PULMONARY EMBOLISM
STILL A DIAGNOSTIC QUANDARY
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Abstract

Objectives: The objective was to review the progress made in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism over the past decade and the future direction of diagnostic modalities.

Methods: Research studies, review articles and scientific meeting abstracts published were reviewed.

Results: Significant progress has been made in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Strategies have been developed to increase the sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic tools.

Conclusion: With the advances of the technology and better understanding of patho-physiology, offer the hope of improving the medical management of common and potentially life threatening condition like pulmonary embolism.
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Introduction

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a common but potentially fatal condition and one of the leading causes of sudden death in the western world. Although the true incidence of PE is unknown, it is estimated that over 100,000 cases in France, 65000 cases among hospitalized patients in England and Wales and at least 600,000 cases in USA occur per year. Although the basic patho-physiology of a pulmonary embolism is understood for many years, the morbidity and mortality associated with this disease remains unacceptably high. Alkhan et al carried out a retrospective review of all postmortem reports during the period 1991 to 2000 at King's College Hospital, London and fatal pulmonary embolism was recorded as cause of death in 265 out of 6833 cases (3.9% of all necropsies; 5.2% of adult cases)¹. Mortality in untreated PE is approximately 25-30%, but with adequate treatment, this can be reduced to 2-8%²-³. Over all mortality reported in International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPHER) study was 45%, whereas 3-month mortality rate for all pulmonary embolism was as high as 17.4%⁴. Patients with stable PE sometimes do well without treatment⁵. Unfortunately, the diagnosis of PE remains a complex and challenging process for clinicians and radiologists alike. Much of the difficulty in the diagnosis of PE arises from nonspecific clinical presentations, coupled with a host of diagnostic options which all possess inherent limitation.

Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism

The majority of patients with pulmonary emboli have an underlying clinical predisposition. Less than 10% of the patients have no discernible cause for deep venous thrombosis at the time of presentation. Congenital predisposition to thrombosis is considered to be rare but should be considered in the setting of strong family history, recurrent venous thromboembolism (VTE), deep vein thrombosis (DVT) in unusual site and VTE under the age of 40 years. Pregnancy and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) are both associated with three to five fold increased risk of VTE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is considered a risk factor for PE and PE is part of the differential diagnosis of an acute exacerbation of COPD. COPD-PE study is currently undergoing to look at the prevalence of PE in a patient with acute exacerbation of COPD.

Table-I: Primary risk factors of PE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antithrombin deficiency</th>
<th>Protein C deficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congenital dysfibrinogenemia</td>
<td>Protein S deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor V Leiden</td>
<td>Hyperhomocysteinemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasminogen deficiency</td>
<td>Anticardiolipin antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasminogenemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-II: Secondary risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery (Abdominal/pelvic)</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immobilization</td>
<td>Advanced age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>Long bone fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Long distance travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy/Puerperium</td>
<td>Oral contraceptives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupus anticoagulant</td>
<td>Nephrotic syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosthetic surfaces</td>
<td>Hyperviscosity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-III: Definition and Classification of PE

Definition: Obstruction to blood flow to one or more pulmonary arteries. PE can be classified into three main groups:

Massive: Consists of shock and/or hypotension (defined as systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg or a pressure drop of ≥ 40mmHg for ≥ 15 min).

Submassive: Haemodynamically stable but there is right ventricular dilation or hypokinesia.

Nonmassive: No evidence of shock and right ventricular involvement.

¹ Dr Tasbirul Islam, MD, MRCP(UK), Consultant, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care Medicine, Marshfield Clinic, Marshfield, WI 54449, USA.
Most Commonly Observed Signs and Symptoms
Unfortunately, the clinical diagnosis of pulmonary embolism is unreliable. The clinical spectrum of pulmonary embolism varies from asymptomatic to fatal outcome. Symptoms and signs of pulmonary embolus are non-specific and don't correlate with severity or anatomic findings. The classical clinical triad of haemoptysis, pleuritic chest pain and dyspnoea occurs in 20% or less of cases. Of patients who die from massive PE, only 60% have dyspnoea, 17% have chest pain, and 3% have haemoptysis. The most common clinical manifestations were: dyspnoea (92% of cases), tachycardia (80%), syncope (44%), cardiac arrest (22%), and shock (20%). Thoracic pain was present in only 27% of patients. Wells et al studied 930 patients with suspected pulmonary embolism and demonstrated that by combining consideration of pretest clinical probability and the SimplyRED d-dimer test, pulmonary embolism can be diagnosed or ruled out safely, with a dramatic reduction in the need for imaging procedures.

