Risk factors associated with tilapia and pangasius diseases
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Abstract

Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and pangasius (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus) are currently very important and popular species for aquaculture in Bangladesh. They are cultured commercially in high stocking densities which are accompanied by several risk factors leading to disease outbreaks. The present study was carried out to investigate diseases of these two species under farming condition with special emphasis on risk factors analysis and their health management strategies. Data were collected through questionnaire interview and focus group discussion with farmers. Altogether 50 farmers were interviewed of which 25 were tilapia farmers and 25 were pangasius farmers in Trishal upazila of Mymensingh district. Possible risks were assessed using certain parameters like season of disease occurrence, presence of predators in farms, contact with livestock, maintenance of farm hygiene, sharing of farming tools, feeding of fish and pond management measures. It was found that presence of predatory birds, maintenance of good farm hygiene, sharing of tools, increased stocking densities and improper pond management were the major risks of disease outbreak. The most prevalent clinical signs of diseased fish included anal protrusion and red spot on body surface in case of pangasius while pop and red eye and gas problems were common in both pangasius and tilapia. Generally, pangasius were found more susceptible to disease than tilapia. Predatory birds were a big problem in the study area. They act as a potential carrier of infectious organisms among farms from farm to farm.

Introduction

Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and pangasius (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus) are the two most important species for aquaculture of Bangladesh particularly for their fast growth, year round production and high productivity. They are commonly cultured all over the country. Currently, tilapia has emerged to become the second biggest aquatic species group after the carp group (FAO, 2015). Tilapia production in Bangladesh has increased from 2,140 mt in 1999 to about 423,000 mt in 2015 and currently, Bangladesh ranked 4th among top ten tilapia producers in the world (FAO, 2017). Tilapia has good resistance to poor water quality and diseases, tolerance of wide range of environmental condition, ability to convert efficiently the organic and domestic waste into high quality protein. Pangasius farming is also playing a significant role to meet the increasing demand for food fish. Though the estimates of annual production of pangasius vary but it is believed that it is within the range of 300,000-400,000 mt (Haque et al., 2013). Local market demand for pangasius is very high because of low price and it appears as pro-poor fish.

Generally, both farmed and wild fishes have been found to be affected by various kinds of diseases every year. Common diseases of freshwater fishes of Bangladesh are various bacterial, fungal, viral, protozoan, metazoan, parasitic, nutritional and environmental diseases (Chowdhury, 1998; Faruk, 2004). Despite the prevalence, very little study has been conducted to describe outbreaks of this disease under field conditions, and identify and quantify important risk factors that influence the occurrence of diseases on fish farms. Because diseases of farmed fish typically have a multifactorial etiology (Hedrick, 1998; Thorburn, 1999), epidemiological information gained from studying risk factors for diseases of cultured fish is considered essential for the prevention and control of such outbreaks (Georgiadiset al., 2001). A risk factor is a variable associated with an increased risk of disease or infection. It could be any attribute, characteristics or exposure of an individual that increases the likelihood of developing a disease or injury (WHO, 2016). Disease predisposition in fish could be the end result of an interaction between host susceptibility, pathogen virulence and environmental factors (Kumer, 2006).

In recent times, however, with the rapid expansion of farming there has been reports of serious disease outbreaks and mortality of both tilapia and pangasius (M. A. Hoaasin, personal communication, January 15, 2016). It is therefore, important to know the risk factors associated with disease outbreak for better health management and disease control. The objectives of the present study were therefore to understand disease condition of farmed tilapia and pangasius fish and to identify the risk factors associated with diseases.
Materials and Methods
The present study was focused on commercial pangus and tilapia farm of Trishalupazila under Mymensingh district. Total 50 farmers (25 tilapia farmers and 25 pangus farmers) were in the target group. Primary data was collected through questionnaire survey and focus group discussion (FGD). Secondary data were obtained from government and non-government organizations. For questionnaire interview, a set of prepared preliminary questionnaire was focused mainly on general pangus and tilapia farming characteristics, culture practices, duration, water source, disease surveillance and risk factors, source and management aspect of fry and feed, disease control measures and hygiene standards. The preliminary questions then were tested at the field level and then final set questionnaire was developed. Six FGDs were conducted with farmers. The clinically observed fish photos were taken by camera to store the disease evidence from the study areas. The data were aggregated and sorted carefully before making tabular forms. The data were then tabulated in the computer and raw data were entered in the spread sheet of the Microsoft Office Excel program to analyze. Some of the collected data in the informative forms were converted into descriptive results.

