushtaq N. The effect of grand multiparity on pregnancy related complications: The Aga Khan University experience. J Pak Med Assoc. 2000; 50: 54-8.
- Seidman DS, Armmon Y, Roll D, Stevensaon DK Gale R. Grand multiparity: an obstetric or neonatal risk factor? Am J Obset Gynecol. 19988; 158: 1034-9
- Samueloff A, Mor-Yousef S, Seideman DS, Rabinowiz R, Simn A, Schenker JG Grand Multipara- a nation wide survey, Isr J Med Sci 1989;25: 625-9
- Toohey JS, Keegan KA Jr, Morgan MA, Francics J Task S, deVeciana M. The "dangerous multipara" fact or fiction? Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1995; 172:683-6

- Fayed HM, Abid SF and Stervens B. Risk factors in extreme grand multiparity, Int J Gynecol obstet 1993 41 17-22
- Saadia Z, Farrukh R, Naheed F, Maternal outcome in grand multoparas. Ann King Edard Med Coll. 2002; 8:207-10
- Azziz Karim s, Memon AM, Qadir N. Grandmultiparity-A continuing problem in developing countries. Asia oceania J Obset Gynaecol 1988;158:155-60
- Samueloff A. Schimmel MS, Eidelman Al. Grand multiparity. Is it a perinatal risk? Clin Perinatol. 1998;25: 529-38
- Vehaskari A, Lahtinen J, Terho J Hazards of grand multiparity,: Ann Chir Gynaecol Fenn. 1968; 57(4): 476-84
- Maymon E, Ghezzi F, Shoham-Vardi I, Hersgkowitz R, Franchi M, KatzM Mazor M. Peripartum complications in grand multiparous women: para 6-9 versus para > or =10. : Eur J Obstet Gynaecol Reprod Biol. 1988 Oct;81(1):21-5

- AL-Sibai MH. Rahman MS Rahhman J. Obstetric problems in the grand multipara: a clinical study of 1330 cases. J Obstet Gynaaecol(Lahore) 1987:8(2):135-8
- Azziz FA. Pregnancy and labour of grand mult iparous Sudances women. Int J Gnaecol obset. 1980 Sep-Oct;18(2):144-6
- Evaldson GR. The grand multiparity in modern obstetrics. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 1990: 30:217-30
- Ozumba BC, Igwegbe AO. The challenge of grandmaultiparity in Nigerian obstetrics practice. Int J Gynaecol obstet. 1992;27:259-64
- IrvineLM Otigbah C, Crawford and Satchell, Grand multiparity; an obstetric problemnin Great Britain in the 90s? J Obset Gynecol 1996; 16: 217
- Ogedengbe OK,Ogunmokun AA Grandmultiparity in Lagos, Nigeria. Niger Postgrad Med J.2003 Dec: 10 (4):216-9
- 17. Vedat- A, Hasan- B, Islam- A. Rupturre of uterus inlabour. 1st J.M.Sci 1993-oct; 29[4]: 179-87.