### Table-IV: Most common signs and symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tachycardia (HR&gt;100/min)</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tachypnoea (RR &gt;16/min)</td>
<td>Pleuritic chest pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accentuated 2nd heart sound</td>
<td>Apprehension, anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanosis</td>
<td>Syncope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>Haemoptysis (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diaphoresis (rare)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table-V: Pretest clinical probability

- Clinical signs and symptoms of DVT: +3.0
- An alternative diagnosis less likely than PE: +3.0
- Pulse rate >100/min: +1.5
- Immobilization/Surgery in the previous 4 weeks: +1.5
- Previous PE/DVT: +1.5
- Haemoptysis: +1.0
- Malignancy (on treatment, treated in the past 6 months, or palliative): +1.0

<2 = Low pretest probability 2 to 6 = Moderate pretest probability >6 = High pretest probability

### Diagnostic Modalities

No single imaging study or blood test is 100% sensitive and specific for pulmonary embolism. A combination of pretest clinical probability assessment, noninvasive pulmonary imaging [ventilation-perfusion(V-P) scanning or spiral computed tomography (CT)] and d-dimer testing seems prudent before pursuing pulmonary angiography.

### Table-VI: Diagnostic modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Imaging</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td>X-ray chest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Lung Scanning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs</td>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>Leg ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-dimer</td>
<td>Spiral CT scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary angiography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Blood Tests

Unfortunately, no known blood or serum test can move a patient with a high clinical likelihood of pulmonary thromboembolism into a low likelihood category or vice versa. The white blood cell (WBC) count may be normal or elevated. Arterial blood gas may show low partial pressure of oxygen (PO₂), low Partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO₂) and increase alveolar to arterial gradient (A-a gradient). In a report by Palla and colleagues, 15% to 20% of patients with proven PE have normal A-a gradient. Stein et al reviewed the data from Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis (PIOPED) I study and found 30% patient with no cardiopulmonary disease and 14% patient with prior cardiopulmonary disease had PE with normal arterial blood gas (ABG). Pulse oximetry is extremely insensitive, is normal in the majority of patients with PE, and should not be used to direct a diagnostic workup. Cardiac troponin I may be a useful marker to suggest right ventricular involvement and may indicate increase mortality. Similarly raised BNP may reflects right ventricular overload and predict in hospital complication and mortality.

### Electrocardiogram (ECG)

The most common ECG abnormalities in the setting of PE are tachycardia and nonspecific ST-T wave abnormalities. Any other ECG abnormality may appear with equal likelihood, but none are sensitive or specific for PE.

### Table-VII: Common ECG findings in PE

- Tachycardia
- ST-T changes
- Tall peaked P wave in lead II
- Right axis deviation
- Right bundle branch block
- S_Q_T
- Atrial fibrillation

Unfortunately, only 20% of patients with proven PE have any of these classic ECG abnormalities. The most common electrocardiographic abnormalities were nonspecific T wave changes which occurred in 42% of patients and nonspecific abnormalities (elevation or depression) of the RST segment which occurred in 41% of patients. The anterior subepicardial ischemic pattern is the most frequent ECG sign of massive PE and early reversibility indicates a good outcome. Reversible prolonged Q-T interval may be present in a patient with pulmonary embolism. In massive embolism, the electrocardiogram was normal in 6% (3 of 50) of patients. With submassive embolism, 23% of patients (9 of 40) had a normal electrocardiogram. Casazza et al studied 160 cases of confirmed pulmonary embolism and found a right bundle branch block was present in 47% of cases, T-wave inversion in 32% of cases and was not
related to the severity and outcome of pulmonary embolism. New onset right bundle branch block (RBBB) may indicate massive obstruction of the main trunk. Right sided ECG may be helpful in identifying RBBB in presence of normal 12 lead EKG. If ECG abnormalities are present, they may be suggestive of PE, but the absence of ECG abnormalities has no significant predictive value.