Results
Culture strategy
Two types of culture system were practiced by the farmers in study area; polyculture and monoculture. Average 86.7% tilapia farmers practiced polyculture system while only 13.3% farmers relied on monoculture. Farmers were fond of pangus and tilapia mixed culture because it is more cost effective than others. Average 71.4% of pangus farmers practiced polyculture system and 28.6% engaged in monoculture system (Fig. 1). For polyculture system farmers used different species of carps with tilapia and pangus. They also used shing (Heteropeoneses fossilis), magur (Clarias batrachus) and koi (Anabas testudineus). In the study area all farmers had deep tube-well for pumping underground water when required. In addition, some pangus farms used river water and water from nearby beels.

Source of fry and feed
About 73.3% tilapia farmers collected their fry from other hatchery while 26.7% farmers had their own hatchery. In case of pangus most of the farmers (85.7%) collected fry from other hatchery especially from Santaher, Naogaon in plastic drums and 20.5% farmers used their own hatchery breed fry. Overall 79.5% farmers collected fish from other hatchery (Table 1). About 73.3% of the tilapia farmers used commercial feed and 26.7% of farmers had their own feed mill or machine. About 71.4% pangus farmers used home-made feed while 28.6% farmers used commercial feed (Table 1). Pangus farmers made their feed by mixing of rice bran, rice polish, soybean oilcake, dry fish, meat bone meal, salt and flour. Most of the farmers feed their fish two times in a day.

Disease outbreak in farms
When farmers were asked about disease problem in past, majority of farmers said they had problems in the recent past. All the pangus farmers and about 80% tilapia farmers faced disease problem of their fish in the past. During the study period it was observed that 85.7% pangus farmers had disease in their ponds while 13.3% tilapia farmers had disease in their farms (Fig. 2).
Clinical signs of diseased fishes
A number of clinical signs were reported according to their occurrence. The most commonly occurred clinical signs of pangus included anal protrusion (64.29%), pop eye (42.85%), red eye (42.85%), reddening of body (35.73%), tail rot (26.67%), gill rot (7.1%) and haemorrhagic lesion over the body surface (Figs. 3 and 4). Farmers also reported some occasional problems including round swollen haemorrhagic lesion in the muscle which later get bigger and changed into deep ulcerative lesion. Very often it caused serious mortality. In case of tilapia, pop eye (53.3%), sudden floating in water surface with open mouth (26.67%) and haemorrhage (20.0%) were the major clinical signs of diseased fish (Figs. 6, 7 and 8). In addition to this some other signs included reddening and deep lesion over body surface, fin rot, gill rot and in some case anal protrusion was also evident. Occasional *Argulus* infestations problem in tilapia was also reported by some farmers (Figs. 5 and 6).

Seasonality of disease occurrence
It was observed that 37.9% farmers faced disease problem in winter and in late winter while only 13.0% faced such problem in early winter. Some farms also noticed disease after heavy rainfall (6.9%) and during summer (3.4%) (Fig. 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical signs</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reddening</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal protrusion</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pop eye</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red eye</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fin rot</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill rot</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail rot</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Major clinical signs (%) of diseased pangus

![Fig. 4. Clinical signs of diseased pangus. (a) Mouth reddening and pop eye; (b) Anal protrusion](image-url)
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Fig. 5. Major clinical signs (%) of diseased tilapia.

Fig. 6. Clinical signs of diseased tilapia. (a) Deep red lesion (b) Red spot due to Argulus infestation

Fig. 7. Seasonality of disease occurrence

**Risk factors**
Farming of tilapia and pangus in polyculture system was commonly practiced by the farmers. Same ponds were used for both species and the possible disease causing risk factors were quite common. Risk factors of tilapia and pangus farming were addressed here through analyzing the following aspects of farming. In addition to quantitative data that was gathered through questionnaire interview, emphasis was also given on qualitative data collected through FGD with farmer.

**Connection between farms:** In the study area it was observed that around 46.7% of tilapia farms were surrounded by many farms within 1 km area and 85.7% of pangus farms were in same condition. Farmers shared water within farms when needed. Only few farmers (10.3%) shared water within their ponds through pipes.
Due to breakage of dike some ponds were automatically connected with one another. Waterborne contamination with potentially harmful organisms might be possible in these farms.

**Boundary of farm:** In the present study, it was observed that only few farms were surrounded by boundaries while most of the farms did not have any boundary.

**Connection of ponds to outside environment:** Sometimes farmers used water from river and beel when needed. About 14.3% of tilapia farms directly connected to water bodies that may allow the entry of wild fish while about 35.7% pangus farms were found having scope to enter wild fish into the ponds.

