Summary:
Anterior tooth discrepancy, an important clinical orthodontic tool to manage malocclusions, may vary on different race, ethnicity and gender. Therefore this study on anterior tooth discrepancy of Bangladeshi malocclusion groups were formulated to calculate and set up a standard norm, and to evaluate the gender discrepancy. A cross sectional type study was done among 207 pretreated dental casts (60 male, 147 female) of malocclusion patient at the Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, BSMMU, Bangladesh. Bolton anterior ratio were measured and compared statistically (in the Angle’s group of malocclusion). No significant anterior discrepancy was observed between Bangladeshi male and female groups. A similar value for anterior discrepancy was observed in neighboring countries.
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Introductions:
The successful finishing of orthodontic treatment and post-treatment stability of dental occlusion treated with orthodontic management greatly depends on pre-treatment tooth size discrepancy of individual occlusion. Tooth discrepancy is defined as a disproportion among the mesio-distal widths of the maxillary and mandibular teeth of individuals. To determine the possible functional and aesthetic extent of treatment, an orthodontist usually considers the proportional relationship between the maxillary and mandibular tooth sizes as an important index. Without the proper mesio-distal tooth size ratio between the maxillary and mandibular teeth, correct co-ordination of arches with orthodontic treatment would be difficult. Differences in tooth size have been associated with different ethnic backgrounds and occlusion status. Several methods have been described to measure the inter-arch tooth size disproportion. However Bolton’s analysis is one of the most popular methods for determining tooth size abnormality. It is useful in aiding diagnosis as well as treatment planning. Clinically, Bolton’s ratios have been used to determine the need for reduction of tooth size via inter-proximal stripping or for the addition of tooth size via prosthetic restoration. Several studies have been conducted around the world to establish the national and ethnic norm. However only two study have been reported among Bangladeshi population comparing the normal occlusion with malocclusion. Hence this study was undertaken in a tertiary level of Hospital at the department of Orthodontics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, where sample malocclusion group were larger to ensure a more specific norm representing the Bangladeshi population with few goals. Firstly, determining the sexual dimorphism of tooth size discrepancy. Secondly, investigating the correlation between anterior tooth size discrepancies and angle’s malocclusions (class I, class II, class III), as well as their prevalence in Bangladeshi populations. Lastly, to obtain a nominative data on tooth size discrepancies among Bangladeshi malocclusion group.
Material and Methods:
This was a cross sectional type study conducted in the Department of Orthodontics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) from January 2007 to June 2010. From the record of 350 pretreated dental casts of the department, by purposive sampling, the study samples, 207 pretreatment dental casts of orthodontic patients (Bangladeshi individuals) of both male (60) and female (147) with malocclusion were selected those fulfilling the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were:

a. Presence of all six permanent anterior teeth on both maxilla and mandible without any supernumerary or accessory teeth.

b. All these teeth ased to be morphologically normal without any occlusal abrasion, attrition, caries, restoration, cracked or fracture crown and without any previous history of proximal striping and orthodontic treatment.

They were then categorized into three malocclusion group according to Edward H Angle7: Class I, Class II, Class III (Table I). The mesio-distal diameter of each anterior teeth were recorded at maximum diameter of individual tooth when measurement were appear parallel to occlusal plane and labial surface of that tooth by using a sliding caliper with vernier scale and graded gauge (Mitutoyo, Japan). Measurements were carried out with a reading accuracy of 0.1mm. All those data were measure twice, if the second measurement differ by more than 0.2mm from the first measurement, re-measurement were carried out. Recorded data then collected by a structured data collection sheet and anterior teeth discrepancy were calculated by Bolton6 formula as below:

\[
\text{Anterior teeth discrepancy} = \left( \frac{\text{sum of mesio-distal width of mandibular six Anterior teeth}}{\text{sum of mesio-distal width of maxillary six anterior teeth}} \right) \times 100
\]

Statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS 16.0 (Chicago, IL) software with a provability level of 0.05 considered to be statistically significant. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the statistical significant difference between mean. Bolton anterior tooth size ratios as a function of Angle’s classification as well as sex. The same researcher performed all measurements and reproducibility of the method were tested by re-measuring randomly selected thirty pairs (10 pairs from each group) of dental cast and tested with Wilcoxon nonparametric test (Table 2).