**d-dimer**

Plasma d-dimer, a degradation product of cross-linked fibrin can be measured by three method - ELISA, Latex and Whole agglutination (SimplyRED) tests. When assayed by a quantitative ELISA method has been shown highly sensitive (more than 95%) in VTE at a cutoff value of 500 ng/ml but specificity remains low (55%) as fibrin is produced in a wide variety of conditions, such as cancer, inflammation, infection, necrosis. Hence, a d-dimer level above 500 ng/ml has a poor positive predictive value for PE. In a prospective study involving 96 patient over 70 years old without history of metastatic cancer or recent surgery, trauma, infection, stroke, myocardial infarction, deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or PE found that ELISA d-dimer is as sensitive as in adult population but due to the comorbid conditions, only a few patients presented with d-dimer values less than 500 ng/ml. A normal d-dimer level by ELISA assay may safely exclude PE but traditional latex and whole agglutination tests should not be used to rule out PE. A study comparing d-dimer with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), using a cutoff of 8.5 ng/mL, showed that the sensitivity and negative predictive value of d-dimer were low compared with tPA. Kucher et al tested the hypothesis that ratio of d-dimer/Fibrinogen (D/F) may be more specific than d-dimer alone. Their study showed that the true positive rate was almost twice as high using D/F ratio >1.04 x 103 (49 of 85 patients; 57.6%) compared with d-dimer >7000 micro g/L (25 of 85 patients; 29.4%). In summary, d-dimer is an important diagnostic tool when index of suspicion for PE is low and in the setting of high clinical probability, physician should order a more specific test rather than wasting time on d-dimer.

**Chest Radiograph**

The value of obtaining preliminary chest X-ray (CXR) in the workup of PE is in ruling out conditions that might be confused with PE and identifying coexisting cardiopulmonary processes that might interfere with the interpretation of V/Q scan. Following are the findings may present in CXR of suspecting PE:

- Decreased pulmonary vasculature with prominent proximal pulmonary vessels - Westermark Sign.
- Elevated hemidiaphragm.
- Pleural effusion (80% exudative)
- Wedge shaped infiltrate (Hampton's hump)-Rare, late finding.
- Often normal.

In a recent review of patients with pulmonary embolus and no pre-existing cardiac or pulmonary disease, only 16% of the chest x-rays were normal. Atelectasis and/or pulmonary parenchymal abnormalities were most common findings (68%) and only 6% had the Westermark sign. Elliot et al reviewed the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER) database and found cardiomegaly was reported most common x-ray findings. In the Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis (PIOPED) study, pleural based wedge shaped infiltrate, oligemia and abrupt cut off of hilar vessels appeared to be closely associated with PE and were present in 15%-45% of patients.

**Echocardiography**

Right ventricular failure is the ultimate cause of death in patients who succumb to acute PE. Presently echocardiography and doppler analysis of right heart dimensions and RV function permits neither definitive confirmation nor exclusion of suspected PE. However, hemodynamically important PE is unlikely in a patient with a normal echocardiogram.

**Table-VIII: Echocardiographic features of PE**

- Right ventricular hypokinesia
- Right ventricular dilation
- An increased RV/LV ratio caused by interventricular bulging into the LV
- Dilated proximal pulmonary arteries
- Tricuspid regurgitant jet velocity >3.7 m/s
- IVC is usually dilated and doesn't collapse on inspiration