**Pre-stockling pond management:** Questions were asked to the farmers regarding different aspects of pond preparation before releasing fish into the ponds. Little pond management including liming, use of high embankment of ponds (average 89.5%) and others measures were undertaken by the farmers. Removal of bottom mud (54.8%), draining of water (71.9%), drying of pond (58.1%), entry of wild fishes (25.0%) and fencing around ponds were not as expected level in the farming area (Fig. 8). Some farmers prepared pond by using cow dung and fertilizer (6.67%).

**Grazing of cattle:** Inside the farm were a most common phenomena (Fig. 9). About 55.2% farmers had livestock (cow, goat and duck) which found grazing very often in their farms. These animals may also act as vector for disease.

**Lack of farm hygiene:** Farmers had no concern about hygiene of farm. No farm was found using any foot bath before entering into their farms and no farm workers were found using either any protective cloth, gum boot or hand wash. Majority farmer never washed their fish farming tools except sun drying. Vehicles (manual van, pick up van and truck) used for fish transportation were not washed regularly in proper way.

**Sharing of farming equipment:** Farming equipment should be kept separated between farms to avoid horizontal spreading of parasites or pathogens. But in the study area average 96.6% farmers shared their fish farming tools like nets, hapas, buckets and plastic bowls.
Discussion
Like other farming systems, aquaculture is plagued with disease problems resulting from its intensification and commercialization. The present study focused on the possible risk factors causing diseases in farmed tilapia and pangus. Among the recorded clinical signs anal protrusion and red spot on body surface were more prevalent in pangus while pop and red eye, gas problems were common in both pangus and tilapia. It was observed that disease problem was comparatively low in tilapia farms than pangus. Faruk (2012) reported red spot, anal protrusion, tail and fin rot, pop eye, dropsy and gill rot of farmed pangus of Bangladesh. The author also observed other conditions like cotton wool type lesion, ulceration and white spot with lower incidence. Anka (2013) found that, the most prevalent disease was anal protrusion and reddening around mouth and eyes.

Transmission of disease between farms is a possible risk factor. It was observed from the present study that distance between farms was not very much in the area. There were many farms located near one farm. The major risk could be associated with contact with other aquaculture sites. The bio-security status of the farm in term of farm hygiene and cleanliness were not up to standard. Almost all of the farmers in the study area shared their fish farming tools and they never clean the tools or equipment regularly. It may be a possible cause of disease contamination within farms. Risk analysis can integrate epidemiological data with other information including pathogen characteristics, the volume of movements of live aquatic animals and other movements (e.g. people and vehicles) on and off the farm, (Peeler, 2005).

Various aquatic birds were seen around pangus farms in the present study. They also posed risk of disease as carrier to the fishes of different ponds or from one farm to another. Birds also act as mechanical carriers of viruses and predator damage on fish can make the fishes...
susceptible to a number of diseases. Birds cause serious problem in juvenile fishes both tilapia and pangus. Farmers protect their fish by covering it with nets. According to Pillay (1992), a pelican can consume between 1 and 3 tonnes of fish per year and herons may cause losses up to 30-40% of fry and juvenile fish per year. Ross (1988) noted the methods of using predator netting in Scottish cage farms. In the study area many farmer cover their ponds with net.

In the present study, most of the pangus farms used home-made feed. Home-made feeds consist of many ingredients such as fish meal, soybean meal, corn, dried fish, meat bone meal, and poultry; therefore, it is difficult to keep records of all ingredients. In addition, home-made feeds are usually result in over-feeding, which negatively affects pond water quality.

Pond drying was not a common practice since they practiced all year round fish production. Within 2-3 years they usually dry their ponds. Farmers were not interested to remove bottom mud after harvesting as they believe it is nutrient rich. Draining of water was not always done as farmers think nutrient rich water will bed rained.

Fish disease was commonly occurred in winter and late winter season. After heavy rainfall disease problems occur in some ponds. Sometimes disease was seen in summer season also. FAO (1989) reported that outbreak of disease was very commonly reported after rainfall, leading to suspicious that the runoff agricultural may have been important in predisposing cause for fish disease. Farmers could be suggested to take some preventive measures at the beginning of the winter season which include, application of lime and salt, disinfecting of equipment, addition of water, etc. (Faruk et al. 2004b).

In conclusion, pangus and tilapia are the two important culture species of Bangladesh for their fast growth, year round production and high productivity. Disease in fish culture causes huge loss in the industry. Present study highlighted some risk factors associated with the disease of these two species. It also identified some commonly occurring clinical signs of diseases. It is important to minimize disease causing factors and follow appropriate health management measures. All the diseases mentioned here could not be identified through laboratory analysis. Further research work should include more in-depth study on risk factor based epidemiology and identification and characterization of pathogens.
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