Results:
Out of 350 dental casts, 207 those fulfilling the inclusion criteria were studied. Among those 207 dental casts, 112 (male 32, female 80) were grouped in class I malocclusion, 80 (male 22, female 85) were class II and 15 (male 6, female 9) in class III malocclusion (Table I). The tooth size discrepancies were determined using the published ratio as described by Bolton’s 77.2 ± 1.65% for the anterior ratios within ±1 SD were considered “normal,” and those greater than ±1 SD were labeled as having a tooth size discrepancy 6.

The present study shows having discrepancy (as having more than ±1 SD) in both male and female malocclusion group of Bangladeshi population but without having any significant dimorphism for tooth size discrepancy between these two sex groups (Table III). In our present study on Class I malocclusion group, the mean Bolton ratio for anterior teeth were measured 78.26± 2.71. This finding indicates the presence of tooth tissue discrepancy in Class I malocclusion group. Moreover, in case of Class II and Class III malocclusion groups of Bangladeshi population, this study also exhibited presence of tooth size discrepancy compare with that of Bolton’s reference value (Table IV).

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malocclusion groups</th>
<th>Age(years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-I</td>
<td>12-36</td>
<td>19.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-II</td>
<td>12-30</td>
<td>18.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-III</td>
<td>12-25</td>
<td>17.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table-II

Comparison between two measurements of tooth size Discrepancies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-III

Mean Bolton anterior ratios of all subjects of malocclusion groups as a function of gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Sample size (n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>79.23</td>
<td>±2.38</td>
<td>73.79-87.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>80.13</td>
<td>±2.39</td>
<td>72.45-86.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-IV

Distributions of Teeth discrepancy with Angle’s malocclusion groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malocclusion groups</th>
<th>Bolton Anterior Ratio</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD(±)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class-I</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.26</td>
<td>±2.71</td>
<td>74.51-85.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-II</td>
<td></td>
<td>76.28</td>
<td>±1.99</td>
<td>71.37-77.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-III</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.76</td>
<td>±2.34</td>
<td>73.71-91.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.-1: Bar chart showing comparative Bolton ratio for anterior tooth in the different Bangladeshi populations and their mean value for both genders.

Fig.-2: A comparative representation of our present study’s anterior ratio with that of other Asian country populations: Northern India10, Pakistan11, Nepal12, Malaysia13, Thai14, Japan15, China16, Korea17, Saudi Arabia18, and Iran19.

Discussion:

In 1958, Bolton6 studied 55 Caucasian subjects having normal occlusion where his mean anterior ratio value was 77.2. Where as in other study1,8,9 on Bangladeshi population the Bolton ratio were also measured in different group of normal population comparing that of with malocclusion group is also close to our present study. In the earlier study, the anterior Bolton ration of Bangladeshi population by Ali MW8 was calculated 79.55 for male and 79.17 for female. However they...
calculated their ratio with comparing a referral 50 normal occlusion group (25 female and 25 male). And their total sample sizes for malocclusion group were 150. Although the other study on Bangladeshi population by Alam MK9 were conducted with a larger sample size (260) however the malocclusion group constitute with 160 sample and the rest 100 sample were normal occlusion group. In that study malocclusion group were divided by spacing (73 samples) and crowding (87 samples) group not on the basis of Angle’s classification of malocclusion. Whereas the present study constitute with 207 malocclusion individual grouped into angles classification of malocclusion. A comparative study among these three study (present study and two other previous study) reveals the average anterior tooth ratio for male 79.55% and for female 79.65% in Bangladeshi population (Fig.-1). Moreover in our present study average anterior ratio for Bangladeshi population has been calculated 79.68% (Fig.-2).

In comparison to present study on Bangladeshi population’s anterior teeth ratio with that of the others study on Asian population (India10, Pakistan11, Nepal12, Malaysia13, Thai14, Japan15, China16, Korea17, Saudi Arabia18, and Iran19) shows similar finding. In comparison to present study on Asian population (India10, Pakistan11, Nepal12, Malaysia13, Thai14, Japan15, China16, Korea17, Saudi Arabia18, and Iran19) shows similar finding.

Conclusion:
Gender differences in the anterior tooth discrepancy in Bangladeshi malocclusion group were not significant. A mean value of anterior tooth discrepancy has been calculated for Bangladeshi malocclusion group which is closer to other neighboring country population in compare to the rest other population in the world.
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