This finding has been shown to correlate not only with larger emboli but also recurrence of PE. Those who have above changes on echocardiography with or without features of shock could benefit from thrombolytic therapy. Echo features such as right ventricular (RV) free wall thickness >5mm, tricuspid regurgitant jet velocity >3.7 m/s and dilated RV with normal interventricular septal motion may also helpful to differentiate acute from subacute massive PE. Relative sparing of the right ventricular apex, known as McConnell sign, sometimes useful to differentiate between right ventricular dysfunction due to PE or due to other condition. Pruszczzyk et al studied 49 patients with suspected massive pulmonary embolism and found that sensitivity of Trans esophageal echo (TEE) was 80% for detecting PE of any size and specificity was 100%. In one study, 92% of patient with 30% or more impaired lung perfusion develop right ventricular hypokinesia. Mortality is increased in patient with right ventricular involvement which sustained up to three months after diagnosis of PE. Right ventricular dysfunction may provide a powerful predictor of inhospital death, with a 6-fold increase in relative risk compared with normal right ventricular function. Similar results were obtained in ICOPER. Overall, 5% developed
chronic pulmonary hypertension. Some authors suggest follow up TEE has been utilized to document emboli in the main or right pulmonary artery. At present, the role of transthoracic Echo for the diagnosing of acute PE remains undefined and can't be considered a first line diagnostic tool for investigation of PE. But certainly will influence the treatment and outcome/prognosis.

Venous Doppler Ultrasound
Since PE is a complication of deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Most of the data showed that 90% of PEs originates from lower extremity DVT, doppler ultrasonography often has an instrumental role in the workup of suspected PE. Duplex scan only reliably identify DVT in the thigh and groin (sensitivity and specificity in symptomatic patient 95 and 98% respectively) and are poor in visualizing pelvis and calf veins. One study evaluates the utility of venous duplex imaging as the initial test in a diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected PE. With unilateral leg symptoms, DVT was found in 40% and without unilateral leg symptoms, results of venous duplex imaging (VDI) were abnormal in only 5%\(^3\). DVT was found in 25% of patients with high-probability V-P scans and in 25% with non-high-probability scans and conclusion was VDI appears to be a reasonable initial screening test in patients with clinically suspected PE and unilateral leg symptoms\(^3\). Retrospective study involving 136 patients, comparing VDI with computed tomography venography (CTV) found CTV is less sensitive and more costly than VDI\(^10\). Overall, lower extremity sonography only detects DVT in approximately 50% of patients with documented PE.

Another limitation of sonography is to differentiate between acute vs chronic DVT. Findings suggestive of chronicities includes collateral vein, small caliber vein, irregular vessel wall, increased clot echogenicities\(^33,^{34}\). Serial leg testing may replace angiography in patients with non-diagnostic lung scan findings. However, its practical use seems limited. In one study with non-high probability V-P scan, they found that sensitivity of lower extremities colour flow venous duplex examination.

Table-IX : Factors that reduce the positive predictive value of venous ultrasonogram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m Obesity</th>
<th>m Markes swelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m Asymptomatic for DVT</td>
<td>m Low clinical suspicion for PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m Duplication of SFV (Present up to 50% of population)</td>
<td>m History of previous VTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m Overlying cast</td>
<td>m Abnormality is confined to short segment of the proximal veins or calf veins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m Negative d-dimer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. Of 890 scans, 670 were classified as abnormal. A definitive diagnosis was established in 563 (84%) patients with abnormal scans and the conclusion was accurate diagnosis of pulmonary embolism is possible by perfusion imaging alone, without ventilation imaging. In a report by Reintartz and his colleagues, V-P lung scintigraphy using SPECT and ultrasound technique and helical CT are comparable diagnostics accuracy.

CT Scan

Until the early 1990, the only imaging techniques available for evaluating pulmonary circulation were ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy and pulmonary angiography. Kalender et al first reported the benefits of spiral CT in 1990 and since then many studies have been done to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of spiral computed tomographic pulmonary angiography (CTPA). Spiral CT angiography is an accurate means of diagnosing pulmonary embolism. In the region of 86% to 100% and 78% to 96% respectively. Garg et al studied 44 patients with suspected pulmonary embolism and all underwent both V-P scan and spiral CT. Compared both results with pulmonary angiography. They didn't only found the Spiral CT has greater accuracy and specificity than V-P scanning in patients but also found that CT provides alternative diagnosis in 31% of patient. All the large studies that have evaluated the accuracy of CT have been restricted to the identification of emboli within the central, lobar and segmental arteries with sensitivity of 86% to 96%. The prevalence of small sub-segmental emboli remains debatable with varying frequencies. Stein and colleagues found based on data from all patients with PE in PIOPED, the prevalence of PE limited to subsegmental pulmonary arteries is low. 6%. A spiral CT angiography is currently unable to identify reliably emboli within pulmonary arteries below the fourth generation with sensitivity of only 30% to 50%. In a report by Remy Jardin and colleagues, visualization of subsegmental vessels were much better with a scanner equipped with 3-mm collimation than with 2-mm collimation. Scanner equipped with 1-mm collimation increased the yield by 40% and also improved the inter-observer agreement. Meta-analysis by Moores and colleagues, reviewed all published studies that used Computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA) to evaluate suspected PE and reported at least 3 months of follow-up in patients not receiving anticoagulation on the basis of a negative CTPA result. The rate of subsequent venous thromboembolism after negative results on CTPA is similar to that seen after negative results on conventional pulmonary angiography. It appears to be safe to withhold anticoagulation after negative CTPA results. In an another retrospective study by Nilsson and colleagues, only 4 patient out of 441 with initial negative CTPA and no DVT symptoms develop VTE during 3-month follow up. A prospective study by Friera et al, out of the 99 patients, 9 (9%) died during the 9-month follow-up, the cause of death in each case was not due to thromboembolic venous disease. No venous thromboembolic events were detected in the other 90 patients. Similar results were reported in other studies. The most reliable and basic criterion for the diagnosis of acute pulmonary embolism is the finding of an intraluminal filling defect. When contrast medium can flow around a central clot, the appearance is either of a "rail track" if the artery lies parallel and within the scan plane or a "polo mint" if the artery runs perpendicular to the scan plane. Combined CT venography and pulmonary angiography was first tried in 1998 and since then this imaging methods is gaining popularity. PIOPED II study has been initiated to look at its efficacy. Preliminary results suggest the spiral CT and venous phase CT may become a first line diagnostic tools in evaluating pulmonary embolism. Advantages of combined CT venography and pulmonary angiography is that visualization of chest and extremities in one go, better accuracy in the evaluation of iliac veins, Inferior vena cava (IVC), and vessels poorly seen on sonography. CTPA has now been studied by multiple researchers and showed very promising results and may become choice of procedures in future.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

MRI has not been considered as a popular imaging method and the reason to put it down as signal loss due to cardiac pulsation and respiration, susceptibility artifacts caused by multiple air-tissue interfaces and low proton density. Recently, further improvements in MRI techniques have widened the potential for investigations of pulmonary disease. MRI is now being utilized to evaluate clinically suspected PE. The technique is rapid, accurate, avoids nephrotoxic iodinated contrast and is better accepted by patient than pulmonary angiography. There are more data available for diagnosing by spiral CT than by MRI at the present time but MRI has several attractive advantages, including excellent sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosing of DVT together with the potential for performing perfusion imaging. This technique may ultimately allow the simultaneous and accurate detection of both PE and DVT. Sostman et al reported in their study that the average sensitivity of CT was 75% and of MR 46%; the average specificity of CT was 89% and of MR 90% and the conclusion was CT and MRI had similar accuracy for detecting pulmonary embolism. MRI can be useful in certain conditions namely pregnancy, pelvic vein thrombosis, pelvic malignancy, brachiocephalic and superior vena caval thrombosis. MRI is particularly helpful to recognize chronic DVT. Lack of wide availability, difficult portability, high expenses and very few studies limits MRI as a first diagnostic tool for VTE at present.
Conclusion

Until 1990, diagnosis of PE was a challenge and remained undiagnosed with noninvasive diagnostic tools. With the advances of technology and introduction of d-dimer testing, pulmonary embolism is diagnosed more frequently and negative test virtually rule out PE. CT pulmonary angiography is becoming the standard of care at many institutions for the evaluation of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. But question raised about the effectiveness of spiral CT in the diagnosis of PE in critically ill patients and warrant further study in that particular population. There is increasing evidence that MRI could be useful tool in the diagnosis of PE, specially when invasiveness contrast is contraindicated but more researches are needed. Increasing use of noninvasive methods as an additional means in pulmonary embolism diagnostics may in most cases spare the use of pulmonary angiography.
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